[FULL COMMITTEE PRINT]

113TH CONGRESS 2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Report 113-

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

REPORT

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

[TO ACCOMPANY H.R.]



, 2014.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

[FULL COMMITTEE PRINT]

113TH CONGRESS $2d\ Session$

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT 113-

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

REPORT

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

[TO ACCOMPANY H.R.]



, 2014.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

87-055

WASHINGTON: 2014

CONTENTS

	Page
Bill Totals	1
Bill Totals	3
Introduction	3 3 3 3
Funding Increases	3
Committee Recommendations by Major Category Active, Reserve, and National Guard Military Personnel	3
Active, Reserve, and National Guard Military Personnel	3
Operation and Maintenance	3
Procurement	$\overset{\circ}{4}$
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	5
Defense Health Program	6
Defense Health Program Overseas Contingency Operations	6
Classified Programs	6
TITLE I. MILITARY PERSONNEL	7
Military Personnel Overview	7 9
Summary of End Strength	9
Overall Active End Strength	9
Overall Selected Reserve End Strength	9
Overall Selected Reserve End Strength	10
Full-Time Support Strengths Reprogramming Guidance for Military Personnel Accounts Special Interest Items Sexual Assault Prevention and Response	
Reprogramming Guidance for Military Personnel Accounts	10
Special Interest Items	11
Sexual Assault Frevention and Response	11
Long-Term Temporary Duty Assignments	12
Permanent Change of Station Efficiencies	12
Cultural Sensitivity Training	13
Long-Term Temporary Duty Assignments Permanent Change of Station Efficiencies Cultural Sensitivity Training Handicapped Accessibility of Military Service Stations	13
Personnel Transition Coordination	14
Minority Outreach and Officer Accessions	14
Military Personnel, Army Regionally Aligned Forces Language Capability	14
Regionally Aligned Forces Language Capability	18
Military Family Housing at Camp Humphreys	18
Military Personnel, Navy	18
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	22
Military Personnel, Air Force	26
Intercontinental Ballistic Missile Force	30
Reserve Personnel, Army	30
Reserve Personnel Navy	33
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	36
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	39
National Guard Personnel, Army	42
National Guard Personnel Air Force	45
TITLE II. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	49
Reprogramming Guidance for Operation and Maintenance Accounts	51
Operation and Maintenance Budget Execution Data	52
Special Interest Items	52
Readiness	52
Sowies Audit Agency Validation	53
Depot Level Maintenance and Reneir Rudget Exhibits	54
Service Audit Agency Validation Depot-Level Maintenance and Repair Budget Exhibits Depot Maintenance	54
Facilities Sustainment, Restoration and Modernization	
Cycled Droventian Training and Outroach	54 55
Suicide Prevention Training and Outreach Working Conditions in Bangladesh	55
working Conditions in Dangiadesn	
Overestimation and Reporting of Civilian Workforce	56
Operation and Maintenance Budget Documentation	56
Operational Reserve	57

- '	Page
Military Information Support Operations	57
Nuclear and Natural Disaster Response	58
Performance Measures	58
Operation and Maintenance, Army	58
Cemeterial Expenses	64
Army Inventory Management System	64
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	64
Tire Successor Initiative	73
Shipboard Lighting Systems	73
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	73
Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force	76
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	76
Air Force Flying Hour Metrics	82
Air Force Weapon System Sustainment	82
Air Education and Training Command Infrastructure	83
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	83
Special Operations Command—Operation and Maintenance Funding	89
Special Operations Command—Flying Hours Restoration	89
Special Operations Command—Budget Justification	89 90
Special Operations Command—Reprogramming Guidance	90
Special Operations Command—Oversight	91
Special Operations Command—National Capital Region	91
Special Operations Command—Suicide Prevention and Psychological	31
Health	92
Health	93
Special Operations Command—Advanced Education Program	93
Special Operations Command—Advanced Éducation Program Special Operations Command—Classified Adjustments	94
Energy Efficiency	94
Energy Efficiency Commercial Joint Mapping Toolkit	95
Automated Continuous Evaluation System	95
Overseas Military Installation Inventory	95
Spare Parts Management	95
Transportable, Modular, Renewable Energy Platforms	96
National Security Education Program	96
Audit Readiness	96
Cloud Computing Services	97
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	97
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	100
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	103
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	106
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	109
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	112
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account	115
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	115
Environmental Restoration, Army Environmental Restoration, Navy	$\frac{115}{115}$
Viegues and Culebra Environmental Restoration	115
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	116
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	116
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	116
Environmental Restoration Annual Report to Congress	116
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	116
Humanitarian Mine Action Program	
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund	117
TITLE III. PROCUREMENT	119
Special Interest Items	121
Reprogramming Guidance for Acquisition Accounts	121
Reprogramming Reporting Requirements	121
Funding Increases	121
Classified Annex	121
High Mobility Engineer Excavator	122
Tactical Power Sources	122
Mobile User Objective System Terminals	122
Aircraft Procurement, Army	122
Army Aviation Restructure Initiative	126

	Page
Missile Procurement, Army	126
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	129
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	133
Other Procurement, Army	137
Handheld Standoff Mine Detection System	145
Body Armor Modernization Air and Missile Defense Planning and Control System High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle Modernization	145
Air and Missile Defense Planning and Control System	145
High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicle Modernization	145
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	146
MH-60R	
EA-18G Aircraft	153
Weapons Procurement, Navy Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	153
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	158
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	162
Cruiser Modernization	165
Aircraft Carrier Refueling	
Littoral Combat Ship	$\frac{166}{166}$
Other Procurement, Navy	177
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	$\frac{177}{182}$
CV-22	189
KC-10	
Missile Procurement, Air Force	
Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle	19/
Global Positioning System III	194
Preferred Munitions	194
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	194
Preferred Munitions	197
Other Procurement, Air Force	
Procurement, Defense-Wide	
National Guard and Reserve Component Proportionate Funding	$\frac{207}{207}$
National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account	207
Special Operations Command—C-146A	207
Defense Production Act Purchases	208
Rare Earth Elements	208
TITLE IV. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION	209
Special Interest Items	211
Reprogramming Guidance for Acquisition Accounts	211
Reprogramming Reporting Requirements	211
Funding Increases	211
Classified Annex	
Lithium-Ion Batteries	212
Hybrid Airship Development	212
Anti-Corrosion Efforts	212
Explosive Ordnance Disposal	212
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	213
Armored Multi-Purpose Vehicle	223
Network Integration Evaluation	223
Degraded Visual Environment	224
Polymer Research Improvements	224
Advanced Concepts and Simulation	224
Testing of Virtual Training Systems Army Net Zero Policy	224
Lightweight Advanced Personnel Protection	225
	$\frac{225}{225}$
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	$\frac{225}{225}$
Amphibious Combat Vehicle	$\frac{223}{238}$
Automated Test and Re-Test	$\frac{238}{238}$
Littoral Combat Ship Training	$\frac{238}{238}$
Arctic Center of Excellence	238
Wound Care Research Program	239
Automated Critical Care System	$\frac{239}{239}$
P–8A Open Architecture	239
Aircraft Systems Modernization	$\frac{240}{240}$
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	240
Global Hawk, U-2, and High Altitude ISR	251
Combat Rescue Helicopter	252

	Page
Next Generation JSTARSChemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Survivability	252
Chemical Biological Badiological and Nuclear Survivability	$\frac{252}{253}$
Airborne Electronic Attack	
Endurance Unmanned Aerial Vehicles	$\frac{253}{253}$
	254
KC-46A	204
Beyond Line of Sight Command and Control	254
High Performance Computing	254
Human Performance Monitoring	254
Liquid Rocket Engine Development Program	255
Space Based Infrared System High	255
Air Force Technology Transfer Program Global Positioning System Space Modernization Initiative	255
Global Positioning System Space Modernization Initiative	256
Global Positioning System User Equipment	256
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	$\frac{256}{256}$
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-wide	
Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions	267
Advanced Innovative Technologies	267
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency	267
Laser-Driven X-Ray Technology	267
Modeling and Simulation	268
Cybersecurity	268
Human and Robot Collaboration	268
Missile Defense Agency	$\frac{268}{268}$
Missile Defense Agency—Iron Dome	269
Missile Defense Agency—Cooperation with Universities	$\frac{203}{270}$
Missile Delense Agency—Cooperation with Universities	
Disabling and Neutralizing Weapons of Mass Destruction	271
Additive Manufacturing	271
Systems Engineering Research Center Conventional Prompt Global Strike	271
Conventional Prompt Global Strike	271
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense TITLE V. REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS Defense Working Capital Funds	272
TITLE V. REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS	275
Defense Working Capital Funds	275
TITLE VI. OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS	$\frac{1}{277}$
Defense Health Program	$\frac{277}{277}$
Defense Health Program Reprogramming Guidance for the Defense Health Program	$\frac{211}{281}$
Carryover Authority	
	281
Electronic Health Record	281
Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program	282
Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Programs	
1 cer 100 rewed carreer recodardir 1 regrams	283
Peer-Reviewed Prostate Cancer Research Program	283 283
Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Programs Peer-Reviewed Prostate Cancer Research Program Intelligent Prosthetics	283 283 284
Intelligent Prosthetics	$\frac{283}{283}$
Intelligent Prosthetics	283 283 284 284
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy	283 283 284 284 284
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents	283 283 284 284 284 285
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents	283 283 284 284 284 285 285
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository	283 283 284 284 284 285 285 285
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine	283 283 284 284 284 285 285 285 286
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods	283 283 284 284 284 285 285 285
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Fa-	283 284 284 284 285 285 286 286
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities	283 283 284 284 285 285 286 286
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research	283 283 284 284 285 285 285 286 286 286
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention	283 283 284 284 285 285 285 286 286 286 287 287
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery	283 284 284 284 285 285 286 286 286 287 287
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery	283 284 284 284 285 285 286 286 286 287 287
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program	283 284 284 284 285 285 286 286 286 287 287 287
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research	283 284 284 284 285 285 286 286 286 287 287 287 287
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities	283 284 284 284 285 285 286 286 286 287 287 287 287 287
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense	283 284 284 284 285 285 286 286 287 287 287 287 287 287 288 288
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	283 284 284 284 285 285 285 286 286 287 287 287 287 287 287 287 288 288
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities	283 283 284 284 285 285 285 286 286 286 287 287 287 287 287 288 288 288 288
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities Budget Justification Material	283 283 284 284 285 285 285 286 286 287 287 287 287 287 288 288 288 288 289 289
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Drug Interdiction Material National Guard State Plans	283 284 284 284 285 285 286 286 287 287 287 287 287 287 288 288 288 288
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities Budget Justification Material National Guard State Plans Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	283 284 284 284 285 285 285 286 286 287 287 287 287 287 288 288 288 289 299
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Drug Interdiction Material National Guard State Plans Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund	283 284 284 284 285 285 286 286 287 287 287 287 287 287 288 288 288 288
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities Budget Justification Material National Guard State Plans Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund Support for International Sporting Competitions	283 284 284 284 285 285 285 286 286 287 287 287 287 287 288 288 288 289 299
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities Budget Justification Material National Guard State Plans Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund Support for International Sporting Competitions	283 284 284 284 285 285 285 286 286 287 287 287 287 288 288 288 289 289 290 290
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Drug Interdiction Material National Guard State Plans Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund Support for International Sporting Competitions Support for International Sporting Competitions Office of the Inspector General	283 284 284 284 285 285 285 286 286 287 287 287 287 288 288 289 290 290 290
Intelligent Prosthetics Prescription Drug Abuse Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy Childhood Trauma in Military Dependents Air Force Military Acuity Model Training Program Trauma Clinical Research Repository Telemedicine Preservation of Muscle Mass During Immobilization Periods Cooperation Between Military Medical Facilities, Civilian Healthcare Facilities, and Universities Canine Therapy Research Thermal Injury Prevention Efficiency of Patient Care Delivery Military Mental Health Pilot Program Multi-Disciplinary Brain Research Access to Military Treatment Facilities Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities Budget Justification Material National Guard State Plans Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund Support for International Sporting Competitions	283 284 284 284 285 285 285 286 287 287 287 287 287 287 287 287 289 299 290 290 290

VII

	Page
National and Military Intelligence Programs	293
Classified Annex	293
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	293
Intelligence Community Management Account	293
Wildlife Trafficking	294
Wildlife Trafficking	295
TITLE IX. OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	305
Committee Recommendation	305
General Provisions	305
TITLE X.	307
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS	307
Changes in the Application of Existing Law	307
Appropriations not Authorized by Law	318
Transfer of Funds	319
Rescissions	321
Transfer of Unexpended Balances	322
Directed Rule Making	322
Program Duplication	322
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives	322
Compliance with Rule XIII, CL. 3(e) (Ramseyer Řule)	322
Earmark Disclosure Statement	322
Comparison with the Budget Resolution	323
Five-Year Outlay Projections	323
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments	323
Full Committee Votes	323
Additional Views	

[FULL COMMITTEE PRINT]

113TH CONGRESS 2d Session

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

Report 113–

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2015

————, 2014.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

Mr. Frelinghuysen of New Jersey, from the Committee on Appropriations, submitted the following

REPORT

[To accompany H.R.]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for the Department of Defense, and for other purposes, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2015.

BILL TOTALS

Appropriations for most military functions of the Department of Defense are provided for in the accompanying bill for fiscal year 2015. This bill does not provide appropriations for military construction, military family housing, civil defense, and military nuclear warheads, for which requirements are considered in connection with other appropriations Acts.

The President's fiscal year 2015 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act totals \$490,741,995,000 in new budget obligational authority for the base military bill.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

-829,953 -1,371,180 +1,567,520 -171,057 +100,000 -305,642 -9,000 Request Bill vs. +199,825 +199,825 Bill vs. Enacted -668,647 +4,761,912 -1,633,481 +368,149 -911,959 -1,239,457 -27,035 +3,737,641 -5,581,942 -1,194,809 -1,654,809 -460,000 8111 128,127,640 164,631,638 91,227,819 63,362,890 63,362,890 1,334,468 33,795,719 1,015,194 958,452 79,445,000 570,900,820 563,898,820 7,002,000 FY 2015 Request 128, 957, 593 166, 002, 818 89, 660, 299 63, 533, 947 1, 234, 468 34, 101, 361 1, 024, 194 570,700,995 -260,685 79,445,000 563,698,995 7,002,000 128, 796, 287 159, 869, 726 92, 861, 300 62, 994, 741 2, 246, 427 35, 035, 166 1, 042, 229 -2, 779, 189 85, 026, 942 FY 2014 Enacted 565,093,629 7,462,000 572,555,629 Title I - Military Personnel.

Title II - Operation and Maintenance.

Title III - Procurement.

Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.

Title VV - Revolving and Management Funds.

Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs.

Title VII - General Provisions (net).

Title VIII - General Provisions (net). Total mandatory and discretionary..... RECAPITULATION

COMMITTEE BUDGET REVIEW PROCESS

During its review of the fiscal year 2015 budget request and execution of appropriations for fiscal year 2014, the Subcommittee on Defense held a total of thirteen hearings and eight formal briefings during the period from January 2014 to May 2014. Testimony received by the Subcommittee totaled 1,067 pages of transcript. Hearings were held in open session, except when the security classification of the material to be discussed presented no alternative but to conduct those hearings in executive or closed session.

INTRODUCTION

The Committee recommendation for the fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense base budget is \$490,941,820,000, which is an increase of \$199,825,000 above the budget request. The Committee recommendation for overseas contingency operations is \$79,445,000,000.

To reach the recommended level, the Subcommittee has reviewed in detail the budget request, and found areas and programs where reductions are possible without adversely impacting the warfighter or modernization and readiness efforts. Examples of such reductions include: programs which have been terminated or restructured since the budget was submitted, savings from favorable contract pricing adjustments, contract or schedule delays resulting in fiscal year 2015 savings, unjustified cost increases or funding requested ahead of need, anticipated or historical underexecution, rescissions of unneeded prior year funds, and reductions that are authorized in the pending National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015.

FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in the tables for each appropriation account shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS BY MAJOR CATEGORY

ACTIVE, RESERVE, AND NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY PERSONNEL

In title I of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$128,127,640,000 for active, reserve, and National Guard military personnel, a decrease of \$829,953,000 below the budget request, and a decrease of \$668,647,000 below the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The Committee recommendation provides funding to increase basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent, as authorized by current law, effective January 1, 2015. The Committee recommends full funding to support the requested end strength levels for active duty and Selected Reserve personnel.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

In title II of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$164,631,638,000 for operation and maintenance support to the military Services and other Department of Defense entities, a decrease of \$1,371,180,000 below the budget request, and an increase of \$4,761,912,000 above the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The recommended levels will robustly fund operational training programs

in fiscal year 2015. Requests for unit and depot-level maintenance, facilities sustainment, restoration and modernization, and base operations support program funding have been fully supported.

PROCUREMENT

In title III of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$91,227,819,000 for procurement.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

\$1,356,227,000 for the procurement of 87 UH-60 Blackhawk helicopters, an increase of \$119,226,000 and eight aircraft above the President's request.

\$892,504,000 for the procurement of 32 CH-47 Chinook helicopters, the same as the President's request.

\$239,581,000 for the procurement of 19 improved, longer range MQ-1 unmanned aerial vehicles, an increase of \$49,000,000 above the President's request.

\$532,605,000 for the procurement of 97 MSE missiles for the Patriot missile system, an increase of \$148,000,000 above the President's request.

\$183,838,000 for the procurement of Patriot Missile modifications, an increase of \$52,000,000 above the President's request.

\$664,087,000 for the procurement of WIN-T Ground Forces Tactical Networks, a decrease of \$99,000,000 below the President's request.

\$125,711,000 for the procurement of Joint Tactical Radio Systems, a decrease of \$50,000,000 below the President's request.

\$416,617,000 for the procurement of 55 UH-72A Lakota heli-

copters, the same as the President's request.

\$435,110,000 for the procurement of upgrades for the fourth brigade set of Strykers to double V-hulls, plus Stryker nuclear, biological, chemical reconnaissance vehicle fielding support, an increase of \$50,000,000 above the President's request.

\$122,451,000 for the procurement of 40 M88A2 Improved Recovery Vehicles, an increase of \$72,000,000 and 25 vehicles above the

President's request.

\$1,018,547,000 for the procurement of twelve EA-18G Growler electronic attack aircraft, an increase of \$975,000,000 and twelve aircraft above the President's request.

\$2,128,787,000 for the procurement of nine P-8A Poseidon multimission aircraft, an increase of \$125,460,000 and one aircraft above the President's request.

\$809,057,000 for the procurement of 27 UH-1Y/AH-1Z helicopters, an increase of \$30,300,000 and one aircraft above the President's request.

\$5,843,108,000 for the procurement of 38 F-35 Lightning aircraft, an increase of \$479,000,000 and four aircraft above the President's request: six short take-off and vertical landing variants for the Marine Corps, four carrier variants for the Navy, and 28 conventional variants for the Air Force.

\$14,256,361,000 for the procurement of six Navy ships, including two DDG-51 guided missile destroyers, two SSN-774 attack sub-

marines, and two Littoral Combat Ships.

\$789,300,000 above the President's request for the advance procurement of material for the refueling of the USS George Washington (CVN-73).

\$1,097,691,000 for the procurement of 14 C/HC/MC/KC-130J aircraft, the same as the President's request.

\$1,481,100,000 for the procurement of 19 MV-22 aircraft, a de-

crease of \$5,900,000 below the President's request.

\$373,218,000 for the procurement of 24 MQ-9 Reaper unmanned aerial vehicles, an increase of \$133,000,000 and 12 aircraft above the President's request.

\$1,582,685,000 for the procurement of seven KC-46 tanker air-

craft, the same as the President's request.

\$1,346,046,000 for the procurement of three Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicles and infrastructure, a decrease of \$35,000,000 below the President's request.

\$350,972,000 for the Israeli Cooperative Program Iron Dome,

\$175,000,000 above the President's request.

\$100,000,000 for HMMWV modernization for the Army National Guard, an increase of \$100,000,000 above the President's request.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

In title IV of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$63,362,890,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

\$181,609,000 for the continued development of High Performance Computing, the same as the President's request.

\$320,177,000 for the continued development of modifications to Abrams, Bradley, and Stryker Vehicles, an increase of \$25,000,000 above the President's request.

\$849,277,000 for the continued development of the replacement for the Ohio class ballistic missile submarine, the same as the President's request.

\$146,200,000 for the continued development of the E–2D Advanced Hawkeye aircraft, a decrease of \$47,000,000 below the President's request.

\$230,733,000 for the continued development of the Next Generation Jammer, a decrease of \$16,123,000 below the President's request.

\$1,636,130,000 for the continued development of the F-35 Lightning Joint Strike Fighter aircraft, a decrease of \$4,976,000 below the President's request.

\$403,017,000 for the continued development of the Unmanned Carrier Based Strike System, the same as the President's request.

\$319,037,000 for the continued development of the Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft, an increase of \$11,000,000 above the President's request.

\$913,728,000 for the development of a new penetrating bomber, the same as the President's request.

\$766,937,000 for the continued development of the Next Generation Aerial Refueling Aircraft, a decrease of \$10,000,000 below the President's request.

\$73,088,000 for the development of a Next Generation JSTARS aircraft, the same as the President's request.

\$309,501,000 for the continued development of the Space Based Infrared Satellite and associated ground support systems, a decrease of \$10,000,000 below the President's request.

\$212,571,000 for the development of the Global Positioning System III operational control segment, the same as the President's request.

\$220,000,000 above the President's request to begin development of a domestically produced liquid rocket engine for space launch.

\$2,848,318,000 for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, a decrease of \$66,452,000 below the President's request.

\$268,842,000 for the Israeli Cooperative Programs, an increase of \$172,039,000 above the President's request.

DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends a total of \$31,634,870,000 for the Defense Health Program to support worldwide medical and dental services for active forces and other eligible beneficiaries.

The Committee recommends funding to augment the request for enduring traumatic brain injury, psychological health, and wounded, ill and injured requirements. To address these challenges of the Defense Health Program, the Committee recommends the following:

Traumatic brain injury and psychological health research	\$125,000,000
Peer-reviewed spinal cord research	\$30,000,000
Peer-reviewed orthopedic research	\$30,000,000

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

In title IX of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$79,445,000,000 for overseas contingency operations. Despite a recent announcement from the Administration regarding plans for an enduring military presence in Afghanistan, no Overseas Contingency Operations budget request has been submitted, therefore this level of funding is subject to change.

CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS

As described elsewhere in this report, the Committee's budget review of classified programs is published in a separate, detailed, and comprehensive classified annex. Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in the classified annex accompanying this report.

TITLE I

MILITARY PERSONNEL

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense military personnel budget request totals \$128,957,593,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$128,127,640,000 for the military personnel accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

	BUDGET REQUEST	RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RECAPITULATION			
MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY	41,225,339	41,183,729	-41,610
MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY	27,489,440	27,387,344	-102,096
MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	12,919,103	12,785,431	-133,672
MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	27,815,926	27,564,362	-251,564
RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	4,459,130	4,304,159	-154,971
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	1,863,034	1,836,024	-27,010
RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	670,754	659,224	-11,530
RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,675,518	1,652,148	-23,370
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	7,682,892	7,644,632	-38,260
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	3,156,457	3,110,587	-45,870
GRAND TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL		128,127,640	

MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

The Committee recommendation provides \$128,127,640,000 for the military personnel accounts, which funds military pay and allowances, recruitment and retention initiatives, and overall quality of life programs for active duty, reserve, and National Guard personnel. The recommendation fully supports the resource requirements needed to maintain the authorized end strength levels for fiscal year 2015. The Committee recommendation provides funding to increase basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent as authorized by current law, effective January 1, 2015. The Committee continues to encourage constructive evaluations of recruitment and retention programs, bonus and special pay incentives, and personnel benefit programs for fiscal year 2015. The Committee remains supportive of programs intended to enhance the morale and quality of life of military personnel and their families.

SUMMARY OF END STRENGTH

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes a decrease of 52,800 in total end strength for the active forces and a decrease of 12,900 in total end strength for the Selected Reserve as compared to the fiscal year 2014 authorized levels. The following tables summarize the Committee recommendations for end strength levels, both in the aggregate and for each active and Selected Reserve component.

OVERALL ACTIVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2014 authorized Fiscal year 2015 budget request Fiscal year 2015 recommendation Compared with fiscal year 2014 Compared with fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,361,400 1,308,600 1,308,600 -52,800
OVERALL SELECTED RESERVE END STRENGTH	
Fiscal year 2014 authorized	833,700
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	820,800
Fiscal year 2015 recommendation	820,800
Compared with fiscal year 2014	-12,900
Compared with fiscal year 2015 budget request	

SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL END STRENGTH

	Fiscal year 2015				
	Fiscal year 2014 authorized	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	Change from fiscal year 2014
Active Forces (End Strength):					
Army	520,000	490,000	490,000		-30,000
Navy	323,600	323,600	323,600		
Marine Corps	190,200	184,100	184,100		-6,100
Air Force	327,600	310,900	310,900		-16,700
Total, Active Forces	1,361,400	1,308,600	1,308,600		-52,800
Guard and Reserve Forces (End Strength)					
Army Reserve	205,000	202,000	202,000		-3,000
Navy Reserve	59,100	57,300	57,300		-1,800
Marine Corps Reserve	39,600	39,200	39,200		-400
Air Force Reserve	70,400	67,100	67,100		-3,300
Army National Guard	354,200	350,200	350,200		-4,000
Air National Guard	105,400	105,000	105,000		-400

10

SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL END STRENGTH—Continued

	Fiscal year 2014 authorized		Fiscal yea	r 2015	
		Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	Change from fiscal year 2014
Total, Selected Reserve	833,700	820,800	820,800		- 12,900
Total, Military Personnel	2,195,100	2,129,400	2,129,400		- 65,700

FULL-TIME SUPPORT STRENGTHS

There are four categories of full-time support in the National Guard and reserve components: military technicians (dual status), Active Guard and Reserve, non-technician civilians, and active component personnel.

Full-time support personnel organize, recruit, train, maintain, and administer the reserve components. Military technicians (dual status) directly support units and are critical to helping units maintain readiness and meet the wartime missions of the Army and Air Force.

The following table summarizes the National Guard and reserve components full-time support end strengths:

SUMMARY OF GUARD AND RESERVE FULL-TIME SUPPORT

	F: .		Fiscal yea	r 2015	
	Fiscal year - 2014 authorized	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request	Change from fiscal year 2014
Army Reserve:					
AGR	16,261	16,261	16,261		
Technicians	8,395	7,895	7,895		-500
Navy Reserve:					
AR	10,159	9,973	9,973		-186
Marine Corps Reserve:					
AR	2,261	2,261	2,261		
Air Force Reserve:					
AGR	2,911	2,830	2,830		-81
Technicians	10,429	9,789	9,789		-640
Army National Guard:					
AGR	32,060	31,385	31,385		-675
Technicians	27,210	27,210	27,210		
Air National Guard:					
AGR	14,734	14,704	14,704		-30
Technicians	21,875	21,792	21,792		-83
Totals:					
AGR/AR	78,386	77,414	77,414		- 972
Technicians	67,909	66,686	66,686		-1,223
Total, Full-Time Support	146,295	144,100	144,100		-2,195

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR MILITARY PERSONNEL ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2015 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose

other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' military personnel accounts between budget activities in excess of \$10,000,000.

SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE

The Committee remains concerned by reports of sexual assaults being committed by those in key positions of trust, including sexual assault response coordinators, victim advocates, military recruiters, commanders, and military leaders. The Army recently conducted a review of personnel serving in key positions of trust, and almost 600 soldiers currently serving in those positions were disqualified for infractions. While the Committee commends the Army for conducting such a review and holding soldiers accountable and urges the other Services to conduct similar comprehensive reviews, it remains disturbed that so many of the personnel currently serving should never have qualified for those duties.

The Committee is also concerned by the persistently high prevalence of sexual assault at the military academies. The Committee believes that more must be done to institute best practices at the military academies, training bases, and recruiting commands to increase prevention efforts as well as to preclude perpetrators from serving in influential and sensitive occupations. While the Service Secretaries must be aggressive in prosecuting and punishing perpetrators of sexual assault, they must increase their efforts to protect servicemembers from the threat of sexual assault in the first place. Further, commanders remain responsible for ensuring good order and discipline in their units and should be held responsible when crimes are committed under their watch. Recent allegations that commanders ignored reports of sexual assault or permitted inappropriate work environments in violation of Service sexual harassment and hazing policies is extremely concerning and must not be tolerated.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 provided an additional \$25,000,000 to expand the Special Victims' Counsel (SVC) program to all Services. This program provides legal representatives to give advice and representation to sexual assault victims. The SVC helps navigate victims through the legal process and intervenes on a victim's behalf when appropriate. The Committee understands that the Air Force SVC pilot program has shown success in assisting victims throughout the process and believes victims throughout the military will benefit by having access to a SVC. The Committee is pleased to learn that an expansion of the program is underway and it fully funds the budget request for

the SVC program.

The recommendation also includes a provision requested by the President to make funds available for the Services, including the National Guard and reserve components, to support high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements and activities, including the training and funding of personnel. In addition, the Committee fully funds the budget request for Sexual Assault Prevention and Response programs and encourages the Secretary of Defense and the Service Chiefs to do more to stop this destructive epidemic in the military.

LONG-TERM TEMPORARY DUTY ASSIGNMENTS

The report accompanying the House version of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 included language which expressed the Committee's concern related to reports of Services sending personnel on long-term temporary duty assignments (TDY) that do not comply with the regulations as stated in the Joint Federal Travel Regulations (JFTR). The JFTR states that long-term TDY assignments must be temporary in nature, of reasonable time duration, lower in cost than round-trip permanent change of station expenses, and not to exceed 180 consecutive days. The Committee continues to believe that the practice of sending personnel on extended TDYs that violate the JFTR would be both a waste of taxpayer resources and a violation of Department-wide rules and regulations. Further, the Committee is concerned that the Special Operations Command's (SOCOM) growing use of continuous rotational TDYs is being used to establish permanent persistent presences in countries overseas. The Committee understands that with limited exception, SOCOM currently lacks the legal authority to establish and maintain a permanent persistent presence globally and therefore questions whether the use of continuous rotational TDYs is being used in lieu of seeking the necessary legal authorities. The Committee also questions whether this practice violates the JFTR, limitations on billets in combatant command positions, requirements for dwell time, and Department and interagency policies and procedures regarding the establishment of permanent assignments overseas.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 directed the Secretary of Defense to evaluate the use of extended TDYs and to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the practice and its compliance with the JFTR. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to include as part of his report a comprehensive look into SOCOM's use of continuous rotational TDYs. The Committee is currently waiting for the completion and release of the report and looks forward to reviewing its recommendations.

PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION EFFICIENCIES

The Consolidated Appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2013 and 2014 recommended total reductions of almost \$300,000,000 in the

Permanent Change of Station (PCS) budget activities for program efficiencies. In addition, the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 directed the Department of Defense Inspector General to conduct a review of the military personnel PCS program and to identify potential cost savings and efficiencies that could be

implemented throughout the program.

In its report, the Inspector General found that the Department of Defense, the United States Transportation Command, and the Services were taking a number of steps in response to congressional direction to find cost savings and efficiencies within the PCS program. These include using upgraded systems that better monitor moves to ensure they meet regulations regarding weight and cost requirements, implementing logistics efficiencies through regionalization and operations consolidations, and improving internal controls to increase fidelity of accounting data to identify areas for future cost savings. The Committee commends the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries for their efforts to improve accountability and oversight and reduce waste in the PCS program.

At the same time, the Inspector General found multiple areas where additional cost savings and efficiencies could be found. These include transferring financial responsibility and payment for non-temporary storage after the entitlement period has ended, establishing controls to ensure overpayments for shipping household goods are recouped by the Services, using less costly methods to ship and store domestic household goods weighing 1,000 pounds or less, reviewing policies regarding unlimited weight limits for local moves, reviewing policies regarding the use of certain flights for servicemember PCS travel, and implementing a statutory incentive for servicemembers to voluntarily reduce the weight of shipped goods.

The Committee supports the findings of the Inspector General report and directs the Secretary of Defense and Service Secretaries to review and implement the recommendations as soon as possible. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report on the status of implementation of the recommendations and the estimated cost savings associated with implementation to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the en-

actment of this Act.

CULTURAL SENSITIVITY TRAINING

The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense and the Services have multiple cultural sensitivity training programs for military personnel. The Committee believes that protecting servicemembers' rights regarding religious exercise and ethnic heritage is important. The Committee supports efforts to identify resource and personnel gaps that may exist in the Department of Defense Office of Diversity Management and Equal Opportunity, as well as efforts to identify existing gaps in protections for new and prospective servicemembers.

HANDICAPPED ACCESSIBILITY OF MILITARY SERVICE STATIONS

The Committee recognizes that while efforts to improve services for handicapped patrons on Department of Defense installations have been made, the layout and design of Army and Air Force Exchange Service (AAFES) gas stations limits accessibility for many handicapped patrons. While some service stations have installed call buttons to allow patrons to ring for assistance, the buttons often are not clearly marked or are difficult to utilize. The Committee understands that AAFES is undertaking a pilot study to redesign and install new service call systems and encourages the continued efforts to improve handicapped accessibility.

PERSONNEL TRANSITION COORDINATION

As the Department of Defense reduces the size of the force, the Committee supports efforts to assist military personnel in leveraging their unique skills and experiences as they transition from military to civilian life. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense, in partnership with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, state and local government representatives, non-governmental organization leaders, and private sector leaders, to continue efforts to increase employment opportunities for former servicemembers, including in fields related to disaster relief.

MINORITY OUTREACH AND OFFICER ACCESSIONS

Minorities remain underrepresented in the general officer ranks across the Services. To build a more diverse organization, the Committee supports efforts to conduct effective outreach and recruiting programs focused on increasing officer accessions in minority communities and encourages the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to support efforts to improve diversity in the military.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$40,787,967,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	41,225,339,000
Committee recommendation	41,183,729,000
Change from budget request	-41,610,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$41,183,729,000 for Military Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

15

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
50	MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS BASIC PAY	6,715,420	6,715,420	
200	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,095,898	2,095,898	***
250	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	2,191,307	2,191,307	
300	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	279,102	279,102	
350	INCENTIVE PAYS	98,703	98,703	
400	SPECIAL PAYS	378,007	376,007	-2,000
450	ALLOWANCES	212,394	212,394	
500	SEPARATION PAY	99,489	99,489	
550	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	511,069	511,069	
600	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1		12,579,389	-2,000
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL BASIC PAY	13,019,805	13,019,805	
750	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	4,070,370	4,070,370	
800	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	4,870,591	4,870,591	
850	INCENTIVE PAYS	104,751	104,751	***
900	SPECIAL PAYS	462,722	461,722	-1,000
950	ALLOWANCES	869,004	869,004	
1000	SEPARATION PAY	320,346	320,346	
1050	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	996,015	996,015	
1100	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2		24,712,604	-1,000
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS ACADEMY CADETS	79,236	79,236	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	1,284,843	1,284,843	
1350	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	595,165	595,165	***
1400	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	1,316	1,316	
1450	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,881,324	1,881,324	

16

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION ACCESSION TRAVEL	142,048	140,648	-1,400
1600	TRAINING TRAVEL	144,951	144,951	
1650	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	412,092	412,092	- * *
1700	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	758,069	758,069	
1750	SEPARATION TRAVEL	293,377	293,377	
1800	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	4,043	4,043	•
1850	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	10,997	10,997	
1900	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	37,301	37,301	
4050	TOTAL DUDGET ACTIVITY S	4 000 077		
1950	,	1,802,878	1,801,478	-1,400
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	1,033	1,033	
2100	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,338	1,338	
2150	DEATH GRATUITIES	51,700	51,700	
2200	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	222,586	222,586	
2250	EDUCATION BENEFITS	578	578	
2300	ADOPTION EXPENSES	5,070	5,070	
2360	RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	164	164	•••
2410	SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	101,068	101,068	
2450	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	52,723	52,723	
2550	TOTAL DUDGET ACTIVITY C	400.000		
2550	***************************************			
	LESS REIMBURSABLES		-269,352	
2650	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-37,210	-37,210
2700	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, ARMY	41,225,339	41,183,729	-41,610
6300	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY		41,183,729	-41,610

17

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
l-1	request	Recommended	Neques
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
SPECIAL PAYS	378,007	376,007	-2,000
Projected underexecution		-2,000	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED			
PERSONNEL			
SPECIAL PAYS	462,722	461,722	-1,000
Projected underexecution		-1,000	
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
ACCESSION TRAVEL	142,048	140,648	-1,400
Unjustified increase		-1,400	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS		-37,210	-37,210
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-29,210	
Funding excess to requirement		-8,000	

REGIONALLY ALIGNED FORCES LANGUAGE CAPABILITY

The Committee supports efforts to improve foreign language capabilities for Army regionally aligned forces in order to increase soldiers' effectiveness when conducting joint operations and training and mentoring foreign military leaders. The Committee is concerned that an insufficient number of soldiers are receiving foreign language training and encourages the Secretary of the Army to review how many officers and non-commissioned officers with foreign language skills it needs to meet the regionally aligned forces requirement.

MILITARY FAMILY HOUSING AT CAMP HUMPHREYS

The Committee supports the agreement between the United States and the Republic of Korea to consolidate most U.S. forces at Camp Humphreys, Korea. The Commander of United States Forces Korea (USFK) currently has a requirement that 40 percent of the authorized command sponsored families must reside on-post to meet operational and force protection needs, but there is a significant shortage in planned on-post family housing as compared to the requirement. The Committee strongly supports efforts to increase the current and future inventory of on-post family housing to meet the command requirement and urges the Secretary of the Army, the Secretary of Defense, and the Commander of USFK to work together to find a solution that will satisfy the requirement in a fiscally responsible and sustainable manner.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$27,231,512,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	27,489,440,000
Committee recommendation	27,387,344,000
Change from budget request	-102.096.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,387,344,000 for Military Personnel, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

19

			COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
	ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
	BASIC PAY	4,017,362	4,017,362	
6550	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,255,535	1,255,535	
6600	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,433,673	1,433,673	
6650	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	164,566	164,566	
6700	INCENTIVE PAYS	127,220	127,220	
6750	SPECIAL PAYS	429,454	428,709	-745
6800	ALLOWANCES	123,982	123,982	
6850	SEPARATION PAY	59,026	59,026	
6900	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	305,463	305,463	
6950	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	7,916,281	7,915,536	-745
		7,010,201	7,010,000	7-40
	ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL BASIC PAY	8,614,658	8,614,658	
7100	RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,695,729	2,695,729	
7150	BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,878,513	3,878,513	***
7200	INCENTIVE PAYS	104,072	104,072	
7250	SPECIAL PAYS	793,222	790,411	-2,811
7300	ALŁOWANCES	594,908	584,908	-10,000
7350	SEPARATION PAY	223,362	223,362	
7400	SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	659,021	659,021	
7450	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	17,563,485	17,550,674	-12,811
	ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN MIDSHIPMEN	78,093	78,093	
		,	,	
	ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	759,490	759,490	
7700	SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	431,060	431,060	
7750	FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	8	8	
7800	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,190,558	1,190,558	

20

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION ACCESSION TRAVEL	86,416	86,416	***
7950	TRAINING TRAVEL	98,547	98,547	
8000	OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	253,140	253,140	
8050	ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	271,252	271,252	
8100	SEPARATION TRAVEL	133,912	133,912	
8150	TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	41,469	41,469	
8200	NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	1,982	1,982	
8250	TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	8,708	8,708	
8300	OTHER	3,581	3,581	
8350	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5	899,007	900 007	
		100,669	899,007	
	ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	93	93	
8500	INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	1,664	1,664	
8550	DEATH GRATUITIES	17,400	17,400	
8600	UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	112,881	112,881	
8650	EDUCATION BENEFITS	20,495	20,495	
8700	ADOPTION EXPENSES	277	277	
8750	TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	4,053	4,053	
8800	PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	39	39	
8900	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	21,584	21,584	
8950	JUNIOR ROTC	12,312	12,312	
9000	TOTAL. BUDGET ACTIVITY 6	190.798	190.798	
	LESS REIMBURSABLES.		-348,782	
	UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-88,540	-88,540

9200	TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, NAVY	27,489,440	27,387,344	-102,096
11000	TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY		27,387,344	-102,096

21

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change fron Reques
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
SPECIAL PAYS	429,454	428,709	-74
Navy identified excess to requirement		-745	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED			
PERSONNEL			
SPECIAL PAYS	793,222	790,411	-2,81
Navy identified excess to requirement		-2,811	
ALLOWANCES	594,908	584,908	-10,000
Navy identified excess to requirement		-10,000	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-88,540	-88,540
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-88,540	

MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$12,766,099,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	12,919,103,000
Committee recommendation	12,785,431,000
Change from budget request	-133,672,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,785,431,000 for Military Personnel, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

23

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
12000 MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
12050 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS 12100 BASIC PAY	1,489,996	1,490,623	+627
12150 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	464,468	464,468	
12200 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	492,488	492,488	
12250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	63,449	63,449	
12300 INCENTIVE PAYS	38,223	38,223	
12350 SPECIAL PAYS	5,927	5,927	
12400 ALLOWANCES	32,083	32,083	
12450 SEPARATION PAY	13,593	13,593	
12500 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	113,239	113,239	
12550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,713,466	2,714,093	+627
12600 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL 12650 BASIC PAY	4,825,078	4,831,139	+6,061
12700 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,502,784	1,502,784	* * *
12750 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,669,844	1,669,844	
12800 INCENTIVE PAYS	9,946	9,946	
12850 SPECIAL PAYS	111,002	111,002	
12900 ALLOWANCES	289,269	289,269	
12950 SEPARATION PAY	84,343	84,343	
13000 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	368,511	368,511	
13050 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	8,860,777	8,866,838	+6,061
13100 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL 13150 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	442,559	442,559	
13200 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	353,006	353,006	* * *
13250 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	10	10	
13300 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	795,575	795,575	

24

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
13350 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION 13400 ACCESSION TRAVEL	49,622	49,622	
13450 TRAINING TRAVEL	27,481	27,481	
13500 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	168,432	168,432	
13550 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	99,931	99,931	
13600 SEPARATION TRAVEL	82,065	82,065	
13650 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	785	785	
13700 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	5,064	5,064	
13750 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	11,841	11,841	
13800 OTHER	3,056	3,056	
13850 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5		448,277	
13900 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS 13950 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	614	614	***
14000 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	19	19	
14050 DEATH GRATUITIES	11,400	11,400	
14100 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	101,839	101,839	***
14150 EDUCATION BENEFITS	7,000	7,000	
14200 ADOPTION EXPENSES	84	84	
14250 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	1,527	1,527	
14300 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	67	67	
14400 JUNIOR ROTC	3,910	3,910	• • • •
14450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6		126,460	
14500 LESS REIMBURSABLES	-25,452	-25,452	
14600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-140,360	-140,360
	=========	==========	**********
14650 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, MARINE CORPS	12,919,103	12,785,431	-133,672
16000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS		12,785,431	-133,672

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

Budget	Committee	Change from
Request	Recommended	Reques
1,489,996	1,490,623	62
	627	
4,825,078	4,831,139	6,06
	6,061	
	-140,360	-140,36
	-140.360	
	1,489,996	Request Recommended 1,489,996 1,490,623 627 4,825,078 4,831,139 6.061 -140,360

MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$28,519,993,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	27,815,926,000
Committee recommendation	27,564,362,000
Change from budget request	$-251,\!564,\!000$

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,564,362,000 for Military Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

27

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
17000 MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
17050 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS	4,668,266	4,609,266	-59.000
17150 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	1,450,107	1,450,107	***
17200 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	1,387,295	1,387,295	
17250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	190,311	190,311	
17300 INCENTIVE PAYS	212,376	212,376	
17350 SPECIAL PAYS	296,799	296,799	
17400 ALLOWANCES	125,724	125,724	
17450 SEPARATION PAY	283,092	283,092	
17500 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	355,558	355,558	***
17550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	8,969,528	8,910,528	-59,000
17600 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL 17650 BASIC PAY	8,406,084	8,386,584	-19,500
17700 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	2,619,233	2,619,233	
17750 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	3,386,412	3,386,412	
17800 INCENTIVE PAYS	42,395	42,395	
17850 SPECIAL PAYS	268,538	268,538	***
17900 ALLOWANCES	564,364	561,380	-2,984
17950 SEPARATION PAY	874,187	874,187	
18000 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	643,063	643,063	
18050 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	16,804,276	16,781,792	-22,484
18100 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS 18150 ACADEMY CADETS	70,159	70,159	
18200 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL 18250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	963,765	963,765	
18300 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	137,346	137,346	
18350 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE	3	3	
18400 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	1,101,114	1,101,114	

28

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
18450 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION 18500 ACCESSION TRAVEL	87,932	87,932	
18550 TRAINING TRAVEL	92,459	92,459	
18600 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	286,473	286,473	~ = ~
18650 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	485,297	485,297	
18700 SEPARATION TRAVEL	181,583	181,583	
18750 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS	6,556	6,556	
18800 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE	22,369	22,369	
18850 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE	30,261	30,261	
18950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5		1,192,930	
19000 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS 19050 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS	107	107	
19100 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	3,073	3,073	
19150 DEATH GRATUITIES	16,500	16,500	~ ~ ~
19200 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	48,842	48,842	
19300 EDUCATION BENEFITS	189	189	* * *
19350 ADOPTION EXPENSES	546	546	
19400 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY	2,018	2,018	
19450 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE	1,883	1,883	
19550 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	27,669	27,669	***
19600 JUNIOR ROTC	15,796	15,796	
19650 TOTAL BUDGET ACTIVITY 6		116,623	.,,
19700 LESS REIMBURSABLES	-438,704	-438,704	
19750 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-170,080	-170,080
	=========	========	=========
19800 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, AIR FORCE	27,815,926	27,564,362	-251,564
21000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE		27,564,362	-251,564

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

	Budget	Committee	Change from
-1	Request	Recommended	Request
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
BASIC PAY	4,668,266	4,609,266	-59,000
Lower than budgeted average strength levels		-59,000	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
BASIC PAY	8,406,084	8,386,584	-19,500
Lower than budgeted average strength levels		-19,500	
ALLOWANCES	564,364	561,380	-2,984
Basic military training steel toe boots - transfer to OM,AF			
SAG 31B not properly accounted		-2,984	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS		-170,080	-170,080
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-194,980	
AWACS force structure program increase		24,900	

INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE FORCE

The Air Force has three missile wings, located at installations in Montana, Wyoming, and North Dakota, that are responsible for the nation's 450 nuclear intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs). The nuclear mission remains critical to national security. Recently, during the process of investigating alleged drug use by airmen, evidence of widespread cheating by ICBM crewmembers on test material at Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana was uncovered. A command-directed investigation (CDI) discovered problems in the organizational culture and leadership that helped create an environment where crewmembers could compromise or fail to report the compromise of test material. The CDI team proposed recommendations in four areas that should be implemented across the enterprise: reforming organizational culture, empowering crew commanders, improving the quality and purpose of training, and reforming testing and evaluation.

The Committee is extremely concerned with the cheating incidents, the rumors that toleration and acceptance of cheating is part of the missileer culture, and concerns that the ICBM mission is seen as a dead-end job within the Air Force. The Committee commends the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff of the Air Force for their immediate response and recognition of the seriousness of this issue. The Committee is also concerned with reports of sub-par working conditions for missile crews, including aging and run-down facilities, a lack of opportunity for promotion within their Air Force Specialty Code, and a lack of support by senior Air

Force leadership.

The Committee was disappointed to see that the operation and maintenance portion of the Nuclear Force Improvement Program, submitted as part of the Air Force unfunded priority list, failed to focus its priorities on items directly impacting the ICBM crews. The Committee provides an additional \$21,600,000 in Operation and Maintenance, Air Force for the Nuclear Force Improvement Program and directs the funding to be used for efforts that directly improve the quality of life and morale of the ICBM crews. This funding is a congressional special interest item. Further, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a detailed spending plan to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to the obligation of these funds. The Committee continues to be supportive of efforts to directly improve the quality of life and morale of the ICBM crews and looks forward to working with the Secretary of the Air Force and the Chief of Staff of the Air Force to review additional proposals as they are recommended.

RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$4,377,563,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	4,459,130,000
Committee recommendation	4,304,159,000
Change from budget request	-154,971,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,304,159,000 for Reserve Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

31

		RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
23000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
23050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT 23100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	1,636,798	1,571,698	-65,100
23150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	49,490	44,490	-5,000
23200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	279,048	236,048	-43,000
23250 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	11,926	11,926	
23300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING	5,909	5,909	
23350 SCHOOL TRAINING	161,751	161,751	
23400 SPECIAL TRAINING	176,210	176,210	* * *
23450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	2,006,975	2,006,975	
23500 EDUCATION BENEFITS	10,202	10,202	
23550 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	63,622	63,622	
23600 OTHER PROGRAMS	57,199	57,199	
23650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1			
23800 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-41,871	-41,871
24000 TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY		4,304,159	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

	Budget	Committee	Change from
-1	Request	Recommended	Reques
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND			
SUPPORT			
PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	1,636,798	1,571,698	-65,10
Inactive Duty Training - projected underexecution		-39,000	
Individual Clothing and Uniforms - excess to requirement		-15,300	
Travel, Annual Training - excess to requirement		-10,800	
PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE			
DUTY)	49,490	44,490	-5,00
Annual Training - excess to requirement		-5,000	
PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	279,048	236,048	-43,00
Projected underexecution		-43,000	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-41,871	-41,87
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-41,871	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$1,843,966,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,863,034,000
Committee recommendation	1,836,024,000
Change from budget request	-27,010,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,836,024,000 for Reserve Personnel, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

34

		RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
26000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
26050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT 26100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	585,488	585,488	
26150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	7,286	7,286	***
26200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	57,875	57,875	
26250 MOBILIZATION TRAINING	8,670	8,670	***
26300 SCHOOL TRAINING	51,089	51,089	
26350 SPECIAL TRAINING	106,571	106,571	
26400 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	988,427	987,427	-1,000
26450 EDUCATION BENEFITS	109	109	
26500 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	57,519	57,519	***
26550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,863,034	1,862,034	-1,000
26600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT	*	-26,010	-26,010
27000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	. , ,	1,836,024	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [in thousands of dollars]

W-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	988,427	987,427	-1,000
Full Time Support Permanent Change of Station - excess to requirement		-1,000	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-26,010	-26,010
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-26,010	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$655,109,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	670,754,000
Committee recommendation	659,224,000
Change from budget request	-11,530,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$659,224,000 for Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

37

		RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
28000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
28050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT 28100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	237,581	237,581	•••
28150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY)	33,463	33,463	
28200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	116,200	115,450	-750
28300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING	2,579	2,579	
28350 SCHOOL TRAINING	24,195	24,195	
28400 SPECIAL TRAINING	15,726	15,726	
28450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	234,244	234,244	
28500 PLATOON LEADER CLASS	6,124	6,124	
28550 EDUCATION BENEFITS	642	642	~ ~ •
28600 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	670,754	670,004	-750
28700 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-10,780	-10,780
29000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS		659,224	-11,530

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [in thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) Pay Group F, Travel - Marine Corps Reserve identified	116,200	115,450	-750
excess to requirement		-750	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-10,780	-10,780
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-10,780	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$1,723,159,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,675,518,000
Committee recommendation	1,652,148,000
Change from budget request	-23,370,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,652,148,000 for Reserve Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

40

		RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
30000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
30050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT 30100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	657,163	657,163	
30150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY)	113,876	106,876	-7,000
30200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	71,429	71,429	
30250 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	1,681	1,681	
30300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING	401	401	***
30350 SCHOOL TRAINING	125,804	125,804	***
30400 SPECIAL TRAINING	229,201	229,201	
30450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	398,346	393,446	-4,900
30500 EDUCATION BENEFITS	13,785	13,785	
30550 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP	58,794	58,794	
30600 OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT)	5,038	5,038	
30650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	1,675,518	1,663,618	-11,900
30750 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-11,470	-11,470
31000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,675,518	1,652,148	-23,370

41

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

	Budget	Committee	Change from
-1	Request	Recommended	Reques
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND			
SUPPORT			
PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE			
DUTY)	113,876	106,876	-7,00
Projected underexecution		-7,000	
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	398,346	393,446	-4,90
Reserve Incentive Program - excess to requirement		-3,400	
AGR Pay and Allowance - projected underexecution		-1,500	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-11,470	-11,47
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-11,470	

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$7,776,498,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	7,682,892,000
Committee recommendation	7,644,632,000
Change from budget request	-38,260,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,644,632,000 for National Guard Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

43

		RECOMMENDED	
32000 NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
32050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT 32100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	2,616,067	2,570,867	-45,200
32150 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	441,606	421,906	-19,700
32200 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	47,984	47,984	
32250 SCHOOL TRAINING	347,137	347,137	
32300 SPECIAL TRAINING	433,207	433,207	
32350 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	3,766,624	3,766,624	•••
32400 EDUCATION BENEFITS	30,267	30,267	
32450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	7,682,892	7,617,992	-64,900
32600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		26,640	+26,640
33000 TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY		7,644,632	

44

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change fron Reques
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48) Inactive Duty Training - projected underexecution Subsistence, Annual Training and Inactive Duty Training -	2,616,067	2,570,867 -40,000	-45,20
projected underexecution		-5,200	
PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) Individual Clothing and Uniform Allowance - excess to	441,606	421,906	-19,70
requirement		-19,700	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS		26,640	26,640
Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-18,360	
Fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations - Army			
requested transfer from OM,A SAG 121		45,000	

NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$3,114,421,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	3,156,457,000
Committee recommendation	3,110,587,000
Change from budget request	-45,870,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,110,587,000 for National Guard Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

46

		RECOMMENDED	
34000 NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
34050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT 34100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48)	913,691	913,691	
34150 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS)	122,678	116,178	-6,500
34200 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS)	5,384	5,384	* * *
34250 SCHOOL TRAINING	243,888	243,888	
34300 SPECIAL TRAINING	168,222	168,222	***
34350 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	1,684,800	1,663,400	-21,400
34400 EDUCATION BENEFITS	17,794	17,794	
34450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,156,457	3,128,557	-27,900
34700 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-17,970	-17,970
35000 TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	3,156,457	3,110,587	-45,870

47

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

	Budget	Committee	Change from
-1	Request	Recommended	Reques
BA-1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS) Projected underexecution	122,678	116,178 -6,500	-6,50
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT Full Time Pay and Allowances - projected underexecution Non-Prior Service Enlistment Bonus - excess to requirement	1,684,800	1,663,400 -16,400 -5,000	-21,40
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT Unobligated/Unexpended balances		-17,970 -17,970	-17,97

TITLE II

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense operation and maintenance budget request totals \$166,002,818,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$164,631,638,000 for the operation and maintenance accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

50

	BUDGET REQUEST	RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RECAPITULATION			
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY	33,240,148	32,671,980	-568,168
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY	39,316,857	39,073,543	-243,314
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	5,909,487	5,984,680	+75,193
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	35,331,193	35,024,160	-307,033
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	31,198,232	30,896,741	-301,491
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE	2,490,569	2,535,606	+45,037
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE	1,007,100	1,011,827	+4,727
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	268,582	270,485	+1,903
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	3,015,842	2,989,214	-26,628
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	6,030,773	6,116,307	+85,534
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,060
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT	5,000		-5,000
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES	13,723	13,723	
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	201,560	201,560	
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	277,294	277,294	
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	408,716	408,716	
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	8,547	8,547	
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEF. SITES	208,353	233,353	+25,000
OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID	100,000	103,000	+3,000
COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT	365,108	365,108	***
DOD ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND	212,875	51,875	-161,000
GRAND TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	166,002,818		-1,371,180

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2015 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' operation and maintenance accounts between budget activities in excess of \$15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget subactivities:

Army:

Maneuver units

Modular support brigades

Land forces operations support

Force readiness operations support

Land forces depot maintenance

Base operations support

Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization

Navy:

Mission and other flight operations

Aircraft depot maintenance

Mission and other ship operations

Ship depot maintenance

Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization

Marine Corps:

Depot maintenance

Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization

Air Force:

Primary combat forces

Combat enhancement forces

Combat communications

Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization

Operating forces depot maintenance

Mobilization depot maintenance

Training and recruiting depot maintenance

Administration and service-wide depot maintenance

Air Force Reserve:

Depot maintenance

Air National Guard:

Depot maintenance

Finally, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activity:

Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard: Other personnel support/recruiting and advertising

With respect to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, proposed transfers of funds to or from the levels specified for defense

agencies in excess of \$15,000,000 shall be subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the close of each quarter of the fiscal year, and should be provided for each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and subactivity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve, and National Guard components. For each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount, the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget request, all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report, all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings, and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

READINESS

The Committee shares the concern of the Secretary of Defense and the Service Chiefs regarding reduced readiness levels across the military. A decade of war coupled with the impacts of sequestration and the current fiscal climate has limited the Services' ability to transition their focus from counter-insurgency operations to full spectrum training in preparation for future requirements. The Committee supports the Service Chiefs' commitment to improved readiness and recognizes that it will take time and stable budgets to restore full spectrum readiness.

The Committee recommendation includes \$1,000,000,000 in title VIII of the bill to be transferred to the operation and maintenance accounts for the active Services, the National Guard, and the reserve components, to be used only for improving military readiness. The funding is to be divided proportionately amongst the Services and the National Guard and reserve components, and shall be used only to improve readiness, including increased training, depot maintenance, and base operations support. None of the funding provided may be used for recruiting, marketing, or advertising programs. The Committee believes that as the Services continue to reduce personnel levels, they must become more efficient and effec-

tive with the advertising and recruiting dollars provided in the base budget and should not use funds intended for readiness on increased advertising or recruiting efforts. Additional funds are also provided to the Army, Navy, and Air Force for depot maintenance in their respective depot maintenance sub-activity groups.

The funding provided is a congressional special interest item. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to submit a detailed spending plan by budget activity and sub-activity group to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to the obligation of these funds.

SERVICE AUDIT AGENCY VALIDATION

The Committee understands that in fiscal year 2014, the Secretary of Defense revised the guidance for reporting associated with section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, in a manner that suggests a renewed interest in enforcing intra-departmental policies on depot maintenance workloads and 50-50 reporting. However, this guidance, while more detailed in some ways than that issued in the past, directs that the validation effort focus on the 50-50 data collection process instead of on the actual data. It also appears to give the Services additional flexibility to use reviewers other than those associated with their respective audit agencies to validate their respective processes prior to submission of the data to the Secretary of Defense. The Committee has two concerns with regard to the new guidance. First, the Committee considers a review of the 50-50 data to be submitted to Congress as critical to ensuring compliance with section 2466. Second, given the results of past reviews, the Committee considers Service audit agency involvement in the validation of the submissions essential to ensuring the accuracy of the 50-50 report.

The Committee directs each Service Secretary to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act on the respective Service plan to ensure that the Service audit agency is directly involved in the process of annually validating that the data submitted for the report to Congress on the Distribution of Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Workloads are accurate and complete, beginning with the report for fiscal years 2014 through 2016. The report produced by each Service Secretary shall provide details regarding the Service audit agency's plan to validate all data submitted for inclusion in future 50-50 reports, including a description of the approach that will be used to accomplish this data validation and a description of plans to review depot-level maintenance and repair workload estimates associated with contractor logistics support, interim contractor support, performance based logistics, and similar contractual arrangements. As a further means of enhancing the annual validation process, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to develop a standard set of data fields to guide the Services and defense agencies in their annual collection of data for the 50-50 report and to establish a requirement that all depot maintenance expenditure data collected be retained at the Service or defense agency's headquarters for at least three years. Moreover, the Committee recommends that the Service Secretaries and the directors of the defense agencies work to automate their data collection

processes wherever possible to improve the auditability of their data and reduce the likelihood of human errors in the calculations.

DEPOT-LEVEL MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR BUDGET EXHIBITS

The Committee remains frustrated with the quality of the annual budget justification materials related to the Department of Defense depot-level maintenance expenditures. The OP-30 budget exhibit provides useful details concerning the extent to which the operation and maintenance budget request meets the Services' requirements for depot-level maintenance and repair of end items. The OP-31 budget exhibit provides insight regarding estimated orders for depot-level repairables (DLRs). The fiscal year 2015 budget request also includes the PB-45 budget exhibit, which some Services provide in lieu of the OP-30; this document provides additional useful information about methods of accomplishment of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads. What is missing, however, is an overarching document that summarizes the request for all depotlevel maintenance and repair workloads, regardless of whether the work pertains to end items or DLRs, and regardless of which appropriations account funds the work.

Therefore, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 request, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to include, in addition to the documentation referenced above, an exhibit that provides a comprehensive view of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads for each of the Services in future budget requests. Each Service shall submit with its budget request an exhibit that summarizes funded requirements for its active, reserve, and Guard components, where applicable, which shows the full range of depot-level maintenance and repair workload, including all end items and DLRs. This budget exhibit shall display major maintenance activities and their method of accomplishment (including contractor logistics support, interim contractor support, other contract, and organic) by appropriations account for the prior year, current year, and budget year. The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) should ensure that these exhibits do not include expenditures for work other than depot-level maintenance and repair.

DEPOT MAINTENANCE

With the recent drawdowns in Iraq and Afghanistan, repair operations at the depots have increased as the Services rebalance for training and preparation for full-spectrum operations. The Committee is aware of the critical role that the depots play in maintaining readiness and recognizes that after a decade of war, capital investments and modernization of the repair facilities is required to support the reset of platforms needed for full-spectrum operations. Therefore, the Committee provides an additional \$90,000,000 specifically for depot maintenance for the Army, Navy, and Air Force.

FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION

The Committee has expressed concern for the last three fiscal years that base facility sustainment requirements are underfunded. The Committee believes that deferring this maintenance has a long-term detrimental impact on both military readiness and the quality of life and work environment of servicemembers. The Committee provides an additional \$720,000,000 to the facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization programs to address the maintenance backlog for the active Services, the National Guard, and the reserve components.

SUICIDE PREVENTION TRAINING AND OUTREACH

Suicide remains an issue of concern in the Services, especially the Guard and reserve components. servicemembers serving on active duty return from deployments to military bases which provide structured support networks, returning Guardsmen and reservists are frequently geographically isolated from their units and may not have the regular interactions with their peers and chain of command that their active duty counterparts experience.

The Committee is aware of programs for the reserve components, such as the National Guard Psychological Health Program, to improve access to mental health providers, and the Committee recommendation fully funds the budget request for this program. The Committee recommendation provides additional funding for suicide prevention in the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide account. In addition, the Committee recognizes the important role that programs such as Yellow Ribbon play in helping Guardsmen and reservists transition to civilian life upon returning from deployment.

The Committee acknowledges the steps that the Services have taken to implement suicide prevention training and outreach efforts and to lower the rate of suicide among servicemembers but believes that more must be done to identify at-risk servicemembers and to improve prevention and outreach efforts. The Committee urges the Service Secretaries to continue to make suicide prevention a key priority and to regularly update the Committee on ac-

tions being taken.

WORKING CONDITIONS IN BANGLADESH

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 directed the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees that specify if any garments purchased by the military exchange system are manufactured in Bangladesh from suppliers that are not signatories or in compliance with the Accord for Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh. The Committee is aware that there is a second organization of companies, the Alliance for Bangladesh Worker Safety, that is also concerned with ensuring compliance. The Committee supports the continued reporting on this issue and directs the Secretary of Defense to include in the quarterly submissions if any of the garments purchased by the military exchange system are manufactured in Bangladesh from suppliers that are not signatories or in compliance with either the Accord or the Alliance.

OVERESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF CIVILIAN WORKFORCE

The fiscal year 2014 budget request substantially overestimated the number of civilians that would be employed by the Department of Defense during fiscal year 2014. The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 used data provided by the Services and defense agencies to identify the actual civilian full time equivalents (FTE) that were recorded beginning in fiscal year 2014 and reduced funding in the operation and maintenance accounts based on this overestimation of civilian FTE levels. While the Committee supports a strong civilian workforce, the Department of the Army and the Department of the Air Force again substantially overestimated the number of civilians that would be employed during fiscal year 2015. For the Army and Air Force, including the reserve components but not the National Guard, the current fiscal year 2014 estimate for civilian FTEs is well below what was provided for in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 and what is planned in the fiscal year 2015 budget request. As such, the Committee recommends reductions in fiscal year 2015 due to overestimation of civilian FTEs in these accounts.

The Committee has not received the Department of the Navy's projected fiscal year 2015 average civilian FTE strength. Additionally, the Navy has not provided that data to the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to enable it to complete its annual analysis of the Department of Defense civilian personnel budget request. Failure to provide information in a timely manner makes it difficult for the Committee and the GAO to conduct proper oversight. Therefore, the Committee again reduces the Navy, but not the Marine Corps, fiscal year 2015 request for civilian personnel commensurate with the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014.

To ensure that timely and accurate information is provided in future fiscal years, beginning in fiscal year 2016 the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to include the projected average civilian FTE strength as well as the civilian end strength in its quarterly end strength report.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET DOCUMENTATION

While the Department of Defense and the Departments of the Army and the Air Force all provide average annual civilian salary by sub-activity group (SAG) in the personnel summary exhibit in the OP-5, the Department of the Navy does not provide this information. The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation (FMR) does not require this data as part of the budget justification materials. The Committee recommends that the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) modify the FMR to require that this information be provided at the SAG level of detail as part of all operation and maintenance account budget justification submissions. Further, until the FMR is amended to require this information be included in the annual budget justification submissions, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to provide the average annual civilian salary in the personnel sum-

mary exhibit for each sub-activity group for each Service and agen-

The Committee is disappointed that in many cases throughout the fiscal year 2015 budget justification materials, the Department of the Navy and the Department of Defense use the term "partially offset" rather than breaking out program changes by program increase and program decrease for every line item in the OP–5. This makes it difficult for the Committee to have full visibility over the changes occurring within programs. Therefore, beginning in fiscal year 2016, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to fully display all increases and decreases at the SAG level in the OP–5 exhibits.

Finally, in many of the workload exhibits in the operation and maintenance accounts, there is no accompanying explanation of the units of measurement. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to properly annotate the exhibits to specify the units of measurement presented in the display.

OPERATIONAL RESERVE

The Committee has previously expressed concern regarding the Army's support for maintaining an operational reserve. After reviewing the fiscal year 2015 budget request, the Committee remains concerned that the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard readiness accounts are significantly underfunded. The Committee believes that this will have an immediate and lasting impact on the Army National Guard and the Army Reserve's readiness that will be difficult to restore in future fiscal years.

Significant taxpayer investment has been made to enable the Guard and reserve to become an integral part of the operational force. The Committee supports the enduring vision of an operational reserve and encourages all Services to continue resourcing and utilizing the Guard and reserve components as key members of the operational force.

In addition to the \$1,000,000,000 provided for increased military readiness described elsewhere in the Committee report, of which the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard will receive a proportional share, \$135,000,000 is provided directly to the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard to be used specifically to further improve readiness of the operational reserve. The recommendation also includes \$68,000,000 for the Army National Guard to fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations in fiscal year 2015 as scheduled.

MILITARY INFORMATION SUPPORT OPERATIONS

The Committee appreciates the attention that the Department has given to the Committee's concern that funding for military information support operations (MISO) activities only be used to fulfill core military activities rather than duplicate programs and activities more appropriately conducted by other departments and agencies. The Committee believes that significant progress has been made and appreciates the Department's efforts in this regard. The Committee notes that there are some specific issues that warrant further review which are detailed in the classified annex ac-

companying the Committee report. The Committee looks forward to continuing to work with the Department to address these matters. The Committee recommendation reduces the base budget request for MISO funding by \$15,000,000. The allocation of funds by combatant command and funding levels for certain programs is specifically delineated in the classified annex. The delineation of this funding is a congressional special interest item and any deviations from the allocations are subject to sections 8005, 8006, and 9002 of this Act.

The Secretary of Defense is also directed to submit a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act that details the proposed execution of the funding provided for MISO programs. The report shall include the program name, activity, a description of service, target audience, goals and objectives, attribution, measures of effectiveness, prior year obligations and expenditures, and the estimated budget for the year of execution. This report, and the delineation of each program, project, and activity, shall serve as the basis for reprogramming in accordance with section 8006 of this Act.

NUCLEAR AND NATURAL DISASTER RESPONSE

The Committee understands that differences between Department of Defense and civilian guidelines regarding nuclear or radiological event disaster response created challenges during Operation Tomadachi, which provided humanitarian assistance following the earthquake and the tsunami, and the subsequent release of radioactive material from the Fukushima nuclear reactor in Japan. The Committee understands that more uniform methodologies are being considered to eliminate confusion among responders and improve the decisionmaking process during such operations, and it encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider such proposals when reviewing guidelines for conducting nuclear, radiological, and natural disaster response and humanitarian assistance operations.

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The Committee supports efforts to include performance measures in the budget justification documents. These performance measures should be result oriented and demonstrate how prior year investments show progress toward achieving performance goals. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to work with the Comptroller General of the United States to develop result oriented performance measures that will assist the Department in the implementation of its strategic guidance.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$30,768,069,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	33,240,148,000
Committee recommendation	32,671,980,000
Change from budget request	$-568,\!168,\!000$

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$32,671,980,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army. The total amount rec-

ommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

60

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	LAND FORCES MANEUVER UNITS	969,281	895,281	-74,000
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	61,990	61,990	* * *
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	450,987	448,084	-2,903
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	545,773	545,773	
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,057,453	1,057,453	
60	AVIATION ASSETS	1,409,347	1,330,347	-79,000
70	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,592,334	3,494,765	-97,569
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	411,388	411,388	
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,001,232	1,031,232	+30,000
	A LUIS WARRANG AND			
100	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,428,972	7,201,507	-227,465
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION	2,066,434	2,245,577	+179,143
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	411,863	411,863	
130	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS	179,399	179,399	
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS	432,281	432,281	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	20,018,734	19,746,940	-271,794
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
180	MOBILITY OPERATIONS STRATEGIC MOBILITY	316,776	316,776	
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS	187,609	187,609	
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	6,463	6,463	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	510,848	510,848	

61

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
210	ACCESSION TRAINING OFFICER ACQUISITION	124,766	124,766	
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	51,968	47,968	-4,000
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	43,735	35,735	-8,000
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	456,563	456,563	
250	BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	886,529	858,529	-28,000
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	890,070	890,070	
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	193,291	188,958	-4,333
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	552,359	552,359	
290	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	466,927	466,927	
300	EXAMINING	194,588	188,489	-6,099
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	205,782	205,782	
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	150,571	150,571	
330	JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	169,784	169,784	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	4,386,933	4,336,501	-50,432
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
340	SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,030,411	1,029,474	-937
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	541,877	541,877	
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	722,291	722,291	
370	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	602,034	602,034	
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	422,277	422,277	

62

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	REQUEST
390	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT ADMINISTRATION	405,442	403,037	-2,405
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,624,742	1,624,742	
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	289,771	289,771	
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	390,924	390,924	
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,118,540	1,123,440	+4,900
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	241,234	241,234	
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	243,509	243,509	
460	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	200,615	200,615	
460	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS	462,591	462,591	***
470	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	27,375	27,375	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	8,323,633	8,325,191	+1,558
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		-247,500	-247,500
		==========	========	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY		32,671,980	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

0-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
111	MANEUVER UNITS Unjustified program growth	969,281	895,281 -74,000	-74,000
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 costs for Unmanned	450,987	448,084	-2,903
	Aircraft Systems - Raven		-2,903	
116	AVIATION ASSETS Unjustified program growth	1,409,347	1,330,347 -79,000	-79,000
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT Forward based mode radar program fully funded in	3,592,334	3,494,765	-97,569
	Missile Defense Agency budget Fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations - Army		-29,569	
	requested transfer to OM,ARNG and MP,ARNG		-68,000	
123	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE Program increase	1,001,232	1,031,232 30,000	30,000
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT Justification does not match summary of price and	7,428,972	7,201,507	-227,465
	program changes for utilities Army Cemeteries - transfer to OM,AR not properly		-227,200	
	accounted		-265	
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	2,066,434	2,245,577	179,143
	Arlington National Cemetery funded in Military	2,000,107	-25,000	,
	Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations bill Program increase		204,143	
312	RECRUIT TRAINING Excess to requirement	51,968	47,968 -4,000	-4,000
313	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING Excess to requirement	43,735	35,735 -8,000	-8,000
321	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	886,529	858,529	-28,000
	Excess to requirement Language capabilities program increase		-38,000 10,000	
323	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION Unjustified program growth	193,291	188,958 -4,333	-4,333
332	EXAMINING	194,588	188,489	-6,099
	US MEPCOM test administrators - unjustified program growth		-6,099	
411	SECURITY PROGRAMS Cyber realignment of funds - transfer to SAG 121 not	1,030,411	1,029,474	-937
	properly accounted		-937	
431	ADMINISTRATION Civilian Workforce Transformation - unjustified program	405,442	403,037	-2,405
	growth		-2,405	
435	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT Army support to Capitol 4th	1,118,540	1,123,440 4,900	4,900
	OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		-247,500	-247,500

CEMETERIAL EXPENSES

The Committee notes that for the third year in a row, the fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$25,000,000 in the Operation and Maintenance, Army account to assist with the maintenance backlog at Arlington National Cemetery instead of requesting all funds within the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account. As stated in the reports accompanying the House versions of the Department of Defense Appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2013 and 2014, the Committee does not support the proposal to fund Arlington National Cemetery through separate accounts contained in two different appropriations bills. Split funding undermines the federal budgeting principles requiring expenditures to be charged against the same account each year, once an account has been identified for such purpose. The Committee rejects the proposal to fund cemeterial expenses in the Operation and Maintenance, Army account and instead provides funding to address the maintenance backlog at Arlington National Cemetery in the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account in the House-passed Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2015. Further, the Committee directs that future budget requests include all funding proposed for Arlington National Cemetery within the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account.

ARMY INVENTORY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Committee recognizes the difficulty of efficiently managing the retrograde of equipment and material from Afghanistan to military installations overseas and in the United States. The Committee understands that problems also extend to the cataloging, inventorying, and tracking of equipment at domestic bases because much of the cataloging and tracking of the data plates and bar codes for this equipment is accomplished with pen and paper. This process is slow, expensive, and can lead to errors in which equipment is lost, left behind, or sent to the wrong destination. The Committee is aware that the Army is testing automated inventory tracking and management solutions to capture data plate and bar code information and supports further testing and evaluation to determine if these solutions can be cost effective and time saving replacements for the current systems. The Committee supports the Army's efforts to automate its inventory management activities and to achieve cost savings associated with modernized systems.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$36,311,160,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	39,316,857,000
Committee recommendation	39,073,543,000
Change from budget request	-243,314,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$39,073,543,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

65

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	AIR OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,947,202	4,732,371	-214,831
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING	1,647,943	1,637,808	-10,135
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES	37,050	36,828	-222
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	96,139	93,647	-2,492
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	363,763	353,763	-10,000
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	814,770	824,770	+10,000
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	36,494	36,312	-182
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS	350,641	344,239	-6,402
90	SHIP OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	3,865,379	3,865,379	
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING	711,243	710,544	-699
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	5,296,408	5,297,468	+1,060
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,339,077	1,337,162	-1,915
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	708,634	703,250	-5,384
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	91,599	91,591	-8
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	207,038	206,977	-61
160	WARFARE TACTICS	432,715	432,549	-166
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	338,116	337,219	-897
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	892,316	889,500	-2,816
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	128,486	128,369	-117
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,472	2,472	***
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	101,200	97,909	-3,291
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	188,920	188,623	-297

66

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
				•••••
230	WEAPONS SUPPORT CRUISE MISSILE	109,911	109,298	-613
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,172,823	1,165,945	-6,878
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	104,139	104,139	*
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	490,911	490,031	-880
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	324,861	324,861	
280	BASE SUPPORT ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	936,743	936,442	-301
290	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,483,495	1,631,845	+148,350
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,398,667	4,357,389	-41,278
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	31,619,155	31,468,700	-150,455
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
320	READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	526,926	526,906	- 20
330	READY RESERVE FORCE	291,195	291,195	
320	ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	6,704	6,704	
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	251,538	251,513	-25
340	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	124,323	124,294	- 29
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,323	2,323	* * *
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	20,333	20,333	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2	1,223,342	1,223,268	-74

67

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
370	ACCESSION TRAINING OFFICER ACQUISITION	156,214	155,542	-672
380	RECRUIT TRAINING	8,863	8,840	- 23
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	148,150	148,082	-68
400	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	601,501	600,489	-1,012
410	FLIGHT TRAINING	8,239	8,221	-18
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	164,214	165,170	+956
430	TRAINING SUPPORT	182,619	182,216	- 403
440	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	230,589	231,418	+829
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	115,595	115,472	-123
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	79,606	73,118	-6,488
470	JUNIOR ROTC	41,664	41,652	-12
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	1,737,254	1,730,220	-7,034
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
480	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT ADMINISTRATION	858,871	847,881	-10,990
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	12,807	12,777	-30
500	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	119,863	119,243	-620
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	356,113	354,866	-1,247
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	255,605	252,145	-3,460
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	339,802	339,478	-324

68

			COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
550	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	172,203	172,203	***
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	283,621	274,603	-9,018
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	1,111,464	1,106,994	-4,470
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	43,232	43,232	
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	25,689	25,670	-19
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	73,159	73,047	-112
620	SECURITY PROGRAMS NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	548,640	543,429	-5,211
680	SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	4,713	4,713	
	OTHER PROGRAMS OTHER PROGRAMS	531,324	529,524	-1,800
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	4,737,106	4,699,805	-37,301
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG	* * *	-48,450	-48,450
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY		39,073,543	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

D-1 Request Recommended Request		1.4 MAN 40 miles and a service to the filter and a service to the service and	Budget	Committee	Change from
Coverestimation of flying hours -82,701 Fuel costs - unjustified growth -100,000 -120,000 FTE - unjustified growth -120,000 FTE - unjustified growth -120,000 -121,130 -120,000 -121,130 -120,000 -121,130 -120,000 -121,130 -120,000 -121,130 -120,000 -121,130 -124	0-1		Request	Recommended	Request
Coverestimation of flying hours -82,701 Fuel costs - unjustified growth -100,000 -120,000 FTE - unjustified growth -120,000 FTE - unjustified growth -120,000 -121,130 -120,000 -121,130 -120,000 -121,130 -120,000 -121,130 -120,000 -121,130 -120,000 -121,130 -124	1414	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4.947.202	4,732,371	-214.831
Fuel costs - unjustified growth -100,000 Transportation costs - unjustified growth -12,130 -10,135 -12,130 -10,135 -12,130 -10,135 -12,130 -10,135 -13,235 -10,135 -13,235 -13,235 -10,135 -13,2			,,,		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Transportation costs - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth 1,647,943 1,637,808 -10,135 Training - unjustified growth 1,647,943 1,637,808 -10,135 FTE - unjustified growth 1,844 -1					
FTE - unjustified growth 1-2,130 1,647,943 1,637,808 -10,135 Training - unjustified growth 1-194					
Training - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth 1A3A AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth Program increase 1A4N AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT Program increase 1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE Program increase 1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE Program increase 1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth FTE - unjust				,	
Training - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth Program increase 1A4N AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth Program increase 1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE Program increase 1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE Program increase 1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified gro			1 647 646	4 607 000	10 125
FTE - unjustified growth -184	1A2A		1,647,943		-10,135
1A3A AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING FTE - unjustified growth Other services - unjustified growth Other services - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth 1.0,000 1A4N AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth Program increase 1.0,000 1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE Program increase 1.0,000 1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Program increase 1.0,000 1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Program increase 1.0,000 1B4B SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE FTE - unjustified growth Program increase 1.0,000 1B5B SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE FTE - unjustified growth Program increase 1.0,000 1B5B SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FTE - unjustified growth Program increase 1.0,000 1B5B SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAM SUPPORT 1,339,077 1,337,162 1-1,915 FTE - unjustified growth FTE					
### FTE - unjustified growth		FTE - unjustified growth		-184	
1A4A AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT Other services - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT Program increase 1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE Program increase 1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth FTE - unjustifi	1A3A	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING	37,050	36,828	-222
Other services - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth 1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE Program increase 1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT TFE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth Program increase 10,000 1848 SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE FTE - unjustified growth Program increase 1,339,077 1,337,162 -1,915 FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified		FTE - unjustified growth		-222	
Other services - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth 1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE Program increase 1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT TFE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth Program increase 10,000 1848 SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE FTE - unjustified growth Program increase 1,339,077 1,337,162 -1,915 FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified	1010	AID ODED ATIONS AND SAFETY SUDDODT	96 139	93 647	-2 492
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth -292	IMAM		30,133		-1,401
1A4N AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth 1,10,000 363,763 353,763 -10,000 1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE Program increase 814,770 824,770 10,000 1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth FTE -					
Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth		Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-292	
Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth	1A4N	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	363.763	353,763	-10,000
Program increase				-10,000	
Program increase					
1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth -45 36,312 -182 -182 1A9A AVIATION LOGISTICS Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth FTE -	1A5A		814,770		10,000
FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth 1A9A AVIATION LOGISTICS Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - conjustified growth Consolidation of contract servic		Program increase		10,000	
FTE - unjustified growth	1A6A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	36,494	36,312	-182
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth			,		
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth				-45	
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth			050.044	244.000	6 400
1B2B SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth Program increase 711,243 710,544 -699 -699 1B4B SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE FTE - unjustified growth Program increase 5,296,408 -8,940 -8,940 -10,000 1,060 -8,940 -8,940 -10,000 -1,915 -1,915 -1,915 1B5B SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth -1,915 -1,915 -1,915 -1,	TAYA		350,641		-0,402
B4B SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE 5,296,408 5,297,468 1,060 FTE - unjustified growth -8,940 10,000 B5B SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT 1,339,077 1,337,162 -1,915 FTE - unjustified growth -1,915 C1C COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS 708,634 703,250 -5,384 Shore support - unjustified growth -5,000 FTE - unjustified growth -384 C2C ELECTRONIC WARFARE 91,599 91,591 -8 FTE - unjustified growth -8 C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE 207,038 206,977 -61 FTE - unjustified growth -61 C4C WARFARE TACTICS 432,715 432,549 -166 FTE - unjustified growth -166 C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897		Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-6,402	
1848 SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE 5,296,408 5,297,468 1,060 FTE - unjustified growth -8,940 Program increase 10,000 1858 SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT 1,339,077 1,337,162 -1,915 FTE - unjustified growth -1,915 1C1C COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS 708,634 703,250 -5,384 Shore support - unjustified growth -5,000 FTE - unjustified growth -384 1C2C ELECTRONIC WARFARE 91,599 91,591 -8 FTE - unjustified growth -8 1C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE 207,038 206,977 -61 FTE - unjustified growth -61 1C4C WARFARE TACTICS 432,715 432,549 -166 FTE - unjustified growth -166 1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897	1828	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING	711,243	710,544	-699
### FTE - unjustified growth Program Increase 10,000 #### PROGram Increase 10,000 #### PROGram Increase 10,000 #### PROGram Increase 1,339,077 1,337,162 -1,915 ###################################		FTE - unjustified growth		-699	
### FTE - unjustified growth Program Increase 10,000 #### PROGram Increase 10,000 #### PROGram Increase 10,000 #### PROGram Increase 1,339,077 1,337,162 -1,915 ###################################	10/0	CHIR DEBOT MAINTENANCE	5 206 409	5 207 468	1.060
Program Increase 10,000	1040		3,290,400		1,000
1B5B SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT FTE - unjustified growth 1,339,077 1,337,162 -1,915 -1,915 1C1C COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS Shore support - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth 708,634 703,250 -5,384 5,000 -5,384 -5,000 -5,384 5,000 -5,384 -5,000 -5,384 5,000 -5,384 -5,000 -5,384 5,000 -5,384 -5,384 -5,000 -5,384 -5,38					
### FTE - unjustified growth -1,915 1C1C COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS		Program morease		10,000	
1C1C COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS Shore support - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth 708,634 703,250 -5,000 -5,000 -384 -5,384 1C2C ELECTRONIC WARFARE FTE - unjustified growth 91,599 -8 91,591 -8 -8 1C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE FTE - unjustified growth 207,038 -61 206,977 -61 -61 1C4C WARFARE TACTICS FTE - unjustified growth 432,715 -166 432,749 -166 -166 1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897	1B5B	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,339,077	1,337,162	-1,915
Shore support - unjustified growth -5,000 FTE - unjustified growth -384 C2C ELECTRONIC WARFARE 91,599 91,591 -8 FTE - unjustified growth -8 C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE 207,038 206,977 -61 FTE - unjustified growth -61 C4C WARFARE TACTICS 432,715 432,549 -166 FTE - unjustified growth -166 C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897		FTE - unjustified growth		-1,915	
Shore support - unjustified growth -5,000 FTE - unjustified growth -384 C2C ELECTRONIC WARFARE 91,599 91,591 -8 FTE - unjustified growth -8 C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE 207,038 206,977 -61 FTE - unjustified growth -61 C4C WARFARE TACTICS 432,715 432,549 -166 FTE - unjustified growth -166 C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897	1010	COMPAT COMMUNICATIONS	708 634	703 250	-5 384
### 102C ELECTRONIC WARFARE ### 91,599 ### 91,591 -8 ### 103C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE ### 207,038 ### 206,977 -61 ### -61 ### 103C WARFARE ### 103C WARFARE TACTICS	1010		, 00,004		-0,00-7
1C2C ELECTRONIC WARFARE 91,599 91,591 -8 FTE - unjustified growth -8 1C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE 207,038 206,977 -61 1C4C WARFARE TACTICS 432,715 432,549 -166 FTE - unjustified growth -166 1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897					
### FTE - unjustified growth -8 1C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE 207,038 206,977 -61 ###################################		FTE - unjustified growth		-304	
1C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE 207,038 206,977 -61 FTE - unjustified growth -61 1C4C WARFARE TACTICS 432,715 432,549 -166 FTE - unjustified growth -166 1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897	1C2C	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	91,599	91,591	-8
1C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE 207,038 206,977 -61 FTE - unjustified growth -61 1C4C WARFARE TACTICS 432,715 432,549 -166 FTE - unjustified growth -166 1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897		FTF - unjustified growth	*	-8	
FTE - unjustified growth -61 1C4C WARFARE TACTICS 432,715 432,549 -166 FTE - unjustified growth -166 1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897					
1C4C WARFARE TACTICS	1C3C	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	207,038	206,977	-61
FTE - unjustified growth -166 1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897		FTE - unjustified growth		-61	
FTE - unjustified growth -166 1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897	1040	WAREARE TACTICS	432 715	432 549	-166
1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY 338,116 337,219 -897	1040		702,713	,	-100
		i i c anjasanda growni		- 700	
FTE - unjustified growth -897	1C5C		338,116		-897
		FTE - unjustified growth		-897	

10CC COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES 892,316 889,500 -2,816 -2,8			Budget	Committee	Change from
Travel administration - unjustified growth -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -2,816 -	0-1		Request	Recommended	Request
Travel administration - unjustified growth -2,816	1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	892.316	889.500	-2,816
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth			,		·
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		COLUDATENT MAINTENANCE	100 496	128 260	-117
CCH COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS 101,200 97,909 -3,291	1070		120,400		-117
DISA field security operations - unjustified growth Management and professional support services - unjustified growth FTE					
Management and professional support services - unjustified growth 7-1,470 7-321	CCH		101,200	,	-3,291
unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth FT				-1,500	
CCM COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION				-1,470	
### FTE - unjustified growth		FTE - unjustified growth		-321	
### FTE - unjustified growth	LCC88	COMPATANT COMMANDEDS DIDECT MISSION	188 020	188 623	-297
IDID CRUISE MISSILE 109,911 109,298 -613 -613 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -610 -6	CCIVI		100,520	·	-201
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth -613		, , _ silyasimoa g.o.ii.			
1D2D FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE 1,172,823 1,165,945 -6,878 Equipment maintenance by contract - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth -6,000 -878 -6,000 -7,000 -18 -6,000 -18 -6,000 -18 -6,000 -18 -6,000 -18 -6,000 -18 -18 -6,000 -18	1D1D		109,911	·	-613
Equipment maintenance by contract - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION Program increase FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION Program increase FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION Program increase FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & FORWARD AND SUPPORT A,398,667 A,357,389 A148,350 A357,389 A14,278 Quality of service initiative - unjustified growth FTE		Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-613	
### FTE - unjustified growth ### -878 ### PTE - unjustified growth ### -878 ### PTE - unjustified growth ### -880 ### PTE - unjustified growth ### -880 ### PTE - unjustified growth ### -882 ### PTE - unjustified growth ### -301 ### PTE - unjustified growth ### -302 ### PTE - unjustified growth ### -303 ### PTE - unjustif	1D2D	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,172,823	1,165,945	-6,878
104D WEAPONS MAINTENANCE			,,		
### FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth 862 ###################################		FTE - unjustified growth		-878	
### FTE - unjustified growth Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth 862 ###################################	1040	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	490.911	490.031	-880
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth -862			,		
FTE - unjustified growth -301 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION Program increase 148,350 BSSI MODERNIZATION 1,483,495 1,631,845 148,350 BSSI BASE OPERATING SUPPORT 4,398,667 4,357,389 -41,278 Quality of service initiative - unjustified growth 77,807 Non-NIP AFRICOM support 7,500 2A1F SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE 526,926 526,906 -20 ETE - unjustified growth -20 2B2G SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS 251,538 251,513 -25 ETE - unjustified growth -25 2C1H FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM 124,323 124,294 -29 ETE - unjustified growth -29 3A1J OFFICER ACQUISITION 156,214 155,542 -672 ETE - unjustified growth -672 BASE RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,840 -23				-862	
FTE - unjustified growth -301 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION Program increase 148,350 BSSI MODERNIZATION 1,483,495 1,631,845 148,350 BSSI BASE OPERATING SUPPORT 4,398,667 4,357,389 -41,278 Quality of service initiative - unjustified growth 77,807 Non-NIP AFRICOM support 7,500 2A1F SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE 526,926 526,906 -20 ETE - unjustified growth -20 2B2G SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS 251,538 251,513 -25 ETE - unjustified growth -25 2C1H FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM 124,323 124,294 -29 ETE - unjustified growth -29 3A1J OFFICER ACQUISITION 156,214 155,542 -672 ETE - unjustified growth -672 BASE RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,840 -23	RSIT	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	936 743	936 442	-301
### ASS NOT COMPANY NOT COMPAN	0011		555,145		•••
### ASS NOT COMPANY NOT COMPAN		FACILITIES CHICTAINIMENT DESTORATION 9.			
Program increase	RSM1		1.483.495	1.631.845	148.350
Quality of service initiative - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth Non-NIP AFRICOM support -40,971 FTE - unjustified growth -7,807 PTE - unjustified growth -7,500 2A1F SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth -20 526,926 Se,926 Se,906 -20 PTE - unjustified growth -25 2B2G SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth -25 251,538 PTE - unjustified growth -29 2C1H FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth -672 156,214 PTE - unjustified growth -672 3A2J RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,860 -23			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		,
Quality of service initiative - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth Non-NIP AFRICOM support -40,971 FTE - unjustified growth -7,807 PTE - unjustified growth -7,500 2A1F SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth -20 526,926 Se,926 Se,906 -20 PTE - unjustified growth -25 2B2G SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth -25 251,538 PTE - unjustified growth -29 2C1H FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth -672 156,214 PTE - unjustified growth -672 3A2J RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,860 -23			4 000 007	4.057.000	44.070
FTE - unjustified growth Non-NIP AFRICOM support 2A1F SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE FTE - unjustified growth FTE - unjus	BSS1		4,398,667		-41,278
Non-NIP AFRICOM support 7,500					
FTE - unjustified growth -20 2B2G SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS 251,538 251,513 -25 FTE - unjustified growth -25 2C1H FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM 124,323 124,294 -29 FTE - unjustified growth -29 3A1J OFFICER ACQUISITION 156,214 155,542 -672 FTE - unjustified growth -672 3A2J RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,840 -23					
FTE - unjustified growth -20 2B2G SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS 251,538 251,513 -25 FTE - unjustified growth -25 2C1H FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM 124,323 124,294 -29 FTE - unjustified growth -29 3A1J OFFICER ACQUISITION 156,214 155,542 -672 FTE - unjustified growth -672 3A2J RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,840 -23	0445	CUID DEEDOCITIONING AND CHECE	#06 006	506 006	-20
FTE - unjustified growth -25 2C1H FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM 124,323 124,294 -29 FTE - unjustified growth -29 3A1J OFFICER ACQUISITION 156,214 155,542 -672 FTE - unjustified growth -672 3A2J RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,840 -23	ZAIF		320,920		-20
### FTE - unjustified growth -25 #### FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
2C1H FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM 124,323 124,294 -29 FTE - unjustified growth -29 3A1J OFFICER ACQUISITION 156,214 155,542 -672 FTE - unjustified growth -672 3A2J RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,840 -23	2B2G		251,538		-25
### FTE - unjustified growth -29 3A1J OFFICER ACQUISITION		r i E - unjustinea growin		-20	
3A1J OFFICER ACQUISITION 156,214 155,542 -672 FTE - unjustified growth -672 3A2J RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,840 -23	2C1H	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	124,323	124,294	-29
FTE - unjustified growth -672 3A2J RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,840 -23		FTE - unjustified growth		-29	
FTE - unjustified growth -672 3A2J RECRUIT TRAINING 8,863 8,840 -23	3A1.I	OFFICER ACQUISITION	156.214	155.542	-672
7720 (120)(01) (174)(174)			,		
7720 (120)(01) (174)(174)		DECOMPT TO ANNAIO	0.000	0.040	22
	sA2J	FTE - unjustified growth	8,863	8,840 -23	-23

		Budget	Committee	Change from
0-1		Request	Recommended	Request
343.1	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	148,150	148,082	-68
07100	FTE - unjustified growth	,	-68	
3B1K	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	601,501	600.489	-1,012
JUIN	FTE - unjustified growth	551,551	-1,012	.,
3B2K	FLIGHT TRAINING FTE - unjustified growth	8,239	8,221 -18	-18
	1 12 - unjustmed growth			
3B3K	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	164,214	165,170	956
	FTE - unjustified growth SOCOM advanced education program - transfer from		-657	
	OM,DW		1,613	
3B4K	TRAINING SUPPORT	182,619	182,216 -403	-403
	FTE - unjustified growth		-403	
3C1L	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	230,589	231,418	829
	FTE - unjustified growth		-319	
	Naval Sea Cadet Corps		1,148	
3C3L	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	115,595	115,472	-123
	FTE - unjustified growth	,	-123	
	THE THE PERSON AND TO ANNUAL	70.000	73,118	-6,488
3C4L	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING Workforce - unjustified growth	79,606	-5,900	-0,400
	FTE - unjustified growth		-588	
	, ,			
3C5L	JUNIOR ROTC	41,664	41,652 -12	-12
	FTE - unjustified growth		*12	
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION	858,871	847,881	-10,990
	Administrative functions - unjustified growth		-9,000	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-1,990	
4A2M	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	12,807	12,777	-30
	FTE - unjustified growth		-30	
4 4 284	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL	119,863	119,243	-620
HASIN	FTE - unjustified growth	113,003	-620	020
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL	356,113	354,866 -1,247	-1,247
	FTE - unjustified growth		-1,247	
4A5M	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	255,605	252,145	-3,460
	Navy History and Heritage Command - unjustified growth		-2,862	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-598	
4A6M	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	339,802	339,478	-324
	FTE - unjustified growth		-324	
4001	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	283,621	274.603	-9,018
+DZIN	Expeditionary missions program office - unjustified growth	200,021	-7,490	3,010
	FTE - unjustified growth		-1,528	
	r ra anjadanoa grovan			

0-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT FTE - unjustified growth	1,111,464	1,106,994 -4,470	-4,470
4B6N	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS FTE - unjustified growth	25,689	25,670 -19	-19
4B7N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS FTE - unjustified growth	73,159	73,047 -112	-112
4C1P	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE Travel - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth	548,640	543,429 -3,350 -1,861	-5,211
9999	OTHER PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	531,324	529,524 -1,800	-1,800
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-48,450	-48,450

TIRE SUCCESSOR INITIATIVE

The Defense Logistics Agency established the Tire Successor Initiative (TSI) to contract with a non-tire manufacturer for tire contract management. However, the Department of the Navy received an exemption from TSI for their existing tire contract. As a result of the exemption, the existing Navy tire contract is administered by a tire manufacturer, creating a perception of a lack of competition. Now that the Navy's existing tire contracts are set to expire, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to administer tire procurement through the Tire Successor Initiative as administered by the Defense Logistics Agency.

SHIPBOARD LIGHTING SYSTEMS

The Navy is researching how to increase light-emitting diode supply and vendor capacity, decrease costs, and rapidly field solid state lighting on ships. The lighting program replaces existing fixtures on various ship classes to continue the savings associated with more efficient lighting methods. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to continue to replace outdated and inefficient fixtures and urges the Secretary of the Navy to update the lighting specification standards.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$5,397,605,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	5,909,487,000
Committee recommendation	5,984,680,000
Change from budget request	+75,193,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,984,680,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

74

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES OPERATIONAL FORCES	905,744	939,544	+33,800
20	FIELD LOGISTICS	921,543	921,543	• • •
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	229,058	239,058	+10,000
40	USMC PREPOSITIONING MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	87,660	87,660	
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION \dots	573,926	631,319	+57,393
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	1,983,118	1,983,118	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	4,701,049	4,802,242	+101,193
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
80	ACCESSION TRAINING RECRUIT TRAINING	18,227	18,227	
90	OFFICER ACQUISITION	948	948	
100	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING	98,448	98,448	
120	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	42,305	42,305	
130	TRAINING SUPPORT	330,156	330,156	
140	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	161,752	161,752	
150	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	19,137	19,137	
160	JUNIOR ROTC	23,277	23,277	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	694,250	694,250	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
180	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	36,359	36,359	
190	ADMINISTRATION	362,608	362,608	***
200	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	70,515	70,515	
	SECURITY PROGRAMS SECURITY PROGRAMS	44,706	44,706	**-
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	514,188	514,188	***
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG	-+-	-26,000	-26,000
			=======================================	
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	5,909,487	5,984,680	+75,193

75

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

0-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1A1A OPERATIONA	L FORCES	905,744	939,544	33,800
	ose Marine Air Ground Task Force for		28.600	
	Marine Corps unfunded requirement ose Marine Air Ground Task Force for		20,000	
	- Marine Corps unfunded requirement		5,200	
1A3A DEPOT MAINT	ENANCE	229,058	239,058	10,000
Program incr	ease		10,000	
FACILITIES S	JSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &			
BSM1 MODERNIZAT	ION	573,926	631,319	57,393
Program incr	ease		57,393	
CIVILIAN PER	SONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-26,000	-26,000

SPECIAL PURPOSE MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE

Since its inception, the Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force—Crisis Response teams have responded successfully in several locations in the United States Africa Command area of responsibility. Their missions have included supporting local security operations, acting as a quick reaction force, conducting limited offensive and defensive operations, providing tactical recovery of aircraft and personnel, providing limited humanitarian assistance, and conducting limited non-combatant evacuation operations missions. The Committee is highly supportive of the Commandant's plan to provide Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force support to all combatant commands and has provided funding to establish teams in the Central Command and the Southern Command areas of responsibility.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$33,248,618,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	35,331,193,000
Committee recommendation	35,024,160,000
Change from budget request	-307,033,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$35,024,160,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

77

			COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	AIR OPERATIONS PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	3,163,457	3,073,802	-89,655
20	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,694,339	1,689,320	-5,019
30	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	1,579,178	1,560,540	-18,638
50	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	6,119,522	6,051,400	-68,122
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	1,453,589	1,598,948	+145,359
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2,599,419	2,549,844	-49,575
80	COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS GLOBAL C31 AND EARLY WARNING	908,790	869,894	-38,896
90	OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS	856,306	856,306	
100	TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	800,689	800,689	
110	SPACE OPERATIONS LAUNCH FACILITIES	282,710	282,710	
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	397,818	397,818	
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	871,840	852,840	-19,000
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	237,348	237,348	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	20,965,005	20,821,459	-143,546

78

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
140	MOBILITY OPERATIONS AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	1,968,810	1,939,173	-29,637
150	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	139,743	125,670	-14,073
160	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,534,560	1,549,560	+15,000
170	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	173,627	189,089	+15,462
180	BASE SUPPORT	688,801	688,801	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2		4,492,293	-13,248
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
190	ACCESSION TRAINING OFFICER ACQUISITION	82,396	82,396	
200	RECRUIT TRAINING	19,852	19,852	
210	RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	76,134	76,134	
220	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	212,226	233,449	+21,223
230	BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY)	759,809	759,809	
	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
240	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	356,157	356,157	
250	FLIGHT TRAINING	697,594	697,594	
260	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	219,441	219,441	
270	TRAINING SUPPORT	91,001	91,001	
280	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	316,688	316,688	
290	RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	73,920	73,920	
300	EXAMINING	3,121	3,121	
310	OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	181,718	181,718	
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	147,667	147,667	* * *
330	JUNIOR ROTC	63,250	63,250	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	3,300,974	3,322,197	+21,223

79

			RECOMMENDED	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
340	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,003,513	997,379	-6,134
350	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	843,449	836,210	-7,239
360	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	78,126	78,126	***
370	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	247,677	272,445	+24,768
380	BASE SUPPORT	1,103,442	1,103,442	•••
390	SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION	597,234	597,234	
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	506,840	506,840	
410	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	892,256	892,256	
420	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION	24,981	27,400	+2,419
	SECURITY PROGRAMS SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,169,736	1,152,260	-17,476
450	SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	92,419	92,419	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	6,559,673	6,556,011	-3,662
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		-224,000	-224,000
	AWACS FORCE STRUCTURE PROGRAM INCREASE		34,600	+34,600
	NUCLEAR FORCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		21,600	+21,600
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE		35,024,160	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

0-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	3,163,457	3,073,802	-89,655
	Travel - unjustified program growth		-14,655	
	Unjustified program growth		-75,000	
011C	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,694,339	1,689,320	-5,019
	Studies, Analysis, and Evaluations - unjustified program			
	growth		-2,640	
	Retain U-2 - funds requested for divestiture early to need		-2,379	
011D	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	1,579,178	1,560,540	-18,638
	Travel - unjustified program growth		-18,638	
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	6,119,522	6,051,400	-68,122
	Unjustified program growth		-83,122	
	Program increase		15,000	
011R	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &			
	MODERNIZATION	1,453,589	1,598,948	145,359
	Program increase		145,359	
011Z	BASE SUPPORT	2,599,419	2,549,844	-49,575
	Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase		-10,000	
	Civilian Pay Program - unjustified program growth		-34,732	
	Foreign currency fluctuation pricing requested as		-4.843	
	program growth for utilities		-4,043	
012A	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	908,790	869,894	-38,896
	CYBERCOM direct mission support line - unjustified growth		-9,507	
	Justification does not match summary of price and		45.000	
	program changes for other intra-governmental purchases		-15,389	
	Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for Studies, Analysis and Evaluations		-14,000	
015A	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	871,840	852,840	-19,000
	Classified program reduction	671,040	-19,000	-72,000
	Classified program reduction			
021A	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS Justification does not match summary of price and	1,968,810	1,939,173	-29,637
	program changes for flying hour program		-13,187	
	Justification does not match summary of price and		10,10	
	program changes for non-DWCF equipment		-16,450	
021D	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	139,743	125,670	-14,073
	Inflation pricing requested as program growth	,	-14,073	
0218A	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,534,560	1,549,560	15,000
02 i Wi	Program increase	,,00 ,,000	15,000	,
021P	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &			
OE III	MODERNIZATION	173,627	189,089	15,462
	Justification does not match summary of price and			
	program changes for civilian pay program		-1,900	
	Program increase		17,362	

	Budget	Committee	Change from
0-1	Request	Recommended	Request
031R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &			
MODERNIZATION	212,226	233,449	21,223
Program increase	, ,	21,223	•
41A LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,003,513	997,379	-6,134
Inflation pricing requested as program growth		-6,134	
41B TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	843,449	836,210	-7,239
Defense Finance and Accounting Services rate			
adjustment requested as program growth		-7,239	
41R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &			
MODERNIZATION	247,677	272,445	24,768
Program increase		24,768	
421 CIVIL AIR PATROL	24,981	27,400	2,419
Civil Air Patrol - program increase		2,419	
3A SECURITY PROGRAMS	1,169,736	1,152,260	-17,476
Justification does not match summary of price and			
program changes for civilian pay program		-13,976	
Classified adjustment		-3,500	
OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		-224,000	-224,000
AWACS FORCE STRUCTURE PROGRAM INCREASE		34,600	34,600
NUCLEAR FORCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM		21,600	21,600

AIR FORCE FLYING HOUR METRICS

The Committee is concerned that the Air Force has not developed a cost effective, goal oriented, metric based program on which to base its flying hour requirements and annual funding request. In fiscal year 2015, the Air Force requests significant increases for air operations and states that the requested funding for flying hours is based on available funds rather than any stated requirement. Further, a review of execution of previous fiscal years' flying hour programs shows that the Air Force consistently underexecutes its flying hour program. In these fiscally constrained times, ensuring that every dollar is spent to its maximum effectiveness means that flying to the budget instead of the readiness requirement risks significant waste of taxpayer resources. In addition, the lack of objective criteria or performance goals makes conducting objective, data driven analysis by the Committee impossible.

The Committee strongly supports robust funding for flying hours and other training required to achieve pilot competence, safety, and combat readiness, and recognizes that providing sufficient funding for the flying hour program to achieve these goals requires significant investment and resources. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the air operations program and to report to the congressional defense committees at the time of its fiscal year 2016 budget submission the results of this review. As part of the review of the flying hour program, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to consult with experts including, but not limited to, the Under Secretary for Personnel and Readiness, the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, and the leadership at the Federally Funded Research and Development Centers, in order to establish metrics and goals for the flying hour program which will directly relate the flying hours requested in the budget to a clear, requirement-based training curriculum which will leverage limited resources in order to achieve maximum readiness. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Air Force to review and revise the budget justification materials for the flying hours sub-activity groups in order to improve the clarity and completeness of those materials in future fiscal years, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission.

AIR FORCE WEAPON SYSTEM SUSTAINMENT

The lack of objective data-driven metrics for the flying hour program has budget implications throughout the Operation and Maintenance, Air Force account. Within the Weapon System Sustainment (WSS) program, funding requirements for flying hours and depot maintenance are interrelated and can vary significantly depending on the number of flying hours executed. While the Air Force states that the WSS program is managed as an "enterprise level concept" and claims to regularly review the program in the year of execution to determine the actual requirement, the type and number of systems being inducted or work being performed can vary tremendously between what was included in the budget justification materials and what is actually executed.

Further, while the Air Force has improved its effort to capture all funding requested for depot maintenance, including contractor logistics support, in the depot maintenance sub-activity groups (SAGs), it is not yet able to fully differentiate between flight-line activities, for which funding should be requested in the aircraft operations and mission support SAGs, and depot maintenance activities, for which funding should be requested in the depot maintenance SAGs. The Committee remains concerned that the lack of fidelity and quality of the WSS and depot maintenance justification materials prevents the Committee from conducting meaningful analysis or oversight of either program. The Air Force acknowledges that its current justification materials could be seen as misleading, and the Committee understands that the Air Force will attempt to provide more useful, complete, and meaningful justification material for the WSS program in future fiscal years. While the Committee recognizes that requirements can change due to unexpected changes in mission or requirements during the fiscal year, it also believes that the lack of program goals and metrics for the flying hour program drives much of the lack of fidelity in funding requirements in other areas, including WSS and depot maintenance funding. In addition to the review of the budget justification materials for the flying hours SAGs directed in previous report language, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to review and revise the budget justification materials for the mission support and depot maintenance SAGs to improve the clarity and completeness of those materials in future fiscal years, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission.

AIR EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND INFRASTRUCTURE

The Committee recognizes the important role that the Air Education and Training Command plays in recruiting, training, and educating airmen. The Committee understands that flooding and other infrastructure challenges have adversely impacted facilities, equipment, operations, and training for airmen and encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to take steps to address these deficiencies.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$31,450,068,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	31,198,232,000
Committee recommendation	30,896,741,000
Change from budget request	-301.491.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$30,896,741,000, for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

84

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	462,107	431,607	-30,500
20	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	4,762,245	4,645,029	-117,216
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,224,352	5,076,636	-147,716
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
30	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	135,437	135,437	
40	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	80,082	80,082	
50	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	371,620	360,443	-11,177
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3	587,139	575,962	-11,177
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	119,888	154,888	+35,000
80	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	556,493	556,493	+ * *
90	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,340,374	1,299,874	-40,500
100	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	633,300	650,225	+16,925
110	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,263,678	1,260,833	-2,845
130	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	26,710	26,710	
140	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	381,470	391,470	+10,000
150	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	194,520	190,820	-3,700
160	DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE	21,485	21,485	
170	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	544,786	514,189	-30,597
180	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	532,930	532,338	-592
200	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY	32,787	32,787	
0	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	414,371	414,371	
230	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	2,566,424	2,561,424	-5,000

85

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
240	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	416,644	452,242	+35,598
260	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	186,987	186,987	
270	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	1,891,163	1,869,931	-21,232
280	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	87,915	81,915	-6,000
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	610,982	587,262	-23,720
	OTHER PROGRAMS	13,563,834	13,417,899	-145,935
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	25,386,741	25,204,143	-182,598
	IMPACT AID		40,000	+40,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE		30,896,741	-301,491

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [in thousands of dollars]

		Budget	Committee	Change from
0-1		Request	Recommended	Request
4014	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	462,107	431,607	-30,500
TPLT		402,107	-15,000	-50,500
	Headquarters - unjustified growth Joint Staff Information Network - unjustified growth		-4,000	
	Pentagon Reservation Management Revolving Fund -		-11,500	
	Justification does not match summary of price and		-11,000	
	program changes			
	program changes			
1PI 2	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	4,762,245	4,645,029	-117,216
	Base support - transfer to FSRM		-3,213	
	Combat development activities - classified adjustments		-51,520	
	Flight operations - AVFID and ISR operations unjustified g	rowth	-2,718	
	Flight operations - USASOC unjustified growth		-1,313	
	Flight operations - human performance program consolida	ation	-11,300	
	Flight operations - family readiness coordinators unauthor		-2,300	
	Flight operations - restore flying hours for readiness training		124,729	
	Other operations - MARSOC collateral equipment excess		-2,908	
	Other operations - MARSOC collateral equipment early to	need	-2,602	
	Other operations - USASOC collateral equipment early to		-2,538	
	Other operations - AFSOC collateral equipment early to n	eed	-3,797	
	Other operations - AFSOC collateral equipment early to n		-918	
	Other operations - USASOC fiscal year 2014 collateral		10,467	
	equipment schedule delay			
	Other operations - NAVSPECWARCOM fiscal year 2014		5,321	
	collateral equipment schedule delay			
	Other operations - FOSOV operations unjustified growth		-2,123	
	Other operations - USASOC 4th battalion restructuring ex	cess growth	-6,220	
	Other operations - family readiness coordinators unauthor	rized	-2,700	
	Other operations - human performance program - transfer		-14,800	
	Other operations - human performance program - transfer		-4,000	
	Other operations - human performance program - transfer	•	-4,200	
	to RDTE,N line 23			
	Other operations - transfer to FSRM		-9,472	
	Other operations - psychological operations excess		-3,000	
	contractor price growth			
	Other operations - unjustified growth		-9,937	
	Other operations - unjustified growth		-9,214	
	Other operations - SOCAF excess growth		-2,000	
	Other operations - persistent engagement - rent, utilities,		-7,000	
	ICASS excess growth		0.000	
	Other operations - regional security cooperation centers		-3,600	
	authorization adjustment		-5.000	
	Other operations - SOCOM NCR authorization adjustmen		-20,298	
	Ship operations - maritime support vessel authorization a		2,826	
	Ship operations - NSW riverine program restore reduction	1	-21,134	
	Communications - C4IAS unjustified growth		-21,134 -9,126	
	Communications - HQ C4 SITEC unjustified growth		-9,126	
	Communications - SOF deployable nodes excess growth		-2,500	
	Communications - SOF tactical communications excess g	IOWIII	-2,500	
	Intelligence - DCGS-SOF authorization adjustment		-1,402	
	Intelligence - civilian growth - transfer to DIA MIP		-1,402	
	Intelligence - classified program unjustified		-4,900 -4,214	
	Intelligence - unjustified growth		-4,000	
	Intelligence - human performance program consolidation	ation	2,259	
	Management/operational HQ - care coalition restore redu		-5,300	
	Operational support - 4th Battalion transformation excess	ALOMIT.	-0,000	

0-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	FSRM - transfer from other SAGs		12,685	
	Contracts for other services - general reduction		-26,000	
3EV7	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	371,620	360,443	-11,177
	Professional development - advanced education program	,	-2,000	
	unjustified growth			
	Professional development - JSOU accreditation		-7,564	
	unauthorized			
	Advanced education program - transfer to OM,N		-1,613	
iGT3	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	119,888	154,888	35,000
	Youth Challenge	,	10,000	,
	STARBASE		25,000	
	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,340,374	1,299,874	-40,500
1010	Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not	1,340,374	-20,500	-40,500
	match summary of price and program changes		-20,000	
	Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-20,000	
4GT8	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	633,300	650,225	16,925
	Defense Civilian Personnel Advisory Service - unjustified		-5,845	
	growth		-1,230	
	Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag Suicide Prevention Office - program increase		20,000	
	Suicide Prevention - transfer from SOCOM		4,000	
	Obloide 1 Tevermon - transfer from 0000m		4,000	
4GT9	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,263,678	1,260,833	-2,845
	Pentagon Reservation Management Revolving Fund -		-2,845	
	justification does not match summary of price and program			
	change			
4GTB	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	381,470	391,470	10,000
	Procurement Technical Assistance Program		10,000	
CC40	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	194,520	190.820	-3,700
E3 10	Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not	134,320	-3,700	-5,700
	match summary of price and program changes		0,1.00	
4GTD	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	544,786	514,189	-30,597
	Administration expenses - unjustified growth Fellowship programs - unjustified growth		-2,500 -2,400	
	Global Security Cooperation Management Information		-2,400	
	Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not		-5,200	
	Global Security Contingency Fund - adjustment for		-30,000	
	Warsaw Initiative Fund/Partnership for Peace Program		10,000	
.OTF	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	532,930	532,338	-592
4015	Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag	332,330	-592	-092
	Civilian personner compensation mining lag		-002	
4GTJ	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	2,566,424	2,561,424	-5,000
	Consolidation efforts - unjustified growth		-5,000	
011A	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	416,644	452,242	35,598
VIIM	Transfer from RDTE,DW line 179	710,077	36,998	55,550
	Unjustified growth		-1,400	
IGTN	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	1,891,163	1,869,931	-21,232
	Headquarters support for other programs and initiatives -		-430	
	unjustified growth			

	Budget	Committee	Change from	
O-1	Request	Recommended	Request	
Capital security cost sharing - unjustified growth		-49.051		
Boards, Commissions and Task Force - unjustified growth		-1,365		
OSD Policy - unjustified growth		-3.623		
OSD Comptroller - unjustified growth		-2,483		
OSD Personnel and Readiness - unjustified growth		-3,100		
BRAC 2015 round planning and analyses - early to need		-4,800		
OSD AT&L, RDTE Oversight - unjustified growth		-1,380		
Office of Net Assessment - program increase		20,000		
ASD SOLIC program increase for USSOCOM oversight		2,000		
Readiness environmental protection initiative - program increase		15,000		
OSD Comptroller - audit readiness program increase		8,000		
GT1 SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	87,915	81,915	-6,000	
Specialized Skills Training - Special Warfare Center excess growth		-6,000		
GTQ WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	610,982	587,262	-23,720	
Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag	,.	-2,520	•	
Facilities - justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-21,200		
999 OTHER PROGRAMS	13,563,834	13,417,899	-145,935	
Classified adjustment		-145,935		
IMPACT AID		40,000	40,000	

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUNDING

The Committee recommends a total of \$5,087,384,000 for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) operation and maintenance funding, an increase of \$305,441,000, or six percent over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. In addition, the recommendation transfers \$32,000,000 originally requested within the SOCOM budget to other Department of Defense agencies better equipped to address the needs of the special operations community. The recommendation reflects the Committee's continued commitment to ensure that the necessary resources are provided to maintain and support the readiness of special operators.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—FLYING HOURS RESTORATION

The Committee recommendation provides an increase of \$124,729,000 above the request for the flying hour program of the Special Operations Command (SOCOM). The Committee is disappointed that, again this year, the fiscal year 2015 base budget for SOCOM proposes a reduction to baseline flying hours which would result in only 67 percent of the training requirement being met. The Committee is concerned that while the overall SOCOM budget request represents a nine percent increase over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level, flying hour requirements were not prioritized within the request but rather listed as SOCOM's top unfunded priority. With anticipated reductions in operational flying hours funded in the overseas contingency operations budget due to the Afghanistan drawdown, it is important that baseline SOCOM flying hours training be preserved to maintain pilot proficiency. Therefore, the Committee recommendation restores the proposed flying hours reduction and provides the full amount identified on SOCOM's unfunded priority list.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

The Committee is pleased with the progress made to address concerns regarding the annual congressional budget justification submission. The fiscal year 2015 budget submission was a marked improvement over previous years. The Committee appreciates that changes to the submission require a tremendous effort on the part of the staffs of the Comptroller, Special Operations for Financial Management (SOFM), the individual components, and the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and they are to be commended for their work.

After consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), the Committee agreed to a phased approach to conform the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) budget justification materials to the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation (FMR) Volume 2A, Chapter 3, as it applies to the Services. A two year transition was proposed to establish sub-activity groups and line item/program elements as part of the budget justification submission. The Committee appreciates that it received this level of detail informally from SOCOM during the fiscal year 2015 budget review process. The Committee directs that the fiscal year 2016 submission delineate SOCOM's operation and maintenance budget

at this level of detail in the formal justification material. The Committee further directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Comptroller, SOFM to consult with the Committee during development of the fiscal year 2016 budget submission on actions being taken to make these necessary changes not later than October 1, 2014.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

The Committee is concerned that the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) has not complied with longstanding Department of Defense regulations requiring submission of a prior approval reprogramming request prior to the initiation of a new start as it pertains to operation and maintenance programs. Over the last two years, SOCOM has initiated significant new programs, contracts, and activities that were not previously identified or explicitly justified in budget justification materials without submitting a reprogramming request for approval. SOCOM has also started programs and activities prior to receiving funding approval in the applicable appropriations Acts.

The Committee understands that the characteristics of operation and maintenance programs are such that new starts do not occur with the same frequency as acquisition programs. However, there are new starts in operation and maintenance accounts and, as such, established reprogramming procedures must be followed. Specifically, Department of Defense Federal Management Regulation, Volume 3, Chapter 6, section 060903D states that for operation and maintenance accounts "new starts would be significant new programs, contracts, or activities that have not been explicitly justified to the Congress in budget justification material." The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to review this matter and issue any necessary clarifying guidance to SOCOM and report actions taken to the Committee not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act to ensure full compliance with established regulations. The Committee strongly encourages the Commander of SOCOM to consult with the Committee as necessary.

Finally, the Committee notes that language is included elsewhere in the Committee report reminding the Secretary of Defense that reductions included in the project level tables are to be treated as congressional interest items and thus cannot be restored through the use of below threshold reprogramming actions.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—USE OF MAJOR FORCE PROGRAM-11 FUNDS

In fiscal year 2014, the Committee raised concerns regarding the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) use of Major Force Program-11 (MFP-11) funds for non-MFP-11 activities. MFP-11 funds are to be used only to acquire and/or develop special operations forces (SOF)-peculiar materiel, supplies, and/or services, rather than to supplement or supplant activities that are or should be provided by the military Services. The Committee's review of the report provided in accordance with the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 has raised concerns that SOCOM used a waiver process to use MFP-11 funds for otherwise ineligible activities in a manner inconsistent with existing Department of Defense

directives and regulations. The Committee appreciates the actions being taken by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict to revise the process used to grant waivers from the restrictions on the use of MFP-11 funds, including the requirement for quarterly notifications. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to submit a report not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act that describes the revised process and to submit a quarterly report listing all waivers granted and the justification for each waiver.

The Committee also directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to ensure that the fiscal year 2016 congressional budget justification clearly identifies and justifies all MFP-11 operation and maintenance funding for programs and activities for which the Services or other defense agencies previously had responsibility for funding, including those related to special operations, the justification for the use of MFP-11 funds, and/or the funds that would be transferred between the MFP-11 budget and other Major Force Program budgets and the justification for such transfers.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—OVERSIGHT

Over the years, concerns have been raised regarding the ability of the Department of Defense to conduct the same level of oversight over Special Operations Command (SOCOM) activities and funding as is applied to the Services and other defense agencies. In an era of declining resources and when faced with difficult choices to preserve the most critical capabilities of the Department of Defense, vigorous oversight of all programs and activities is required. The Committee notes that at a time when the Services are facing significant reductions in their manpower and budget requests, SOCOM manpower and budget requests increase in fiscal year 2015. The Committee appreciates the commitment of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict (ASD SOLIC) to provide oversight and looks forward to his recommendations for ways to improve the oversight of SOCOM. The Committee recommendation includes an increase of \$2,000,000 under the Office of the Secretary of Defense (Policy) for the Office of ASD SOLIC for additional staffing to provide necessary oversight.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION

Subsequent to the fiscal year 2015 budget request submission, the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) notified the Committee that it no longer intended to pursue a waiver of section 8018 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 in order to establish an additional SOCOM office in the Washington, D.C. area. Therefore, the Committee recommendation does not include \$5,000,000 to establish a Special Operations Command—National Capital Region entity.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—SUICIDE PREVENTION AND PSYCHOLOGICAL HEALTH

The Committee understands the toll that more than a decade of war has taken on all servicemembers and their families, including special operators. The Committee has always made the care of all servicemembers and their families its highest priority, including

the special operations community.

The Committee is extremely concerned that the suicide rate for special operators has continued to increase since calendar year 2010, and for the past two years the suicide rate within the special operations force has surpassed those of the Services. The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 provided \$17,800,000, the full amount requested by the Special Operations Command (SOCOM), under the Defense Health Program to accelerate the expansion of the embedded behavioral health program to the entire special operations community in an effort to address the psychological health of the force.

While SOCOM has requested significant increases in both fiscal years 2014 and 2015 for the Command's Preservation of the Force and Family Initiative, the Committee notes that this initiative and the corresponding budget requests have been heavily focused on a human performance program. The human performance program has emphasized physical readiness with costly military construction and multi-year service contracts for physical therapists, strength and conditioning specialists, athletic trainers, and sports dieticians. At the same time, SOCOM has not requested funding for targeted suicide prevention programs. According to SOCOM, suicide prevention efforts have been minimal and met as unfunded requirements. Further, the Committee is aware that SOCOM only recently signed and promulgated a force-wide Suicide Prevention

Policy Memorandum dated March 31, 2014.

The Committee shares the concerns expressed in the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 regarding the current emphasis on the human performance program and agrees that suicide prevention and the psychological health of the force must be given the highest priority. Therefore, the Committee recommends a transfer of \$18,800,000 from the amount requested for the human performance program to bolster the psychological health of the force as follows: \$14,800,000 to the Defense Health Program for behavioral health and warrior care management, providing a total of \$29,600,000; and \$4,000,000 to the Office of Suicide Prevention to implement the recommendations of the review and report on suicide among members of the special operations forces as mandated in section 581 of the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015.

The Committee also provides \$1,200,000 for chaplain training, as requested, and expects that training emphasis will be placed on suicide prevention training and awareness efforts within the special approximation committee.

cial operations community.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—HUMAN PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE PROGRAM

The Committee recommends a total of \$24,200,000 to support the physical training programs for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM). While the budget justification documentation included funding within multiple sub-activity groups for the human performance program, the Committee recommendation consolidates all funding for human performance contract personnel across the entire special operations force (SOF) enterprise within the other operations sub-activity group. The recommendation provides a total of \$20,000,000 for the SOF enterprise for human performance contracts, an increase of \$3,500,000 above the fiscal year 2014 enacted level.

The Committee has long supported physical training programs to ensure that special operators are in top physical condition to achieve their mission. As the Committee noted in fiscal year 2014, past training approaches used by SOCOM varied by component and were not scientifically based to address the unique requirements of special operators. Further, the training needs vary significantly between special operators based on their mission set. In fiscal year 2007, at the request of SOCOM, the Committee began to fund the Tactical Athlete Program within the Department of the Navy to provide the necessary scientific research for each individual type of SOF operator in order to design appropriate physical training programs to meet those specialized needs. This approach was previously used by the Department of the Army to successfully develop a training program for the 101st Airborne Division. The Committee continues to believe that the completion of this research program for all SOF components and its corresponding results will provide research-based injury prevention and performance optimization solutions and will enable the design of training programs uniquely tailored to the needs of special operators in the most productive and cost effective manner possible. The Committee is disappointed that while SOCOM has publicly endorsed this research effort, it has not requested funds to complete the multi-year research programs being conducted for Air Force, Army, and Marine Corps special operations components. Therefore, the recommendation transfers \$4,200,000 from SOCOM's human performance program to the Department of the Navy to complete these ongoing assessments.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$4,473,000 for Advanced Education programs for special operations forces (SOF), an increase of \$3,473,000 over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The Committee supports professional military education opportunities for all servicemembers, including those in the SOF community. However, professional military education is a Service-managed and funded responsibility to ensure equitable opportunities for all servicemembers given that the Services are responsible for managing career promotion and command selection decisions. The Committee continues to question the request of the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) to use SOF funding to augment SOF partici-

pation in established Service and civilian programs outside of the normal funding and selection process. The Committee also questions whether SOCOM's proposal to expand education programs to fellowship, doctorate, and executive programs with the private sec-

tor meets existing Department of Defense regulations.

The Committee notes that the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 directs the Secretary of Defense to submit an assessment of SOCOM's master's degree requirements. The Committee believes that the results of that review will assist in providing a better understanding of SOF advanced education requirements, as well as how existing programs are ad-

dressing these requirements.

Finally, the Committee is concerned that SOCOM began the establishment of several new programs in fiscal year 2013 prior to seeking and receiving the necessary congressional approvals for these programs. For example, SOCOM executed an agreement with the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) to provide Major Force Program-11 funds for expanded SOF participation when no funds were appropriated for this effort. This resulted in unnecessary stress and confusion on the part of the impacted servicemembers. Therefore, the Committee recommendation transfers \$1,613,000 from the SOCOM operation and maintenance account to the Naval Postgraduate School account to ensure appropriate program and budget oversight of NPS programs. Additionally, the Committee recommendation includes a reduction of \$2,000,000 from the request based on concerns regarding duplication and unvalidated requirements. The remaining \$860,000 is not to be obligated until 30 days after the congressional defense committees have received the Secretary's assessment as directed by the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 and SOCOM has provided a spend plan for the use of the funds which explains the SOF-unique requirements for which no other Department of Defense or Service program exists.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND-CLASSIFIED ADJUSTMENTS

Further explanation and direction regarding the classified programs and recommendations for the Special Operations Command is contained in the classified annex accompanying this report.

ENERGY EFFICIENCY

The Committee is concerned about the perceived lack of progress on energy efficiency initiatives within the Department of Defense. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act on the energy use and energy efficiency projects at the Pentagon and the ten largest Department of Defense facilities. The report shall include, but is not limited to, an analysis of energy use at the Pentagon Reservation and the ten largest facilities; an accounting, including dates, of when energy audits and energy efficiency projects have been conducted at such facilities; and an accounting of energy savings projects that could be achieved by enacting a consistent, timely, and proper mechanical insulation maintenance program and upgrading mechanical insulation at such facilities.

COMMERCIAL JOINT MAPPING TOOLKIT

The Committee is concerned with the ability of combatant commanders to exploit data provided by the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) in environments with limited bandwidths. The Committee directs the Director of NGA, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense (Intelligence), to submit a report not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act, to the congressional defense and intelligence committees, that details an acquisition strategy, which includes cost and schedule data, for a competitive Commercial Joint Mapping Toolkit follow-on program that supports the combatant commands' requirements for exploitation and dissemination of NGA data in limited bandwidth operational environments.

AUTOMATED CONTINUOUS EVALUATION SYSTEM

The Committee understands that the Automated Continuous Evaluation System (ACES) was developed by the Defense Personnel Security Research Center and is the program that the Department of Defense and the Office of Management and Budget recommend as the enterprise solution for government-wide continuous personnel security evaluations. The Committee further understands that there is an Army pilot program on personnel security evaluation that is being used interchangeably with ACES. The Committee believes that these are two different programs and should be referred to as such.

The Committee believes that the granting of a security clearance for Department of Defense personnel is an inherently governmental function of the Department of Defense. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, on the feasibility and costs associated with the Department of Defense assuming the responsibilities of conducting security clearance investigation activities for all Department of Defense personnel.

The Committee also encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider measures to optimize and streamline data sharing and best practices for continuous personnel security evaluations and threat analysis.

OVERSEAS MILITARY INSTALLATION INVENTORY

The Committee looks forward to receiving the Secretary of Defense's report on the inventory of United States military installations located overseas as directed in the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014. The Committee expects that the report will include an assessment of the requirements for overseas military force structure and infrastructure, an inventory of potentially excess infrastructure, and any opportunities for infrastructure consolidation.

SPARE PARTS MANAGEMENT

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is implementing its Comprehensive Inventory Management Improvement Plan to address inefficiencies and unnecessary expenditures in the management of spare parts. The Committee supports these efforts but continues to monitor the progress of implementation.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act on further implementation of the Comprehensive Inventory Management Improvement Plan. The report should include details of the efforts to strengthen demand forecasting, visibility of on-hand inventory, reviews of on-order excess inventory, and management of inventory held for economic and contingency reasons. Additionally, the report should include a summary of the efforts to establish a standardized set of supply chain and inventory management metrics to measure key attributes including material readiness, responsiveness, reliability, cost, and planning and precision of supply chain management operations.

TRANSPORTABLE, MODULAR, RENEWABLE ENERGY PLATFORMS

The Committee supports efforts by the Department of Defense to invest in cost-saving energy measures, including transportable, modular, renewable energy platforms that reduce the warfighter's reliance on traditional fuel supplies in theater.

NATIONAL SECURITY EDUCATION PROGRAM

The Committee understands that the National Security Education Program (NSEP) plays an important role in increasing the pool of cultural and linguistic experts in government, and it supports Department of Defense and other government agencies efforts to partner with higher education institutions to achieve the goals of the NSEP. The Committee believes that these efforts should continue to consider minority serving institutions, such as Historically Black Colleges and Universities, to ensure diversity within the intelligence community and to increase the number of analysts with proficiency in critical languages and cultural studies, including Chinese, Farsi, and Arabic.

AUDIT READINESS

By September 30, 2017, the Department of Defense is required to validate its financial statements as ready for audit. To prepare for this milestone, the Department has focused its efforts in two broad areas. First, processes, controls, and systems that produce budgetary information and support the Statement of Budgetary Resources have been strengthened. Second, the accuracy and reliability of management information in several critical areas, including military equipment and real property, has been improved. The Government Accountability Office highlighted remaining challenges associated with identifying and mitigating risks to achieving the goals of the Department's Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness (FIAR) effort in a May 2013 review. Successful implementation of the FIAR guidance by the Services and defense agencies, modernizing business information systems, and improving the financial management workforce are essential to preparing for the September 2017 milestone. Accordingly, the Committee provides \$8,000,000 above the request for the Under Secretary of Defense

(Comptroller) to improve business and financial systems throughout the Department to achieve audit readiness.

CLOUD COMPUTING SERVICES

The Committee supports the Department of Defense's investments in cloud computing services for the Joint Information Environment. The Committee is aware of many options for cloud computing services and payment strategies for users to purchase cloud computing services. In order to provide further insight into the Department's cloud computing strategy, the Committee directs the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act on the adoption and potential expansion of cloud computing within the Department, Services and defense agencies. The report shall include an update on the use of commercial cloud computing services in the Department of Defense, the current plans for the expansion of cloud computing, the purchasing and billing methodologies being proposed, an analysis of the costs, and if applicable, the savings associated with the utilization of cloud computing within the Department over the future years defense plan.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$2,940,936,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	2,490,569,000
Committee recommendation	2,535,606,000
Change from budget request	+45,037,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,535,606,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

98

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	LAND FORCES MANEUVER UNITS	***		- 4 -
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	15,200	15,200	
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	502,664	499,275	-3,389
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	107,489	105,242	-2,247
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	543,989	530,162	-13,827
60	AVIATION ASSETS	72,963	64,463	-8,500
70	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	360,082	360,082	
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS	72,491	72,491	
90	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	58,873	58,873	
100	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	388,961	388,961	
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	228,597	251,457	+22,860
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS	39,590	39,590	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,390,899	2,385,796	-5,103
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
130	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	10,608	10,608	
140	ADMINISTRATION	18,587	17,527	-1,060
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	6,681	6,681	
160	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION	9,192	9,192	***
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	54,602	54,602	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4		98,610	-1,060
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		-13,800	-13,800
	RESTORE READINESS		65,000	+65,000
			*****	=========
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE		2,535,606	

99

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

0-1	The state of the s	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES	502,664	499,275 -3.389	-3,389
	Travel - unjustified program growth		-3,309	
114	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	107,489	105,242	-2,247
	Travel - unjustified program growth	,	-2,247	
	, , , ,			
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	543,989	530,162	-13,827
	Justification does not match summary of price and			
	program changes for disability compensation		-2,860	
	Travel - unjustified program growth		-1,604	
	Management and professional support services -			
	unjustified growth		-3,522	
	Regional Training Sites-Medical advisory and assistance			
	services contract - transfer to SAG 121 not properly			
	accounted		-5,841	
116	AVIATION ASSETS	72.963	64,463	-8,500
110	Flying hour program - unjustified program growth	, 2,000	-8,500	-,
	Trying note program anjustined program grown		0,000	
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &			
	MODERNIZATION	228,597	251,457	22,860
	Program increase		22,860	
				4 000
431	ADMINISTRATION	18,587	17,527	-1,060
	Travel - unjustified program growth		-1,060	
	OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		-13,800	-13,800
	RESTORE READINESS		65,000	65,000

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$1,158,382,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,007,100,000
Committee recommendation	1,011,827,000
Change from budget request	+4,727,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,011,827,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

101

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	565,842	565,842	
20	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	5,948	5,948	
40	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	82,636	82,636	
50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	353	353	***
60	AVIATION LOGISTICS	7,007	7,007	
70	RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	8,190	8,190	
80	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING	556	556	
90	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	4,571	4,571	
100	RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	14,472	14,472	
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	119,056	119,056	
120	RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	1,852	1,852	
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	25,354	25,354	
140	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	48,271	53,098	+4,827
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	101,921	101,921	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1		990,856	+4,827
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
160	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION	1,520	1,520	
170	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL	12,998	12,998	
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	3,395	3,395	
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,158	3,158	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4		21,071	
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-100	-100

	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE		1,011,827	

102

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [in thousands of dollars]

0-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & BSMR MODERNIZATION Program increase	48,271	53,098 4,827	4,827
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-100	100

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$255,317,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	268,582,000
Committee recommendation	270,485,000
Change from budget request	+1,903,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$270,485,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

104

			RECOMMENDED	
****	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES OPERATING FORCES	93,093	93,093	
20	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	18,377	18,377	
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	29,232	32,155	+2,923
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	106,447	106,447	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1		250,072	
70	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	914	914	
80	ADMINISTRATION	11,831	11,831	
90	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	8,688	8,688	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4			
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-1,020	-1,020
		***********	==========	
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE		270,485	

105

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

0-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & BSM1 MODERNIZATION Program increase	29,232	32,155 2,923	2,923
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-1,020	-1,020

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$3,062,207,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	3,015,842,000
Committee recommendation	2,989,214,000
Change from budget request	-26,628,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,989,214,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

107

			RECOMMENDED	
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	AIR OPERATIONS PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,719,467	1,709,067	-10,400
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	211,132	208,332	-2,800
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	530,301	530,301	
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	85,672	94,239	+8,567
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	367,966	355,839	-12,127
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	2,914,538	2,897,778	-16,760
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES ADMINISTRATION	59,899	57,596	-2,303
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	14,509	14,044	-465
80	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	20,345	20,345	
90	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	6,551	6,551	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4		98,536	
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		-7,100	-7,100
		=========		
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE		2,989,214	

108

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

	Budget	Committee	Change from
Q-1	Request	Recommended	Request
011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES Justification does not match summary of price and	1,719,467	1,709,067	-10,400
program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-10,400	
011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS Justification does not match summary of price and	211,132	208,332	-2,800
program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-2,800	
011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &			
MODERNIZATION	85,672	94,239	8,567
Program increase		8,567	
011Z BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	367,966	355,839	-12,127
Unjustified program growth Inactive Duty Training Lodging - unjustified program		-8,799	
growth		-3,328	
042A ADMINISTRATION	59,899	57,596	-2,303
Unjustified program growth	•	-2,303	
042J RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	14,509	14,044	-465
Unjustified program growth		-465	
OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS		-7,100	-7,100

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$6,857,530,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	6,030,773,000
Committee recommendation	6,116,307,000
Change from budget request	+85,534,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,116,307,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

110

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	LAND FORCES MANEUVER UNITS	660,648	660,648	
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	165,942	165,942	***
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	733,800	733,800	
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	83,084	83,084	
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	22,005	22,005	
60	AVIATION ASSETS	920,085	920,085	
70	LAND FORCES READINESS FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	680,887	680,887	
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	69,726	68,552	-1,174
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	138,263	138,263	**-
100	LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	804,517	787,353	-17,164
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION \dots	490,205	539,226	+49,021
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	872,140	846,243	-25,897
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	5,641,302	5,646,088	+4,786
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
130	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	6,690	6,690	***
150	ADMINISTRATION	63,075	63,075	
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	37,372	37,372	
170	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	6,484	1,197	-5,287
180	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	274,085	270,823	-3,262
140	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	1,765	1,765	***
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	389,471	380,922	-8,549
	${\tt UNJUSTIFIED} \ \ {\tt GROWTH} \ \ {\tt FOR} \ \ {\tt CIVILIAN} \ \ {\tt PERSONNEL} \ \ {\tt COMPENSATION.} \ .$		-3,703	-3,703
	COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ROTATIONS		23,000	+23,000
	RESTORE READINESS		70,000	+70,000
	TOTAL ODEDATION & MAINTENANCE ADMV NATIONAL CHARD		6,116,307	+85,534
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		0,110,307	

111

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

0-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
122	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS Justification does not match summary of price and	69,726	68,552	-1,174
	program changes for printing and reproduction		-1,174	
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	804,517	787,353	-17,164
	Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase		-10,000	
	Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for travel		-7,164	
132	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &			
	MODERNIZATION	490,205	539,226	49,021
	Program increase		49,021	
133	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS Justification does not match summary of price and	872,140	846,243	-25,897
	program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-25,897	
433	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	6,484	1,197	-5,287
	Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff - unjustified program growth		-131	
	Supplies and materials - unjustified program growth		-5,156	
434	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING Marketing and Advertising programs - unjustified	274,085	270,823	-3,262
	program growth		-3,262	
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION -			
	UNJUSTIFIED PROGRAM GROWTH		-3,703	-3,703
	FULLY FUND TWO COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ROTATIONS - ARMY REQUESTED TRANSFER FROM			
	OM,A SAG 121		23,000	23,000
	RESTORE READINESS		70,000	70,000

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$6,392,304,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	6,392,859,000
Committee recommendation	6,393,919,000
Change from budget request	+1,060,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,393,919,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

113

		REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	REQUEST
	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	AIR OPERATIONS AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,367,729	3,366,229	-1,500
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	718,295	707,095	-11,200
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,528,695	1,528,695	
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	137,604	151,364	+13,760
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	581,536	581,536	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1		6,334,919	
	BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	ADMINISTRATION	27,812	27,812	
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	31,188	31,188	
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	59,000		
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD	6,392,859		+1,060
			==========	===========

114

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

0-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
011F AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS Budget justification does not match summary of price	3,367,729	3,366,229	-1,500
and program changes for flying hour program		-1,500	
011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	718,295	707,095	-11,200
Budget justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel			
compensation		-11,200	
011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &			
MODERNIZATION Program increase	137,604	151,364 13,760	13,760

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	\$5,000,000
Committee recommendation	
Change from budget request	-5,000,000

The Committee recommends no funding for the Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$13,606,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	13,723,000
Committee recommendation	13,723,000
Change from budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,723,000 for the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$298,815,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	201,560,000
Committee recommendation	201,560,000
Change from budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$201,560,000 for Environmental Restoration, Army.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$316,103,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	277,294,000
Committee recommendation	277,294,000
Change from budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$277,294,000 for Environmental Restoration, Navy.

VIEQUES AND CULEBRA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

The Committee remains concerned about the pace of environmental restoration on the islands of Vieques and Culebra. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act detailing a plan and schedule for the completion of the clean-up of the contaminated areas of Vieques and Culebra.

The Committee also notes that there are gaps in information about the types and amounts of ordnance used on Vieques and Culebra and potential links between such ordnance and present threats to public health. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act that details the types of weapons deployed on these islands, as well as the estimated level of use of these types of ordnance at these two sites. Fi-

nally, the Committee also urges the Secretary of Defense to make the relevant documents available to the public.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$439,820,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	408,716,000
Committee recommendation	408,716,000
Change from budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$408,716,000 for Environmental Restoration, Air Force.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$10,757,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	8,547,000
Committee recommendation	8,547,000
Change from budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,547,000 for Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$287,443,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	208,353,000
Committee recommendation	233,353,000
Change from budget request	+25,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$233,353,000 for Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS

The Committee believes that improved oversight and management of the Department of Defense Environmental Restoration programs will yield continued benefits. The Committee awaits the completion and release of the Defense Environmental Program's Annual Report to Congress and looks forward to receiving and reviewing the report and the additional data required by the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014. The Committee reminds the Secretary of Defense that the additional reporting requirements should be considered an ongoing annual requirement.

OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$109,500,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	100,000,000
Committee recommendation	103,000,000
Change from budget request	+3,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$103,000,000 for Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.

HUMANITARIAN MINE ACTION PROGRAM

Explosive remnants of war such as mines, unexploded ordnance, and small arms ammunition disrupt innocent civilian populations in unimaginable ways, including maining, disfiguring, and possibly causing death. The Humanitarian Mine Action (HMA) program provides training and readiness-enhancing benefits to military

forces. The HMA program aids in the development skills for host country personnel to sustain their mine action programs after military trainers have redeployed. To enhance the effectiveness of this program, the Committee recommendation provides an increase of \$3,000,000.

COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$500,455,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	365,108,000
Committee recommendation	365,108,000
Change from budget request	·

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$365,108,000 for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$51,031,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	212,875,000
Committee recommendation	51,875,000
Change from budget request	-161,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$51,875,000 for the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

TITLE III

PROCUREMENT

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense procurement budget request totals \$89,660,299,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$91,227,819,000 for the procurement accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

120

	BUDGET REQUEST		REQUEST
A.W. A. B. V.			
SUMMARY			
ARMY			
AIRCRAFT. MISSILES. WEAPONS, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES. AMMUNITION. OTHER.	5,102,685 1,017,483 1,471,438 1,031,477 4,893,634	5,295,957 1,217,483 1,703,736 1,011,477 4,812,234	+193,272 +200,000 +232,298 -20,000 -81,400
TOTAL, ARMY	13,516,717	14,040,887	+524,170
NAVY			
AIRCRAFT WEAPONS. AMMUNITION SHIPS. OTHER. MARINE CORPS.	13,074,317 3,217,945 771,945 14,400,625 5,975,828 983,352	14,054,523 3,111,931 629,372 14,256,361 5,923,379 927,232	+980,206 -106,014 -142,573 -144,264 -52,449 -56,120
TOTAL, NAVY	38,424,012	38,902,798	+478,786
AIR FORCE			
AIRCRAFT. MISSILES. AMMUNITION. OTHER. TOTAL, AIR FORCE.	11,542,571 4,690,506 677,400 16,566,018	12,046,941 4,546,211 648,200 16,633,023	+504,370 -144,295 -29,200 +67,005
·	50, 7.0, 750	00,0,7,0,0	00.,120
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES	21,638	4,358,121 51,638	+136,684 +30,000
TOTAL PROCUREMENT		91,227,819	+1,567,520

SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations Act (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

The Secretary shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

REPROGRAMMING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act as required in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006.

FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this report.

HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR

The Committee recognizes the versatility and broad application that the High Mobility Engineer Excavator (HMEE) provides for the military. The HMEE offers full spectrum capability to accomplish standard engineer missions of survivability, mobility and counter-mobility, and the expanded mission of route clearance and breaching operations. The HMEE is transportable by C–130 and C–17 aircraft and is capable of operating at speeds of 60 miles per hour. It is a versatile engineer vehicle for expeditionary forces and for homeland support missions. The Committee urges the Service Secretaries to thoroughly review requirements for this vehicle for tactical operations as well as for National Guard dual use applications.

TACTICAL POWER SOURCES

Generators used by the Army and Marine Corps consume a large percentage of the fuel used in Afghanistan. The Committee is aware of the commitment by the Department of Defense to increase fuel efficiency and reduce costs. The Committee understands that the advanced medium power source generators may be more fuel efficient, reliable, lighter, and quieter than legacy tactical generators. The Committee commends the Army and the Marine Corps for pursuing advanced technology in the generation of tactical electricity. The Committee encourages the Secretaries of the Army and the Navy to continue to seek advances in tactical electric power.

MOBILE USER OBJECTIVE SYSTEM TERMINALS

The synchronization of ground terminals with the launch of satellites is a constant challenge for satellite communication systems. If the Department of Defense relies entirely upon acquisition of new terminals for the Mobile User Objective System (MUOS), the Department may not take advantage of the increased capability the MUOS constellation provides. The Committee supports the MUOS program and encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider the upgrade of existing communications terminals to accelerate the fielding of full MUOS capability to as many users as possible.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$4,844,891,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	5,102,685,000
Committee recommendation	5,295,957,000
Change from budget request	+193,272,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,295,957,000 for Aircraft Procurement, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

123

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT		GE FROM EQUEST AMOUNT
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
2	AIRCRAFT FIXED WING UTILITY F/W CARGO AIRCRAFT	1	13,617	1	13,617		
3	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP)	16	185,090	11	138,690	-5	-46,400
4	MQ-1 UAV	19	190,581	19	239,581		+49,000
5	RQ-11 (RAVEN)		3,964		3,964		
6	ROTARY HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)	55	416,617	55	416,617		
7	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN	25	494,009	28	572,009	+3	+78,000
8	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN (AP-CY)		157,338		157,338		
12	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP)	79	1,237,001	87	1,356,227	+8	+119,226
13	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) (AP-CY)		132,138		132,138		
14	CH-47 HELICOPTER	32	892,504	32	892,504		
15	CH-47 HELICOPTER (AP-CY)		102,361		102,361		
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT		3,825,220		4,025,046		+199,826
16	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT MQ-1 PAYLOAD - UAS	2	26,913	2	26,913		***
18	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)		14,182		14,182		
19	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP)		131,892		131,892		
20	AH-64 MODS		181,869		181,869		
21	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS		32,092		32,092		
22	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS		15,029		15,029		
23	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS		76,515		76,515		
25	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN		114,182		114,182		
26	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE		115,795		115,795		
27	GATM ROLLUP		54,277		54,277		~ * *
28	RQ-7 UAV MODS		125,380		125,380		
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		888,126		888,126		

124

			UDGET EQUEST AMOUNT		OMMITTEE ECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
29	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT		66,450		99,059	+32,609
30	SURVIVABILITY CM				7,800	+7,800
31	CMWS		107,364		60,401	-46,963
32	OTHER SUPPORT AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		6,847		6,847	***
33	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		29,231		29,231	
34	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS		48,081		48,081	* * *
35	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL		127,232		127,232	v
36	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		1,203		1,203	
37	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	387	2,931	387	2,931	
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES	*	389,339		382,785	-6,554
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		5,102,685		5,295,957	+193,272

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [in thousands of dollars]

125

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR Funding ahead of need	185,090	138,690 -46,400	-46,400
4	MQ-1 UAV Program increase - Improved Gray Eagle with extended	190,581	239,581	49,000
	range		49,000	
7	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN Program increase	494,009	572,009 78,000	78,000
12	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) Program increase only for the Army National Guard SOCOM - operational loss replacement	1,237,001	1,356,227 103,026 16,200	119,226
29	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT Army requested transfer from line 31	66,450	99,059 32,609	32,609
30	SURVIVABILITY/COUNTER MEASURES Army requested transfer from line 31	0	7,800 7,800	7,800
31	COMMON MISSILE WARNING SYSTEM Army requested transfer to line 30 Army requested transfer to line 29 Excess to need	107,364	60,401 -7,800 -32,609 -6,554	-46,963

ARMY AVIATION RESTRUCTURE INITIATIVE

The Army's fiscal year 2015 budget request proposes a significant restructuring of Army aviation assets. Part of this proposal is to transfer all Apache helicopters from Army National Guard units to the active Army and to shift Blackhawk helicopters from the active Army to the Army National Guard. Another component of the proposal is to retire the Kiowa Warrior helicopter, including the TH-67 helicopter, currently being used as the training platform for Army aviation. The Committee understands that the Army made this proposal primarily for affordability reasons. The Committee approves the proposal, with the exception of the transfer of Apache aircraft from the Army National Guard, as discussed in title VIII of this Act. With respect to the retirement of TH-67 aircraft, the Committee is extremely concerned about the impact on the rotary wing industrial base of placing such a large amount of excess airframes on the market. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act on the aircraft being retired as part of the Army proposal. This report should include the number of airframes being divested, the number of airframes being transferred to other government agencies, the number of airframes being offered for sale to other nations, the cost of divesting these aircraft, and the impact the divestiture of these airframes will have on the domestic rotary wing industrial base. Further, the Secretary of the Army is prohibited from divesting any aircraft until the report is submitted by the Secretary of Defense.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$1,549,491,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,017,483,000
Committee recommendation	1,217,483,000
Change from budget request	+200,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,217,483,000 for Missile Procurement, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

127

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY					
2	OTHER MISSILES SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD)		110,300		110,300	
3	MSE MISSILE	70	384,605	97	532,605	+27 +148,000
4	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY		4,452		4,452	
5	ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	338	77,668	338	77,668	
6	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	1,008	50,368	1,008	50,368	
7	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY (AP-CY)		19,984		19,984	
8	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	534	127,145	534	127,145	
9	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	2,994	21,274	2,994	21,274	
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		795,796		943,796	+148,000
12	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES MODIFICATIONS PATRIOT MODS		131,838		183,838	+52,000
13	STINGER MODS		1,355		1,355	
14	AVENGER MODS		5,611		5,611	
15	ITAS/TOW MODS		19,676		19,676	
16	MLRS MODS		10,380	• • -	10,380	
17	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS		6,008	***	6,008	
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		174,868		226,868	+52,000
18	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		36,930		36,930	***
19	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES AIR DEFENSE TARGETS		3,657		3,657	4 * *
20	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)		1,522		1,522	
21	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		4,710		4,710	
	TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		9,889		9,889	***
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		1,017,483		1,217,483	+200,000

128

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [in thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	MSE MISSILE Program increase	384,605	532,605 148,000	148,000
12	PATRIOT MODS Program increase - radar digital processors	131,838	183,838 52,000	52,000

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$1,610,811,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,471,438,000
Committee recommendation	1,703,736,000
Change from budget request	+232,298,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,703,736,000 for Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

130

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
	PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY					
1	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES STRYKER VEHICLE		385,110		435,110	+50,000
2	MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES STRYKER (MOD)		39,683		39,683	
3	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)		26,759		26,759	
4	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)		107,506		107,506	***
5	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)		45,411		45,411	
6	PALADIN PIPM MOD IN SERVICE	18	247,400	18	247,400	
7	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	15	50,451	40	122,451	+25 +72,000
8	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD)		2,473		2,473	***
9	ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE	7	36,583	7	36,583	
10	M88 FOV MODS		1,975		1,975	***
11	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	8	49,462	8	49,462	
12	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)		237,023		237,023	
13	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM				120,000	+120,000
14	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)		6,478		6,478	
	TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		1,236,314		1,478,314	+242,000
16	WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES MORTAR SYSTEMS		5,012		5,012	***
17	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	8,959	28,390	8,959	28,390	*
18	COMPACT SEMI-AUTOMATIC SNIPER SYSTEM		148		148	***
19	CARBINE	8,234	29,366	26,808	20,616	-11,426 -8,750
21	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION		8,409		8,409	
22	HANDGUN	4,811	3,957	4,811	3,957	

131

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
24	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH M777 MODS		18,166		18,166	
25	M4 CARBINE MODS		3,446		6,446	+3,000
26	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS		25,296		25,296	
27	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS		5,546		5,546	
28	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS		4,635		2,635	-2,000
29	SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS		4,079		4,079	***
30	M119 MODIFICATIONS		72,718		72,718	***
31	M16 RIFLE MODS		1,952			-1,952
32	MORTAR MODIFICATION		8,903		8,903	***
33	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		2,089		2,089	***
34	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)		2,005		2,005	
35	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)		8,911	~ ~ ~	8,911	~ -
36	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		414		414	
37	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)		1,682		1,682	
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		235,124		225,422	-9,702
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY		1,471,438		1,703,736	+232,298

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[in thousands of dollars]

132

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	STRYKER VEHICLE Unfunded requirement - fourth DVH brigade set	385,110	435,110 50,000	50,000
7	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES) Program increase	50,451	122,451 72,000	72,000
13	ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM Program increase	0	120,000 120,000	120,000
19	CARBINE Army requested transfer to RDTE,A line 86 Army requested transfer to RDTE,A line 70	29,366	20,616 -6,702 -2,048	-8,750
25	M4 CARBINE MODS Army requested transfer from line 31 Army requested transfer from line 28	3,446	6,446 1,000 2,000	3,000
28	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS Army requested transfer to line 25	4,635	2,635 -2,000	-2,000
31	M16 RIFLE MODS Army requested transfer to RDTE,A line 70 Army requested transfer to line 25	1,952	0 -952 -1,000	-1,952

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$1,444,067,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,031,477,000
Committee recommendation	1,011,477,000
Change from budget request	-20,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,011,477,000 for Procurement of Ammunition, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

134

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY AMOUNT		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY AMOUNT		CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT	
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY						
	AMMUNITION SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION		24.242		24 242		
1	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES		34,943		34,943	-	
2	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES		12,418		12,418	-	
3	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES		9,655		9,655	-	
4	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES		29,304		29,304		
6	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES	• • •	8,181		8,181	-	
7	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES		52,667		52,667	-	
8	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES		40,904		40,904	-	
9	MORTAR AMMUNITION 60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		41,742		41,742		
10	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		42,433		42,433	-	
11	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES		39,365		39,365	-	
12	TANK AMMUNITION CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM; ALL TYPES		101,900		101,900	-	
13	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION CTG, ARTY, 75MM AND 105MM: ALL TYPES		37,455		37,455		
14	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES		47,023		47,023	-	
15	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982	416	35,672	416	35,672	*	
16	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES		94,010		74,010	-20,0	00
19	ROCKETS SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		945		945	~	
20	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES		27,286		27,286		
21	OTHER AMMUNITION DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES		22,899		22,899	-	
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		22,751		22,751		
23	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	***	7,082		7,082	-	
24	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	***	11,638		11,638	-	

135

			BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
25	MISCELLANEOUS AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES		3,594		3,594	
	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES				***	
27	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES		5,430		5,430	
28	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		8,337		8,337	
29	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT		14,906		14,906	
30	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)		14,349		14,349	
31	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES		111		111	***
	TOTAL, AMMUNITION		767,000		747,000	-20,000
32	AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		148,092		148,092	***
33	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL		113,881		113,881	
34	ARMS INITIATIVE		2,504		2,504	***
	TOTAL, AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		264,477		264,477	
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		1,031,477		1,011,477	-20,000

136

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
16	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL TYPES	94,010	74,010	-20,000
	Funding ahead of need		-20,000	

137

OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$4,936,908,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	4,893,634,000
Committee recommendation	4,812,234,000
Change from budget request	-81,400,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,812,234,000 for Other Procurement, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

138

			BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT		GE FROM EQUEST AMOUNT
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
1	TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES TACTICAL VEHICLES TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS		7,987		7,987		
2	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:		160		160		
3	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)				50,000		+50,000
4	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	176	164,615	176	164,615		
6	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN	19	8,415	19	8,415		
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)	444	28,425	444	78,425		+50,000
8	PLS ESP	198	89,263	198	89,263		
13	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS	735	38,226	735	38,226		
14	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP	768	91,173	701	83,173	-67	-8,000
15	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED MODS	1	14,731	1	14,731		
16	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN	1	175	1	175		
17	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	25	1,338	25	1,338		
18	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER		11,101		11,101		
	TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES		455,609		547,609		+92,000
19	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	1,280	763,087	1,114	664,087	-166	-99,000
20	SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	69	21,157	69	21,157		
21	JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY		7,915		7,915		* * *
22	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)		5,440		5,440		
23	COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS	18	118,085	18	118,085		
24	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS	21	13,999	21	13,999		***
25	SHF TERM		6,494		6,494		***
26	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)		1,635		1,635		
27	SMART-T (SPACE)		13,554		13,554		
28	SCAMP (SPACE)		18,899		18,899		**-
29	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC - GBS		2,849		2,849		***

139

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT		GE FROM EQUEST AMOUNT
30	COMM - C3 SYSTEM COMM - COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND (EMC)		100,000		80,000		-20,000
33	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	2,674	175,711	1,913	125,711	-761	-50,000
34	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVR)		9,692		4,692		-5,000
35	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	620	17,136	620	17,136		***
37	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS - OPA2	3,081	22,099	3,081	22,099		
38	TRACTOR DESK		3,724		3,724		* * -
39	SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT		969		969		
40	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS		294		294		***
41	TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM	8,344	24,354	8,344	24,354		
42	UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE		17,445		17,445		
43	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY		1,028		1,028		
44	FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE	974	22,614	974	22,614		
46	COMM - INTELLIGENCE COMM CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE (MIP)		1,519		1,519		
47	RESERVE CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT	305	12,478	305	12,478		
	TUTODUATTON OFFICERY						
50	INFORMATION SECURITY INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP		2,113		2,113		
51	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC)	2,750	69,646	2,750	69,646		4
52	COMM - LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS		28,913		28,913		
53	COMM - BASE COMMUNICATIONS INFORMATION SYSTEMS		97,091		97,091		***
54	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)		246		246		
55	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM		5,362		5,362		
56	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM		79,965		79,965		

140

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	RI QTY	GE FROM EQUEST AMOUNT
60	ELECT EQUIP ELECT EQUIP - TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA) JTT/CIBS-H (MIP)		870		870		
61	PROPHET GROUND (MIP)	11	55,896	11	55,896		
63	DCGS-A (MIP)	2,423	128,207	2,423	128,207		
64	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS)	2	5,286	2	5,286		
65	TROJAN (MIP)		12,614		12,614		* * *
66	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP)		3,901		3,901		
67	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)(MIP)	358	7,392	358	7,392		
68	ELECT EQUIP - ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	3	24,828	3	24,828		***
70	AIR VIGILANCE (AV)		7,000		7,000		
72	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES		1,285		1,285		
75	ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV) SENTINEL MODS	81	44,305	81	44,305		
76	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	9,700	160,901	9,700	160,901		
78	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF	1,935	18,520	1,935	18,520		
80	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS	173	68,296	173	68,296		
81	FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS (FWS)	1,716	49,205	1,193	34,205	-523	-15,000
82	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	137	4,896	137	4,896		• • •
83	PROFILER		3,115		3,115		
84	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS)		4,186		4,186		
85	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P)	2,622	97,892	2,354	87.892	-268	-10,000
86	JOINT EFFECTS TARGETING SYSTEM (JETS)	41	27,450	41	27,450		
87	MOD OF IN-SERVICE EQUIPMENT (LLDR)	34	14,085	34	14,085		
88	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	255	29,040	255	29,040		
89	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	13	209,050	10	159,050	-3	-50,000

141

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
92	ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY		13,823		13,823	
95	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD	5	27,374	5	27,374	***
97	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS)		2,508		2,508	
99	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE		21,524		21,524	
100	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	3,748	95,455	3,748	95,455	
101	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY		118,600		118,600	
102	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY		32,970		32,970	
104	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET	56	10,113	56	10,113	
105	ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION		9,015		9,015	***
106	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT		155,223		155,223	***
107	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM		16,581		16,581	***
108	HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PROGRAM		65,252		65,252	***
110	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS)		17,631		17,631	
112	ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V) ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT)	51	5,437	51	5,437	
113	ELECT EQUIP - SUPPORT PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)	***	426		426	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		3,707		3,707	***
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		3,239,372		2,990,372	-249,000
115	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE)		937		937	
116	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS)		1,930		1,930	M. M. W.
	CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION		17,468	14,506	17,468	
	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT					
119	TACTICAL BRIDGING	6	5,442	6	5,442	
120	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON		11,013		11,013	

142

	QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT 121 GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS)		37,649		33,249	-4,400
122 HUSKY MOUNTED DETECTION SYSTEM (HMDS)	84	18,545	84	18,545	***
123 ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	1	4,701	1	4,701	
124 EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION		6,346		6,346	***
125 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)	133	15,856	133	15,856	
126 REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS		4,485		4,485	~ ~ ~
127 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT	92	4,938	92	4,938	***
COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT 128 HEATERS AND ECU'S	628	9,235	628	9,235	
130 SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT	1	1,677	1	1,677	***
131 PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS)	12,273	16,728	12,273	16,728	* * *
132 GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM	3,581	84,761	3,581	84,761	
134 FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	141	15,179	141	15,179	
135 CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM	1,386	28,194	1,386	28,194	
137 FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS	336	41,967	336	41,967	
138 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT)	859	20,090	859	20,090	
PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT 139 QUALITY SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT	~	1,435		1,435	***
140 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER	599	40,692	599	40,692	
MEDICAL EQUIPMENT 141 COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	2,388	46,957	2,388	46,957	
MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT 142 MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	60	23,758	60	23,758	
143 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)	585	2,789	585	2,789	
CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT 144 GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE)	22	5,827	22	5,827	
145 SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING	22	14,926	22	14,926	
147 COMPACTOR	617	4,348	617	4,348	
148 HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	14	4,938	14	4,938	***
149 TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	95	34,071	95	34,071	
150 ALL TERRAIN CRANES	4	4,938	4	4,938	
151 PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING		667		667	
153 ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP		14,924		14,924	
154 CONST EQUIP ESP	79	15,933	79	15,933	***

143

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	REQUEST RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUN	
155	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)	53	6,749	53	6,749		
	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP		10,509		10,509		
157	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)		2,166	***	2,166		
	GENERATORS GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT	3,882	115,190	3,545	105,190	-337	-10,000
	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	146	14,327	146	14,327		
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT	1	65,062	1	65,062		
162	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	43	101,295	43	106,295		+5,000
163	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER		13,406		13,406		
164	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA		14,440		14,440		
165	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING		10,165		10,165		
	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD) CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT		5,726		5,726		
167	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)	1,657	37,482	1,657	37,482		
168	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	415	16,061	415	16,061		
170	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		2,380		2,380		
171	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)		30,686		30,686		
172	BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT		1,008		1,008		***
173	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)	3,209	98,559	3,209	83,559		-15,000
174	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)		1,697		1,697		
175	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING		25,394		25,394		
176	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3	963	12,975	963	12,975		~
	TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT,		1,148,621		1,124,221		-24,400
180	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS INITIAL SPARES - C&E	11		11			
	TOTAL, SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS		50,032		50,032		
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HMMWV MODERNIZATION PROGRAM				100,000		+100,000
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		4,893,634		4,812,234	*********	-81,400

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

144

		Budget	Committee	Change from
P-1		request	Recommended	Request
3	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES Program increase	0	50,000 50,000	50,000
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES Program increase	28,425	78,425 50,000	50,000
14	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIPMENT Funding ahead of need	91,173	83,173 -8,000	-8,000
19	WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK Unobligated prior year funds	763,087	664,087 -99,000	-99,000
30	ENROUTE MISSION COMMAND Funding ahead of need	100,000	80,000 -20,000	-20,000
33	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - HMS Unobligated balances	175,711	125,711 -50,000	-50,000
34	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO Funding ahead of need	9,692	4,692 -5,000	-5,000
81	FAMILY OF WEAPON SIGHTS Funding ahead of need	49,205	34,205 -15,000	-15,000
85	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM Funding ahead of need	97,892	87,892 -10,000	-10,000
89	COUNTERFIRE RADARS Funding ahead of need	209,050	159,050 -50,000	-50,000
121	GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM Funding ahead of need	37,649	33,249 -4,400	-4,400
158	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT Funding ahead of need	115,190	105,190 -10,000	-10,000
162	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM Program increase	101,295	106,295 5,000	5,000
173	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT Funding ahead of need	98,559	83,559 -15,000	-15,000
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD HMMWV MOD PROGRAM Program increase	0	100,000 100,000	100,000

HANDHELD STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM

The Committee recognizes that the Army's AN/PSS-14 Handheld Standoff Mine Detection System is a cost effective and efficient method of providing a reliable handheld mine detection capability to the warfighter. The Committee commends the Army's efforts to improve the system's capability through the use of engineering change proposals, to seek cost effective mine detection devices, and to potentially achieve significant savings.

BODY ARMOR MODERNIZATION

The Committee recognizes that numerous lives have been saved by body armor. However, the Committee recalls the struggles involved with production, fielding, and maintenance of body armor kits at the beginning of the war in Iraq. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to develop a plan to replace and refurbish expired body armor, to modernize the body armor inventory through replenishment, and to ensure that the industrial base is able to continue the development and manufacture of more advanced body armor. Elements of the plan shall include the completion and issuance of the Program Executive Office (PEO) Soldier study on the shelf-life of body armor, consistent with that study, a determination of the number of pieces of body armor in the inventory that have expired, the qualification by PEO Soldier of the progressively lighter body armor that is being developed for the Future Soldier Protection Systems program, a determination of the number of expired body armor pieces that should be replaced by the lighter body armor qualified by PEO Soldier or refurbished, a determination of the amount of body armor procurement (both replacement and refurbishment) required on an annual basis to ensure that the manufacturing base remains viable and is able to continue the development of more advanced body armor until the Future Soldier Protection Systems program is implemented, and a detailed schedule for the replacement or refurbishment of expired body armor in the inventory consistent with the PEO Soldier study and as discovered in the above determinations.

AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE PLANNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM

The air and missile defense planning and control system is an Army objective force system that provides integration of air and missile defense operations at all echelons. The Committee is aware that funding provided in the base budget for fiscal year 2015 will be used to buy five air defense and air space management cells. The Committee is concerned that the funding requested, which is double the amount provided in fiscal year 2014 and half the amount provided in fiscal year 2013, may be insufficient to support the software upgrades necessary to meet the needs of the air and missile defense theater aviation commands. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to review and stabilize the funding for this program.

HIGH MOBILITY MULTIPURPOSE WHEELED VEHICLE MODERNIZATION

The Committee recognizes that the National Guard has consistently included modernized High Mobility Multipurpose Wheeled Vehicles (HMMWV) among its top funding priorities. The Committee includes \$100,000,000 above the request to modernize the aging National Guard HMMWV fleet to satisfy this requirement. In conducting fleet modernization, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to integrate advanced safety systems, including the application of emerging commercial technologies such as electronic control units and airbag technology, to improve the safety of these vehicles with respect to underbody blast, crash, or rollover events.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$16,442,794,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	13,074,317,000
Committee recommendation	14,054,523,000
Change from budget request	+980,206,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$14,054,523,000 for Aircraft Procurement, Navy. The total amount recommended in this bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

147

			BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT		GE FROM EQUEST AMOUNT
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
1	COMBAT AIRCRAFT EA-18G		43,547	12	1,018,547	+12	+975,000
5	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	2	610,652	4	865,652	+2	+255,000
6	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (AP-CY)		29,400		29,400		
7	JSF STOVL	6	1,200,410	6	1,200,410		
8	JSF STOVL (AP-CY)		143,885		143,885		
9	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	19	1,487,000	19	1,481,100		-5,900
10	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY)		45,920		45,920		
11	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	26	778,757	26	809,057		+30,300
12	UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY)		80,926		63,354		-17,572
13	MH-60S (MYP)	8	210,209	8	188,440		-21,769
15	MH-60R	29	933,882	29	870,101		-63,781
16	MH-60R (AP-CY)		106,686		106,686		
17	P-8A POSEIDON	8	2,003,327	9	2,128,787	+1	+125,460
18	P-8A POSEIDON (AP-CY)		48,457		48,457		
19	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE	4	819,870	5	902,271	+1	+82,401
20	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP-CY)		225,765		178,488		-47,277
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		8,768,693		10,080,555		+1,311,862

148

			UDGET EQUEST AMOUNT		OMMITTEE COMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
23	OTHER AIRCRAFT KC-130J	1	92,290	1	92,290	
26	MQ-4 TRITON (AP-CY)		37.445		67.670	+30.225
27	MQ-8 UAV		40,663		39,663	-1,000
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT	-	170,398		199,623	+29,225
29	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT EA-6 SERIES		10,993		10,993	
30	AEA SYSTEMS		34,768		44,768	+10,000
31	AV-8 SERIES		65,472		57,972	-7,500
32	ADVERSARY		8,418		8,418	
33	F-18 SERIES		679,177		636,030	-43,147
34	H-46 SERIES		480		480	
36	H-53 SERIES		38,159		36,619	-1,540
37	SH-60 SERIES		108,850	***	101,064	-7,786
38	H-1 SERIES		45,033		42,273	-2,760
39	EP-3 SERIES		32,890		32,890	
40	P-3 SERIES		2,823		2,823	
41	E-2 SERIES		21,208		21,208	***
42	TRAINER A/C SERIES		12,608		12,608	***
44	C-130 SERIES		40,378		35,522	-4,856
45	FEWSG		640		640	
46	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES		4,635		4,035	-600
47	E-6 SERIES		212,876		193,006	-19,870
48	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES		71,328		68,128	-3,200

149

			BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
49	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT		21,317		21,317	
50	T-45 SERIES		90,052		90,052	
51	POWER PLANT CHANGES		19,094		19,094	***
52	JPATS SERIES		1,085		1,085	
54	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT		155,644		144,427	-11,217
55	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES		157,531	***	157,531	
56	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM		1,958		1,958	***
57	ID SYSTEMS		38,880		38,880	***
58	P-8 SERIES		29,797		29,797	***
59	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION		14,770		14,770	
60	MQ-8 SERIES		8,741		8,741	
61	RQ-7 SERIES		2,542		2,542	
62	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY		135,584		128,428	-7,156
63	F-35 STOVL SERIES		285,968		209,877	-76,091
64	F-35 CV SERIES		20,502		20,051	- 451
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		2,374,201		2,198,027	-176,174
65	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		1,229,651		1,064,811	-164,840
66	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT		418,355		398,488	-19,867
67	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		23,843		23,843	***
68	WAR CONSUMABLES		15,939		15,939	
69	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		5,630		5,630	
70	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		65,839		65,839	
71	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	~ ~ ~	1,768		1,768	
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		531,374		511,507	-19,867
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		13,074,317		14,054,523	+980,206

150

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
		43,547	1,018,547	975,000
1	EA-18G Program increase - twelve aircraft	43,347	975,000	370,000
5	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	610,652	865,652	255,000
ŭ	Program increase - two aircraft	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	255,000	
9	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	1,487,000	1,481,100	-5,900
	ECO growth		-5,900	
11	UH-1Y/AH-1Z	778,757	809,057	30,300
	Program increase - one aircraft		30,300	
12	UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY)	80,926	63,354	-17,572
	UH-1Y AP cost growth		-14,600	
	AH-1Z AP cost growth		-1,372	
	Support funding carryover		-1,600	
13	MH-60S (MYP)	210,209	188,440	-21,769
13	Shutdown funding ahead of need	,	-17,000	
	Excess peculiar training equipment		-4,769	
15	MH-60R	933,882	870,101	-63,781
13	Excess ECO funding	***,	-6,581	
	Airframe peculiar ground support equipment growth		-17,000	
	Avionics peculiar ground support equipment growth		-28,000	
	Pubs/tech data growth		-5,000	
	Shutdown funding ahead of need		-1,600	
	Support funding carryover		-5,600	
17	P-8A POSEIDON	2,003,327	2,128,787	125,460
	GFE cost growth		-11,040	
	Airframe contract savings		-13,000	
	Support funding carryover		-4,500	
	Program increase - one aircraft		154,000	
19	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE	819,870	902,271	82,401
	MYP contract savings		-30,000	
	Airframe cost growth		-28,920	
	GFE electronics cost growth		-2,188	
	Other GFE cost growth		-2,241	
	Avionics peculiar ground support equipment cost growth		-15,000 -6.250	
	Support funding carryover		167,000	
	Program increase - one aircraft		167,000	
20	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (AP-CY)	225,765	178,488	-47,277
	EOQ/long lead cost growth		-47,277	
26	MQ-4 TRITON (AP-CY)	37,445	67,670	30,225
	Rephase AP		30,225	
27	MQ-8 UAV	40,663	39,663	-1,000
21	Support funding carryover	,	-1,000	.,
30	AEA SYSTEMS	34,768	44,768	10,000
30	Program increase - low band transmitter upgrades	34,700	10,000	,
	Frogram increase - tow band transmitter upgrades		10,000	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	AV. 0.00000			
31	AV-8 SERIES Litening pod upgrade kit cost growth (OSIP 023-00)	65,472	57,972 -7,500	-7,500
33	F-18 SERIES	679,177	636,030	-43,147
••	Non-recurring installation equipment growth (OSIP 11-99)	0.0,	-2,000	-40,141
	Support equipment and other support funding carryover		2,000	
	(OSIP 11-99)		-3,000	
	ECP 904 installation cost growth (OSIP 11-99)		-3,104	
	Non-recurring installation equipment growth (OSIP 21-00)		-1,200	
	ILS growth (OSIP 14-03)		-6,200	
	Other support forward funded (OSIP 14-03)		-12,158	
	ECP 6038 radome A-kits ahead of need (OSIP 002-07) Support equipment funding previously appropriated (OSIP		-2,384	
	11-10)		-3,501	
	Data funding growth (OSIP 018-14)		-9,600	
36	H-53 SERIES	38,159	36,619	-1,540
	Kapton wiring installation cost growth (OSIP 008-06)		-1,540	
37	SH-60 SERIES	108.850	101,064	-7,786
٠.	Data link A-kit cost growth (OSIP 009-07)	,,,,,,,,	-6,086	.,
	Other support growth (OSIP 009-07)		-1,700	
38	H-1 SERIES	45,033	42,273	-2,760
	Full motion video installation cost growth (OSIP 015-12)		-1,000	
	Brite star block IIB kit contract savings (OSIP 016-12)		-1,760	
44	C-130 SERIES	40,378	35,522	-4,856
	NRE ahead of need (OSIP 020-12)		-1,106	
	NRE ahead of need (OSIP 019-14)		-3,750	
46	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	4,635	4,035	-600
	Installation cost growth (CNS/ATM OSIP)		-600	
47	E-6 SERIES	212,876	193,006	-19,870
	Excess support funding (OSIP 003-04)		-3,150	
	SLEP installation cost growth (OSIP 003-07) Communications upgrade kit installation ahead of need		-3,941	
	(OSIP 012-07)		-4,145	
	Block 1 upgrade kit installation ahead of need (OSIP 008-		0.007	
	10) FAB-T lab production concurrency (OSIP 010-12)		-6,387 -2,247	
	EVECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERVES	71,328	68,128	-3.200
48	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES NRE restructure (OSIP 010-12)	/1,328	-3,200	-3,200
	NRE restructure (OSIP 010-12)		-3,200	
54	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT Testing (OSIP 005-08)	155,644	144,427 -3.260	-11,217
	ALQ-214 install equipment cost growth (OSIP 004-12)		-3,260 -5,957	
	Other support growth (OSIP 004-12)		-2,000	
62	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	135,584	128,428	-7,156
	installation ahead of need (OSIP 022-01)		-5,804	.,
	Main landing gear fire suppression A-kits ahead of need		•	
	(OSIP 022-01)		-1,352	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
63	F-35 STOVL SERIES	285,968	209,877	-76,091
	Block 3i upgrade kit cost growth (OSIP 015-14)		-8,274	
	Concurrency re-pricing (OSIP 023-14)		-67,817	
64	F-35 CV SERIES	20,502	20,051	-451
	Concurrency re-pricing (OSIP 024-14)		-451	
65	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	1,229,651	1,064,811	-164,840
	JSF STOVL cost growth		-42,695	
	Replenishment spares growth		-122,145	
66	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	418,355	398,488	-19,867
	TPS transition growth		-1,156	
	KC-130J simulator upgrade cost growth		-2,721	
	T-45 flight trainer upgrades contract delay		-8,397	
	CH-53E trainer upgrades contract delay		-4,101	
	E-2/C-2 trainer upgrades contract delay		-3,492	

MH-60R

The Navy proposes to prematurely terminate the MH–60R helicopter production line in fiscal year 2016, despite the program being bound under a multi-year procurement contract, along with the Army's UH–60 Blackhawk helicopters. The rationale for this termination is due to force structure changes, partially driven by the decision to decrease the number of carrier air wings as a result of the Navy's decision to prematurely decommission the USS George Washington (CVN–73). As discussed elsewhere in this report, the Committee provides the required fiscal year 2015 funding to retain CVN–73, thus obviating that variable as a reason to prematurely terminate the MH–60R procurement program. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to fully fund the remaining MH–60R helicopters as previously planned and fulfill the terms of the joint H–60 multi-year procurement with the Army.

EA-18G AIRCRAFT

The EA–18G aircraft provides the Navy, and the nation, with an unequaled airborne electronic attack capability. The Navy has recently conducted studies showing that the capability brought by the EA–18G aircraft increases with the addition of two aircraft per carrier based squadron. Due to an increasing electronic threat from the nation's adversaries, the Committee sees great value in a more capable airborne electronic attack presence. Therefore, to begin to increase the size of carrier based airborne electronic attack squadrons, the recommendation provides an additional \$975,000,000 for the procurement of twelve EA–18G aircraft.

WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$3,009,157,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	3,217,945,000
Committee recommendation	3,111,931,000
Change from budget request	-106,014,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,111,931,000 for Weapons Procurement, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

154

		BUDGET REQUEST			COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY AMOUNT	
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
1	BALLISTIC MISSILES MODIFICATION OF MISSILES TRIDENT II MODS		1,190,455	***	1,166,948	-23,607	
2	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		5,671		5,671		
	TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES		1,196,126		1,172,619	-23,507	
3	OTHER MISSILES STRATEGIC MISSILES TOMAHAWK	100	194,258	100	271,958	+77,700	
4	TACTICAL MISSILES ANRAAM		32,165			-32,165	
5	SIDEWINDER	167	73,928	167	68,248	-5,680	
6	JSOW	200	130,759	200	108,159	-22,600	
7	STANDARD MISSILE	110	445,836	110	434,836	-11,000	
8	RAM	90	80,792	90	80,792		
11	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITION	14	1,810	14	1,810		
12	AERIAL TARGETS		48,046		45,683	-2,363	
13	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT		3,295		3,295		
14	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES	104	119,434	104	114,434	-5,000	
15	HARM MODS		111,739		106,489	-5,250	
16	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		2,531		2,531		
17	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON		208,700		206,700	-2,000	
18	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		73,211		73,211		
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		1,526,504		1,518,146	-8,358	

155

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT			 	
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP				
19	SSTD		6,562	 5,062	-1,500
20	MK-48 TORPEDO		14,153	 	-14,153
21	ASW TARGETS		2,515	 2,515	
22	MK-54 TORPEDO MODS		98,928	 58,255	-40,673
23	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS		46,893	 42,796	-4,097
24	QUICKSTRIKE MINE		6,966	 6,966	
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT				
25	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		52,670	 50,070	-2,600
26	ASW RANGE SUPPORT		3,795	 3,795	
27	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		3,692	 3,692	
	TOTAL, TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT		236,174	173,151	-63,023
	OTHER WEAPONS				
28	GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS		13,240	 13,240	
	MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS		75.108	 72.258	-2.850
29	CIWS MODS		75,108	 72,258	-2,830
30	COAST GUARD WEAPONS		18,948	 13,356	-5,592
31	GUN MOUNT MODS		62,651	 59,967	-2,684
33	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS		15,006	 15,006	
	TOTAL, OTHER WEAPONS		184,953	173,827	-11,126
35	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		74,188	 74,188	
	TATAL MEADONS BROCHREMENT NAMV		3,217,945	3,111,931	-106.014
	TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		3,217,945		*100,014

156

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1 TRIDENT II MODS	1,190,455	1,166,948	-23,507
SPALT kit cost growth	.,,,,,,,,	-917	•
Guidance hardware cost growth		-22,590	
3 TOMAHAWK	194,258	271,958	77,700
Support funding carryover		-3,000	
Canister contract savings		-1,000	
Program increase - 96 missiles		81,700	
4 AMRAAM	32,165	0	-32,165
Unjustified request		-32,165	
5 SIDEWINDER	73,928	68,248	-5,680
Block II AUR cost growth		-1,980	
Support funding carryover		-3,700	
6 JSOW	130,759	108,159	-22,600
AUR cost growth		-6,600	
Excess command and launch/test and evaluation funding		-6,000	
Telemeters ahead of need		-10,000	
7 STANDARD MISSILE	445,836	434,836	-11,000
Support funding carryover		-10,000	
Installation, checkout, and training growth		-1,000	
12 AERIAL TARGETS	48,046	45,683	-2,363
MSST long lead ahead of need		-363	
Mission target kit growth		-2,000	
14 ESSM	119,434	114,434	-5,000
Support funding carryover		-5,000	
15 HARM MODS	111,739	106,489	-5,250
AUR kit cost growth		-3,250	
Tooling and test equipment growth		-2,000	
17 FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	208,700	206,700	-2,000
Support funding carryover		-2,000	
19 SSTD	6,562	5,062	-1,500
Support funding growth		-1,000	
Unfunded outyear tail		-500	
20 MK-48 TORPEDO	14,153	0	-14,153
Support funding ahead of need		-14,153	
22 MK-54 TORPEDO MODS	98,928	58,255	-40,673
Excess modification kit installation funding		-5,900	
Unjustified NRE		-2,553	
MK-54 kit contract slip		-28,100	
VLA kit contract slip		-4,120	
23 MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	46,893	42,796	-4,097
Crossover battery contract slip		-1,097	
Support funding carryover		-3,000	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
25 TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	52.670	50,070	-2.600
Support funding carryover	32,010	-2,600	-2,000
29 CIWS MODS	75,108	72,258	-2,850
Coast Guard CIWS ahead of need		-2,850	
30 COAST GUARD WEAPONS	18,948	13,356	-5,592
MK-38 Mod 2 gun contract delay		-5,592	
31 GUN MOUNT MODS	62,651	59,967	-2,684
Installation funding ahead of need		-2,684	

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$549,316,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	771,945,000
Committee recommendation	629,372,000
Change from budget request	$-142,\!573,\!000$

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$629,372,000 for Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

159

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT		OMMITTEE ECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS					
1	PROC AMMO, NAVY NAVY AMMUNITION GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		107,069		70,700	-36,369
2	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		70,396		67,416	-2,980
3	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION		20,284		20,284	
4	PRACTICE BOMBS		26,701		26,701	
5	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES		53,866		50,866	-3,000
6	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES		59,294		59,294	
7	JATOS		2,766			-2,766
8	LRLAP		113,092		113,092	
9	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION		35,702		35,702	***
10	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION		36,475		2,133	-34,342
11	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION		43,906		30,116	-13,790
12	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO		51,535		50,535	-1,000
13	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION		11,652		11,652	
14	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 HILLION		4,473		1,507	-2,966
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, NAVY		637,211	-	539,998	-97,213

160

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
15	PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION		31,708		31,708	
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES		692		692	
17	40 MM, ALL TYPES		13,630		6,965	-6,665
18	60MM, ALL TYPES		2,261		2,261	
19	81MM, ALL TYPES		1,496		1,496	
20	120MM, ALL TYPES		14,855		7,144	-7,711
22	GRENADES, ALL TYPES		4,000		3,000	-1,000
23	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES		16,853		545	-16,308
24	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES		14,772		12,068	-2,704
26	FUZE, ALL TYPES		9,972			-9,972
27	NON LETHALS		998		998	
28	AMMO MODERNIZATION		12,319		11,319	-1,000
29	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		11,178		11,178	
	TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS		134,734		89,374	-45,360
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS		771,945		629,372	-142,573

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

161

Committee Change from Budget Recommended Request P-1 Request -36,369 1 GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS 107,069 70,700 Direct attack moving target capability cost growth FMU-139 contract delay -1.522-12,000 Laser guided bomb tailkit contract delay -16.647 -4,000 Product improvement program growth Support funding carryover -2,200 2 AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES 70,396 67,416 -2,980 -1,000 -1,980 Support funding carryover APKWS contract savings 50.866 -3.000 5 CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES 53,866 F-18 DIFRS growth -1,000 -2,000 T-6A growth -2,766 2.766 7 JATOS -2,766 Program delay 2,133 -34,342 10 INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION 36,475 MK-295 57MM contract delay MK-296 57MM contract delay -9,638 11 OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION 43,906 30,116 -13,790 -13,790 30MM contract delay 12 SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO 51,535 50,535 -1,000 -1,000 Support funding carryover 4,473 1,507 -2,966 AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION -2,966 LUU-19 paraflares contract delay 13,630 6,965 -6,665 17 40 MM, ALL TYPES -810 -5,855 C1 LAP kits cost growth 40MM practice day/night LAP kit contract delay **7,144** -7,711 -7,711 20 120MM, ALL TYPES 14.855 120MM white phosphorous AUR contract delay -1,000 3,000 22 GRENADES, ALL TYPES 4,000 -1,000 66MM SSVL MK-1 contract delay 545 -16,308 23 ROCKETS, ALL TYPES 16,853 -16,308 83MM HEA contract delay 12,068 -2,704 14,772 24 ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES -2,704 HE M795 explosive fill growth -9,972 26 FUZE, ALL TYPES 9,972 -9,972 Precision guided fuze contract delay 28 AMMO MODERNIZATION 12,319 11,319 -1.000

-1,000

Program growth

SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$15,231,364,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	14,400,625,000
Committee recommendation	14,256,361,000
Change from budget request	-144,264,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$14,256,361,000 for Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

163

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY					
1	OTHER WARSHIPS CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	~ - ~	1,300,000		1,289,425	-10,575
2	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	2	3,553,254	2	3,507,175	-46,079
3	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY)		2,330,325		2,301,825	-28,500
	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY)				491,100	+491,100
6	DDG 1000		419,532		419,532	
7	DDG-51	2	2,671,415	2	2,655,785	-15,630
8	DDG-51 (AP-CY)		134,039		134,039	
9	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	3	1,427,049	2	951,366	-1 -475,683
	TOTAL, OTHER WARSHIPS		11,835,614		11,750,247	-85,367
10	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		12,565		12,565	
14	LHA REPLACEMENT (AP-CY)		29,093		29,093	
15	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL		4,590			-4,590
	TOTAL, AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		46,248		41,658	-4,590
16	AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM COSTS MOORED TRAINING SHIP	1	737,268	1	737,268	
17	MOORED TRAINING SHIP (AP)		64,388		64,388	***
18	OUTFITTING		546,104		491,797	-54,307
19	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR	2	123,233	2	123,233	***
20	LCAC SLEP	2	40,485	2	40,485	
21	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS		1,007,285	~ ~ ~	1,007,285	* * *
	TOTAL, AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM		2,518,763		2,464,456	-54,307
	TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		14,400,625		14,256,361	-144,264

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

164

-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Reques
-1	11041001		
1 CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	1,300,000	1,289,425	-10,57
CANES cost growth		-2,051	
CANES system engineering growth		-1,543	
Digital modular radio cost growth		-1,092	
IFF cost growth		-1,573	
SPN-46 cost growth		-1,473	
Technical engineering services growth		-2,843	
2 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	3,553,254	3,507,175	-46,07
Propulsion equipment cost growth		-42,700	
GFE savings		-3,379	
3 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY)	2,330,325	2,301,825	-28,50
Propulsion equipment cost growth	.,,	-28,500	
CONTRECTION OVERHALL S (AD CV)	0	491,100	491,10
5 CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY) Program increase - restore CVN-73 refueling	•	491,100	,
Program increase - restore CVN-73 refueling		401,100	
7 DDG-51	2,671,415	2,655,785	-15,63
Main reduction gear contract savings		-6,122	
Tomahawk weapons system other cost growth		-1,720	
SQQ-89 hardware excess to need		-7,788	
9 LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	1,427,049	951,366	-475,68
Reduce one ship		-475,683	
15 JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL	4,590	0	-4,590
Program closeout ahead of need		-4,590	
18 OUTFITTING	546,104	491,797	-54,30
LPD-26 outfitting phasing		-6,950	
AFSB-2 outfitting phasing		-4,316	
DDG-1001 outfitting phasing		-10,770	
SSN-787 outfitting phasing		-8,000	
SSN-788 outfitting phasing		-1,600	
LCAC-78 and 83 post delivery ahead of need		-411	
AFSB-1 post delivery ahead of need		-2,911	
LCS-7 and 8 post delivery phasing		-10,662	
SSN-786 post delivery ahead of need		-7,839	
SSN-787 post delivery ahead of need		-848	

CRUISER MODERNIZATION

The Committee is pleased that the budget request did not propose to retire seven Ticonderoga Class Guided Missile Cruisers as had been proposed for the last two years. These cruisers have many years of additional service life remaining and with the Navy struggling to reach and maintain its requisite fleet size of 306 ships, retiring these valuable assets early does not make sense. However, the Committee was disappointed that the most recent Navy proposal included a plan to lay-up eleven of these ships for an average of nine years, starting in fiscal year 2015. The Committee is concerned that this long term lay-up will lead to decommissioning some or all of these cruisers in the near future. While the Committee understands the rationale for the proposal, there is likely a more reasonable middle ground between continuing to operate the ships and decommissioning the ships. Therefore, the Committee agrees with a lay-up and modernization plan for the cruisers, with certain modifications. Instead of inducting all eleven cruisers at one time, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to induct no more than two cruisers per year into the phased modernization period, beginning with two cruisers being inducted in fiscal year 2016. Further, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to allow no more than six cruisers in lay-up at any given time. Finally, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to ensure that the duration of the lay-up period be no longer than four years and that modernization equipment be ordered and placed on contract in the year prior to the ship entering its modernization period. The Committee believes this approach will maximize the use of the Navy's cruiser fleet while ensuring it is modernized and relevant for the duration of its service life. The Committee recognizes this approach comes with a cost and therefore adds \$540,000,000 to the Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund, as outlined in title VIII of this bill.

AIRCRAFT CARRIER REFUELING

The Navy includes no funding in the fiscal year 2015 budget request for the refueling of the aircraft carrier USS George Washington (CVN-73). While the Secretary of the Navy explained this as a cost saving measure and a deferral of the actual refueling decision, the Committee believes it to be a shortsighted position since the Congress has already appropriated in excess of \$500,000,000 towards the effort. The George Washington will have half of its service life remaining after being refueled. Nearly \$3,000,000,000 was invested for the construction of the George Washington in 1983, and the Committee believes that walking away from the George Washington halfway through its service life is shortsighted. The Committee is also concerned with the Navy's lack of budgetary discipline, sending a fiscally broken program to Congress for the second consecutive year. Last year, the Secretary of the Navy did not fully fund the procurement of the two submarines requested, forcing Congress to provide nearly \$1,000,000,000 to fully fund the program. program. Again this year, the Committee must provide \$789,300,000 to maintain the CVN-73 refueling schedule, despite the Navy's claim it can simply defer the decision to fiscal year

2016. Therefore, the Committee provides \$789,300,000 to maintain the CVN-73 refueling schedule, and directs the Secretary of the Navy to fully fund the remaining cost of the CVN-73 refueling in fiscal year 2016.

LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP

The Committee is extremely concerned with statements made by the Secretary of Defense regarding the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS). While presenting the fiscal year 2015 budget, he expressed concerns as to whether LCS has the independent protection and firepower to operate and survive against a more advanced military adversary and emerging new technologies, especially in the Asia-Pacific region. The Secretary further stated that, in light of continued fiscal restraints, the Department of Defense must direct future shipbuilding resources toward platforms that can operate in every region along the full spectrum of conflict. The Secretary subsequently directed that the Navy not engage in new LCS contract negotiations beyond 32 ships and that it submit alternative proposals to procure a capable and lethal small surface combatant, generally consistent with the capabilities of a frigate. Within these alternative proposals, the Navy should consider a completely new design, existing ship designs, and a modified LCS design. Under the current plan, the Navy would purchase the 32nd LCS in fiscal year 2018, a full four years after the very strong, worrisome statements made by the Secretary of Defense. The Committee believes that if the current LCS is not the correct small surface combatant of the future, the Navy should correct its course sooner rather than later and begin purchasing the correct ship well before fiscal year 2019. The Committee was surprised that the Secretary of Defense allowed so much time to pass before ensuring the correct small surface combatant begins construction. Therefore, the recommendation removes \$476,000,000 and one ship from the request to minimize the number of ships being procured prior to the completion of the Navy's review while maintaining the industrial base. Additionally, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to include the results of the small surface combatant study into the shipbuilding plan in time to influence the procurement of small surface combatant ships in fiscal year 2016.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$5,572,618,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	5,975,828,000
Committee recommendation	5,923,379,000
Change from budget request	-52,449,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,923,379,000 for Other Procurement, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

167

		BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY AMOUNT	
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
	SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
1	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT LM-2500 GAS TURBINE		7,822		7,822	* - *	
2	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE		2,155		2.155		
3	HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED)		22,704		19,278	-3,426	
	GENERATORS		,		.,	•	
4	SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E		29,120		26,664	-2,456	
3	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT		45,431		44,311	-1,120	
6	PERISCOPES SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP		60,970		57,221	-3,749	
7	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT DDG MOD		338,569		324,219	-14,350	
8	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT		15,486		15,486		
9	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD		2,219		2,219	* - *	
10	LHA/LHD MIDLIFE		17,928		14,048	-3,880	
11	LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE		22,025		22,025		
12	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		12,607		10,146	-2,461	
13	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		16,492		11,815	-4,677	
14	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		74,129		70,689	-3,440	
15	LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		36,206		25,742	-10,464	
16	SUBMARINE BATTERIES		37,352		36,352	-1,000	
17	LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		49,095		44,562	-4,533	
18	DOG-1000 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		2,996			-2,996	
19	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP		11,558		11,558		
20	DSSP EQUIPMENT		5,518		5,518		
22	LCAC		7,158		7,158	* * *	
23	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS		58,783		52,708	-6,075	
24	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		68,748		62,772	-5,976	
25	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS		2,937		2,937		
26	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM		8,385		8,385		
27	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT REACTOR POWER UNITS				298,200	+298,200	
28	REACTOR COMPONENTS		288,822		288,822		

168

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
29	OCEAN ENGINEERING DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT		10,572		10,572	
30	SMALL BOATS STANDARD BOATS	~	129,784		126,445	-3,339
31	TRAINING EQUIPMENT OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT		17,152	~	17,152	
32	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT OPERATING FORCES IPE		39,409		39,409	
33	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS		118,129		118,129	***
34	LCS MODULES		37,413		31,317	-6,096
35	LCS MCM MISSION MODULES		15,270		15,270	
36	LCS ASW MISSION MODULES		2,729			-2,729
37	LCS SUW MISSION MODULES		44,208		35,302	-8,906
38	REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)		42,276		42,276	
	LOGISTICS SUPPORT					
	TOTAL, SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		1,702,157		1,908,684	+206,527
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT					
40	SHIP SONARS SPQ-9B RADAR		28,007		26,735	-1,272
41	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM		79,802		78,802	-1,000
42	SSN ACOUSTICS		165,655		160,932	-4,723
43	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		9,487		4,663	-4,824
44	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS		11,621		11,621	***
46	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM		24,221		22,721	-1,500
47	\$\$TD		12,051		12,051	
48	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM		170,831		170,831	***
49	SURTASS		9,619		9,619	***
50	TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER		14,390		14,390	
51	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT AN/SLQ-32.		214,582		195,002	-19,580
52	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT		124,862		123,362	-1,500
53	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS)		164		164	
54	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	w	45,362		36,938	-8,424

169

		BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
55	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY	 33,939	 33,939	
54	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS)	 324	 324	
57	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)	 18,192	 18,192	
58	ATDLS	 16,768	 16,768	
59	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS)	 5,219	 5,219	
60	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	 42,108	 40,499	-1,609
62	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	 15,232	 15,232	
63	ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV	 4,524	 4,524	
64	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	 6,382	 6,382	
65	TRAINING EQUIPMENT OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	 46,122	 44,058	-2,064
66	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT MATCALS	 16,999	 16,999	
67	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	 9,366	 9,366	
68	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM	 21,357	 21,357	
69	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM	 26,639	 26,639	
70	AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	 9,214	 9,214	
71	MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM	 13,902	 13,902	
72	ID SYSTEMS	 34,901	 28,543	-6,358
73	TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS(TAMPS)	 13,950	 13,950	
74	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT	 1,205	 1,205	***
75	TADIX-B	 3,447	 3,447	
76	GCCS-M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE	 16,766	 16,766	
77	DCGS-N	 23,649	 23,649	**-
78	CANES	 357,589	 357,589	
79	RADIAC	 8,343	 5,153	-3,190
80	CANES-INTELL	 65,015	 65,015	
81	GPETE	 6,284	 6,284	
82	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	 4,016	 4,016	***
83	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION	 4,113	 4,113	
84	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	 45,053	 58,365	+13,312

170

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS					
85	SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS		14,410		14,410	
86	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION		20,830		20,830	~
88	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M		14,145		14,145	***
89	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT		11,057		11,057	•••
90	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT		67,852		64,954	-2,898
91	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS		13,218		11,453	-1,765
92	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)		272,076		233,417	-38,659
93	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT		4,369		4,369	***
94	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS		1,402		1,402	+++
95	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)		110,766		109,266	-1,500
96	MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM		979	~	979	
97	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP		11,502		11,502	
98	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT		2,967		2,967	
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		2,326,845		2,239,291	-87,554
	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
100	SONOBUOYS SONOBUOYS - ALL TYPES		182,946		182,946	
101	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		47,944		47,944	***
103	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT		76,683		55,738	-20,945
106	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT		12,575		12,575	
107	OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT		1,415		1,415	
109	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES		23,152		23,152	
114	AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		52,555		45,705	-6,850
	TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		397,270		369,475	-27,795

171

			BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY AMOUNT
115	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT SHIP GUN SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT		5,572		5,572	
118	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		165,769		143,570	-22,199
123	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		61,462		60,062	-1,400
126	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP		229,832		201,832	-28,000
127	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS		66,020		60,804	-5,216
128	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		7,559		7,559	***
132	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP		20,619		20,619	W 66 W
133	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		11,251		10,759	-492
137	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE TRAINING DEVICE MODS		84,080		70,672	-13,408
	TOTAL, ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		652,164		581,449	-70,715
138	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		2,282		2,282	***
139	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS		547		547	
140	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP		8,949		6,187	-2,762
141	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT		14,621		14,621	
142	TACTICAL VEHICLES		957		957	* w.w.
143	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT		8,187		8,187	p = =
144	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT		2,942		2,942	
145	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION		17,592		16,142	-1,450
146	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES		1,177		1,177	
	TOTAL, CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		57,254		53,042	-4,212
147	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		10,937		10,937	
148	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		10,374		6,674	-3,700
149	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		5,668		5,668	
150	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS		90,921		39,921	-51,000
	TOTAL, SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		117,900		63,200	-54,700

172

		BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY AMOUNT
PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT TRAINING DEVICES 151 TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	***	22,046	***	22,046	
COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT 152 COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		24,208		24,208	
153 EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		874		874	* * *
154 MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		2,634		2,634	
156 NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		3,573		3,573	
157 OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		3,997		3,997	
158 C4ISR EQUIPMENT		9,638		9,638	* * *
159 ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		21,001		21,001	
160 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		94,957		90,957	-4,000
161 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY		87,214		87,214	
164 NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE		116,165		106,165	-10,000
TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		386,307		372,307	-14,000
165 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		325,084		325,084	
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		10,847		10,847	
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		5,975,828		5,923,379	-52,449

173

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

.1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change fron Reques
1			
3 HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE	22,704	19,278	-3,426
Excess installation funding		-1,926	
Modification funding ahead of need		-1,500	
4 SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E	29,120	26,664	-2,456
Excess installation funding		-2,456	
3 OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	45,431	44,311	-1,120
AN/WSN-9 procurement ahead of need		-1,120	
6 SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP	60,970	57,221	-3,749
Interim contractor support carryover		-3,100	
Excess installation funding		-649	
7 DDG MOD	338,569	324,219	-14,350
GEDMS engineering services funding carryover	•	-1,272	
Excess GEDMS DSA funding		-900	
MCS/DCS engineering services funding carryover		-7,500	
Excess MCS/DCS DSA funding		-2,091	
Excess CEC installation funding		-2,587	
10 LHA/LHD MIDLIFE	17,928	14,048	-3,88
Excess power management platform DSA funding		-2,480	
Excess HVAC DSA funding		-1,400	
12 POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	12,607	10,146	-2,46
R-114 procurement ahead of need		-2,461	
13 SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	16,492	11,815	-4,677
SSN-21 HM&E modernization growth		-4,677	
14 VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	74,129	70,689	-3,440
TI-02 installation cost growth		-3,440	
15 LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	36,206	25,742	-10,464
Main propulsion diesel battle spare ahead of need		-5,200	
Waterjet contract delay		-3,866	
Water jet cost growth		-1,398	
16 SUBMARINE BATTERIES	37,352	36,352	-1,000
Support growth		-1,000	
17 LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	49,095	44,562	-4,53
HM&E mechanical modifications ahead of need		-2,778	
SWAN CANES procurement ahead of need		-1,755	
18 DDG-1000 SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,996	0	-2,99
HM&E improvement ahead of need		-2,996	
23 UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	58,783	52,708	-6,07
Product improvement growth	•	-1,000	
MK-18 UUV retrofit kits and ancilliary equipment contract			
delay		-5,075	

2-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
24 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION Machinery plant upgrade installation cost growth	68,748	62,772 -5.976	-5,976
mosmos, passe opgrada matamation cost growth		-5,576	
27 REACTOR POWER UNITS Program increase - restore CVN-73 refueling	0	298,200 298,200	298,200
30 STANDARD BOATS	129,784	126.445	-3,339
Medium workboat contract delay	125,164	-1,776	-5,555
Large force protection boat contract delay		-791	
7M RIB contract delay		-772	
34 LCS MODULES	37,413	31,317	-6.096
MPCE cost growth		-1,026	*,***
MK-50 gun training system growth		-2,500	
SUW support and shipping container cost growth		-2,570	
36 LCS ASW MISSION MODULES	2,729	0	-2,729
ASW containers ahead of need		-2,729	
37 LCS SUW MISSION MODULES	44,208	35.302	-8.906
Gun module cost growth	,	-6,108	-,
Maritime security module cost growth		-2,798	
40 SPQ-9B RADAR	28,007	26,735	-1,272
Periscope detection and discrimination kit cost growth	,	-1,272	,,
41 AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM	79,802	78,802	-1,000
CSSQT growth	,	-1,000	,,,,,
42 SSN ACOUSTICS	165,655	160,932	-4,723
Virginia class hull sensor growth		-1,123	
TB-34X contract delay		-3,600	
43 UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	9,487	4,663	-4,824
Sonar window contract delay		-4,824	
46 SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	24,221	22,721	-1,500
CSA conversion growth	,	-1,500	·
51 AN/SLQ-32	214,582	195,002	-19,580
Block 3T installation funding ahead of need		-8,450	,
Block 2 electronic support system cost growth		-8,328	
Support funding carryover		-2,802	
52 SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	124,862	123,362	-1,500
Support funding carryover		-1,500	
54 SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	45,362	36,938	-8,424
BPS-15/16 support		-1,137	
ICADF installation cost growth		-304	
BLQ-10 procurement ahead of need		-6,983	
60 MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	42,108	40,499	-1,609
Aft deck equipment upgrade growth		-1,000	
AN/SQQ-32 integration cost growth		-609	
65 OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	46,122	44,058	-2,064
BFTT installation kit cost growth		-2,064	

	Budget	Committee	Change from
P-1	Request	Recommended	Request
72 ID SYSTEMS	34,901	28,543	-6,358
MK-XII mode-5 procurement ahead of need	34,501	-2.135	-0,000
TACAN upgrade procurement ahead of need		-1.323	
Support funding carryover		-2,900	
Support runding carryover		-2,500	
79 RADIAC	8,343	5,153	-3,190
DT-702 dosimeter card contract delay		-1,328	
APD contract delay		-1,862	
84 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	45,053	58,365	13,312
SPS-73 tech refresh kit cost growth		-5.588	
Excess ROAR installation kit funding		-1,700	
Support funding carryover		-1,100	
Program increase - three ROAR upgrade kits		21,700	
90 SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	67,852	64,954	-2,898
HDR antenna cost growth		-1,974	
Seawolf CSRR installation cost growth		-434	
Los Angeles CSRR modification cost growth		-490	
91 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	13,218	11,453	-1,765
Commercial broadband modifications ahead of need	,_,_,	-1,765	
			20.050
92 NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL	272,076	233,417	-38,659
Ship terminal procurement ahead of need		-28,400	
Terminal cost growth		-10,259	
95 INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	110,766	109,266	-1,500
COMSEC installation cost growth		-1,264	
Support funding carryover		-1,500	
103 AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	76,683	55,738	-20,945
Lighting ECP growth	70,000	-1.000	
ADMACS installation ahead of need		-9,530	
SRU(KU)-4 installation funding carryover		-1,596	
SRU(KU)-4 procurement ahead of need		-8,819	
, , .			
114 AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	52,555	45,705	-6,850
Aviation data warehouse environment cost growth		-2,385 -1.805	
Fleet systems array cost growth		-2.160	
JHMCS cost growth		-500	
ALIS production engineering growth		-300	
118 SHIP MISSILE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	165,769	143,570	-22,199
Configuration engineering growth		-2,000	
Support funding growth		-2,202	
Surface combat systems center growth		-7,000	
SSDS conversion kit cost growth		-6,207	
SSDS covnersion kit installation cost growth		-2,917	
SSDS conversion kit DSA ahead of need		-1,873	
123 TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	61,462	60,062	-1,400
Support funding carryover	,	-1,400	2,1-4-4
		•	
126 STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP	229,832	201,832	-28,000
SSI increment 13 refresh/redesign (launcher) growth		-3,000	
SSI increment 8 (navigation) engineering and test phasing		-25,000	

	Budget	Committee	Change from
P-1	Request	Recommended	Request
127 SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	66,020	60,804	-5,216
688 TI04 installation cost growth		-5,216	
133 ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	11,251	10,759	-492
Industrial facilities contract delay	•	-492	
135 TRAINING DEVICE MODS	84,080	70,672	-13,408
Surface minor modifications growth		-4,000	
CIAT growth		-4,500	
Submarine training device cost growth		-4,908	
140 CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT	8,949	6,187	-2,762
Crane previously appropriated		-2,762	
145 ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION	17,592	16,142	-1,450
Emergency response truck cost growth		-1,450	
148 OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	10,374	6,674	-3,700
Navy cash program growth	•	-3,700	
150 SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	90,921	39.921	-51,000
Classified adjustment		-51,000	•
160 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	94.957	90,957	-4,000
Automated gates growth	,	-4,000	•
164 NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE SERVICE	116,165	106,165	-10,000
NGEN tech refresh growth	,	-10,000	•

177

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$1,240,958,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	983,352,000
Committee recommendation	927,232,000
Change from budget request	-56,120,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$927,232,000 for Procurement, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

178

			UDGET EQUEST		OMMITTEE ECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
		QTY		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY AMOUNT
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS					
1	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES AAVTA1 PIP.		16,756		15,356	-1.400
2	LAV PIP		77,736		66,736	-11,000
3	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM		5,742		642	-5,100
4	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER		4,532		4,532	***
5	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM		19,474		19,474	***
6	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION		7,250		7,250	
7	OTHER SUPPORT MODIFICATION KITS		21,909		20,809	-1,100
8	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM		3,208		3,208	***
	TOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES		156,607	•	138,007	-18,600
9	GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT GUIDED MISSILES GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE		31,439		30,339	-1,100
10	JAVELIN		343		343	
11	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW		4,995		4,995	
12	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H)		1,589		1,589	
13	OTHER SUPPORT MODIFICATION KITS		5,134		5,134	
	TOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT		43,500		42,400	-1,100

179

			BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT		COMMITTEE RECONMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,					
	COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER		9,178		9,178	
1-9			3, 170		3,770	
15	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS		12,272		12,272	
16	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		30,591		27,591	-3,000
17	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL) COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM		2,385		2,385	
19	COMMAND AND CONTROL ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)		4,205		4,205	
20	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS		8,002		8,002	
21	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) RADAR SYSTEMS		19,595		14,925	-4,670
22	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR	2	89,230	2	81,730	-7,500
23	RQ-21 UAS	3	70,565	3	68,065	-2,500
24	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM		11,860		11,860	
25	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		44,340		42,550	-1,790
28	RQ-11 UAV		2,737		2,737	* * =
30	DCGS-MC		20,620		20,620	***
31	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL) NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT		9,798		7,338	-2,460
32	NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK (NGEN)		2,073		2,073	
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)					
33	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES		33,570		33,570	
34	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS		38,186		38,186	***
35	RADIO SYSTEMS		64,494		64.494	
36	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS		72,956		63,956	-9,000
37	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT		43,317		37,817	-5,500
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		2,498		2,498	
	TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT		592,472		556,052	-36,420

180

			BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY AMOUNT
	SUPPORT VEHICLES ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES					
38	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES		332		332	
39	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES		11,035		11,035	W = 1
	TACTICAL VEHICLES					
40	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)		57,255		57,255	***
41	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS		938		938	
44	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE	7	7,500	7	7,500	
45	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS		10,179		10,179	
	OTHER SUPPORT					
46	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		11,023		11,023	
	TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES		98,262		98,262	
	SPOTHERD AND OTHER FOUTDWENT					
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT					
47	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT		994		994	
48	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT		1,256		1,256	*
49	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS		3,750		3,750	
50	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED		8,985		8,985	
51	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		4,418		4,418	
52	EOD SYSTEMS		6.528		6,528	
53	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT		26,510		26,510	
54	GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP		1,910		1,910	
55	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP		8,807		8,807	
56	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		128		128	
50	(183) DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		125		720	
	GENERAL PROPERTY					
58	TRAINING DEVICES		3,412		3,412	
59	CONTAINER FAMILY		1,662		1,662	
60	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT		3,669		3,669	
	OTHER SUPPORT					
62	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION		4,272		4,272	
	TOTAL SHOTHERD AND OTHER SOUTHWENT		76 204		76 204	
	TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		76,301		76,301	***
63	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		16,210		16,210	
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		983,352		927,232	-56,120

181

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

		Budget	Committee	Change from
P-1		Request	Recommended	Request
1	AAV7A1 PIP	16,756	15,356	-1,400
-	Production engineering support - excess growth	·	-1,400	
2	LAV PIP	77,736	66,736	-11,000
	Reduce by six vehicle modifications		-11,000	
3	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	5,742	642	-5,100
	Unjustified program growth		-5,100	
7	MODIFICATION KITS	21,909	20,809	-1,100
	Program support - excess growth		-1,100	
9	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE	31,439	30,339	-1,100
	SLEP contract delay and unit cost increase		-1,100	
16	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	30,591	27,591	-3,000
	Carryover of prior year funds		-3,000	
21	RADAR SYSTEMS	19,595	14,925	-4,670
	Sustainment - unjustified growth		-4,670	
22	GROUND /AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR	89,230	81,730	-7,500
	Lot 2 systems engineering - excess growth		-7,500	
23	RQ-21 UAS	70,565	68,065	-2,500
	Product engineering support - excess growth		-2,500	
25	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	44,340	42,550	-1,790
	Unit cost increase		-830 -960	
	Unit cost increase		-960	
31	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT	9,798	7,338	-2,460
	Carryover of prior year funds		-2,460	
36	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS	72,956	63,956	-9,000
	Unjustified program growth		-9,000	
37	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	43,317	37,817	-5,500
	Installation costs - excess growth		-5,500	

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$10,379,180,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	11,542,571,000
Committee recommendation	12,046,941,000
Change from budget request	+504,370,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$12,046,941,000 for Aircraft Procurement, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

183

			BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT		GE FROM EQUEST AMOUNT
			Anount				
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT TACTICAL FORCES			28	2 777 040	+2	+224,000
1	F-35	26	3,553,046	28	3,777,046	+2	+224,000
2	F-35 (AP-CY)		291,880		291,880		
	TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		3,844,926		4,068,926		+224,000
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT						
3	OTHER AIRLIFT KC-46A TANKER	7	1,582,685	7	1,582,685		
4	C-130J	7	482,396	7	482,396		
5	C-130J ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		140,000		140,000		
6	HC-130J	4	332,024	4	332,024		
7	HC-130J		50,000		50,000		
8	MC-130J	2	190,971	2	190,971		
9	MC-130J,		80,000		80,000		
	TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		2,858,076		2,858,076		
	OTHER AIRCRAFT						
	HELICOPTERS CV-22 OSPREY				15,000		+15,000
12	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	6	2,562	6	10,400		+7,838
	OTHER AIRCRAFT TARGET DRONES	37	98,576	37	98.576		
				-			
17	AC-130J		1		1		
16	RQ-4 UAV		54,475		54,475		
18	MQ-9	12	240,218	20	373,218	+8	+133,000
	TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT		395,832		551,670		+155,838

184

			BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	OMMITTEE ECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT			 	
20	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT B-2A		23,865	 24,365	+500
21	B-1B		140,252	 140,252	
22	B-52		180,148	 180,148	
23	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES		13,159	 13,159	***
25	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT F-15		387,314	 498,314	+111,000
26	F-16		12,336	 9,042	-3,294
27	F-22A		180,207	 180,207	
28	F-35 MODIFICATIONS		187,646	 156,146	-31,500
29	INCREMENT 3,2b		28,500	 28,500	
30	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT C-5		14,731	 14,731	***
31	C-5M		331,466	 331,466	***
33	C-17A		127,494	 89,394	-38,100
34	C-21		264	 264	
35	C-32A		8,767	 4,767	-4,000
36	C-37A	***	18,457	 457	-18,000
38	TRAINER AIRCRAFT GLIDER MODS		132	 132	***
39	т6		14,486	 14,486	
40	т-1		7,650	 7,650	
41	Т-38		34,845	 28,845	-6,000

185

		BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
44	OTHER AIRCRAFT KC-10A (ATCA)	 34,313	 77,513	+43.200
	C-12	 1.960	 1,960	***
48	VC-25A MOD.	 1,072	 1,072	
49	C-40	 7.292	 3.292	-4.000
50	C-130	 35,869	 109,671	+73,802
51	C130J MODS.	 7,919	 7,919	
52	C-135.	 63,568	 63,568	
53	COMPASS CALL MODS.	 57,828	 57,828	
54	RC-135.	 152.746	 163,346	+10,600
55	E-3.	 16,491	 16,491	
56	E-4	 22,341	 14,691	-7,650
58	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM	 160,284	 191,284	+31,000
		 32.026	 191,204	-32,026
59	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS			
60	H-1	 8,237	 8,237	***
61	H-60	 60,110	 60,110	
62	RQ-4 UAV MODS	 21,354	 21,354	**-
63	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	 1,902	 1,902	***
64	OTHER AIRCRAFT	 32,106	 32,106	
65	MQ-1 MODS	 4,755	 4,755	
66	MQ-9 MODS	 155,445	 155,445	***
69	CV-22 MODS	 74,874	 74,874	***
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT	2,664,211	2,789,743	+125,532
70	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	 466,562	 466,562	# * *
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	466,562	466,562	****

186

		BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY AMOUNT	
71	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP		22,470		22,470		
74	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT B-2A		44,793		44,793		
75	B-52		5,249		5,249		
77	C-17A		20,110		20,110		
78	CV-22 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT		16,931		16,931		
80	C-135		4,414		4,414		
81	F-15 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT		1,122		1,122		
82	F-16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT		10,994		9,994	-1,000	
83	F-22A		5,929		5,929		
84	OTHER AIRCRAFT		27		27		
85	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		21,363		21,363	ā v v	
86	WAR CONSUMABLES WAR CONSUMABLES		82,906		82,906	•••	
87	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		1,007,276		1,007,276		
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		1,243,584		1,242,584	-1,000	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		69,380		69,380		
	TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		11,542,571		12,046,941	+504,370	

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [in thousands of dollars]

187

P-1 1				
1		Request	Recommended	Request
1	F-35	3,553,046	3,777,046	3,777,046
	Add two aircraft	3,553,040	224,000	3,777,040
	ridd tird tirdrait			
10	CV-22	0	15,000	15,000
	CV-22 unique modifications		15,000	
12	CIVIL AIR PATROL AIRCRAFT	2,562	10,400	7,838
	Program increase		7,838	
18	MQ-9	240,218	373,218	133,000
, 0	Add 12 aircraft		155,000	
	Unit savings from higher quantity		-22,000	
20	B-2A	23.865	24,365	500
20	EHF increment 1 field installs	20,000	-2,000	
	Ejection seat safety/sustainability improvement program		2,500	
		387,314	498,314	111,000
25	F-15 Joint Helmet Mounted Cueing System - unjustified growth	307,314	-4,000	111,000
	in other government costs		1,000	
	AESA radars for Air National Guard		115,000	
20	F-16	12,336	9.042	-3,294
20	Falcon STAR early to need	.2,000	-1,294	-,
	Advanced Data Transfer Equipment		-2,000	
••	F OF MODO	187,646	156,146	-31,500
28	F-35 MODS Concurrency estimates	107,040	-31,500	0.,000
33	C-17A	127,494	89,394	-38,100
	Execution adjustment		-38,100	
35	C-32A	8,767	4,767	-4,000
	Wideband SATCOM - requirement not defined		-4,000	
36	C-37A	18,457	457	-18,000
30	Wideband SATCOM - requirement not defined	.,	-18,000	
41	T-38	34,845	28,845	-6,000
41	Pacer III Classic install funds early to need	04,040	-6,000	7,7
44	KC-10A	34,313	77,513 43,200	43,200
	CNS/ATM kits and installs		43,200	
49	C-40	7,292	3,292	-4,000
	Wideband SATCOM - requirement not defined		-4,000	
50	C-130	35,869	109,671	73,802
-	Propeller upgrade	,	30,000	,
	T-56 3.5 engine modification		22,600	
	C-130 Avionics Modernization program		35,800	
	CNS/ATM minimize program		-14,598	
54	RC-135	152,746	163,346	10,600
94	Baseline program shortfall	102,170	10,600	. 3,000

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
56	E-4	22.341	14.691	-7,650
55	Low Frequency Transmit System	22,4 * .	-5,250	-,
	AEHF terminal/PNVC funds early to need		-2,400	
58	AWACS	160,284	191,284	31,000
	Program increase		31,000	
59	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE OF SIGHT TERMINALS	32,026	0	-32,026
	FAB-T terminal NRE funds early to need		-32,026	
82	F-16	10,994	9,994	-1,000
	Production line shutdown - unobligated prior year funds	•	-1,000	

CV-22

The Air Force's fiscal year 2015 request does not include funds to procure additional CV- $\underline{2}2$ Osprey aircraft, and the Committee understands that the Air Force currently has no plans for future procurement of CV-22s. The last CV-22 will deliver in December 2016 and leave the Air Force with a fleet of 50 aircraft, equivalent to what the Air Force has identified as the medium risk program of record. Future operational losses would require the Air Force to accept an increasing level of risk to the CV-22 mission. The Air Force already has suffered two such losses, one on overseas deployment in April 2010, and one during a training mission in June 2012. These lost aircraft were replaced with additional funds provided by Congress. The Committee understands that while other variants of the V-22 will remain in production for some time, and that the variants possess a high degree of commonality, there are certain CV-22-unique modifications, such as the multi-mode radar, that are at risk of falling out of supply if the Air Force or foreign military sales do not generate additional orders for CV-22 aircraft.

Therefore, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$15,000,000 to protect the CV-22 fleet against undue risk from diminishing manufacturing sources for unique parts and modifications. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to prioritize those end-items that have a long lead time for production and are at the greatest risk for loss of supply should those production lines shut down with the delivery of the last Air Force CV-22 currently funded. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to submit a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act that details an expenditure plan for these funds.

KC-10

The Air Force has indicated that should spending limits contained in current law remain in force in fiscal year 2016, it will propose to retire the entire fleet of 59 KC–10 tanker aircraft by fiscal year 2020, saving an estimated \$2,300,000,000 over the future years defense program. The Committee believes that eliminating the KC–10 fleet poses a serious risk to the Air Force's ability to carry out one of its stated core missions, that of providing global reach for the armed forces. The KC–10, with its ability to deliver 150,000 pounds of fuel at a range of 4,400 nautical miles using both the boom and drogue methods, is a critical element of providing the air bridge to combatant commanders across the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans.

The Committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force to be more forthcoming about the operational impact of retiring the KC–10 fleet, a proposal which appears to be driven primarily by the Air Force's stated preference for "vertical cuts" that eliminate entire fleets and their associated infrastructure to achieve the requisite level of savings under current law and Department of Defense policy. Current acquisition plans for the KC–46 will not provide an adequate replacement for the KC–10, since the Air Force already plans to replace the older fleet of KC–135s with KC–46s on a one-for-one basis. The Committee notes that the House-passed National

Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 prohibits the Air Force from taking any action toward divestment of the KC–10 fleet and requires the Commander of the United States Transportation Command to submit an operational risk assessment and mitigation strategy to the congressional defense committees along with any proposal to divest the KC–10 fleet in the fiscal year 2016 budget.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$4,446,763,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	4,690,506,000
Committee recommendation	4,546,211,000
Change from budget request	-144,295,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,546,211,000 for Missile Procurement, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

191

			BUDGET REQUEST		OMMITTEE ECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY AMOUNT
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE					
1	BALLISTIC MISSILES MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - BALLISTIC MISSILE REPLACEMENT EO-BALLISTIC		80,187		80,187	
3	OTHER MISSILES TACTICAL JOINT AIR-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	224	337,438	224	337,438	***
4	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	303	132,995	303	132,995	* * *
5	AMRAAM	200	329,600	200	329,600	
6	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	283	33,878	283	33,878	
7	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	246	70,578	246	18,047	-52,531
7A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS				10,000	+10,000
8	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION		749		749	
	TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES		905,238	-	862,707	-42,531
	MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES CLASS IV					
9	MM III MODIFICATIONS		28,477		28,477	
10	AGM-65D MAVERICK		276		276	
11	AGM-88A HARM		297		297	
12	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE		16,083		16,083	***
13	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB		6,924		6,924	
	TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES		52,057	-	52,057	***

192

		BUDGET REQUEST QTY AMOUNT		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED QTY AMOUNT		CHANGE FROM REQUEST OTY AMOUNT
			Anouni		AGOOM	WII ANOMI
14	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS		87,366		87,366	
15	OTHER SUPPORT SPACE PROGRAMS ADVANCED EHF		298,890		298,890	***
16	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES		38,971		34,998	-3,973
17	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	1	235,397	1	235,397	
18	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT (AP-CY)		57,000		87,000	+30,000
19	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)		16,201		10,500	-5,701
20	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)		52,090		50,000	-2,090
21	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (SPACE)		87,000		78,000	-9,000
22	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE)		630,903		630,903	
23	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH INFRASTRUCTURE (SPACE)		750,143		715,143	-35,000
24	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)		450,884		444,884	-6,000
28	SPECIAL PROGRAMS SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS		60,179		60,179	
	TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT		2,677,658		2,645,894	-31,764
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		888,000		818,000	-70,000
	TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		4,690,506		4,546,211	-144,295

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

193

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
7	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB SDB II Lot 2 deferral for program delays	70,578	18,047 -52,531	-52,531
7A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS Program increase - preferred munitions	0	10,000 10,000	10,000
16	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES (SPACE) Excess launch support	38,971	34,998 -3,973	-3,973
18	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT ADVANCE PROCUREMENT Additional funds for advance procurement	57,000	87,000 30,000	30,000
19	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC) Maintain at fiscal year 2013 level	16,201	10,500 -5,701	-5,701
20	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) Excess contract support	52,090	50,000 -2,090	-2,090
21	DEFENSE METEOROLOGICAL SATELLITE PROGRAM (SPACE) Excess growth	87,000	78,000 -9,000	-9,000
22	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE (INFRASTRUCTURE) Excess growth	750,143	715,143 -35,000	-35,000
24	SBIR HIGH (SPACE) Unjustified support cost increase	450,884	444,884 -6,000	-6,000
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	888,000	818,000 -70,000	-70,000

EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE

The Committee is concerned with the continued changes in the Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicle (EELV) program. Therefore the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide notification to the congressional defense committees of each change to the EELV acquisition plan and schedule as compared to the plan and schedule included in the budget submission for fiscal year 2015. Each notification shall include an identification of the change, a national security rationale for the change, the impact of the change on the EELV block buy contract and launch manifest, the impact of the change on the opportunities for competition for certified EELV launch providers, and the costs or savings associated with the change.

GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III

The budget request includes \$57,000,000 for advance procurement of the Global Positioning System (GPS) III Space Segment to acquire long lead items for Space Vehicle 10, including atomic clocks and critical bus hardware. GPS III is an acquisition program based on efficiencies gained through larger, predictable buys with insertion of evolutionary capability improvements. However, the budget request reduces funding for future acquisitions to one satellite per year, increasing the overall life-cycle cost of the program. The Committee recommendation includes \$87,000,000 for GPS III advance procurement, an increase of \$30,000,000 above the budget request, to restore funding for future acquisitions at the level of two satellites per year.

PREFERRED MUNITIONS

The Committee understands that the Air Force projects shortfalls in certain munitions based upon current inventories, procurement plans, and expenditure rates. In order to support Air Force readiness, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$10,000,000 for preferred munitions. The Committee directs that these funds may be reclassified by the Secretary of the Air Force to other line items within this account as necessary to meet this intent. Not less than 15 days prior to reclassifying or obligating these funds, the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees detailing the specific use of these additional funds.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$729,677,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	677,400,000
Committee recommendation	648,200,000
Change from budget request	$-29,\!200,\!000$

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$648,200,000 for Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

195

			BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST OTY AMOUNT
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QIY ANOUNI
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE					
1	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE ROCKETS		4,696		4,696	
2	CARTRIDGES		133,271		114,971	-18,300
3	BOMBS PRACTICE BOMBS	***	31,998		30,098	-1,900
4	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS		148,614		148,614	***
5	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	2,973	101,400	2,973	101,400	
5A	PREFERRED MUNITIONS				10,000	+10,000
6	FLARE, IR MJU-7B CAD/PAD		29,989		29,989	
7	EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)		6,925		6,925	
8	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		494		494	
9	MODIFICATIONS		1,610		1,610	
10	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000		4,237		4,237	
11	FUZES FLARES		86,101		86,101	
12	FUZES		103,417		84,417	-19,000
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE		652,752		623,552	-29,200
13	WEAPONS SMALL ARMS		24,648		24,648	
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		677,400		648,200	-29,200

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[in thousands of dollars]

196

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
2	CARTRIDGES	133,271	114,971	-18,300
•	5.56mm francible unjustified cost growth		-2,750	
	PGU-23/U unjustified request		-9,650	
	PGU-13/B unjustified growth		-5,900	
3	PRACTICE BOMBS	31,998	30,098	-1,900
	BDU-50 unit cost growth		-1,000	
	Mk-84 inert unit cost growth		-900	
54	PREFERRED MUNITIONS	0	10,000	10,000
•, .	Program increase - preferred munitions		10,000	
12	FUZES	103,417	84,417	-19,000
	Hard target void sensing fuze production funds early to need		-19,000	

PREFERRED MUNITIONS

The Committee understands that the Air Force projects shortfalls in certain munitions based upon current inventories, procurement plans, and expenditure rates. In order to support Air Force readiness, the Committee recommendation includes an additional \$10,000,000 for preferred munitions. The Committee directs that these funds may be reclassified by the Secretary of the Air Force to other line items within this account as necessary to meet this intent. Not less than 15 days prior to reclassifying or obligating these funds, the Secretary of the Air Force shall provide a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees detailing the specific use of these additional funds.

OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$16,572,754,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	16,566,018,000
Committee recommendation	16,633,023,000
Change from budget request	+67,005,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$16,633,023,000 for Other Procurement, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

198

		BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY AMOUNT	
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
1	VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE		6,528		6,528		
2	CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE		7,639		7,639		
3	CAP VEHICLES		961		1,700	+739	
4	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (CARGO)		11.027		11,027		
*	· ·		11,027		171027		
5	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES		4,447		4,447	***	
6	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SPECIAT)		693		693		
7	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES		10,152		10,152	***	
8	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAT \$5,000,000		15,108		15,108		
9	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT RUNWAY SNOW REMOVAL & CLEANING EQUIP		10,212		10,212	•••	
10	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M		57,049		57,049	***	
	TOTAL, VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT		123,816	-	124,555	+739	
	ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP						
11	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC) COMSEC EQUIPMENT		106,182		98,282	-7,900	
12	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)		1,363		1,363		
13	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT		2,832		2,832		
14	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP		32,329		32,329	***	
16	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS		15,649	***	15,649		
17	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING		42,200		25,818	-16,382	
18	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM		6,333		6,333		
19	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM - FIXED		2,708		2,708		
20	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO		50,033		50,033		
21	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST		16,348		16,348		
22	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL		139,984		139,984	4	
23	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX		20,101		20,101		
26	TAC SIGNIT SPT		9,060		9,060		

199

		UDGET EQUEST AMOUNT		MMITTEE COMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
27	SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.	 39,100		39,100	
28	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEM	 19,010	***	19,010	
29	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL	 11,462		11,462	
30	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM	 37,426		37,426	+
31	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	 26,634		26,634	
32	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMM N	 1,289		1,289	
33	C3 COUNTERMEASURES	 11,508		11,508	***
34	GCSS-AF FOS	 3,670		3,670	
35	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE ACCOUNTING AND MGMT	 15,298		15,298	
36	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS	 9,565		9,565	
37	AIR OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	 25,772		25,772	***
38	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS	 81,286		81,286	
39	AFNET	 122,228		90,928	-31,300
41	USCENTCOM	 16,342		16,342	
42	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS	 60,230		50,230	-10,000
43	DISA PROGRAMS SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE	 26,100		26,100	
44	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE	 2,075		2,075	•••
45	NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPACE	 4,656		4,656	***
46	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE	 54,630		54,630	***
47	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	 69,713		69,713	
48	MILSATCOM SPACE	 41,355		41,355	
49	SPACE MODS SPACE	 31,722		31,722	***
50	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	 61,603		39,203	-22,400

200

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY		CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT

51	ORGANIZATION AND BASE TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT		50,335		50,335	
53	RADIO EQUIPMENT		14,846		14,846	
54	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT		3,635		3,635	
55	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE		79,607		79,607	
56	MODIFICATIONS COMM ELECT MODS		105,398		56,398	-49,000
	TOTAL, ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP		1,471,617		1,334,635	-136,982
	OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP					
-	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES		72,011		12,577	
58	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY)		31,209		31,209	*
59	DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING	***	7,670		7,670	•
60	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT		14,125		14,125	
61	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS		16,744		16,744	
62	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT		2,495		2,495	
63	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT		10,573		10,573	
64	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (BASE SUPPORT)		5,462		5,462	***
	ADVINE ALIDDRAT BOOLEATS					
66	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS DARP RC135		24,710		24,710	
67	DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS		206,743		206,743	***
69	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM		537,370		537,370	
70	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM		77,898		77,898	44.6
	TOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP		947,576		947,576	
72	SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		32,813		32,813	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		13,990,196		14,193,444	+203,248
	TOTAL ONUSE SECURENCE ATE FORCE		16.566.018		16.633.023	+67.005
	TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		16,566,018			***************************************

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS
[In thousands of dollars]

201

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	CAP VEHICLES Program increase	961	1,700 739	739
11	COMSEC EQUIPMENT VACM modernization devices unit cost growth	106,182	98,282 -7,900	-7,900
17	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYSTEMS D-ILS program restructure funds early to need	42,200	25,818 -16,382	-16,382
39	AFNET Excess growth	122,228	90,928 -31,300	-31,300
42	FAMILY OF BEYOND LINE-OF-SIGHT TERMINALS FAB-T award/schedule delays	60,230	50,230 -10,000	-10,000
50	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM New start funds excess to need	61,603	39,203 -22,400	-22,400
56	COMM ELECT MODS HEMP protection early to need	105,398	56,398 -49,000	-49,000
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	13,990,196	14,193,444 203,248	203,248

PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$4,240,416,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	4,221,437,000
Committee recommendation	4,358,121,000
Change from budget request	+136,684,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,358,121,000 for Procurement, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

203

			BUDGET REQUEST		OMMITTEE ECOMMENDED	CHANGE REQU	EST
		QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE						
1	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA MAJOR EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M	***	1,594		1,594		***
2	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA MAJOR EQUIPMENT		4,325		4,325		
3	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION		17,268		17,268		***
8	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY		10,491		10,491		
10	TELEPORT PROGRAM		80,622		80,622		
11	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M		14,147		14,147		
12	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)		1,921		1,921		
13	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS NETWORK		80,144		80,144		
15	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE		8,755		8,755		
16	WHITE HOUSE COMMUNICATION AGENCY		33,737		33,737		• • •
17	SENIOR LEADERSHIP ENTERPRISE		32,544		32,544		***
18	JOINT INFORMATION ENVIRONMENT		13,300		13,300		
20	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA MAJOR EQUIPMENT	***	7,436		7,436		
21	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT A - WEAPON SYSTEM COST	3	11,640	3	11,640		
22	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS		1,269		1,269		**-
24	VEHICLES		1,500		1,500		
26	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY VEHICLES	1	50	1	50		
27	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT	3	7,639	3	7,639		
25	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DTSA MAJOR EQUIPMENT		1,039		1,039		
28	AEGIS BMD ADVANCE PROCUREMENT		68,880		68,880		
29	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA THAAD SYSTEM	31	464,424	31	414,624		-49,800
30	AEGIS BMD	30	435,430	52	556,050	+22 +	120,620
31	BMDS AN/TPY-2 RADARS		48,140		48,140		
32	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III		225,774		225,774		
34	IRON DOME SYSTEM	1	175,972	1	350,972	+	175,000

204

		BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
41	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	 3,448	 23,448	+20,000
42	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD	 43,708	 43,708	***
44	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS	 10,783	 10,783	
46	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	 29,599	 29,599	
	TOTAL, MAJOR EQUIPMENT	1,835,579	2,101,399	+265,820
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND AVIATION PROGRAMS			
47	MC-12	 40,500	 	-40,500
48	SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT	 112,226	 112,226	
49	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	 3,021	 19,821	+16,800
50	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	 48,200	 37,700	-10,500
52	MH-47 CHINOOK	 22,230	 22,230	
53	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	 6,397	 6,397	
54	CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION	 25,578	 25,578	
56	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	 15,651	 12,893	-2,758
57	STUASLO	 1,500	 1,500	
58	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE	 145,929	 129,404	-16,525
59	AC/MC-130J	 65,130	 65,130	
61	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	 39,563	 23,705	-15.858
63	SHIPBUILDING UNDERWATER SYSTEMS	 25,459	 25,459	
65	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS SOF ORDNANCE ITEMS UNDER \$5,000,000	 144,336	 144,336	

205

		QTY	BUDGET REQUEST AMOUNT	QTY	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	CHANGE FROM REQUEST QTY AMOUNT
68	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS		81,001		73,395	-7,606
70	DCGS-SOF		17,323		17,323	•••
71	OTHER ITEMS UNDER \$5,000,000		84,852		73,902	-10,950
72	SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS		51,937		51,937	
74	SPECIAL PROGRAMS		31,017		16,517	-14,500
75	TACTICAL VEHICLES		63,134		63,134	
76	WARRIOR SYSTEMS UNDER \$5,000,000		192,448		192,448	
78	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS		19,984		19,984	
81	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES		5,044		5,044	
82	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE		38,126		29,126	-9,000
88	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS		243,849		231,110	-12,739
	TOTAL, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND		1,524,435		1,400,299	-124,136
95	CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE CHEMICAL BIOLOGICAL SITUATIONAL AWARENESS		170,137	**-	170,137	
96	CB PROTECTION AND HAZARD MITIGATION		150,392		150,392	# # W
	TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE		320,529		320,529	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		540,894		535,894	-5,000
	TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		4,221,437		4,358,121	+136,684

206

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [in thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Reques
29	THAAD SYSTEM	464,424	414,624	-49,800
29	Interceptors - unit cost growth	707,727	-35,200	,
	Obsolescence and modifications - unjustified growth		-14,600	
	Obsolescence and modifications - disjustified grown		, ,,,,,,,	
30	AEGIS BMD	435,430	556,050	120,620
	SM-3 Block 1B advance procurement - unjustified request		-68,880	
	SM-3 Block 1B - 22 additional interceptors		209,000	
	Production engineering support - carryover		-19,500	
24	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS - IRON DOME	175,972	350,972	175,00
34	Program increase	,	175,000	·
	Program increase			
41	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	3,448	23,448	20,000
٠,	Program increase		20,000	
47	MC-12	40,500	0	-40,50
4,	Early to need		-40,500	
				
49	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	3,021	19,821	16,80
	Operational loss replacement		16,800	
	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	48,200	37,700	-10,50
50	Unjustified growth - AvFID MC-12 Modifications	10,200	-10,500	
	Onjustified growth - AVFID Mid-12 Modifications		,	
56	MQ-9 UAV	15,651	12,893	-2,75
-	Unjustified growth		-2,758	
	, ,		400 404	-16,52
58	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE	145,929	129,404	-10,32
	Initial spares - excess growth		-8,000	
	Large caliber gun - underexecution		-8,525	
61	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	39,563	23,705	-15,85
٠.	MC-130 TFTA - early to need		-12,149	
	EC-130J Commando Solo - early to need		-3,709	
	A STANTON OF THE PROPERTY OF T	81,001	73,395	-7,60
68	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	01,001	-7,606	.,
	Sensitive Sight Exploitation - excess growth		7,000	
71	SOF OTHER ITEMS UNDER \$5M	84,852	73,902	-10,95
,,	Collateral equipment - schedule slip		-7,744	
	Collateral equipment - excess to need		-1,620	
	Joint operational stock - unjustified growth		-260	
	Classified Coalition Global Network - unjustified growth		-1,326	
74	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	31,017	16,517	-14,50
14	Classified adjustment		-14,500	
	Oladomod dajodinom		•	
82	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	38,126	29,126	-9,00
J.	Classified adjustment		-9,000	
	OOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	243,849	231,110	-12,73
88	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	240,045	-12,739	,, , .
	Classified adjustment		-12,750	
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	540,894	535,894	-5,00

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE COMPONENT PROPORTIONATE FUNDING

The National Guard and reserve forces regularly receive less than a proportionate share of funding to resource their dual-use equipment needs. However, the role of the reserve components in providing fully equipped, trained, and ready forces in the Services' force generation models is essential. The Committee recommends that the Secretaries of the Army and the Air Force re-examine their funding and fielding plans for all National Guard equipment procurement and rebalance those plans to provide the Army and Air National Guards with the same new equipment when their active component counterparts field new equipment.

NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT ACCOUNT

The Committee recommends \$2,000,000,000 for the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account in the Overseas Contingency Operations funds, to meet urgent equipment needs.

This funding will allow the Guard and reserve components to procure high priority equipment that may be used for both their combat missions and their missions in support of state governors. This funding will allow the National Guard and reserve components to procure items such as emergency management training simulation; in-flight propeller balancing systems; SINCGAR ASIP radio enhancements; interoperable wideband network communications; global satellite communications on-the-move, and all necessary related hardware; C-130 propulsion system engine and propeller upgrades; electronic flight bags with tablet enabled interface; active electronically scanned array radars, wireless mobile mesh network systems; security and support mission equipment communications packages for UH-60 civil support communications; reduced size external crashworthy fuel systems; acoustic hailing devices; high mobility engineer excavators; mobile ad hoc network radios; and small arms simulation training systems.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—C-146A

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$37,700,000 for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) as a new start to procure two additional C-146A aircraft. While the Committee recommendation includes the requested funds, the Committee directs that none of the funds may be obligated until 30 days after the Commander of SOCOM submits a report to the congressional defense committees that includes the validated requirement as defined by the geographic combatant commanders justifying the need for additional non-standard aviation (NSAV) platforms, an explanation as to why this requirement cannot be satisfied with existing SOCOM NSAV or Service platforms, an explanation of how these platforms are integrated in the SOCOM intelligence, surveillance and reconnaissance (ISR) way forward, the anticipated costs across the future years defense plan, the funding sources associated with this investment, and the impact that this investment will have on existing SOCOM NSAV and ISR platforms.

DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$60,135,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	21,638,000
Committee recommendation	51,638,000
Change from budget request	+30,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$51,638,000 for Defense Production Act Purchases. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
NEXT GENERATION STAR TRACKER SYSTEM	4.305	4.305	
READ OUT INTEGRATED CIRCUIT FOUNDRY IMPROVEMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY	2,639	2,639	
SPACE QUALIFIED SOLAR CELL SUPPLY CHAIN	1,500	1,500	
COMPLEMENTARY METAL OXIDE SEMICONDUCTOR FOCAL PLANE ARRAYS	1,690	1,690	
ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING FOR LIQUID ROCKET ENGINES	700	700	
CADMIUM ZINC TELLURIDE SUBSTRATES	1,591	1,591	
ACTIVATED CARBON CAPACITY EXPANSION	4,213	4,213	
MODERNIZATION OF STEEL PLATE PRODUCTION	2,000	2,000	
SCALE UP OF GREEN ENERGETICS	2,000	2,000	
TRANSPARENT CERAMICS INITIATIVE	1,000	1,000	
PROGRAM INCREASE		30,000	30,000
TOTAL, DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT	21,638	51,638	30,000

RARE EARTH ELEMENTS

The production of rare earth elements and minerals are critical to the nation's security in many ways. From incorporation in tools for the manufacture of various platforms and weapons systems to critical components within the platforms and weapons systems themselves, rare earth materials play a critical role. The Committee strongly encourages the Secretary of Defense to develop reliable domestic sources of these rare earth materials to meet the nation's strategic material requirements.

TITLE IV

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense research, development, test and evaluation budget request totals \$63,533,947,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$63,362,890,000 for the research, development, test and evaluation accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

210

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RECAPITULATION			
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY	6,593,898	6,720,000	+126,102
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY	16,266,335	15,877,770	-388,565
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE	23,739,892	23,438,982	-300,910
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE	16,766,084	17,077,900	+311,816
OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE	167,738	248,238	+80,500
GRAND TOTAL, RDT&E		63,362,890	-171,057

SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance as specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2008 Department of Defense Appropriations Act (House Report 110–279). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

The Secretary shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or 20 percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustments. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P-1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R-1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Secretary of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

REPROGRAMMING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act as required in the explanatory statement accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2006.

FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this report.

LITHIUM-ION BATTERIES

The Committee supports the Department's investments in power generation and energy storage. In particular, the development and deployment of lithium-ion batteries is important to current and future Department platforms. The Committee understands that recent technology issues and concerns have slowed this development. Due to the capability increase lithium-ion batteries could potentially provide, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to dedicate resources to further the development of these batteries.

HYBRID AIRSHIP DEVELOPMENT

The Secretary of Defense, in cooperation with the Secretary of the Air Force and the Commander of the United States Transportation Command, should work to solicit competitive bids for proof-of-concept demonstrations of hybrid airships with global reach capabilities. Such efforts should be developed with a view to rapidly transition to full scale production hybrid airships for heavy lift. The Committee is aware that such airships can operate in areas without significant ground infrastructure, allowing the delivery of cargo directly to where it is needed, including areas far removed from air and sea ports and areas where infrastructure has been destroyed by natural disaster or conflict.

ANTI-CORROSION EFFORTS

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense continues to pursue an anti-corrosion program that is practical, affordable, and cost effective. The Department spends substantial amounts of money every year to prevent and mitigate corrosion on various platforms, equipment, and facilities. The Committee is aware that suppliers to the oil and gas industry use several different corrosion prevention materials to meet their anti-corrosion requirements. Additionally, other government agencies have made great strides in metallic coatings that may offer anti-corrosion properties and extend the service life of equipment and infrastructure. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to investigate these anti-corrosion activities and adapt them in the Department of Defense where possible.

EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL

Accidental detonation of unexploded ordnance has claimed the lives of a significant number of servicemembers. The Committee strongly supports efforts to develop, demonstrate, and field solutions and techniques to protect warfighters from accidental detonation of unexploded ordnance. Further, the Committee believes there is a need to develop environmentally sustainable, near 100 percent efficient Explosive Ordnance Disposal (EOD) technologies and techniques for unexploded ordnance containing highly insensitive energetic materials, while at the same time minimizing the amount of energetic material required for successful EOD operations. Alternate EOD technologies and techniques will reduce the risk of increased range contamination with energetic materials and will potentially reduce the cost of restoring training ranges. Alternative technologies will also increase EOD safety on the battlefield by re-

ducing the time required to address unexploded ordnance and promoting efficiency by decreasing the logistical burden of transporting and handling demolition explosives.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$7,126,318,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	6,593,898,000
Committee recommendation	6,720,000,000
Change from budget request	+126,102,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,720,000,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

214

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY			
	BASIC RESEARCH			
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	13,464	13,464	
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	238,167	238,167	
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	69,808	69,808	
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	102,737	102,737	
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	424,176	424,176	
5	APPLIED RESEARCH MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	28,006	28,006	***
6	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	33,515	33,515	
7	TRACTOR HIP	16,358	16,358	
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	63,433	63,433	
9	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	18,502	18,502	
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	46,194	56,194	+10,000
11	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	28,528	28,528	
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	27,435	27,435	
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	72,883	72,883	
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	85,597	85,597	
15	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY	3,971	3,971	
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	6,853	6,853	
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	38,069	63,069	+25,000
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	56,435	56,435	
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	38,445	38,445	***
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	25,939	25,939	
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	23,783	23,783	
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	15,659	15,659	***
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	33,817	33,817	
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	10,764	10,764	***
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	63,311	63,311	
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	23,295	23,295	
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	25,751	32,051	+6,300
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	76,068	76,068	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	862,611	903,911	+41,300

215

			COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	
29	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	65,139	66,139	+1,000
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	67,291	98,291	+31,000
31	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	88.990	88.990	
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	57,931	72,931	+15,000
33	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	110,031	110,031	
34	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	6,883	6,883	
35	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	13,580	13,580	
36	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44.871	44,871	***
37	TRACTOR HIKE	7,492	7,492	
38	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	16,749	16,749	
39	TRACTOR ROSE	14,483	14,483	
41	COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	24,270	24,270	
42	TRACTOR NAIL	3,440	3,440	- * *
43	TRACTOR EGGS	2,406	2,406	
44	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	26,057	26,057	
45	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44,957	44,957	
46	TRACTOR CAGE	11,105	11,105	
47	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	181,609	181,609	
48	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	13,074	13,074	
49	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	7,321	7,321	
50	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	44,138	44,138	
51	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	9,197	9,197	
52	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	17,613	17,613	
53	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	39,164	39,164	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	917,791	964,791	

216

			COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	REQUEST
54	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	12,797	12,797	
55	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE)	13,999	13,999	
58	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	29,334	29,334	
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	9,602	11,002	+1,400
61	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - AD	8,953	8,953	
62	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	3,052	3,052	
63	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	7,830	7,830	•••
65	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,954	2,954	
67	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV	13,386	13,386	***
69	MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV	23,659	23,659	
70	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	6,830	9,830	+3,000
72	ANALYSIS OF ALTERNATIVES	9,913	9,913	
73	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	74,740	74,740	
74	ASSURED POSITIONING, NAVIGATION AND TIMING (PNT)	9,930	9,930	-+-
76	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2-INTERC	96,177	71,177	-25,000
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	323,156	302,556	-20,600

217

			COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
79	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	37,246	57,246	+20,000
81	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	6,002	6,002	
82	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	9,832	9,832	
83	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO	9,730	9,730	
84	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	5,532	5,532	
85	TRACTOR CAGE	19,929	19,929	
86	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	27,884	34,586	+6,702
87	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	210	210	
88	JAVELIN	4,166	4,166	
89	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	12,913	12,913	
90	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	16,764	16,764	
91	TACTICAL UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE	6,770	6,770	
92	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - SDD	65,333	65,333	
93	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	1,335	3,035	+1,700
94	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - SDD	8,945	8,945	
96	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE -SDD	15,906	15,906	
97	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	4,394	4,394	
98	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	11,084	11,084	
99	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - SDD	10,027	10,027	
100	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	42,430	42,430	
101	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION	105,279	105,279	
102	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - SDD	15,006	15,006	

218

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
103	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - SDD	24,581	24,581	***
104	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - SDD	4,433	4,433	
105	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT	30,397	30,397	
106	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - SDD	57,705	57,705	•••
108	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE	29,683	29,683	
109	RADAR DEVELOPMENT	5,224	5,224	
111	FIREFINDER	37,492	37,492	***
112	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - WARRIOR DEM/VAL	6,157	6,157	***
113	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS	1,912	1,912	
116	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	69,761	69,761	
117	ARMY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (A-IMH	138,465	138,465	***
118	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE	92,353	92,353	
119	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	8.440	8,440	
120	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK (JTN)	17,999	17,999	
121	COMMON INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (CIRCM)	145,409	145,409	
122	WIN-T INCREMENT 3 - FULL NETWORKING	113,210	113,210	
123	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSSTEM	6,882	6,882	
124	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	83,838	83,838	
125	PAC-2/MSE MISSILE	35,009	35.009	
126	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD)	142,584	152,584	+10,000
127	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE	49,160	49,160	
128	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR	17,748	17,748	
129	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION	15,212	15,212	
130	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENG AND MANUFACTURING	45,718	45,718	
131	AVIATION GROUND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	10,041	10,041	
132	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM),	83,300	83,300	
133	TROJAN - RH12	983	983	
134	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	8,961	8,961	
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	1,719,374	1,757,776	+38,402

219

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
135	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	18,062	18,062	
136	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	10,040	10,040	
137	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	60,317	60,317	
138	RAND ARROYO CENTER	20,612	20,612	
139	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	176,041	176,041	
140	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	19,439	19,439	
142	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	275,025	275,025	* ** **
143	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS	45,596	45,596	
144	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	33,295	33,295	
145	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	4,700	4,700	
146	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	6,413	6,413	* * *
147	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	20,746	20,746	
148	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	7,015	7,015	
149	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	49,221	49,221	* * *
150	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	55,039	55,039	
151	SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG (SMART)	1,125	1,125	
152	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	64,169	64,169	
153	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	32,319	32,319	
154	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY	49,052	64,052	+15,000
155	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	2,612	2,612	
156	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT)	49,592	49,592	***
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,000,430	1,015,430	+15,000
158	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT MLRS PRODUCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	17,112	17,112	
159	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION	3,654	3,654	
160	BIOMETRIC ENABLING CAPABILITY (BEC)	1,332	1,332	
161	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT	152,991	152,991	
162	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	54,076	29,076	-25,000
163	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	22,374	22,374	
164	JOINT AUTOMATED DEEP OPERATION COORDINATION SYSTEM	24,371	24,371	
165		005 477	320,177	+25,000
	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	295,177	320,177	+23,000
166	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	45,092	45,092	+23,000

220

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
168	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	381	381	
169	DIGITIZATION	10,912	10,912	
170	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	5,115	5,115	
171	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	49,848	44,848	-5,000
172	TRACTOR CARD	22,691	22,691	
173	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE - OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEV	4,364	4,364	
174	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	834	834	
175	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY - OPERATIONAL	280	280	
176	LOWER TIER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AMD) SYSTEM	78,758	78,758	
177	GUIDED MULTIPLE-LAUNCH ROCKET SYSTEM (GMLRS)	45,377	45,377	***
178	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	10,209	10,209	
181	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	12,525	12,525	
182	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	14,175	14,175	
183	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	4,527	4,527	
184	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	11,011	11,011	
185	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	2,151	2,151	***
187	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	22,870	22,870	
188	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	20,155	20,155	
189	MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV (MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS)	46,472	46,472	
191	VERTICAL UAS	16,389	16,389	
192	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE	1,974	1,974	
193	WIN-T INCREMENT 2 - INITIAL NETWORKING	3,249	3,249	
194	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	76,225	76,225	
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1 2/1 550	1 346 558	+5,000
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		4,802	+3,000
	CERCOTI TEO I ROCKARO.	4,002	4,002	
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY		6,720,000	+126,102

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [in thousands of dollars]

221

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
11.				
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	46,194	56,194	10,000
	Program increase		10,000	
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	38,069	63,069	25,000
	Program increase		25,000	
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	25,751	32,051	6,300
	Program increase		6,300	
29	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	65,139	66,139	1,000
	Program increase		1,000	
30	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	67,291	98,291	31,000
	Peer-reviewed neurotoxin exposure treatment Parkinson's			
	research		16,000	
	Peer-reviewed neurofibromatosis research		15,000	
32	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	57,931	72,931	15,000
	Program increase		15,000	
60	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	9,602	11,002	1,400
	Program increase		1,400	
70	SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	6,830	9,830	3,000
	Army requested transfer from WTCV, line 19		2,048	
	Army requested transfer from WTCV line 31		952	
	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY			
76	INCREMENT 2	96,177	71,177	-25,000
	Funding ahead of need		-25,000	
79	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	37,246	57,246	20,000
	Degraded Visual Environment UH-60L demonstration		20,000	
86	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	27,884	34,586	6,702
	Army requested transfer from WTCV line 19		6,702	
93	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	1,335	3,035	1,700
	Program increase		1,700	
126	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE	142,584	152,584	10,000
	Counter cyber vulnerabilities		10,000	
	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND			
154	SAFETY	49,052	64,052	15,000
	Program increase		15,000	
162	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	54,076	29,076	-25,000
	Funding ahead of need		-25,000	

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
165 COMBAT VEHICLE IMPR Program increase - Stryk		295,177	320,177 25,000	25,000
167 PROGRAMS	NS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT	264,887	274,887 10,000	10,000
171 OTHER MISSILE PRODU- Funding ahead of need	CT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	49,848	44,848 -5,000	-5,000

ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE

The congressional defense committees have encouraged the Army to adopt or develop a replacement vehicle for the M113 series armored personnel carriers of Vietnam War vintage. The challenge is to replace the M113 series with a vehicle or vehicles capable of filling the M113 mission roles across the breadth and depth of the formations. Since any vehicles procured by the Army are likely to remain in service for 40 or more years, the Committee expects the Army to make choices based on merit and fiscal responsibility. When selecting a replacement, the Army must also consider the five missions performed by the M113 variants: general purpose, mortar carrier, mission command, medical evacuation, and medical treatment. Additionally, the Army should consider the full spectrum of evolving missions. The Committee notes that the Army has fielded a wheeled medical evacuation vehicle based on a wheeled combat vehicle and that it has served in combat as a component of the armored brigade combat teams. The Committee is aware that the Army is focusing on first replacing the 2,897 M113 series vehicles that are located in the brigade combat teams. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to respond promptly to provide reports and briefings directed by the congressional defense committees, while advancing the program within funding limitations. The Committee recommendation provides \$92,353,000, fully funding this effort in fiscal year 2015.

In addition, the Committee directs the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act on existing wheeled and tracked combat vehicles that are used for medical purposes. The report should assess the speed, agility, mobility, survivability, patient comfort, and suitability of these vehicles for use by field medical personnel as well as the procurement and operation and support costs for these vehicles to determine their suitability as the medical evacuation variant of the Armored Multi-purpose Vehicle (AMPV) within the armored brigade combat team. This report shall compare the results of the assessment cited above to the current Army plans to develop, procure, and operate a new medical evacuation vehicle as part of the current AMPV program of record. In addition, this report shall include a separate section providing the independent views of the Army Surgeon General on the criteria outlined above and any other criteria deemed relevant by the Army Surgeon General.

NETWORK INTEGRATION EVALUATION

The Committee notes that the Army's Network Integration Evaluation (NIE) program has made substantial progress in enhancing its ability to assist in the delivery of successful network technologies. The Committee is aware that the Army NIE program has tested or evaluated secure tactical nodal software which is intended to provide a more efficient way to integrate tactical capabilities within the network. The Committee understands that the technology that is being incorporated into the Army's network operations has successfully completed the NIE process. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to continue to incorporate tech-

nologies from small businesses in upcoming Army Network Integration Evaluations.

DEGRADED VISUAL ENVIRONMENT

The Committee is aware that many of the accidents involving Army helicopters that result in the loss of aircraft and personnel are attributable to flight operations in a degraded visual environment. Additionally, despite the improvements in pilot assist devices that are available on newer aircraft, a significant part of the helicopter fleet is older and does not have the upgrades to assist with the effects of a degraded visual environment. A 2009 Department of Defense study, updated in 2012, noted that 70 percent of fatalities and 80 percent of aircraft losses resulted from serious accidents that were not the result of hostile fire, but rather wire strikes, engine failures, and brownouts. The Committee understands that the Army has prepared to conduct operational field testing on various products to assist flight crews during situations of degraded visual environment. The Committee recommendation includes an additional \$20,000,000 to support the Army's operational testing of counter degraded visual environment equipment. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act on the Army's expenditure plan of the funds provided to assist the Army with the degraded visual environment challenge.

POLYMER RESEARCH IMPROVEMENTS

Polymers are becoming more easily manufactured, less expensive to manufacture, and increasingly lightweight. State-of-the-art polymer materials can also result in improved ballistic performance. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to consider the application of polymers in the context of conducting ballistic research.

ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION

The Committee recognizes the importance of maintaining and improving the military decision making process. Proven skill in the decision making process has a large effect on performance in battle. Gaming, modeling, and simulation establish a reality factor which assists military leaders in preparation for all functions on the battlefield, including logistics. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to focus research and development investments with institutions of higher education developing cognitive mapbased modeling and simulation tools to advance battlefield readiness of military planning for both tacticians and logisticians.

TESTING OF VIRTUAL TRAINING SYSTEMS

Live, virtual gaming has become a valuable component of the Army's training curriculum. Due to its adaptability, virtual gaming may provide potential cost savings, improved training experience, and enhanced readiness. However, the Committee is concerned that these virtual training systems lack consistent testing and evaluation. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to es-

tablish standards and testing to ensure that these systems provide the warfighter with the best training experience possible.

ARMY NET ZERO POLICY

The Army's net zero energy, water, and waste policy requires installations to produce as much energy as they consume, limits freshwater consumption, restores the watersheds surrounding installations, and attempts to reduce, reuse, or recover waste streams to convert the waste to resources. The Committee supports the Army's net zero policy and strongly encourages the Secretary of the Army to develop and demonstrate technologies to advance the policy and enhance the sustainable operation of its industrial munitions base.

LIGHTWEIGHT ADVANCED PERSONNEL PROTECTION

The Committee is aware of progress being made at the Natick Soldier Research, Development and Engineering Center to design and test lightweight advanced armor to better protect the warfighter, specifically the face and eyes. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to explore the use of novel, high-performance, lightweight, transparent ballistic protection materials to continue the progress being made to better protect the warfighter.

AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT

The Army is the single manager for conventional ammunition (SMCA) for the entire Department of Defense. In this capacity, the Army ensures effective life cycle management of conventional ammunition, a role becoming more challenging as a result of declining resources and force structure reductions. The Committee believes the management of conventional ammunition could be assisted by the establishment of new generation munitions material and manufacturing technologies in the national technical industrial base. Further, the Committee believes that automated and streamlined munitions manufacturing and new ammunition technologies play a critical role to the Department of Defense as they will provide a sustaining, cost saving, flexible manufacturing capability for the nation's munitions well into the future. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army, as the SMCA, to equip the national technical industrial base with these new and emerging smart manufacturing and ammunition technologies.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$14,949,919,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	16,266,335,000
Committee recommendation	15,877,770,000
Change from budget request	-388,565,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$15,877,770,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

226

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY			
1	BASIC RESEARCH UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	113,908	113,908	
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	18,734	18,734	
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	443,697	443,697	
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	576,339	576,339	****
4	APPLIED RESEARCH POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	95,753	95,753	
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	139,496	139,496	***
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	45,831	45,831	
7	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	43,541	43,541	***
8	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	46,923	46,923	
9	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	107,872	107,872	
10	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	45,388	65,388	+20,000
11	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	5,887	5,887	***
12	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	86,880	86,880	***
13	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV	170,786	176,086	+5,300
14	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	32,526	32,526	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	820,883	846,183	+25,300
15	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	37,734	37,734	
16	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	25,831	25,831	
17	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	64,623	64,623	
18	MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD)	128,397	128,397	
19	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,506	11,506	
20	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEV	256,144	261,144	+5,000
21	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	4,838	40,538	+35,700
22	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	9,985	9,985	***
23	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS	53,956	53,956	
24	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	2,000	2,000	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	595,014	635,714	+40,700

227

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
25	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	40,429	40,429	
26	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	4,325	4,325	
27	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	2,991	2,991	
28	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS	12,651	12,651	•
29	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	7,782	7,782	
30	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	5,275	5,275	***
31	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	1,646	1,646	
32	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES	100,349	83,158	-17,191
33	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	52,781	48,481	-4,300
34	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	5,959	5,959	
35	PILOT FISH	148,865	138,865	-10,000
36	RETRACT LARCH	25,365	25,365	
37	RETRACT JUNIPER	80,477	72,477	-8,000
38	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	669	669	*
39	SURFACE ASW	1,060	1,060	
40	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	70,551	67,551	-3,000
41	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	8,044	8,044	
42	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	17,864	17,864	
43	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	23,716	17,736	-5,980
44	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	499,961	499,961	•••
45	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	21,026	21,026	
46	CHALK EAGLE	542,700	542,700	
47	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	88,734	86,734	-2,000
48	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	20,881	20,881	
49	OHIO REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	849,277	849,277	
50	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS) MISSION PACKAGES	196,948	168,648	-28,300
51	AUTOMATIC TEST AND RE-TEST	8,115	8,115	
52	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	7,603	7,603	
53	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	105,749	105,749	
54	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	1,342	1,342	•••

228

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
55	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	21,399	21,399	
56	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	43,578	39,310	-4,268
57	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	7,764	6,264	-1,500
58	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	13,200	13,200	
59	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	69,415	55,393	-14,022
60	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	2,588	2,588	
61	CHALK CORAL	176,301	176,301	
62	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	3,873	3,873	
63	RETRACT MAPLE	376,028	376,028	***
64	LINK PLUMERIA	272,096	252,496	-19,600
65	RETRACT ELM	42,233	42,233	
66	LINK EVERGREEN	46,504	46,504	
67	SPECIAL PROCESSES	25,109	25,109	
68	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	9,659	9,659	***
69	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	318	318	
70	NONLETHAL WEAPONS	40,912	35,627	-5,285
71	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	54,896	41,896	-13,000
73	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	58,696	52,696	-6,000
74	GERALD R. FORD CLASS NUCLEAR AIRCRAFT CARRIER	43,613	43,613	
75	REMOTE MINEHUNTING SYSTEM (RMS)	21,110	21,110	
76	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	5,657	5,657	***
77	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION	8,033	4,033	-4,000
78	LX (R)	36,859	30,859	-6,000
79	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE	15,227	15,227	
81	SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE	22,393	22,393	
82	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT	202,939	161,939	-41,000
83	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING	11,450	9,450	-2,000
84	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT - MIP	6,495	6,495	
85	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT - MIP	332	332	
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION	4,591,812	4,396,366	-195,446

229

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
86	TRAINING SYSTEM AIRCRAFT	25,153	25,153	• • •
87	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	46,154	32,035	-14,119
88	AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV	25,372	25,372	
89	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	53,712	53,712	• • •
90	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	11,434	11,434	
91	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	2,164	2,164	
92	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	1,710	1,710	
93	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	9,094	9,094	
94	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	70,248	62,140	-8,108
95	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	193,200	146,200	-47,000
96	H-1 UPGRADES	44,115	44,115	
97	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	23,227	23,227	***
98	V-22A	61,249	54,249	-7,000
99	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	15,014	15,014	
100	EA-18	18,730	18,730	
101	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	28,742	28,742	
102	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	388,086	388,086	
103	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)	246,856	230,733	-16,123
104	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	7,106	7,106	
105	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	189,112	179,112	-10,000
106	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	376	376	
107	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	71,849	61,849	-10,000
108	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	53,198	53,198	•••
109	AIRBORNE MCM	38,941	38,941	
110	MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE ELECTRONIC WARFARE	7,832	7,832	
111	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL-COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENG	15,263	15,263	
112	FUTURE UNMANNED CARRIER-BASED STRIKE SYSTEM	403,017	403,017	
113	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	20,409	20,409	
114	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	71,565	71,565	
115	AIR CONTROL	29,037	29,037	
116	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	122,083	122,083	•••
118	ADVANCED MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR (AMDR) SYSTEM	144,706	127,567	-17,139
119	NEW DESIGN SSN	72,695	87,695	+15,000

230

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
120	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	38,985	38,985	
121	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E	48,470	48,470	
122	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	3.935	3,935	***
123	VIRGINIA PAYLOAD MODULE (VPM)	132,602	132,602	
124	MINE DEVELOPMENT	19,067	14,067	-5,000
125	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	25,280	35,280	+10,000
126	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	8,985	8,985	
127	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	7,669	7,669	
128	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	4,400	4,400	
129	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	56,889	56,889	***
130	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	96,937	81,937	-15,000
131	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	134,564	121,339	-13,225
132	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	200	200	
133	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	8,287	27,287	+19,000
134	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	29,504	29,504	
135	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD	513,021	513,021	
136	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)	516,456	516,456	
137	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	2,887	2,887	
138	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	66,317	66,317	
139	CH-53K	573,187	573,187	
140	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR (SSC)	67,815	55,026	-12,789
141	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	6,300	6,300	
142	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	308,037	319,037	+11,000
143	DDG-1000	202,522	202,522	
144	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM - MIP	1,011	1,011	
145	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	10,357	10,357	
146	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	23,975	23,975	***
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	5,419,108	5,298,605	-120,503

231

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
147	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	45,272	45,272	
148	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	79,718	66,718	-13,000
149	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	123,993	123,993	
150	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	4,960	4,960	
151	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - NAVY	8,296	8,296	
152	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	45,752	45,752	
154	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	876	876	
155	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	72,070	72,070	
156	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3,237	3,237	***
157	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	73,033	73,033	
158	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	138,304	138,304	
159	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	336,286	336,286	
160	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	16,658	16,658	
161	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT	2,505	2,505	
162	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	8,325	8,325	
163	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	17,866	17,866	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	977,151	964,151	-13,000
168	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT	35,949	35,949	
169	MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS	215	215	
170	CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY FOLLOW ON	8,873	8,873	
172	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	96,943	94,525	-2,418
173	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	30,057	30,057	
174	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	4,509	4,509	
175	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	13,676	13,676	
176	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	12,480	9,480	-3,000
177	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	76,216	86,216	+10,000
179	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	27,281	27,281	
180	SURFACE SUPPORT	2,878	2,878	
181	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)	32,385	27,685	-4,700
182	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	39,371	29,471	-9,900
183	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS	4,609	4,609	m w m
184	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR	99,106	99,106	

232

			COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
185	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	39,922	39,922	
186	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	1,157	1,157	
187	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	22,067	16,567	-5,500
188	HARM IMPROVEMENT	17,420	17,420	
189	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	151,208	133,594	-17,614
190	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	26,366	26,366	
191	MK-48 ADCAP	25,952	25,952	
192	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	106,936	75,037	-31,899
194	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	104,023	104,023	
195	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	77,398	74,258	-3,140
196	COMMON AVIATION COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	32,495	32,495	
197	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS	156,626	142,076	-14,550
198	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	20,999	20,999	* * *
199	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP)	14,179	14,179	
200	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	47,258	47,258	
201	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	10,210	10,210	
206	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	41,829	41,829	
207	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES	22,780	22,780	
208	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	23,053	23,053	
209	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	296	296	
212	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC)	359	359	
213	JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS	6,166	6,166	
214	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	8,505	8,505	

233

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
216	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS	11,613	11,613	
217	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS	18,146	18,146	
218	RQ-4 UAV	498,003	463,003	-35,000
219	MQ-8 UAV	47,294	43,294	-4,000
220	RQ-11 UAV	718	718	**-
221	RQ-7 UAV	851	851	
222	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO)	4,813	4,813	
223	RQ-21A	8,192	8,192	***
224	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	22,559	18,664	-3,895
225	UNMANNED AERIAL SYSTEMS (UAS) PAYLOADS (MIP)	2,000	2,000	***
226	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	4,719	4,719	
227	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	21,168	21,168	
228	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	37,169	37,169	***
229	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)	4,347	4,347	
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	2,123,344	1,997,728	-125,616
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,162,684	1,162,684	
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY	16,266,335	15,877,770	-388,565

234

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED			
40	RESEARCH	45,388	65,388	20,000
10	Program increase - AGOR mid-life refit	40,500	20,000	
	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED			
13	TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	170,786	176,086	5,300
	Program increase - automated critical care system		5,300	
	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED		*****	* ***
20	TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	256,144	261,144	5,000
	Program increase - ASW research		5,000	
21	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	4,838	40,538	35,700
	Program increase - bone marrow registry program		31,500	
	Tactical athlete program - transfer from OM,DW		4,200	
	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE			
32	COUNTERMEASURES	100,349	83,158	-17,191
	SSQ-94 trainer program growth		-1,500	
	Unmanned surface vehicle development program delay		-3,600	
	Unmanned surface vehicle support program growth		-1,000	
	Unmanned surface vehicle flight 2 ahead of need		-7,891	
	Large diameter unmanned underwater vehicle support program growth		-3,200	
33	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	52,781	48,481	-4,300
	Program execution		-4,300	
35	PILOT FISH	148,865	138,865	-10,000
	Classified adjustment		-10,000	
37	RETRACT JUNIPER	80,477	72,477	-8,000
	Classified adjustment		-8,000	
40	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	70,551	67,551	-3,000
	Stealth funding carryover		-3,000	
43	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	23,716	17,736	-5,980
	Program execution		-5,980	
47	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	88,734	86,734	-2,006
	Support funding growth		-2,000	
50	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP MISSION PACKAGES	196,948	168,648	-28,300
	Management funding growth		-3,000	
	Program execution		-25,300	
56	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	43,578	39,310	-4,26
	Program execution		-3,268	
	Common array block antenna program growth		-1,000	
57	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	7,764	6,264	-1,50
	Submarine rescue system program delay		-1,500	

		Budget	Committee	Change from
R-1		Request	Recommended	Request
59	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	69,415	55,393	-14,022
	Program execution	40,110	-5,611	14,000
	Tactical fuels program growth		-1,700	
	Directed energy program growth		-2,711	
	Aircraft energy conservation program growth		-4,000	
64	LINK PLUMERIA	272,096	252,496	-19,600
	Classified adjustment		-19,600	
70	NONLETHAL WEAPONS	40,912	35,627	-5,285
	Program execution		-5,285	
71	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS	54,896	41,896	-13,000
	Program execution	,	-13,000	
73	DIRECTED ENERGY AND ELECTRIC WEAPON SYSTEMS	58,696	52,696	-6,000
	Railgun development excess support	54,555	-6,000	0,007
77	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION	8,033	4,033	-4,000
•	Program growth	2,000	-4,000	1,000
78	LX (R)	36,859	30,859	-6,000
	LX (R) development program growth	**,***	-6,000	-,
	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON			
82	DEVELOPMENT	202,939	161,939	-41,000
	Support cost growth		-10,000	
	Program delay		-30,000	
	Increment II ahead of need		-1,000	
	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE			
83	ENGINEERING/MANUFACTURING	11,450	9,450	-2,000
	Program management excess to requirement		-2,000	
87	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	46,154	32,035	-14,119
٠,	H-60 development- program delay	,	-3,064	, ,,
	Executive helicopter support program growth		-5,000	
	MH-XX ahead of need		-6,055	
94	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	70,248	62,140	-8,108
34	Program execution	10,240	-5,108	-0,100
	64-bit architecture phasing		-3,000	
95	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	193,200	146,200	-47,000
	In-flight refueling program restructure	,	-27,000	,
	Tactical targeting technology program growth		-5,000	
	Support funding growth		-15,000	
98	V-22A	61,249	54,249	-7,000
	Program growth	,	-7,000	1,000
103	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER	246,856	230,733	-16,123
,00	Program execution	440,000	-16,123	-10,120
	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM			
105	ENGINEERING ENGINEERING	189,112	179,112	-10,000
	Surface combatant development and integration support	•	•	-,
	program growth		-10,000	

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
407	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	71,849	61,849	-10,000
101	Small diameter bomb II integration program growth	71,045	-10,000	-10,000
112	ADVANCED MISSILE DEFENSE RADAR SYSTEM	144,706	127,567	-17,139
	Program execution	144,100	-17,139	11,100
119	NEW DESIGN SSN	72,695	87,695	15,000
	Program increase - small business technology insertion	12,000	15,000	,,,,,,
124	MINE DEVELOPMENT	19,067	14,067	-5,000
	Mine Development program growth		-5,000	
125	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	25,280	35,280	10,000
	Program increase - small business technology insertion		10,000	
130	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	96,937	81,937	-15,000
	ESSM block II risk reduction		-15,000	
131	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	134,564	121,339	-13,225
	SEWIP block 3 preliminary design contract delay		-13,225	
133	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	8,287	27,287	19,000
	Program increase - wound care research		13,000	
	Program increase - dental research		6,000	
140	SHIP TO SHORE CONNECTOR	67,815	55,026	-12,789
	Program execution		-12,789	
142	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT	308,037	319,037	11,000
	Spiral 2 government systems engineering program growth Program increase - small business technology insertion		-4,000 15,000	
	Program increase - small business reciniology insention		15,000	
148	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	79,718	66,718	-13,000
	GQM-173A program delay BQM-177A program restructure		-10,000 -3,000	
	• •			
172	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT Program execution	96,943	94,525 -12,418	-2,418
	Program execution Program increase - missile component development		10,000	
176	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	12.480	9,480	-3.000
., .	TIPS program growth	12,100	-3,000	-,
177	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	76,216	86,216	10,000
	Program increase - dual mode Brimstone integration		10,000	,,,,,,,
	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING			
181	CENTER	32,385	27,685	-4,700
	Tactical tomahawk AUR program growth		-4,700	
182	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	39,371	29,471	-9,900
	Classified adjustment		-9,900	
187	ELECTRONIC WARFARE READINESS SUPPORT	22,067	16,567	-5,500
	EW/IO countermeasure capability program growth		-5,500	,
189	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	151,208	133,594	-17,614
	Program execution	,	-17,614	,•

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
192	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	106,936	75,037	-31,899
	F-135 improvements ahead of need		-31,899	
195	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	77,398	74,258	-3,140
	AFATDS software development schedule slip		-1,340	
	AN/TPS-59 support unjustified growth		-1,800	
	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS			
197	SYSTEMS	156,626	142,076	-14,550
	AAV support unjustified growth		-14,550	
218	RQ-4 UAV	498,003	463,003	-35,000
	Milestone C delay	,	-35,000	
219	MQ-8 UAV	47,294	43,294	-4,000
	Engineering and technical services program growth		-4,000	
224	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	22,559	18,664	-3,895
	Program execution	,	-3,895	

AMPHIBIOUS COMBAT VEHICLE

The Committee is supportive of the Marine Corps' Amphibious Combat Vehicle (ACV) program, which is being developed to replace the rapidly aging Amphibious Assault Vehicle. However, the Committee is aware that the strategy for the ACV program is currently being revised due to a re-evaluation of requirements, estimated costs, and schedule, and that a contract award is unlikely to occur in fiscal year 2015. Therefore, the Committee recommends a rescission of \$78,800,000 from the total \$122,967,000 appropriated in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014, but recommends fully funding the fiscal year 2015 request of \$105,749,000. This will allow the Marine Corps to immediately implement the ACV acquisition strategy once the path forward has been finalized, without unnecessarily reserving resources that could be used for higher priority items. The Committee will continue to support the ACV program as the strategy is refined in future budget submissions and looks forward to continuing discussions with the Marine Corps regarding the ACV program progress.

AUTOMATED TEST AND RE-TEST

The Committee recommends fully funding the Navy's request for \$8,115,000 for the Automated Test and Re-test effort. According to a recent Navy report, funding for this program will continue throughout the future years defense plan. The Committee understands that this program will provide significant savings to many of the software intensive programs currently in use, as well as those in development, and looks forward to tracking the progress of this effort in future years as the Navy provides additional funding.

LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP TRAINING

Due to the small crew size and operational concept of the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS), the LCS Total System Training Architecture is a vital component to the success or failure of the LCS program. Limited at sea training opportunities will exist for the crews of the LCS so they need to maximize their shore based training to the greatest extent possible. As the training architecture is such an important component of the LCS program, the Committee is puzzled as to why the Navy has reduced the funding for this effort from the appropriated levels over the last several years. The budget justification material shows that nearly \$100,000,000 has been removed from the LCS training system budget over the last three years. This large reduction could very possibly jeopardize the readiness of the LCS crews as they man ships and begin to take them to sea. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to ensure the entire fiscal year 2015 appropriated amount of \$19,547,000 is allocated towards the LCS Total System Training Architecture.

ARCTIC CENTER OF EXCELLENCE

The Navy's most recent Arctic Roadmap provides direction and recommendations to enhance the Navy's ability to operate in the arctic region. The roadmap recommended the establishment of an Arctic Center of Excellence and the development of an arctic engagement plan focused on partnerships with other stakeholders in the arctic region, both public and private. The Committee believes that these recommendations go hand in hand since the Arctic Center of Excellence would support these partnerships. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to establish the Arctic Center of Excellence to support arctic-related training, operations, and scientific research. Further, the Secretary of the Navy is encouraged to consider locations for the Center that could bring together elements from public and private communities, including academia, who can contribute to advancing the nation's interests in the arctic region.

WOUND CARE RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends \$13,000,000 for the wound care research program. The Committee is aware of the continued need to develop wound care technology to improve treatment for combat wounded servicemembers. The Committee is also aware of the challenges associated with treating wounds, particularly in combat environments, and the risk of developing bacteria, biofilms, and other infections. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to research technologies that can prevent biofilm formulation, bacteria, and infections in combat wounds.

AUTOMATED CRITICAL CARE SYSTEM

Trauma care for Marines and sailors deployed in remote locations or on ships poses a serious challenge to Navy caregivers. The Committee is extremely concerned with the potential deterioration of these injured servicemembers while being stabilized, evacuated, or transported to a facility possessing high-level medical care. Coordinating and providing care while safely transporting injured patients with life threatening conditions strains medical personnel and other mission resources. Incorporation of state-of-the-art medical device technologies with automated decision controls may well mean the difference between life and death and will have a significant impact in medical care for both the military and civilian communities. The automated critical care system (ACCS) being developed by the Navy has the potential to be such an innovative medical device. The Committee fully supports the ACCS and provides an additional \$5,300,000 to accelerate the development effort.

P-8A OPEN ARCHITECTURE

There are numerous benefits to utilizing open architecture in today's software intensive weapon systems. It enables low cost capability insertion, allows numerous capabilities to be supported by a common hardware set, and allows the sharing of information across different platforms. The Committee is aware of the Navy's ongoing effort to develop an open architecture system for the P–8A Poseidon multi-mission maritime aircraft. The Committee strongly encourages the Secretary of the Navy to continue this development effort and to incorporate open architecture into the P–8A as soon as possible.

AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS MODERNIZATION

The Committee provides \$10,000,000 as requested for aircraft systems modernization. The Committee is aware that a major modernization effort is the development of technology needed to support the transition of highly integrated photonics into naval aircraft systems. This funding will support requirements study, technology maturation, and system design and demonstration of general-purpose avionics networks. The Committee understands that this work will have a positive impact on life cycle costs associated with maintaining and upgrading networks aboard Navy aircraft. Accordingly, the Committee expects that this effort will continue to receive full funding in fiscal year 2016 and over the future years defense plan.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$23,585,292,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	23,739,892,000
Committee recommendation	23,438,982,000
Change from budget request	-300,910,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$23,438,982,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

241

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE			
1	BASIC RESEARCH DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	314,482	314,482	
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	127,079	127,079	
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	12,929	12,929	
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	454,490	454,490	***
4	APPLIED RESEARCH	105,680	110,680	+5,000
5	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	105,747	105,747	
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	81,957	96,957	+15,000
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	172,550	172,550	
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS	118,343	118,343	***
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	98,229	91,229	-7,000
10	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	87,387	87,387	***
11	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	125,955	125,955	
12	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	147,789	147,789	
13	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	37,496	37,496	
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH	1,081,133	1,094,133	+13,000
14	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	32,177	39,677	+7,500
15	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	15,800	15,800	
16	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	34,420	34,420	
17	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	91,062	91,062	
18	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	124,236	124,236	
19	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	47,602	47,602	
20	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	69,026	63,026	-6,000
21	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS)	14,031	14,031	
22	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	21,788	21,788	
23	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	42,046	42,046	
24	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	23,542	23,542	
25	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	42,772	52,772	+10,000
26	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION	35,315	35,315	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	593,817	605,317	+11,500

242

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
27	ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	5,408	5,408	
31	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	6,075	6,075	•
32	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	10,980	10,980	
33	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	2,392	2,392	
34	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D	833	833	
35	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP)	32,313	30,955	-1,358
37	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE	30,885	30,885	
39	POLLUTION PREVENTION (DEM/VAL)	1,798	1,798	***
40	LONG RANGE STRIKE	913,728	913,728	
42	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	2,669	12,669	+10,000
45	WEATHER SATELLITE FOLLOW-ON	39,901	39,901	***
49	F-35 - EMD	4,976		-4,976
51	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	59,004	59,004	
54	NEXT GENERATION AIR DOMINANCE	15,722	15,722	
55	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR	88,825	88,825	
56	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT)	156,659	156,659	
	TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT	1,372,168	1,375,834	+3,666

243

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
59	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	13,324	13,324	
60	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	1,965	1,965	
61	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	39,110	39,110	
62	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	3,926	3,926	***
63	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	68,759	68,759	
64	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	23,746	23,476	-270
65	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	9,462	9,462	***
66	SPACE FENCE	214,131	200,131	-14,000
67	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	30,687	30,687	
68	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	319,501	309,501	-10,000
69	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	31,112	31,112	
70	SUBMUNITIONS	2,543	2,543	***
71	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	46,340	46,340	***
72	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	8,854	8,854	
73	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	10,129	10,129	
74	ROCKET ENGINE DEVELOPMENT (SPACE)		220,000	+220,000
75	F-35 - EMD	563,037	563,037	
78	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	4,938	3,438	-1,500
79	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION	59,826	29,826	-30,000
80	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	78	78	***
81	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B	173,647	173,647	
82	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	5,332	5,332	
83	NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT KC-46	776,937	766,937	-10,000
84	ADVANCED PILOT TRAINING	8,201	8,201	
85	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION	***	100,000	+100,000
86	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	7,497	7,497	
87	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	314,378	296,038	-18,340
88	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	103,552	103,552	
89	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE)	31,425	23,925	-7,500
90	AIR AND SPACE OPS CENTER 10.2	85,938	85,938	
91	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	98,768	98,768	

244

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
92	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION	198,357	193,357	-5,000
94	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	8;831	8,831	
95	NEXTGEN JSTARS	73,088	73,088	
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	3,337,419	3,560,809	+223,390
97	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	24,418	24,418	
98	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	47,232	47,232	•••
99	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	30,443	30,443	
101	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	12,266	12,266	
102	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	689,509	689,509	
103	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	34,364	34,364	
104	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	21,161	21,161	
105	FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION - TEST & EVAL	46,955	46,955	
106	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT - TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	32,965	32,965	
107	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	13,850	13,850	***
108	SPACE TEST AND TRAINING RANGE DEVELOPMENT	19,512	19,512	
110	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE	181,727	177,800	-3,927
111	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SERVICES (EIS)	4,938	4,938	
112	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	18,644	18,644	
113	ELECTRONIC ACQUISITION SERVICES ENVIRONMENT	1,425	1,425	
114	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	3,790	3,790	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		1,179,272	-3,927

245

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
115	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT GPS III - OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT	299,760	299,760	
117	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE		2,000	+2,000
118	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	2,469	2,469	***
119	AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM	90,218	90,218	
120	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	34,815	34,815	
122	B-52 SQUADRONS	55,457	55,457	
123	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	450	450	
124	B-1B SQUADRONS	5,353	4,353	-1,000
125	B-2 SQUADRONS	131,580	105,680	-25,900
126	MINUTEMAN SQUADRONS	139,109	139,109	***
127	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM - USSTRATCOM	35,603	35,603	
128	NIGHT FIST - USSTRATCOM	32	32	
130	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION	1,522	1,522	
131	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM - SPACE ACTIVITIES	3,134	3,134	
133	MQ-9 UAV	170,396	170,396	
136	F-16 SQUADRONS	133,105	133,105	
137	F-15E SQUADRONS	261,969	251,969	-10,000
138	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	14,831	14,831	
139	F-22 SQUADRONS	156,962	151,362	-5,600
140	F-35 SQUADRONS	43,666	43,666	
141	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	29,739	29,739	
142	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	82,195	82,195	
144	F-15 EPAWSS	68,944	68,944	
145	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY	5,095	5,095	
146	COMBAT RESCUE - PARARESCUE	883	883	- * -
147	AF TENCAP	5,812	5,812	
148	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	1,081	1,081	
149	COMPASS CALL	14,411	14,411	
150	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	109,664	94,177	-15,487

246

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
151	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	15,897	15,897	
152	AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	41,066	41,066	
153	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)	552	552	
154	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	180,804	180,804	
155	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS	3,754	3,754	
157	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	7,891	7,891	
158	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTYMOD	5,891	5,891	
159	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,782	1,782	
161	DCAPES	821	821	
163	SEEK EAGLE	23,844	23,844	
164	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	16,723	16,723	
165	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	5,956	5,956	
166	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	4,457	4,457	
167	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	60,679	60,679	• • •
169	CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES	67,057	67,057	***
170	AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	13,355	13,355	
171	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	5,576	5,576	
179	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE	12,218	10,697	-1,521
180	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	28,778	1,700	-27,078
181	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK	81,035	81,035	
182	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	70,497	70,497	***
183	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	692	692	
185	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	55,208	49,950	-5,258
187	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	106,786	106,786	
190	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	4,157	4,157	
193	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	20,806	20,806	
194	WEATHER SERVICE	25,102	25,102	

247

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
195	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC)	23,516	23,516	
196	AERIAL TARGETS	8,639	8,639	
199	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	498	498	
200	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION	13,222	13,222	***
201	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	360	360	
206	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,674	3,326	-348
207	SPACE WARFARE CENTER	2,480	2,071	-409
208	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	8,592	6,954	-1,638
209	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	13,462	13,462	
210	DRAGON U-2	5,511	5,511	
212	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	28,113	38,113	+10,000
213	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	13,516	13,516	
214	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	27,265	27,265	
215	PREDATOR UAV (JMIP)	1,378	1,378	
216	RQ-4 UAV	244,514	244,514	***
217	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET (TIARA)	11,096	11,096	
218	COMMON DATA LINK (CDL)	36,137	36,137	
219	NATO AGS	232,851	232,851	
220	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE	20,218	20,218	
221	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	212,571	212,571	
222	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	73,779	73,779	
223	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION	4,102	4,102	• • •
225	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	20,468	20,468	***
226	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	11,596	11,596	
227	CYBER OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	4,938	4,938	
228	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	1,212	1,212	
230	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS	38,773	38,773	
231	C-17 AIRCRAFT	83,773	83,773	
232	C-130J PROGRAM	26,715	26,715	

248

			RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
233	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM)	5,172	5,172	
234	KC-10S	2,714	2,714	
235	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	27,784	27,784	***
236	CV-22	38,719	38,719	
237	PRESIDENTIAL AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT (PAR)	11,006	11,006	
238	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	8,405	8,405	***
239	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,407	1,407	
241	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	109,685	109,685	
242	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	16,209	16,209	***
243	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	987	987	
244	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	126	126	
245	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	2,603	2,603	
246	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	1,589	1,589	
247	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	5,026	5,026	*
248	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY	1,394	1,394	
249	FACILITIES OPERATIONADMINISTRATION	3,798	3,798	
250	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	107,314	87,314	-20,000
			4 474 207	
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		4,174,307	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	11,441,120	10,994,820	
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE		23,438,982	-300,910

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

249

[in thousands of dollars]

		Budget	Committee	Change from
R-1		Request	Recommended	Reques
4	MATERIALS Program increase	105,680	110,680 5,000	5,000
	·			
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH Program increase	81,957	96,957 15,000	15,000
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY Excess to need	98,229	91,229 -7,000	-7,000
14	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS Program increase	32,177	39,677 7,500	7,500
20	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY Excess to need	69,026	63,026 -6,000	-6,000
25	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM Program increase	42,772	52,772 10,000	10,000
35	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP) Excess to need	32,313	30,955 -1,358	-1,358
42	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER Program increase	2,669	12,669 10,000	10,000
49	F-35 - EMD Duplicate funding	4,976	0 -4,976	-4,976
64	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS Maintain fiscal year 2014 level	23,746	23,476 -270	-270
66	SPACE FENCE Program delay	214,131	200,131 -14,000	-14,000
68	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD Wide field of view test beds	319,501	309,501 -10,000	-10,000
74	LIQUID ROCKET ENGINE DEVELOPMENT (SPACE) Develop capacity	0	220,000 220,000	220,000
78	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON Execution adjustment	4,938	3,438 -1,500	-1,500
79	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION Execution adjustment	59,826	29,826 -30,000	-30,000
83	KC-46 Program decrease	776,937	766,937 -10,000	-10,000
85	COMBAT RESCUE HELICOPTER Program increase only for Combat Rescue Helicopter	0	100,000 100,000	100,000
87	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) SMI excess growth	314,378	296,038 -18,340	-18,340

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
89	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE) Resiliency funding excess to need	31,425	23,925 -7,500	-7,500
92	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION B61-12 tailkit EMD phase 1 favorable cost variances	198,357	193,357 -5,000	-5,000
110	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE Personnel costs excess to need	181,727	177,800 -3,927	-3,927
117	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE Program increase	0	2,000 2,000	2,000
124	B-1B SQUADRONS Execution adjustment	5,353	4,353 -1,000	-1,000
125	B-2 SQUADRONS Flexible strike execution delays Ejection seat safety/sustainability improvement program	131,580	105,680 -29,400 3,500	-25,900
137	F-15E SQUADRONS Execution adjustment	261,969	251,969 -10,000	-10,000
139	F-22 SQUADRONS Execution adjustment	156,962	151,362 -5,600	-5,600
150	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM F135 engine program	109,664	94,177 -15,487	-15,487
179	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE Maintain fiscal year 2014 level	12,218	10,697 -1,521	-1,521
180	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC) Low Frequency Transmit System cost and schedule growth	28,778	1,700 -27,078	-27,078
185	MILSATCOM TERMINALS Excess to need - FAB-T downselect delay	55,208	49,950 -5,258	-5,258
206	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER Maintain fiscal year 2014 level	3,674	3,326 -348	-348
207	SPACE WARFARE CENTER Maintain fiscal year 2014 level	2,480	2,071 -409	-409
208	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE Maintain fiscal year 2014 level	8,592	6,954 -1,638	-1,638
212	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS Wide Area Motion Imagery program shortfall	28,113	38,113 10,000	10,000
250	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT Execution adjustment	107,314	87,314 -20,000	-20,000
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	11,441,120	10,994,820 -446,300	-446,300

GLOBAL HAWK, U-2, AND HIGH ALTITUDE ISR

The Air Force's fiscal year 2015 budget request proposes to retain the RQ-4 Global Hawk Block 30 fleet while preparing for the divestment of the U-2 fleet in fiscal year 2016. This proposal constitutes a reversal of the Air Force's previous position on the two platforms. The Air Force has explained that its reversal primarily is based on declining operation and sustainment costs for the Global Hawk, making it a more prudent long-term investment. The Committee views the U-2 and the Global Hawk Block 30 as complementary, rather than rival, systems for high altitude intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance; at the same time, the Committee acknowledges that budget constraints in current law have driven the Air Force to choose between the two platforms.

The Committee is concerned, however, by the Air Force's present plan to initiate and complete a precipitous drawdown of the U-2 fleet in fiscal year 2016. The U-2 possesses flight performance, sensor, and other capabilities that the Global Hawk Block 30 does not presently have. Among these U-2 capabilities is carriage of the Optical Bar Camera (OBC), which provides vital imagery enabling American support of the Israel-Egypt peace treaty. The Air Force to date has not proposed a mitigating solution for the loss of the OBC capability after 2016. The Committee understands the Air Force is reviewing alternate options for divestment, including the option of a temporary mixed fleet of Global Hawks and U-2s be-

yond fiscal year 2016, in order to address these concerns.

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 provided the Air Force with an additional \$10,000,000 to conduct a study of the feasibility of adapting U-2 sensors or similar alternatives to the Block 30. The Committee believes that a favorable solution to improving the electro-optical/infrared capability of the Block 30 would involve a sensor that provides imagery intelligence comparable to or better than that provided by the SYERS-2 on the U-2, including National Image Interpretability Rating Scale score, range, field of regard, and area coverage; preserves the ability for simultaneous carriage of the synthetic aperture radar on the Block 30; does not unduly compromise the availability of SYERS-2 units for U-2 missions in the event that the Air Force opts for a mixed fleet; minimizes the integration work necessary for adaptation to the Block 30 using the Sensor Interface Module; and can be developed and procured at a cost not exceeding the "parity" option identified as meeting Air Combat Command sensor attributes in the report of April 2013. The Committee understands that the ultimate solution will involve reasonable trade-offs between these parameters.

In addition, the Committee believes that it is critical to invest in upgrades that will provide Block 30 with necessary weather avoidance and anti-icing capabilities; improved sensor capabilities are of less consequence if inclement weather remains a significant limiting factor on Block 30 operations, especially in the Pacific Command area of responsibility.

To date, the Air Force has not presented the Committee with a requirements-driven, accountably resourced, and realistically scheduled plan for improving capabilities on the Global Hawk Block 30 and mitigating the loss of U–2 capabilities such as the OBC after fiscal year 2016. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to present such a plan to the congressional defense committees prior to taking any action to divest the U–2 fleet. The Committee will review the progress of actions by the Air Force in this regard when the fiscal year 2016 budget request is submitted, and intends to take intervening action against full and immediate divestment of the U–2 fleet if such plans are not satisfactory. The Committee also notes that the House version of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2014 requires the Air Force to produce a transition plan and prohibits the Air Force from taking any steps toward retirement of the U–2. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force to use previously appropriated funds to continue critical sustainment programs for the U–2 until divestment of the fleet is authorized by Congress.

COMBAT RESCUE HELICOPTER

The Committee fully supports the Air Force's decision to proceed with an acquisition program for a new Combat Rescue Helicopter (CRH) despite budget constraints. The CRH will replace the Air Force's rapidly aging fleet of HH–60 helicopters for the combat search and rescue mission. While the fiscal year 2015 request includes no additional funds for CRH, the Air Force has indicated to the Committee that the \$333,558,000 provided in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 is sufficient to fund the program through fiscal year 2015 given the adjustments the Air Force has made to extend the program schedule. The Committee is aware that the Air Force will need to rectify a \$436,000,000 shortfall in the current future years defense plan to fully fund CRH development, but believes that this cost must be weighed against the considerable costs that likely would be incurred to extend the life of the HH–60 fleet should CRH be terminated. The Committee's recommendation includes \$100,000,000 for CRH to partially address this shortfall and designates these funds as a congressional special interest item. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to keep the Committee informed of the progress of the CRH program, including rates of obligation and expenditure.

NEXT GENERATION JSTARS

The Committee fully funds the Air Force request for \$73,088,000 to develop a next generation platform for the moving target indicator and battle management command and control (BMC2) missions currently performed by the E–8C JSTARS fleet. The Committee notes that while the program justification submitted with the fiscal year 2015 request appears to assume that the BMC2 system, sensor systems, communications systems, and air vehicle will be separately competed with the government as the lead system integrator, the Air Force presently is considering alternative acquisition strategies for the new system. Due to the reduction in capability that will result from the retirement of five operational JSTARS aircraft in fiscal year 2015, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to adopt an acquisition strategy for a business jet-based integrated solution with mature technologies that is consistent with the completed analysis of alternatives, will

minimize development cost and schedule, field new aircraft ahead of first planned delivery date in fiscal year 2019, and provide an open system architecture to allow for competitively procured future upgrades. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to brief the congressional defense committees on its selected approach not later than 30 days following the approval of the acquisition strategy for the Next Generation JSTARS.

CHEMICAL, BIOLOGICAL, RADIOLOGICAL, AND NUCLEAR SURVIVABILITY

The Committee understands that the Joint Program Office (JPO) for the Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) intends to conduct a live fire test and evaluation on a chemical, biological, radiological, and nuclear decontamination system for the JSF in fiscal year 2016. The Committee regards this test as critical for ensuring that the JSF will meet the operational requirements document and be able to conduct missions in denied, high-threat environments. The Committee recommends that the JPO take appropriate steps, utilizing funds made available in this and previous appropriations for development of all three JSF variants, to accelerate this testing into fiscal year 2015 and sustain the industrial base for the development and production of such decontamination systems.

AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK

The Committee fully funds the Air Force request of \$25,000,000 to fund analysis of alternatives (AoA) efforts within the Airborne Electronic Attack program, including \$5,000,000 for the Non-Kinetic Counter-Electronics (NKCE) AoA. The Committee supports the Air Force's decision to incorporate the results of the Counter-Electronics High Power Microwave Missile Project joint capability technology demonstration and correlated operational utility assessment into the NKCE AoA. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to begin its NKCE AoA effort as soon as possible, and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to report the results of the NKCE AoA, as well as the Next Generation Electronic Attack AoA and Counter-Integrated Air Defense System AoA, to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the approval of the respective reports.

ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES

The Committee understands that the size of the United States Africa Command (AFRICOM) area of responsibility, when coupled with the paucity of base access and supporting infrastructure, places a premium on long range, long endurance intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance assets. The Committee is aware that the Air Force is currently flight testing a medium altitude, long endurance unmanned aerial vehicle with flexible multi-intelligence sensor and communications relay capabilities. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to adopt a plan for these assets that would preserve their ability to be deployed if AFRICOM or any other combatant command that identifies an operational need for such capabilities.

KC-46A

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to continue to submit quarterly reports on any KC-46A contract modifications with a cost greater than or equal to \$5,000,000, as directed by the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2012.

BEYOND LINE OF SIGHT COMMAND AND CONTROL

In 2011, the Air Force requested additional funds to develop the beyond line of sight command and control architecture in response to a United States Central Command requirement, and the Committee supported this request. A portion of these funds was used to develop Tactical Airborne Communications Pods (TACPods) for use on unmanned aerial vehicles. The Air Force informed the Committee that the TACPods would be used to support the bi-directional movement of full motion video across common data link radios including thousands of ROVER terminals used by ground forces, and that the capability would be fielded within twelve months of receipt of funds. The Committee is concerned that the Air Force has not fielded this capability as planned, but instead has placed TACPods into storage while pursuing a possibly redundant program to provide a similar capability. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force, in coordination with the Secretary retary of the Navy and the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to provide a briefing to the Committee not later than November 1, 2014 on the existing and planned activities in support of beyond line of sight command and control for intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance systems.

HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING

The Department of Defense High Performance Computing (HPC) modernization program supports solutions to the complex challenges faced by Department acquisition programs. The HPC program has invested considerable resources to develop and deploy engineering software applications to improve outcomes for weapon system acquisitions. The HPC program includes the Computational Research for Engineering Acquisition Tools and Environments (CREATE) initiative, a program with the potential to substantially reduce costs, shorten schedules, increase design and program flexibility, and improve overall acquisition program performance by speeding up systems integration while identifying and correcting design flaws prior to production. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to institutionalize advances made by HPC pilot projects within the acquisition system by establishing through the Air Force Life Cycle Management Center a systems engineering capability for weapon system virtual prototyping-based HPC applications, including CREATE software.

HUMAN PERFORMANCE MONITORING

The Committee recognizes and supports Air Force Research Laboratory (AFRL) research in human performance monitoring, which is a key element of human-machine interface technology. Human monitoring is as important as equipment monitoring as the two must perform in tandem at optimum levels for successful mission completion and personnel safety. The Committee encourages the Director of the AFRL to continue to research nano-bio manufacturing of materials and sensor devices that are capable of detecting biomarkers and other substances correlating to human body conditions such as stress, fatigue, and organ damage.

LIQUID ROCKET ENGINE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM

The Committee believes that the United States should rely on domestically manufactured launch vehicles as the foundation for access to space and is concerned about the reliance of some national security space launches on rocket engines produced in Rus-Therefore, the Committee recommendation \$220,000,000 to begin risk reduction and development of a nextgeneration liquid rocket engine that is manufactured in the United States, meets the requirements of the national security space community, and is ready for launch not later than fiscal year 2022 using full and open competition. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration as practicable, to submit a report to the congressional defense and intelligence committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act that includes a risk reduction and development plan for a next-generation liquid rocket engine program. The report must analyze national security and civil space rocket engine development requirements, examine the costs and benefits of public-private partnerships for development of the engine, and estimate costs for development, procurement, and operations and maintenance for the life of the program.

SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM HIGH

The Committee supports the Air Force decision to review the overhead persistent infrared mission to lower costs, increase resilience, and achieve better mission performance. The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is conducting an Analysis of Alternatives to mitigate obsolescence and ensure resilient options beyond the current program of record. The Committee encourages quick completion of this review to ensure adequate time to start operational demonstrations as appropriate and directs the Secretary of the Air Force to brief the findings of the review to the congressional defense committees immediately upon completion.

AIR FORCE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM

The Committee recommends \$10,000,000 above the request for a regionally focused technology transfer innovation pilot program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering to conduct a pilot program on public-private technology transfer ventures between Department of Defense research and development centers and regionally focused technology incubators, with the goal of increasing the commercialization of intellectual property developed in the Department's research and development enterprise in support of critical cross-service technological needs such as energetics, unmanned systems, and rapid

prototyping. Technology incubator partners should be selected through full and open competition emphasizing strong business plans, demonstrated expertise in mentorship and commercialization, and strong regional partnerships.

GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM SPACE MODERNIZATION INITIATIVE

The budget request includes \$32,900,000 for the Global Positioning System (GPS) III Space Modernization Initiative (SMI) to address issues related to design, systems, engineering, program management, obsolescence, and efficiencies for GPS satellites. The Committee recommendation includes full funding for the GPS III SMI but directs the Secretary of the Air Force to allocate \$20,000,000 to study technological maturation, including the use of an alternative digital GPS payload, and risk reduction consistent with the GPS enterprise analysis of alternatives.

GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM USER EQUIPMENT

The Military GPS User Equipment (MGUE) program provides M-code GPS receivers with improved capability to counter emerging threats and interference with positioning, navigation, and timing capabilities. The Department of Defense will field MGUE receivers across a broad range of Army, Air Force, Navy, and Marine Corps platforms. The Committee understands that the Department is accelerating the implementation of M-code and supports those efforts. The Committee recommends \$156,659,000, which fully funds the fiscal year 2015 request for MGUE, including technology development, platform integration, and system engineering and integration activities. The Committee supports the Air Force strategy of implementing a proactive, collaborative MGUE platform integration activity to mitigate risk, and encourages the Service Secretaries to procure MGUE receivers in fiscal year 2016.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$17,086,412,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	16,766,084,000
Committee recommendation	17,077,900,000
Change from budget request	+311,816,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$17,077,900,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

257

			RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE-WIDE			
t	BASIC RESEARCH DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC RESEARCH	37,778	37,778	***
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	312,146	312,146	
3	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES	44,564	34,564	-10,000
4	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE	49,848	49,848	***
5	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	45,488	55,488	+10,000
6	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIV (HBCU)	24,412	34,412	+10,000
7	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	48,261	48,261	
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH	562,497	572,497	+10,000
8	APPLIED RESEARCH JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	20,065	20,065	
9	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	112,242	114,790	+2,548
11	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	51,875	47,875	-4,000
12	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR ADVANCEMENT S&T PRIORITIES	41,965	41,965	
13	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	334,407	334,407	***
15	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	44,825	44,825	
16	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	226,317	226,317	
18	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH	15,000	15,000	
20	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	305,484	305,484	
21	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	160,389	160,389	
22	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	179,203	179,203	***
23	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES	151,737	151,737	
24	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	9,156	9,156	
25	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	39,750	34,750	-5,000
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH		1,685,963	-6,452

258

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
26	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS AD	26,688	26,688	
27	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	8,682	8,682	
28	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	69,675	79,675	+10,000
29	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	30,000	24,000	-6,000
30	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVESPROLIF PREV & DEFEAT	283,694	291,694	+8,000
32	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND PERFORMANCE ASSESSMENT	8,470	8,470	
33	DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	45,110	43,110	-2,000
34	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	14,068	34,068	+20,000
35	ADVANCED C4ISR	15,329	13,284	-2,045
36	ADVANCED RESEARCH	16,584	16,584	
37	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	19,335	19,335	
38	AGILE TRANSPO FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21) - THEATER CA	2,544	2,544	
39	SPECIAL PROGRAMMDA TECHNOLOGY	51,033	40,433	-10,600
40	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	129,723	129,723	
41	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	179,883	179,883	***
42	ANALYTIC ASSESSMENTS	12,000	12,000	* * *
43	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS	60,000	50,000	-10,000
44	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY	25,639	22,639	-3,000
45	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - ADVANCED DEV	132,674	132,674	*.**
46	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	10,965	10,965	
47	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	131,960	121,960	-10,000
52	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROG	91,095	91,095	
53	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	33,706	33,706	
54	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	16,836	16,836	
55	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY	29,683	29,683	
56	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	57,796	57,796	•
57	MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT	72,144	82,700	+10,556
58	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	7,405	5,405	-2,000
59	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	92,246	92,246	
60	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	243,265	243,265	
60XX	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM		250,000	+250,000
62	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	386,926	386,926	
63	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	312,821	312,821	

259

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
64	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	10,692	10,692	
65	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	15,776	15,776	
66	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	69,319	64,319	-5,000
68	MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE	3,000	3,000	
71	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	81,148	81,148	***
72	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT	31,800	31,800	
73	CWMD SYSTEMS	46,066	46,066	
74	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	57,622	49,622	-8,000
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	2,933,402	3,173,313	+239,911
77	DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	41,072	41,072	
79	WALKOFF	90,558	90,558	
80	ADVANCE SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	15,518	15,518	~ * *
81	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM	51,462	51,462	
82	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT	299,598	292,798	-6,800
83	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT	1,003,768	1,047,168	+43,400
84	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	179,236	179,236	
85	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	392,893	392,893	***
86	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS	410,863	398,249	-12,614
87	SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA	310,261	295,261	-15,000
88	AEGIS BMD	929,208	880,708	-48,500
89	SPACE SURVEILLANCE & TRACKING SYSTEM	31,346	31,346	
90	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS	6,389	6,389	***
91	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC	443,484	431,484	-12,000
92	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT	46,387	46,387	•••
93	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE INTERGRATION AND OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC)	58,530	58,530	***
94	REGARDING TRENCH	16,199	16,199	
95	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX)	64,409	64,409	
96	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	96,803	268,842	+172,039
97	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST	386,482	350,582	-35,900
98	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS	485,294	446,794	-38,500
99	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	10,194	10,194	
100	COALITION WARFARE	10,139	10,139	

260

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
101	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	2,907	2,907	
102	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	190,000	170,000	-20,000
103	DOD UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT	3,702	3,702	
104	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	53,000	53,000	
107	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	7,002	7,002	
108	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION & INTEROPERABILITY TEAM	7,102	7,102	
109	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3)	123,444	123,444	
110	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT	263,695	263,695	
113	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	12,500	12,500	*
114	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM	2,656	2,656	
115	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	961	961	***
116	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	7,936	7,936	
117	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	70,762	90,762	+20,000
	TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION		6,171,885	+46,125
118	ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	345,883	345,883	***
119	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO)	25,459	25,459	
120	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS)	17,562	17,562	***
121	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	6,887	6,887	
122	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	12,530	12,530	
123	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	286	286	
124	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM	3,244	3,244	
125	OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	6,500	6,500	
126	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION	15,326	15,326	
127	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION	19,351	19,351	
128	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES FINANCIAL SYSTEM	41,465	41,465	
129	DEFENSE RETIRED AND ANNUITANT PAY SYSTEM (DRAS)	10,135	10,135	
130	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITY	9,546	9,546	
131	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	14,241	14,241	
132	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEIM)	3,660	3,660	
	TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT	532,075	532,075	***

261

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
133	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	5,616	5,616	***
134	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	3,092	3,092	
135	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT	254,503	159,003	-95,500
136	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS	21,661	21,661	
138	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC)	27,162	27,162	
139	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	24,501	24,501	
142	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION	43,176	43,176	***
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P)		100,000	+100,000
145	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	44,246	44,746	+500
146	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT	2,665	2,665	
147	NUCLEAR MATTERS - PHYSICAL SECURITY	4,366	4,366	***
148	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	27,901	27,901	* * *
149	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	2,855	2,855	
150	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	105,944	105,944	
156	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH	400	400	* * *
159	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	1,634	1,634	* * *
160	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	12,105	12,105	
161	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)	50,389	50,389	***
162	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION	8,452	8,452	
163	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	15,187	19,187	+4,000
164	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT)	71,362	71,362	
165	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	4,100	4,100	
166	OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC)	1,956	1,956	
167	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	10,321	10,321	
170	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES	11,552	11,552	
172	CYBER INTELLIGENCE	6,748	6,748	
174	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION	44,005	39,005	-5,000
175	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - MDA	36,998		-36,998
176	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - WHS	612	612	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	44,367	44,367	
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	887,876	854,878	-32,998

262

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
178	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS)	3,988	3,988	
179	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH & PARTNERSHIP FOR PEAC	1,750	1,750	• • •
180	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SY	286	286	
181	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT	14,778	14,778	
182	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	2,953	2,953	
183	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT	10,350	10,350	
184	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS D	28,496	28,496	
185	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	11,968	11,968	
186	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM	1,842	1,842	
187	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	63,558	63,558	
189	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	3,931	3,931	
193	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT	924	924	
194	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION	9,657	9,657	
195	LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS)	25,355	25,355	
196	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK	12,671	12,671	
197	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI)	222	222	
198	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI)	32,698	32,698	***
199	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	11,304	11,304	
200	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	125,854	145,854	+20,000
202	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	33,793	33,793	
203	JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER	13,423	13,423	
204	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	3,774	3,774	
205	JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE	951	951	
206	TELEPORT PROGRAM	2,697	2,697	
208	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	19,294	15,794	-3,500
212	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	3,234	3,234	
213	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP)	8,846	8,846	
217	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	7,065	7,065	

263

		BUDGET REQUEST		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
218	NET CENTRICITY	23,984	23,984	
221	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	5,286	5,286	
224	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,400	3,400	
229	INSIDER THREAT	8,670	8,670	
230	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	2,110	2,110	
239	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	22,366	22,366	
240	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	1,574	1,574	
241	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS)	4,409	4,409	
242	MQ-9 UAV	9,702	1,314	-8,388
243	RQ-11 UAV	259	* * *	-259
245	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEV	164,233	154,821	-9,412
247	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	9,490	9,490	~ * *
248	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	75,253	70,089	-5,164
252	WARRIOR SYSTEMS	24,661	20,573	-4,088
253	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	20,908	20,908	
259	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES	3,672	3,672	
262	SOF MARITIME SYSTEMS	57,905	55,046	-2,859
264	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	3,788	3,788	* * *
265	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	16,225	15,225	-1,000
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	913,557	898,887	-14,670
999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,118,502	3,257,402	+138,900
	DARPA UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION		-69,000	-69,000
	TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEF-WIDE	16,766,084	17,077,900	+311,816

264

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [In thousands of dollars]

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES Program decrease	44,564	34,564 -10,000	-10,000
5	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM Program increase	45,488	55,488 10,000	10,000
6	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES & UNIVERSITIES (HBCU) Program increase	24,412	34,412 10,000	10,000
9	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY Program increase	112,242	114,790 2,548	2,548
11	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM Program decrease	51,875	47,875 -4,000	-4,000
25	SOF TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT SOF technology development - excess growth	39,750	34,750 -5,000	-5,000
28	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT Program increase	69,675	79,675 10,000	10,000
29	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING Program decrease	30,000	24,000 -6,000	-6,000
30	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES PROLIFERATION PREVENTION & DEFEAT Program increase	283,694	291,694 8,000	8,000
33	DISCRIMINATION SENSOR TECHNOLOGY Unjustified growth	45,110	43,110 -2,000	-2,000
34	WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY Program increase - interceptor technology	14,068	34,068 20,000	20,000
35	ADVANCED C4ISR Unjustified growth	15,329	13,284 -2,045	-2,045
39	SPECIAL PROGRAM - MDA TECHNOLOGY Unjustified growth	51,033	40,433 -10,600	-10,600
43	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE ANALYSIS AND CONCEPTS Program decrease	60,000	59,000 -10,000	-10,000
44	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY Program operations - unjustified request	25,639	22,639 -3,000	-3,000
47	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS Program decrease	131,960	121,960 -10,000	-10,000
57	MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT Program increase	72,144	82,700 10,556	10,556
58	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM Program decrease	7,405	5,405 -2,000	-2,000

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
envv	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION FUND	0	250,000	250,000
DUA	Program increase	U	250,000	250,000
66	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	69,319	64,319	-5,000
	Program decrease	, -,	-5,000	,,,,,
	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY			
74	DEVELOPMENT	57,622	49,622	-8,000
	Engineering analysis - unjustified growth		-8,000	
	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE			
82	SEGMENT	299,598	292,798	-6,800
	THAAD development program support - unjustified growth		-6,800	
	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE			
83	SEGMENT	1,003,768	1,047,168	43,400
	Program management - unjustified growth		-6,600	
	Program increase - CE-II upgrades		43,000	
	Program increase - stockpile reliability program		4,000	
	Program increase - command launch equipment and fire control upgrades		3,000	
26	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS	410,863	398,249	-12,614
00	System engineering and integration - unjustified growth	470,000	-3,914	-12,014
	Enabling test - transfer not properly accounted		-3.700	
	Intelligence and security - unjustified growth		-3,100	
	BMD information management systems - unjustified			
	growth		-1,900	
87	SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA	310,261	295,261	-15,000
	Unjustified growth		-15,000	
88	AEGIS BMD	929,208	880,708	-48,500
	Aegis BMD 5.1 development - cost growth		-37,000	
	Aegis testing restructure - excess to requirement		~7,800	
	System engineering and integration - unjustified growth		-3,700	
91	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC	443,484	431,484	-12,000
	Spiral 8.2-3 - unjustified growth without baseline		-12,000	
96	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	96,803	268,842	172,039
	Israeli Upper tier		20,339	
	Israeli Arrow program		45,500	
	Short range ballistic missile defense		106,200	
97	BMD Tests	386,482	350,582	-35,900
	Flight test delays		-35,900	
98	BMD Targets	485,294	446,794	-38,500
	MRBM Type 3 test hardware - lack of justification and		00 50	
	schedule delays		-28,500 10,000	
	MRBM Type 1/2 test hardware - early to need		-10,000	
102	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	190,000	170,000	-20,000
	Program decrease		-20,000	

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
117	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT Additional test for AHW	70,762	90,762 20,000	20,000
135	CENTRAL TEST & EVAL INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT Program decrease Electronic warfare test capability - transfer to OTE line 3	254,503	159,003 -15,000 -80,500	-95,500
143	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P) Classified adjustment	0	100,000 100,000	100,000
145	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING Program increase	44,246	44,746 500	500
163	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION Program increase	15,187	19,187 4,000	4,000
174	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION Program decrease	44,005	39,005 -5,000	-5,000
175	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - MDA Transfer to OM,DW	36,998	0 -36,998	-36,998
200	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM Program increase	125,854	145,854 20,000	20,000
208	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES Unjustified growth	19,294	15,794 -3,500	-3,500
242	MQ-9 UAV Underexecution	9,702	1,314 -8,388	-8,388
243	RQ-11 UAV Unjustified new start	259	0 -259	-259
245	SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT Commando Solo - new start Mission Training and Prep Systems - unjustified growth C-130 TF radar - underexecution	164,233	154,821 -2,912 -2,500 -4,000	-9,412
248	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS Classified adjustment	75,253	70,089 -5,164	-5,164
252	WARRIOR SYSTEMS Long Range MISO - excess growth	24,661	20,573 -4,088	-4,088
262	SOF MARITIME SYSTEMS Next Generation Surface System - excess growth	57,905	55,046 -2,859	-2,859
265	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE Classified adjustment	16,225	15,225 -1,000	-1,000
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	3,118,502	3,257,402 138,900	138,900
	DARPA UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DARPA undistributed reduction		-69,000 -69,000	

HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND MINORITY INSTITUTIONS

The Committee includes additional funding for the Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions program (HBCU/MI). The HBCU/MI program provides access to scientific and technical information products and services to faculty, staff, and students of Historically Black Colleges and Universities, American Indian Tribally Controlled Colleges and Universities, Native American-Serving Nontribal Institutions, and other minority serving institutions.

ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES

The Strategic Capabilities Office (SCO) was created to identify, analyze, and accelerate capabilities to counter strategic adversaries and improve the posture for engaging future threats. As part of its mission, the SCO examines alternative strategies, explores multidomain solutions, builds partnerships across national security divides, analyzes cost effectiveness, risk and performance, develops prototypes to accelerate capabilities, and increases the operational options available to senior leadership. The Committee is aware that one of the capabilities the SCO is exploring is the early fielding of a smaller tactical electromagnetic railgun system for both land-based and ship-based railgun systems, and encourages this advancement.

Additionally, a reduction to the Advanced Innovative Technologies demonstration and validation program element should be taken from programs other than ship-based guns and land-based guns for base defense.

DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY

Within its Biomedical Technology line, the Director of the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) is encouraged to make resources available for the development of restorative products and technologies which may serve as an alternative to amputation.

Additionally, the Committee supports the leadership initiatives at DARPA to better manage the execution of funds. DARPA's efficiency, financial execution, and ability to obligate funds have greatly improved. Therefore, as DARPA's efficiencies continue to improve, a non-prejudicial reduction of \$69,000,000 will be determined, by program, at the discretion of the Director of DARPA.

LASER-DRIVEN X-RAY TECHNOLOGY

The Committee continues to place a high priority on research to address the threats of nuclear proliferation and nuclear terrorism. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to maintain the fiscal year 2014 funding level in fiscal year 2015 to conduct research to speed development of laser-driven x-ray technologies that will bring this technology closer to the ultimate goal of a field demonstration of stand-off detection of shielded nuclear materials and other weapons of mass destruction.

MODELING AND SIMULATION

The Committee recognizes the important contributions of the modeling and simulation industry in many sectors of the American economy, and in particular its applications in training warfighters. Modeling and simulation is effective in providing platforms to prepare warfighters and small unit leaders for rapid decision making in asymmetric and irregular combat. The Committee recognizes that modeling and simulation will continue to serve as a valuable and cost effective training method for every warfare specialty and maintenance area. The Committee believes that the Department of Defense should further harness the entrepreneurial and innovative spirit of industry, academia, and the government to facilitate the progress in state-of-the-art training by making greater use of modeling and simulation. The Committee encourages persistent and augmented use of modeling and simulation technology in scenariobased training, aggressive simulation technology research and development efforts, and active endeavors to substitute simulation for more expensive forms of training.

CYBERSECURITY

The Committee recognizes the importance of sustaining a robust cybersecurity research program within the Department of Defense, particularly as it relates to the interdisciplinary nature of cyber systems and the role of human behavior. The interdisciplinary cybersecurity research model can contribute to the development of novel approaches for risk assessment, which incorporate components of risk beyond computer science such as understanding risk-related fundamental properties of dynamic cyber threats; developing recognition capabilities for new cyber threats; and increasing resilience against attacks. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to leverage information assurance and cyber defense research done through defense agencies, including the National Security Agency, as the Department plans and conducts interdisciplinary research to identify and close cybersecurity gaps.

HUMAN AND ROBOT COLLABORATION

The Committee recognizes the need to enhance manufacturing by flexibly assigning work to the human or machine most capable of performing a given task, and thereby reducing the need to invest in fixed heavy manufacturing equipment. Accordingly, the Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to increase resources available for the defense-wide manufacturing science and technology program to support formation of industry-university partnerships on a competitive basis to develop technologies and processes that utilize human and robot collaboration for large scale manufacturing.

MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY

The Committee is pleased that in both the fiscal year 2014 and 2015 budget requests the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) has refocused its efforts from far-term, conceptual programs to near-term programs that are necessary to defend the United States and its

allies from missile threats. The Committee believes that the discrimination of threats is paramount to improving the threat assessment and reliability of the ballistic missile defense system and supports programs designed to improve the discrimination capability of the ballistic missile defense system.

The Committee supports the budget request for the development of the Long Range Discrimination Radar (LRDR); however, the Committee is concerned by the lack of details provided in the budget request submission. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director of the MDA to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act detailing the strategy for developing and procuring the LRDR. The report shall include the program's objectives and key parameters, a detailed schedule through full operational capability, and a cost

estimate by fiscal year.

The Committee also supports the budget request of \$99,500,000 for the re-designed exo-atmospheric kill vehicle (EKV) for the ground-based interceptor as part of the ground-based midcourse defense program. However, the budget request submission lacks details necessary for continued oversight of the program. Therefore, the Committee directs the Director of the MDA to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act detailing the strategy for developing, procuring, and fielding the re-designed EKV. The report shall include the program's objectives and key parameters, a detailed schedule, and a cost estimate by fiscal year. The report shall also include any necessary legislative provisions that the Director of the MDA may require to fully implement the acquisition strategy for the EKV.

MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY—IRON DOME

The Committee recommends \$350,972,000 for the Iron Dome short-range rocket defense system, an increase of \$175,000,000 above the budget request.

Since fiscal year 2011, the Committee has supported the government of Israel by providing more than \$720,000,000 for the Iron Dome system. With the fiscal year 2015 recommendation, that total

will increase to more than \$1,070,000,000.

The Committee understands that there is a signed agreement between the Israeli and United States governments concerning the procurement of the Iron Dome system and the necessity for producing various components of the system in the United States. The Committee is also aware that the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) and the Israeli Missile Defense Organization (IMDO) formerly agreed to the United States providing \$680 million between fiscal years 2012 and 2015 for the Iron Dome program. The Committee is concerned that the agreement does not cover the full amount that is recommended for fiscal year 2015. Given the significant American investment in this system, the Committee believes that co-production of parts and components should be accomplished in a way that will maximize American industry participation in interceptor and battery deliveries for Israel's defense needs.

Therefore, the Committee directs that the Director of the MDA may not obligate or expend \$175,000,000 of the amount rec-

ommended in fiscal year 2015 until the Government of Israel submits a sufficiently detailed cost and schedule justification to the Director of the MDA and the Director approves it. The detailed cost and schedule justification must include a detailed timeline for obligation and expenditure of program funds received above the budget request for each fiscal year for which funds were appropriated; copies of signed and ratified contracts, sub-contracts, and teaming arrangements between Israeli and American industry for all Iron Dome co-production efforts; delivery to MDA of all technical data packages as accepted by American industry suppliers for co-production; and a common cost model of Iron Dome components, to be jointly developed and agreed upon by MDA and IMDO that includes recurring and non-recurring engineering costs, estimates for future buys, and actual costs beginning with fiscal year 2013, the required quantities for all components through fiscal year 2019, and component lead-times and delivery schedules.

Additionally, the Committee expects that the Director of the MDA will ensure that Iron Dome operational data has been provided per previous commitments; that this additional funding be applied to the work share percentage for fiscal year 2015 funding between American and Israeli industry as proscribed under the recently signed Iron Dome procurement agreement; and, that the additional funds are required to meet Israeli defense needs. Any funds found to be in excess of Israel's justified and documented needs during fiscal year 2015 may be transferred to appropriations available for the procurement of weapons and equipment according

to priority needs.

The Committee also believes that if there is a request for Iron Dome funding for fiscal year 2016, the Director of the MDA must establish for the Committee how those funds will resolve details and agreements needed for American-based co-production of all-up rounds and cover the export of Iron Dome technology to American and Israeli allies, including co-production of parts, components, and all up rounds of these exports.

all-up rounds of those exports.

The Committee directs the Director of the MDA, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics, to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than October 1, 2014, on the information provided in the required detailed cost and schedule justification, including the views of the Director and the Under Secretary on its sufficiency.

MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY—COOPERATION WITH UNIVERSITIES

The Committee commends the Director of the Missile Defense Agency (MDA) for working with universities to best leverage innovative breakthrough research and technologies for next generation ballistic missile defense capabilities. The Committee encourages the Director of the MDA to continue cooperating with universities and to establish a university affiliated research center. Such a center would consolidate core research and development capabilities in areas such as advanced interceptor propulsion systems and high fidelity modeling and simulation and would potentially enhance the ability of the MDA to improve the ground-based midcourse defense

program, augment command and control systems, and increase overall mission assurance.

DISABLING AND NEUTRALIZING WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION

The budget request includes \$283,694,000 for Counterproliferation Initiatives—Proliferation Prevention and Defeat. The Committee recommendation provides \$291,694,000, an increase of \$8,000,000 above the budget request, to support the demonstration of technology solutions applicable to the Army and related Department of Defense organizations in addressing operations in subterranean environments. The Committee notes that the increased program funding will support a dedicated effort to mature prototypes and demonstrate capabilities in support of the Army to disable and neutralize weapons of mass destruction.

ADDITIVE MANUFACTURING

The Committee is aware that additive manufacturing techniques and capabilities have the potential to lower the cost of maintaining aging weapon platforms. Currently, the Department of Defense uses additive manufacturing for design iteration, prototyping, tooling and fixtures, and for some noncritical parts. However, the Department eventually wants to use additive manufacturing to build aerospace parts. The Committee believes that the Department of Defense can utilize additive manufacturing improvements to save money in upfront manufacturing costs, improve fleet readiness by creating on-demand alternatives to the current parts supply chain, reduce parts certification and transition costs, and reduce costs with improved weapon systems parts. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to research creative applications for additive manufacturing technology.

SYSTEMS ENGINEERING RESEARCH CENTER

The budget request includes \$44,246,000 for Systems Engineering. The Committee recommendation provides \$44,746,000, an increase of \$500,000 above the budget request to support the Systems Engineering Research Center, a systems-oriented study to assess the current state of the Army's lethality capability and to provide actionable recommendations to transform it at the enterprise level. These recommendations should address multiple dimensions, including technologies, development and acquisition processes, personnel requirements, doctrine, structure and governance, and infrastructure. The intent of these recommendations should have the cumulative intent to transform the Army's lethality capability.

CONVENTIONAL PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE

The Committee remains interested in the Department's development efforts for a conventional prompt global strike capability. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, on the Department's ability, or likelihood thereof, to field a conventional prompt global strike capability by fiscal year 2019. The report should incorporate findings from the recently completed conventional prompt global

strike land-based study. The report may include a classified annex if necessary.

OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$246,800,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	167,738,000
Committee recommendation	248,238,000
Change from budget request	+80,500,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$248,238,000 for Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

273

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE			
1	RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	74,583	74,583	
2	LIVE FIRE TESTING	45,142	45,142	
3	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES	48,013	128,513	+80,500
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	167,738	248,238	+80,500
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE	167,738	248,238	+80,500

274

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSIS Electronic Warfare Test Capability—transfer from	48,013	128,513	80,500
RDTE,DW line 137		80,500	

TITLE V

REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$1,649,214,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	1,234,468,000
Committee recommendation	1,334,468,000
Change from budget request	+100.000.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,334,468,000 for the Defense Working Capital Funds accounts. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following pogram in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY	13,727	13,727	
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE	61,717	61,717	
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE	44,293	44,293	
DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA	1,114,731	1,214,731	100,000
Program increase		100,000	
TOTAL, DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS	1,234,468	1,334,468	100,000

TITLE VI

OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$32,699,158,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	31,994,918,000
Committee recommendation	31,634,870,000
Change from budget request	-360,048,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$31,634,870,000 for the Defense Health Program. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

278

		BUDGET REQUEST	RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM			
10	OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE IN-HOUSE CARE	8,799,086	8,680,090	-118,996
20	PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	15,412,599	14,582,044	-830,555
30	CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	2,462,096	2,347,972	-114,124
40	INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	1,557,347	1,534,096	-23,251
50	MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	366,223	364,192	-2,031
60	EDUCATION AND TRAINING	750,866	738,475	-12,391
70	BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS	1,683,694	1,833,694	+150,000
	SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	31,031,911	30,080,563	-951,348
160	PROCUREMENT INITIAL OUTFITTING	13,057	13,057	***
170	REPLACEMENT AND MODERNIZATION	283,030	283,030	***
180	THEATER MEDICAL INFORMATION PROGRAM	3,145	3,145	
190	INTEGRATED ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD (IEHR)	9,181	9,181	
	SUBTOTAL, PROCUREMENT		308,413	***
90	RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION RESEARCH	10,317	10,317	***
100	EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT	49,015	49,015	
110	ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	226,410	226,410	
120	DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION	97,787	97,787	
130	ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT	217,898	217,898	
140	MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT	38,075	38,075	
150	CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT	15,092	15,092	
160	UNDISTRIBUTED MEDICAL RESEARCH		591,300	+591,300
	SUBTOTAL, RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	654,594	1,245,894	+591,300
	TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	31,994,918	31,634,870	-360,048

279

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS [in thousands of dollars]

	Budget	Committee	Change from
	Request	Recommended	Request
IN-HOUSE CARE	8,799,086	8,680,090	-118,996
NiCOE satellites - growth overstated in justification			
materials		-704	
FECA expenses - transfer not properly accounted		-377	
Army identified excess		-76,000	
Benefit reform proposal - unauthorized		-30,000	
Benefit reform proposal - unauthorized		-26,715	
SOCOM embedded behavioral health providers - transfer		,	
from OM,DW		14,800	
DONALT OF OTO DO OADS	15,412,599	14,582,044	-830,555
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	15,412,599	-750,000	-030,333
Historical underexecution			
Pharmaceutical drugs - excess growth		-200,000	
Program adjustment to restore benefit proposals		180,000	
Printing and reproduction - excess growth		-2,555	
Benefit reform proposal - unauthorized		-58,000	
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	2,462,096	2,347,972	-114,124
Historical underexecution		-100,000	
Program increase - wounded warrior military adapative			
sports program		5,000	
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-19,124	
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	1,557,347	1,534,096	-23,251
	1,007,041	-22,300	,
Other intra-government purchases - unjustified growth		-951	
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-901	
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	366,223	364,192	-2,031
Reduction in civilian FTEs - not properly accounted		-770	
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-1,261	
Travel reduction - not properly decounted			
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	750,866	738,475	-12,391
Travel reduction - not properly accounted		-12,391	
BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS	1,683,694	1,833,694	150,000
Air Force FSRM for medical facilities	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	50,000	
Army FSRM for medical facilities		50,000	
Navy FSRM for medical facilities		50,000	
AL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	31,031,911	30,080,563	-951,348
·	208 413	308,413	0
PROCUREMENT	308,413	308,413	U
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT			
Peer-reviewed alcohol and substance abuse disorders			
research		4,000	
Peer-reviewed ALS research		7,500	
Peer-reviewed alzheimer research		12,000	
Peer-reviewed autism research		6,000	
Peer-reviewed bone marrow failure disease research		3,200	
Peer-reviewed breast cancer research		120,000	
Peer-reviewed cancer research		15,000	
		3,200	
Peer-reviewed Duchenne muscular dystrophy research		20,000	
Peer-reviewed gulf war illness research			
Peer-reviewed lung cancer research		10,500	

	Budget	Committee	Change from
	Request	Recommended	Reques
Peer-reviewed multiple sclerosis research		5,000	
Peer-reviewed orthopedic research		30,000	
Peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research		20,000	
Peer-reviewed prostate cancer research		80,000	
Peer-reviewed spinal cord research		30,000	
Peer-reviewed reconstructive transplant research		15,000	
Peer-reviewed traumatic brain injury and psychological			
health research		125,000	
Peer-reviewed tuberous sclerosis complex research		6,000	
Peer-reviewed vision research		10,000	
Global HIV/AIDS prevention		8,000	
HIV/AIDs program increase		12,900	
Joint warfighter medical research		45,000	
Therapeutic service dog training program		3,000	
TOTAL, RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	654,594	1,245,894	591,300

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR THE DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee remains concerned regarding the transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity to pay for contractor-provided medical care. To limit such transfers and improve oversight within the Defense Health Program operation and maintenance account, the Committee includes a provision which caps the funds available for Private Sector Care under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. The provision and accompanying report language included by the Committee should not be interpreted as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred to the In-House Care budget sub-activity from other budget sub-activities within the Defense Health Program. In addition, the Committee continues to designate the funding for the In-House Care budget sub-activity as a congressional special interest item. Any transfer of funds from the In-House Care budget sub-activity into the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity or any other budget sub-activity will require the Secretary of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for operation and maintenance funds.

The Committee also remains concerned with continual reports that substantial amounts of funding are transferred from the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity without the submission of written notification as required by prior year Department of Defense Appropriations Acts. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees of cumulative transfers in excess of \$10,000,000 out of the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity not later than fifteen days after such a transfer. Furthermore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act that delineates transfers of funds in excess of \$10,000,000, and the dates any transfers occurred, from the Private Sector Care budget sub-activity to any other budget sub-activity groups for fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014.

The Committee further directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program budget activities and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the Services in future budget submissions.

CARRYOVER AUTHORITY

For fiscal year 2015, the Committee recommends one percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance account of the Defense Health Program. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spending plan for any fiscal year 2014 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to executing the carryover funds.

ELECTRONIC HEALTH RECORD

The Committee has grown increasingly alarmed about the inability of the Departments of Defense and Veterans Affairs to develop

and procure interoperable electronic health records. Despite the mandate for full interoperability included in the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2008 and significant funding investments, the two Departments still do not have electronic health record systems that are able to meaningfully exchange patient data.

The Committee is deeply concerned with the lack of progress by the two Departments to achieve full interoperability and believes that there must be more cooperation throughout the two Departments to find, develop, and implement the best solution that will allow interoperability in a timely manner. The Committee understands that the two Departments have begun implementation of a temporary system that allows some administrators and clinicians to view and manipulate patient data; however, the number of providers that has access to this system is extremely limited at this point. Additionally, while this system is designed to improve interoperability in the near term, the Committee remains concerned about interoperability in the future, when each Department will have a different records system than what is currently in use.

The Committee includes a provision that restricts the amount of funding that may be obligated for the Interagency Program Office (IPO), the Defense Healthcare Management Systems Modernization (DHMSM) program, and the Defense Information Management Exchange (DMIX) to 25 percent of the funding provided until the Secretary of Defense provides the House and Senate Appropriations Committees an expenditure plan that includes numerous reporting requirements.

Furthermore, the Committee directs the IPO to continue to provide quarterly reports on the progress of interoperability between the two Departments to the House and Senate Subcommittees on Appropriations for Defense and the House and Senate Subcommittees on Appropriations for Military Construction, Veterans Affairs,

and Related Agencies.

The Committee reminds the Program Executive Officer (PEO) of the Defense Healthcare Management Systems (DHMS) to not lose sight of the ultimate goal of interoperability with the enhanced health record system of the Department of Veterans Affairs. The PEO DHMS, in conjunction with the director of the IPO, is directed to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees on the cost and schedule of the program, to include milestones, knowledge points, and acquisition timelines, as well as quarterly obligation reports. The Committee further directs the PEO DHMS to continue briefing the House and Senate Defense Appropriations Subcommittees on a quarterly basis, coinciding with the report submission.

JOINT WARFIGHTER MEDICAL RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommendation includes \$45,000,000 for the continuation of the joint warfighter medical research program. The funding shall be used to augment and accelerate high priority Department of Defense and Service medical requirements and to continue prior year initiatives that are close to achieving their objectives and yielding a benefit to military medicine. The funding shall not be used for new projects nor for basic research, and it shall be

awarded at the discretion of the Secretary of Defense following a review of medical research and development gaps as well as unfinanced medical requirements of the Services. Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees which lists the projects that receive funding. The report should include the funding amount awarded to each project, a thorough description of each project's research, and the benefit the research will provide to the Department of Defense.

PEER-REVIEWED CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAMS

The Committee recommendation includes \$120,000,000 for the peer-reviewed breast cancer research program, \$80,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program, \$20,000,000 for the peer-reviewed ovarian cancer research program, \$10,500,000 for the peer-reviewed lung cancer research program, and \$15,000,000 for the peer-reviewed cancer research program that would research cancers not addressed in the aforementioned programs currently executed by the Department of Defense.

The funds provided in the peer-reviewed cancer research program are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: colorectal cancer, genetic cancer research, kidney cancer, listeria vaccine for cancer, liver cancer, melanoma and other skin cancers, mesothelioma, pancreatic cancer, stomach cancer, and the link between scleroderma and cancer.

The funds provided under the peer-reviewed cancer research program shall be used only for the purposes listed above. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the peer-reviewed cancer research program. For each research area, the report should include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research, and the relevance of the research to servicemembers and their families.

The Committee continues to support the establishment of a task force to focus on research for metastasized cancer with a focus on clinical and translational research aimed at extending the lives of advanced stage and recurrent patients. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act on the status of establishing such a task force.

PEER-REVIEWED PROSTATE CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends \$80,000,000 for the peer-reviewed prostate cancer research program. The Committee is aware that the pace of prostate cancer research may possibly be enhanced through the use of data derived from large patient studies that include long-term health records, bio-specimen repositories, and pre-existing research. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support research that applies state-of-the-art proteomic analysis, bioinformatics, and mathe-

matical models to such data to improve disease classification, risk assessment, education, treatment, and management.

INTELLIGENT PROSTHETICS

The Committee recommends \$30,000,000 for the peer-reviewed orthopedics research program. The Committee is aware that many of the injuries sustained by servicemembers in combat include multiple limb trauma and are often distinct from trauma typically seen in the civilian environment, thus requiring a unique solution set. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support research at the intersection of bioengineering, neuroscience, and rehabilitation to support neural interfaces to peripheral nerves and advanced prosthetics that deliver more functionality to amputees.

PRESCRIPTION DRUG ABUSE

The Committee remains concerned with pain management prescription medication dependency among servicemembers. It is imperative that proper steps are taken to prevent overmedication and that treatment options are available for those facing possible addiction. The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense currently provides a range of medication therapy management services at military treatment facilities. These services are designed to optimize therapy or the adherence to therapy between providers, pharmacists, and patients. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees detailing the progress of including pharmacists in the care team provided by the Patient Centered Medical Home (PCMH), the success rate of patients in properly adhering to medicine treatment and prescription levels, and if there have been cases in which the inclusion of a pharmacist in the PCMH has contributed to reducing the level of medication taken by patients who may have been overmedicating.

HYPERBARIC OXYGEN THERAPY

Traumatic brain injuries (TBI) and post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) are the signature wounds of more than a decade of conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan. Since 2000, the military has accounted for more than 280,000 cases of traumatic brain injury and nearly 120,000 diagnoses of new post-traumatic stress disorder. Patients suffering from these conditions are often prescribed various psychotropic drugs to ease their symptoms. These drugs often have negative side effects and carry the risk of leading to dependency.

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense has studied the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy as an alternative treatment for servicemembers suffering from TBI and PTSD. Hyperbaric oxygen therapy involves breathing pure oxygen in a pressurized room which allows for the intake of more oxygen. The oxygen enters the bloodstream and stimulates the release of growth factors and stem cells, which, in turn, promote healing. The Committee understands that although private sector research has shown positive effects of using the therapy, the Department of De-

fense has decided not to pursue its use, citing that clinical trials

failed to show positive results.

The Committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review and provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act on the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy to treat TBI and PTSD. The report should include a review of the clinical trials completed by the Department of Defense, an assessment of the conclusions reached by the trials, an assessment of whether the trials were appropriately administered, and a review of private sector research on the use of hyperbaric oxygen therapy and whether those conclusions are similar or different from the Department of Defense study.

CHILDHOOD TRAUMA IN MILITARY DEPENDENTS

The Committee is concerned with the rise in outpatient mental health visits among children of active duty and reserve service-members. The Committee believes that it is imperative to develop efficient means to identify children at risk of deployment-related mental health issues and to provide tools, education, and guidance to the professionals and families caring for these children. It is also important that the proper steps are taken to prevent predictable mental health problems from manifesting in dependent children. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to make the prevention of childhood trauma in military dependents a priority.

AIR FORCE MILITARY ACUITY MODEL TRAINING PROGRAM

The Committee understands that the Air Force Military Acuity Model has provided benefits such as more efficient and improved access to care. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to consider expanding use of the model throughout the military health system.

TRAUMA CLINICAL RESEARCH REPOSITORY

Traumatic injuries continue to cause nearly all combat deaths, as well as many in the general civilian population. Numerous advances in trauma care have been achieved through the medical research undertaken by the Department of Defense; this life-saving impact of trauma research can be seen on the battlefield and in civilian trauma response. To capitalize on these important research investments, the Committee included \$5,000,000 in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 to create a trauma clinical research repository accessible to both public and private researchers. With the projected decline in military funding as a result of the imminent end of combat operations, there remains an urgency to maintain the momentum on advances in trauma care and bridge the lessons learned from the military to civilian communities. The trauma clinical research repository will help break-throughs in research lead to significant advances in treatment, particularly for battlefield injuries. Data shared in the trauma repository should be utilized for future research, such as studies to identify biomarkers of trauma-related organ dysfunction or studies on new life-saving pharmaceuticals.

The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) and the Director of the Defense Health Agency to fully implement the trauma clinical research repository and to collaborate with other federal entities to create a task force to identify existing critical research gaps. Future research must focus on these gaps to supplement advances in care achieved over the past decade of combat operations, translate the lessons learned into the civilian community, and maintain the momentum in trauma research.

TELEMEDICINE

The Committee is aware of the advantages of using telemedicine to enhance medical care provided to servicemembers while at home or when deployed around the world.

The use of telemedicine increases access to care, reduces patient and specialist travel, decreases lost work times, and saves money by avoiding referrals to the private sector.

The Committee supports expanding telemedicine projects that can lower the cost of military health care and maintain the skill proficiencies of military medical personnel. The Committee encourages the Service Surgeons General to appropriately fund and expand telemedicine initiatives, particularly for beneficiaries at overseas military treatment facilities.

PRESERVATION OF MUSCLE MASS DURING IMMOBILIZATION PERIODS

The Committee believes that high-level motor performance and endurance is critical for servicemembers in combat. While servicemembers typically have above average skeletal muscle strength and relative resistance to fatigability, during long periods of immobilization, which can occur in theater, while traveling, or when recuperating from injuries, muscle de-training often occurs. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support research on training that enhances muscle fitness and decelerates the process of muscle de-training.

COOPERATION BETWEEN MILITARY MEDICAL FACILITIES, CIVILIAN HEALTHCARE FACILITIES, AND UNIVERSITIES

The Committee recognizes the importance of cooperation between military medical facilities, universities, and other civilian partners to provide valuable medical trauma training to sustain the education of military medical providers. This training and real-life experience contributes to maintaining the capabilities of the National Guard Chemical, Biological, Radiological, and Nuclear Explosives Enhanced Response Force Packages, the National Guard Homeland Response Forces, and the Army Reserve Consequence Management Response Forces.

The Committee encourages the Service Surgeons General and the Chief of the National Guard Bureau to continue to pursue trauma training with civilian partners in order to maintain unit medical readiness at optimum levels as military healthcare providers maintain their individual skills to respond effectively to emergency incidents.

CANINE THERAPY RESEARCH

The Committee is aware of the potential benefits of canine therapy for treatment of servicemembers suffering from traumatic brain injuries (TBI) or post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). While still experimental, canine therapy may be a promising alternative to pharmaceutical treatment.

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is currently studying if and why canines can help servicemembers cope with debilitating injuries and whether this treatment can be substantially utilized in the future. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to continue this research to evaluate the effectiveness of canine therapy in treating servicemembers suffering from TBI and PTSD.

THERMAL INJURY PREVENTION

The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to establish standards to prevent thermal injuries caused by ground combat and tactical vehicles. Survivability enhancement efforts such as fire prevention, fire suppression, and fuel containment should continually be addressed in order to provide the best possible protection for servicemembers.

EFFICIENCY OF PATIENT CARE DELIVERY

The Committee understands the necessity of developing technologies to improve the efficiency of patient care in military treatment facilities. The Committee recognizes that, in the event of a mass casualty, military treatment facilities would experience a significant increase in patient volume. The ability to maximize efficiency and effectiveness of care would be critical to the management of an overwhelming surge in patient volume and intensity. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to research the development of an automated resource management system to improve patient care, both in normal and emergency situations.

MILITARY MENTAL HEALTH PILOT PROGRAM

The Committee is pleased that the Secretary of Defense created a pilot program to improve efforts to treat servicemembers suffering from mental health disorders in the National Guard and reserve components through community partnerships. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to expand this program to include additional community partners through a competitive and merit-based award process.

MULTI-DISCIPLINARY BRAIN RESEARCH

The Committee supports the pursuance of multi-disciplinary research toward translational medicine that may provide better diagnostic tools and treatment outcomes for servicemembers who suffer from traumatic brain injury, post-traumatic stress disorder, and other neurotrauma. The Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to support researchers, scientists, and health care professionals in this field and to encourage them to share their findings with the Department of Defense. With prop-

er support, translational research in this field could lead to the integration of new treatments in military treatment facilities and could improve care in the near-term.

ACCESS TO MILITARY TREATMENT FACILITIES

The Committee is aware of unobligated balances remaining from funds appropriated in section 8110 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2011. The Committee believes that these unobligated balances should be utilized for the purposes for which originally appropriated. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act on any remaining balances from funds appropriated in section 8110 of the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2011. The report shall include the amount of remaining balances and an execution plan for these funds that will improve access to the Department's military treatment facilities.

CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$1,004,123,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	828,868,000
Committee recommendation	828,868,000
Change from budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$828,868,000 for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	222,728	222,728	
PROCUREMENT	10,227	10,227	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION	595,913	595,913	
TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE	828,868	828,868	

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$1,015,885,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	820,687,000
Committee recommendation	944,687,000
Change from budget request	+124,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$944,687,000 for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
COUNTER-NARCOTICS SUPPORT	719,096	669,631	- 49,465
Transfer to National Guard counter-drug program		-89,465	
Program increase		40,000	
DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM	101,591	105,591	4,000
Young Marines—drug demand reduction		4,000	
NATIONAL GUARD COUNTER-DRUG PROGRAM		169,465	169,465
Transfer from counter-narcotics support		89,465	
Program increase		80,000	
TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DE-			
FENSE	820,687	944,687	124,000

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

The Committee remains concerned about the continued decrease in funding for the Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense account. The efforts being funded in this account are instrumental in not just monitoring, detecting, and interdicting drug shipments on their way into the United States, but in providing intelligence support to track laundered drug money that often flows to transnational crime organizations. Drug trafficking remains a major source of funding for terrorist organizations and contributes to violence in parts of the United States and instability in many other countries. The Committee understands the unique capabilities that the Department of Defense has in supporting this mission, and recommends an additional \$40,000,000 specifically for counternarcotics support.

BUDGET JUSTIFICATION MATERIAL

The Committee understands that the funding included in the Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense account is divided into three major categories of funding: counter-narcotics support, the drug demand reduction program, and the National Guard counter-drug program. The Committee recommends dividing the funding into three separate sub-activity groups to provide greater transparency and oversight of the program and its budget execution. In lieu of formal reprogramming guidance and restrictions, the Committee directs the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense (Counter-narcotics and Global Threats) to provide written notification to the congressional defense committees not less than 15 days before transferring funding in excess of \$5,000,000 between any lines. In addition, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to request funding for each separate sub-activity group under the Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense account in the fiscal year 2016 budget submission and subsequent budget years.

NATIONAL GUARD STATE PLANS

The Committee is disappointed that, for the third year in a row, the budget request underfunds the National Guard counter-drug program. The Committee recognizes the importance of the mission of the National Guard counter-drug program as a support organization to combatant commands and federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies. To help address the lack of funding of this important program, the Committee recommends an additional \$80,000,000 for fiscal year 2015.

JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation.	
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	\$115,058,000
Committee recommendation	65,464,000
Change from budget request	-49,594,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$65,464,000 for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE			
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENTS	49,594		-49,594
Carryover		-10,000	
Excess to need		-39,594	
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL	38,001	38,001	
MOBILIZATION DESIGNEES	6,683	6,683	
INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY AND COMMUNICATIONS	7,300	7,300	
FACILITIES	12,032	12,032	
TRAVEL	624	624	
OTHER (SUPPLIES)	824	824	
TOTAL, JOINT IED DEFEAT FUND	115,058	65,464	- 49,594

JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	\$20,000,000
Committee recommendation	·
Change from budget request	$-20,\!000,\!000$

The Committee recommends no funding for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

SUPPORT FOR INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS

T: 1 0014	
Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	\$10,000,000
Committee recommendation	10,000,000
Change from hydget request	, _ <u>,</u>

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,000,000 for Support for International Sporting Competitions.

SUPPORT FOR INTERNATIONAL SPORTING COMPETITIONS

The Committee understands that the Support for International Sporting Competitions Fund is one way in which the Department of Defense helps support people with disabilities. The Support for International Sporting Competitions Fund proposed for fiscal year 2015 is a no-year appropriation that provides \$10,000,000 for continuing Department of Defense support to national and inter-

national sporting events. In addition, the Committee is aware that funds remain available from the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2003. The Committee supports the request for fiscal year 2015 and expects the Secretary of Defense to allocate remaining prior year funds towards this effort. The Committee would entertain a reprogramming of funds if required for proper execution of these funds to fully support these events.

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$316,000,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	311,830,000
Committee recommendation	311,830,000
Change from budget request	

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$311,830,000 for the Office of the Inspector General. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget request	Committee recommended	Change from request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	310,830	310,830	
PROCUREMENT TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	1,000 311,830	1,000 311,830	

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

Since 2008, Congress has provided the Department of Defense Inspector General (DODIG) with additional funding to support increased audit, investigative, assessment, and evaluation capabilities, commonly referred to as the DODIG Growth Plan. The National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2013 directed the DODIG to provide an updated requirements plan to establish future staffing objectives based on oversight needs and current budgetary realities. The Committee understands that the Inspector General is currently reviewing future DODIG funding requirements to support its ability to conduct robust oversight and reduce waste, fraud, and abuse in the Department. The Committee believes that the DODIG must have a robust and stable source of funding to operate effectively and efficiently, and it was pleased to see that the fiscal year 2015 budget supports stable funding for the DODIG compared to previous fiscal years. The Committee remains supportive of the revised DODIG funding requirement, which balances both current fiscal realities and its oversight needs, and it directs the Secretary of Defense to request full funding for the DODIG in the fiscal year 2016 budget request and the future years defense plan.

TITLE VII

RELATED AGENCIES

NATIONAL AND MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS

The National Intelligence Program and the Military Intelligence Program budgets funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act consist primarily of resources for the Director of National Intelligence including the Intelligence Community Management staff, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Reconnaissance Office, the National Security Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the intelligence services of the Departments of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and the CIA Retirement and Disability fund.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

The Committee's budget review of classified programs is published in a separate, detailed, and comprehensive classified annex. The intelligence community, Department of Defense, and other organizations are expected to fully comply with the recommendations and directions in the classified annex accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2015.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND DISABILITY SYSTEM FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$514,000,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	514,000,000
Committee recommendation	514,000,000
Change from budget request	

This appropriation provides payments of benefits to qualified beneficiaries in accordance with the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for Certain Employees (P.L. 88–643), as amended by Public Law 94–522. This statute authorized the establishment of the CIA Retirement and Disability System for certain employees and authorized the establishment and maintenance of a fund from which benefits would be paid to those beneficiaries.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$514,000,000 for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund. This is a mandatory account.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation	\$528,229,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request	510.194.000
Committee recommendation	501,194,000
Change from budget request	-9.000.000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$501,194,000 for the Intelligence Community Management Account. The Committee understands that there is confusion within the Office of the Director of National Intelligence (ODNI) as to whether section 8105 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 impacts or otherwise hinders the ability of the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) to take actions necessary to improve its budget processes by implementing capability-based budget formulation and execution. As the Committee has previously indicated to the ODNI, nothing in this section is intended to inhibit or otherwise preclude the CIA from continuing its ongoing efforts to implement an activity/capability-based budget as has been directed in the appropriations Acts. Nothing in this Act, Committee report, or classified annex accompanying the report, is intended to preclude, nor shall it be construed as precluding the CIA from conducting any further such activity or capability-based budget activities.

WILDLIFE TRAFFICKING

The Committee is concerned that wildlife poaching and trafficking, particularly of African elephant ivory, can be used as a source of funding by terrorist organizations, extremist militias, and transnational organized crime syndicates in Central and Eastern Africa. The Committee encourages the Director of National Intelligence to work with American and international law enforcement and partner countries to share information and analysis on transnational criminal organizations, terrorist entities, corrupt officials, and others that facilitate illegal wildlife trafficking.

TITLE VIII

GENERAL PROVISIONS

The accompanying bill includes 138 general provisions. Most of these provisions were included in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 and many have been included in the Defense Appropriations Acts for a number of years. A description of each provision follows.

Section 8001 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Section 8002 provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Section 8003 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless expressly provided for a greater period of availability elsewhere in the Act.

Section 8004 provides a 20 percent limitation on the obligation of certain funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 8005 has been amended and provides for the general transfer authority of working capital funds to other military functions.

Section 8006 has been amended and provides that the tables titled "Explanation of Project Level Adjustments" in the Committee report and classified annex shall be carried out in the manner provided by the tables to the same extent as if the tables were included in the text of this Act.

Section 8007 provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year.

Section 8008 provides for limitations on the use of transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Section 8009 provides that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8010 has been amended and provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multi-year contracts.

Section 8011 provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code.

Section 8012 has been amended and provides that civilian personnel of the Department of Defense may not be managed on the basis of end strength or be subject to end strength limitations.

Section 8013 prohibits funding from being used to influence congressional action on any matters pending before the Congress.

Section 8014 prohibits compensation from being paid to any member of the Army who is participating as a full-time student and who receives benefits from the Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is counted toward that member's service commitment.

Section 8015 provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

Section 8016 provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Section 8017 has been amended and makes permanent the prohibition of funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of certain surplus firearms and small arms ammunition or ammunition components.

Section 8018 provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Section 8019 has been amended and provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Section 8020 provides that no funding for the Defense Media Activity may be used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Section 8021 provides for the obligation of funds for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of title 10, United States Code, in anticipation of receipt of contributions from the Government of Kuwait

Section 8022 has been amended and provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Section 8023 has been amended and prohibits funding from being used to establish new Department of Defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC), with certain limitations and reduces funding provided for FFRDCs.

Section 8024 provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plate melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Section 8025 defines the congressional defense committees as being the Armed Services Committees of the House of Representatives and the Senate and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Section 8026 provides for competitions between private firms and Department of Defense depot maintenance activities for modification, depot maintenance, and repair of aircraft, vehicles, and vessels, as well as the production of components and other defense-related articles.

Section 8027 has been amended and provides for revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy American Act upon a finding that a country has violated a reciprocal defense procurement memorandum of understanding by discriminating against products produced in the United States that are covered by the agreement.

Section 8028 provides for the availability of funds contained in the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account for purposes specified in section 2921(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 1991.

Section 8029 provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units that are excess to the needs of the Air Force located at Grand Forks Air Force Base, Malmstrom Air Force Base, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Ellsworth Air Force Base, and Minot Air Force Base to Indian Tribes located in the states of Nevada, Idaho, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Oregon, Minnesota, and Washington.

Section 8030 provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than \$250,000.

Section 8031 has been amended and prohibits the use of Working

Capital Funds to purchase specified investment items.

Section 8032 has been amended and provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, the Working Capital Fund, or other programs as specified.
Section 8033 provides that funds available for the Defense Intel-

ligence Agency may be used for the design, development, and de-ployment of General Defense Intelligence Program intelligence

communications and intelligence information systems.

Section 8034 provides for the availability of funds for the mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands resulting from Department of Defense activities.

Section 8035 requires the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act, chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code.

Section 8036 provides conditions under which contracts for studies, analyses, or consulting services may be entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal.

Section 8037 places certain limitations on the use of funds made

available in this Act to establish Field Operating Agencies.

Section 8038 places restrictions on converting to contractor performance an activity or function of the Department of Defense unless it meets certain guidelines provided.

(RESCISSIONS)

Section 8039 has been amended and provides for the rescission of \$964,648,000 from the following programs:

2013 Appropriations:	
Aircraft Procurement, Army:	40 = 000 000
MQ-1 payload	\$27,000,000
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army:	= 000 000
Howitzer, SP 155 109A6	5,000,000
Other Procurement, Army:	
Mid-tier networking vehicular radio	30,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
RQ-4_UAV	47,200,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy:	
Standard missile	27,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
C-130 modifications	12,500,000
MQ-IB modifications	16,300,000
MQ-IB spares	4,500,000
MQ-9	37,800,000

Missile Procurement, Air Force:	
Minuteman III modifications	7,100,000
Missile support equipment	6,700,000
2014 Appropriations:	* *
Other Procurement, Army:	
JTRS HMS radio	100,000,000
WIN-T increment 2	100,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:	
Aviation life support modifications	6,267,000
Common electronic countermeasures	17,355,000
E–2D hawkeye	30,000,000
E–2D hawkeye F/A–18E/F advance procurement	75,000,000
P-8A contract savings	43,000,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy:	
Classified programs	25,000,000
Rolling airframe missile	5,036,000
Sidewinder	5,000,000
Standard missile	46,400,000
Tomahawk obsolescence	10,000,000
Other Procurement, Navy:	
National airspace system	1,505,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:	
A-10	45,300,000
MQ–IB spares	2,100,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force:	
Evolved expendable launch vehicle	118,685,000
Minuteman III modifications	2,500,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army:	
Biometric enabled intelligence	5,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:	
Amphibious combat vehicle	78,800,000
JATAS termination	20,000,000
Marine Corps combat services support	6,600,000

Section 8040 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Section 8041 prohibits funding from being obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless

specifically appropriated for that purpose.

Section 8042 provides for reimbursement to the National Guard and reserve when members of the National Guard and reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to the combatant commands, defense agencies, and joint intelligence activities.

Section 8043 prohibits funds from being used to reduce civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003 level unless the Service Surgeons General certify to the congressional defense committees that it is a responsible stewardship of resources to do so.

Section 8044 has been amended and prohibits the transfer of Department of Defense and Central Intelligence Agencies drug interdiction and counter-drug activity funds to other agencies except as specifically provided in an appropriations law.

Section 8045 prohibits funding from being used for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a do-

mestic source and of domestic origin.

Section 8046 prohibits funding from being used to purchase supercomputers which are not manufactured in the United States. Section 8047 prohibits funding made available in this or any other Act from being used to pay the salary of anyone who ap-

proves or implements a transfer of administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency not financed by this Act without express authorization of the Congress.

Section 8048 provides for prior congressional notification of article or service transfers to international peacekeeping organizations.

Section 8049 prohibits funding from being used for contractor bo-

nuses being paid due to business restructuring.

Section 8050 provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available for pay of military personnel in connection with support and services for eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Section 8051 provides for the Department of Defense to dispose of negative unliquidated or unexpended balances for expired or closed accounts.

Section 8052 provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Section 8053 provides for the availability of funds to implement cost effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community, Landstuhl Army Regional Medical Center, and Ramstein Air Base, Germany

Section 8054 provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use, or inventory requirements.

Section 8055 provides for a waiver of "Buy America" provisions

for certain cooperative programs.

Section 8056 prohibits funding from being used to support the training of members of foreign security forces who have engaged in gross violations of human rights.

Section 8057 prohibits funding in this Act from being used for re-

pairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Section 8058 provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8059 provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Section 8060 prohibits the use of funds made available to the Department of Defense to provide support to an agency that is more than 90 days in arrears in making payments to the Department of Defense for goods or services provided on a reimbursable basis.

Section 8061 provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile De-

fense System.

Section 8062 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any nongovernmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center-fire cartridge and is designated as "armor piercing" except for demilitarization pur-

Section 8063 provides for a waiver by the Chief of the National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Section 8064 provides for a limitation on funding from being used to support non-appropriated funds that purchase alcoholic bev-

Section 8065 has been amended and provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Army to other activities of the Federal Government for classified purposes.

Section 8066 has been amended and provides for the forced matching of disbursements and obligations made by the Depart-

ment of Defense in the current fiscal year.

Section 8067 provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide to the

Department of State Global Security Contingency Fund.

Section 8068 provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Section 8069 has been amended and provides funding and trans-

fer authority for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Section 8070 prohibits funding from being obligated to modify command and control relationships to give Fleet Forces Command operational and administrative control of Navy forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet or to give United States Transportation Command operational and administrative control of certain aircraft.

Section 8071 has been amended and provides for the funding of

prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Section 8072 has been amended and provides that funds made available in this Act for intelligence activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for the current fiscal year.

Section 8073 prohibits funding from being used to initiate a new

start program without prior written notification.

Section 8074 has been amended and provides that the budget of the President for the subsequent fiscal year shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of the United States Armed Forces' participation in contingency operations for the military personnel, operation and maintenance, and procurement accounts.

Section 8075 prohibits funding from being used for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement, or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Section 8076 provides the Secretary of Defense with the author-

ity to make grants in the amounts specified.

Section 8077 prohibits funding from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Section 8078 prohibits funding from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Section 8079 provides that at the time members of reserve components of the armed forces are called or ordered to active duty, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period

during which the member will be mobilized.

Section 8080 provides for the use of current and expired Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy subdivisions to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

Section 8081 prohibits funding from being used to transfer program authority relating to current tactical unmanned aerial vehicles from the Army and requires the Army to retain responsibility for and operational control of the MQ-1C unmanned aerial vehicle.

Section 8082 provides funding under certain conditions for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative Program for the purpose of enabling the Pacific Command to execute certain Theater Security Cooperation activities.

Section 8083 has been amended and limits the availability of funding provided for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence beyond the current fiscal year, except for funds appropriated for research and technology, which shall remain available for the current and the following fiscal years.

Section 8084 provides for the adjustment of obligations within

the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy appropriation.

Section 8085 has been amended and provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence for the current fiscal year.

Section 8086 is new and prohibits changes to the Army Con-

tracting Command-New Jersey without prior notification.

Section 8087 provides for the transfer of funds by the Director of National Intelligence to other departments and agencies for the purposes of Government-wide information sharing activities.

Section 8088 provides for limitations on funding provided for the National Intelligence Program to be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming or transfer of funds in accordance with section 102A(d) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50

U.S.C. 403-1(d)).

Section 8089 directs the Director of National Intelligence to submit a future-years intelligence program reflecting estimated ex-

penditures and proposed appropriations.

Section 8090 defines the congressional intelligence committees as being the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of Representatives, the Select Committee on Intelligence of the Senate, and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and the Senate.

Section 8091 directs the Department of Defense to continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation Enduring Freedom or any other named operations in the Central Command area of operation on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution Report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation.

Section 8092 provides the authority to transfer funding from operation and maintenance accounts for the Army, Navy, and Air

Force to the central fund for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Section 8093 provides that funds appropriated in this Act may be available for the purpose of making remittances and transfers to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Section 8094 provides that any agency receiving funds made available in this Act shall post on a public website any report re-

quired to be submitted by Congress with certain exceptions.

Section 8095 prohibits the use of funds for federal contracts in excess of \$1,000,000 unless the contractor agrees not to require, as a condition of employment, that employees or independent contractors agree to resolve through arbitration any claim or tort related to, or arising out of, sexual assault or harassment, including assault and battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, false imprisonment, or negligent hiring, supervision, or retention, and to certify that each covered subcontractor agrees to do the same.

Section 8096 has been amended and provides funds for transfer to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Af-

fairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Section 8097 prohibits the Office of the Director of National Intelligence from employing more Senior Executive employees than

are specified in the classified annex.

Section 8098 prohibits funding from being used to pay a retired general or flag officer to serve as a senior mentor advising the Department of Defense unless such retired officer files a Standard Form 278 or successor form.

Section 8099 provides for the purchase of heavy and light armed vehicles for the physical security of personnel or for force protection

purposes up to a limit of \$250,000 per vehicle.

Section 8100 has been amended and provides grants through the Office of Economic Adjustment to support critical existing and enduring military installation and missions on Guam, as well as any potential Department of Defense growth.

Section 8101 prohibits the Secretary of Defense from taking beneficial occupancy of more than 3,000 parking spaces to be provided

by the BRAC 133 project unless certain conditions are met.

Section 8102 requires monthly reporting of the civilian personnel end strength by appropriation account to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8103 has been amended and prohibits funding to separate, or to consolidate from within, the National Intelligence Program budget from the Department of Defense budget.

Section 8104 has been amended and provides the Director of National Intelligence with general transfer authority with certain lim-

itations.

Section 8105 has been amended and provides \$540,000,000 to remain available in the Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund until September 30, 2021 for the purpose of manning, operating, sustaining, equipping, and modernizing Ticonderoga-class guided missile cruisers.

Section 8106 reduces the total amount appropriated in this Act by \$547,100,000 to reflect savings due to favorable exchange rates.

Section 8107 prohibits funding to transfer or release any individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba into the United States, its territories, or possessions. This language is identical to language enacted in Public Law 112–74.

Section 8108 prohibits funding to modify any United States facility (other than the facility at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba) to house any

individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. This language is identical to language enacted in Public Law 112–74.

Section 8109 prohibits funding from being used to enter into contracts or agreements with corporations with unpaid tax liabilities.

Section 8110 prohibits funding from being used to enter into contracts or agreements with a corporation that was convicted of a federal criminal violation in the past 24 months.

Section 8111 prohibits funding from being used to violate the Trafficking Victims Protection Act of 2000.

Section 8112 prohibits funding from being used to violate the Child Soldiers Prevention Act of 2008.

Section 8113 prohibits funding from being used to violate the War Powers Resolution Act.

Section 8114 prohibits funds from being used to purchase or lease new light duty vehicles except in accordance with the Presidential Memorandum-Federal Fleet Performance.

Section 8115 prohibits funds from being used to enter into a contract with a person or entity listed in the Excluded Parties List System/System for Award Management as having been convicted of fraud against the Federal Government.

Section 8116 prohibits funds from being used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding, or cooperative agreement with, make a grant to, or provide a loan or loan guarantee to Rosoboronexport, except under certain conditions.

Section 8117 prohibits the use of funds for the purchase of manufacture of a United States flag unless such flags are treated as covered items under section 2533a(b) of title 10, United States Code.

Section 8118 has been amended and provides for the transfer of funds for purposes of high priority sexual assault prevention and response program requirements.

Section 8119 prohibits the use of funds for certain activities in Afghanistan.

Section 8120 provides that funds may be used to provide ex gratia payments to local military commanders for damage, personal injury, or death that is incident to combat operations in a foreign country.

Section 8121 has been amended and prohibits the use of funds to conduct any environmental study related to Minuteman III silos.

Section 8122 prohibits the use of funds to cancel the avionics modernization program of record for C-130 aircraft.

Section 8123 has been amended and prohibits the use of funding to reduce the force structure at Lajes Field, Azores, Portugal.

Section 8124 prohibits the use of operation and maintenance funds in contradiction to 49 U.S. Code Section 41106 pertaining to the Civil Reserve Air Fleet.

Section 8125 prohibits the use of funds for flight demonstration teams outside of the United States.

Section 8126 prohibits the use of funds providing certain missile defense information to the Russian Federation.

Section 8127 prohibits the use of funds by the National Security Agency targeting American persons under authorities granted in the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act of 1978. Section 8128 is new and provides for the transfer of funds to the Maritime Administration for expenses related to the Ready Reserve Force.

Section 8129 is new and provides for the transfer of up to \$1,000,000 to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Training and Development Trust Fund.

Section 8130 is new and provides \$533,500,000 to fully fund an increase in basic pay for all military personnel by 1.8 percent as authorized by current law.

Section 8131 is new and provides \$244,700,000 for basic allow-

ance for housing for military personnel.

Section 8132 is new and prohibits the use of funds to reduce, convert, decommission, or otherwise move to nondeployed status any Minuteman III ballistic missile silo that contains a deployed missile.

Section 8133 is new and prohibits the use of funds to divest E-3 airborne warning and control system aircraft.

Section 8134 is new and prohibits the use of funds to implement

the Arms Trade Treaty until ratified by the Senate.

Section 8135 is new and provides \$139,000,000 to construct, renovate, repair, or expand elementary and secondary public schools on military installations.

Section 8136 is new and prohibits the transfer of certain helicopters from the Army National Guard to the regular Army.

Section 8137 is new and provides \$1,000,000,000 for military readiness.

Section 8138 is new and limits the availability of funds for activities authorized under Section 1208 of Public Law 112–81.

TITLE IX

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In title IX, the Committee recommends total new appropriations of \$79,445,000,000 as a placeholder for ongoing military operations in Afghanistan. Despite a recent announcement from the Administration regarding plans for an enduring military presence in Afghanistan, no Overseas Contingency Operations budget request has been submitted; therefore this level is subject to change. This new discretionary spending authority for the Department of Defense will provide the necessary resources for deployed servicemembers, including funding for personnel requirements, operational needs, new aircraft to replace combat losses, combat vehicle safety modifications, and maintenance of facilities and equipment.

GENERAL PROVISIONS

Title IX contains several general provisions, many of which extend or modify war-related authorities included in previous Acts. A brief description of the recommended provisions follows:

Section 9001 provides that funds made available in this title are in addition to funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for the current fiscal year.

Section 9002 provides for general transfer authority within title IX.

Section 9003 provides that supervision and administration costs associated with a construction project funded with appropriations available for operation and maintenance, the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund, or the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund may be obligated at the time a construction contract is awarded.

Section 9004 provides for the procurement of passenger motor vehicles and heavy and light armored vehicles for use by military and civilian employees of the Department of Defense in the Central Command area of responsibility.

Section 9005 has been amended and provides funding for the Commander's Emergency Response Program, with certain limitations.

Section 9006 provides lift and sustainment resources to coalition forces supporting military and stability operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Section 9007 prohibits the establishment of permanent bases in Iraq or Afghanistan or United States control over Iraq oil resources.

Section 9008 prohibits the use of funding in contravention of the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.

Section 9009 limits the obligation of funding for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund until certain conditions have been met.

Section 9010 provides for the purchase of items of a particular

Section 9010 provides for the purchase of items of a particular investment unit cost from funding made available for operation and maintenance.

Section 9011 has been amended and provides funding for the operations and activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq and security assistance teams.

Section 9012 has been amended and restricts funds provided in operation and maintenance accounts for payments of Coalition Support Funds for reimbursement to the Government of Pakistan until certain conditions are met.

Section 9013 prohibits the use of funds with respect to Syria in contravention of the War Powers Resolution.

Section 9014 prohibits the use of funds in the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund for new construction projects.

TITLE X

Title X contains one new general provision. A brief description of the recommended provision follows:

Section 10001 is new and provides that the amount by which new budget authority exceeds spending caps is \$0.

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the Rules of the House of Representatives:

CHANGES IN THE APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities which require annual authorization or additional

legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of law.

The bill includes a number of provisions, which have been virtually unchanged for many years that are technically considered

legislation.

The bill provides that appropriations shall remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authorizing legislation does not presently authorize each extended availability.

In various places in the bill, the Committee has allocated funds within appropriation accounts in order to fund specific programs.

Changes in the application of existing law found within appropriations headings:

Language is included in various accounts placing a limitation on funds for emergencies and extraordinary expenses.

Language is included that provides not more than \$15,000,000 for the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund.

Language is included that provides not more than \$36,000,000 for emergencies and extraordinary expenses.

Language is included that provides not less than \$36,262,000 for the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program, of which not less than \$3,600,000 shall be available for centers.

Language is included that makes available \$8,881,000 for certain classified activities, allows such funds to be transferred between certain accounts, and exempts such funds from the investment item unit cost of items ceiling.

Language is included that provides that any transfer authority provided under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act.

Language is included under the various Environmental Restoration accounts that provides that the Service Secretaries may transfer such funds for the purposes of the funds provided under such appropriations headings, and provides that such transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in the Act.

Language is included that provides for specific construction, acquisition, or conversion of vessels under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy".

Language is included under the heading "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy" that provides funds for certain activities.

Language is included under the heading "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide" that provides \$250,000,000 for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program and provides for the transfer of funds subject to certain requirements.

Language is included that provides that not less than \$8,000,000 of funds provided under "Defense Health Program" shall be available for HIV/AIDS prevention education activities.

Language is included that provides for the carry-over of one percent of the Operation and Maintenance account under the "Defense Health Program".

Language is included that limits obligation of funds provided under the "Defense Health Program" that limits the obligation of funds provided for the Interagency Program Management Office, the Defense Healthcare Management Systems Modernization program, and the Defense Medical Information Exchange until submission and approval of an expenditure plan describing certain matters.

Language is included that specifies the use of certain funds provided under "Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction".

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds under "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities". Such transfer authority shall be in addition to other transfer authority provided elsewhere in the Act.

Language is included that makes funds available for certain purposes notwithstanding any other provision of law, under "Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund".

Language is included under "Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund" that provides for the transfer of such funds for the purposes of such fund to other appropriations accounts, provides that such transfer authority shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in the Act, and requires prior notification of such transfers.

Language is included under "Support for International Sporting Competitions" providing for purposes of such funds.

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Language is included that provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign national

tionals.

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless express provision for a greater period of availability is provided elsewhere in this Act.

Language is included that provides a 20 percent limitation on the obligation of funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year with certain exceptions.

Language is included that provides for general transfer authority.

Language is included that provides for incorporation of project level tables.

Language is included that provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for fiscal year 2015 and prohibits certain reprogrammings until after submission of a report.

Language is included that provides for limitations on the use and transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Language is included that provides that prohibits funds appropriated in this Act to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multi-year contracts

Language is included that provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under Chapter 20 of title 10. United States Code.

Language is included that provides that civilian personnel of the Department may not be managed on the basis of end strength or be subject to end strength limitations, sets forth certain requirements related to end strength for the budget submission, and requires that Department of Army Science and Technology be managed based on budget availability.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to influence congressional action on any matters pending before the Congress.

Language is included that prohibits compensation from being paid to any member of the Army who is participating as a full-time student and who receives benefits from the Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is counted toward that member's service commitment.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of surplus firearms.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or

within the National Capital Region.

Language is included that provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act for the Defense Media Activity may be used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Language is included that provides for the obligation of funds for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of title 10, United States

Language is included that provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Language is included that provides for the number of staff years of technical effort that may be funded for defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plate melted and

rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Language is included that defines congressional defense committees as being the Armed Services Committees and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate.

Language is included that provides for competitions between private firms and Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Activities for modification, depot maintenance, and repair of aircraft, vehicles, and vessels, as well as the production of components and other Defense-related articles.

Language is included that provides for revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy America Act upon a finding that a country has violated a reciprocal trade agreement by discriminating against products produced in the United States that are covered by the

agreement.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds for purposes specified in section 2921(c)(2) of the 1991 National Defense Authorization Act, namely facility maintenance and repair and environmental restoration at military installations in the United States.

Language is included that provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units located at Grand Forks, Malmstrom, Mountain Home, Ellsworth, and Minot Air Force Bases to Indian Tribes that are excess to Air Force needs.

Language is included that provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than \$250,000.

Language is included that prohibits the purchase of specified in-

vestment items within Working Capital Fund.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, the Working Capital Fund, or other certain programs authorized under section 503 of

the National Security Act.

Language is included that provides that funds available for the Defense Intelligence Agency may be used for intelligence communications and intelligence information systems for the Services, the Unified and Specified Commands, and the component commends.

Language is included that provides that not less than \$12,000,000 within "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" shall be for mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act (chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code).

Language is included that provides conditions under which contracts for studies, analyses, or consulting services may be entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal.

Language is included that provides for the limitations of funds made available in this Act to establish Field Operating Agencies.

Language is included that provides grant authorities for the Department of Defense acting through the Office of Economic Adjustment.

Language is included that provides for the limitations on the conversion of an activity or function of the Department of Defense to contractor performance.

Language is included that provides for the rescission of pre-

viously appropriated funds.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military technicians (dual status) of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds made available in this Act may be obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless appropriated for that purposes

for that purpose.

Language is included that provides for reimbursement to the National Guard and reserve when members of the National Guard and reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to the Combatant Commands, Defense Agencies, and Joint Intelligence Agencies

ligence Activities.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003, level unless the Service Surgeons General certify to the congressional defense committees that it is a responsible stewardship of resources to do so.

Language is included that provides that Defense and Central Intelligence Agencies' drug interdiction and counter-drug activity funds may not be transferred to other agencies unless specifically

provided in an appropriations law.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds appropriated by this Act for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase supercomputers manufactured only in the United States unless the Secretary certifies such acquisition must be made

for national security purposes.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this or any other Act to transfer administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency not financed by this Act without expressed authorization of the Congress.

Language is included that provides for prior congressional notification of article transfers to international peacekeeping organizations

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for contractor bonuses from being paid due to business restructuring.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to be used to support personnel supporting approved non-traditional defense activities.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to dispose of negative unliquidated or unexpended balances for expired or closed accounts.

Language is included that provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds provided by this Act to implement cost-effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community, Germany.

Language is included that provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use, or inventory requirements.

Language is included that provides for a waiver of the "Buy

America" provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to support the training of members of foreign security forces who have engaged in gross violations of human rights.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Language is included that provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available to the Department of Defense to provide support to an agency that is more than 90 days in arrears in making payments to the Department of Defense for goods or services provided on a reimbursable basis.

Language is included that provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Bal-

listic Missile Defense System.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any nongovernmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center—fire cartridge and designated as "armor piercing" except for demilitarization purposes.

Language is included that provides for a waiver by the Chief, National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration

in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this

Act from being used to purchase alcoholic beverages.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under "Operation and Maintenance, Army" to other activities of the Federal Government for classified purposes.

Language is included that provides for the forced matching of disbursement and obligations made by the Department of Defense

in fiscal year 2015.

Language is included that provides a limitation of \$200,000,000 for transfer to the Department of State Global Security Contingency Fund, and set forth certain reporting requirements prior to such transfer.

Language is included that provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Language is included that provides funding and transfer author-

ity for the Israeli Cooperative missile defense programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to be obligated to modify the command and control relationship to give the Fleet Forces Command administration and operations control of U.S. Naval Forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to

properly complete prior year shipbuilding programs.

Language is included that provides that funds made available in this Act are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until enactment of the authorization Act.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Language is included that provides that the budget of the President for fiscal year 2015 shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of the United States Armed Forces' participation in contingency operations that contain certain budget exhibits as defined in the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement, or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a mis-

sile defense system.

Language is included that provides the Secretary of Defense discretionary authority to make grants to the United Service Organizations and the Red Cross if he determines it to be in the national interest.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Language is included that provides that at the time members of reserve components of the Armed Forces are called or ordered to active duty, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period during which the member will be mobilized.

pected period during which the member will be mobilized.

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds from any available Department of the Navy appropriation to any available Navy ship construction appropriation to liquidate costs caused by rate adjustments or other economic factors.

Language is included that provides for the use of current and expired "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" subdivisions to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

Language is included that prohibits the transfer of program authorities related to tactical unmanned aerial vehicles from the Army.

Language is included that provides funding and authority for the

Asia Pacific Regional Initiative program.

Language is included that limits the obligation authority of funds provided for the Director of National Intelligence to the current fiscal year except for research and technology which shall remain available for the current and the following fiscal years.

Language is included that provides for the adjustment of obligations within the "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" appropria-

tion.

Language is included that prohibits transfers of funds until the Director of National Intelligence submits a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities.

Language is included that prohibits the realignment or personnel reductions for certain Army command sites within prior notification

to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of up to \$20,000,000 of funds available for the Program Manager for Information Sharing Environment to be transferred to other departments and agencies for certain purposes.

Language is included that sets forth reprogramming and transfer

procedures for the National Intelligence Program.

Language is included that provides that the Director of National Intelligence shall provide budget exhibits identifying the five year future-years intelligence program.

Language is included that defines the congressional intelligence committees.

Language is included that directs the Department of Defense to report on the Cost of War Execution Report on a monthly basis.

Language is included that provides that funds from certain operation and maintenance accounts may be transferred to a central fund established pursuant to section 2493(d) of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides that funds may be used for the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Language is included that provides that agencies post congres-

sionally directed reports on a public website.

Language is included that provides limitations on the award of contracts to contractors that require mandatory arbitration for certain claims as a condition of employment or a contractual relationship. The Secretary is authorized to waive the applicability of these limitations for national security interests.

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority for the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Af-

fairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language is included that provides a limitation on the number of senior executives employed by the Office of the Director of National Intelligence.

Language is included that provides a limitation on certain senior mentors unless such mentors make certain financial disclosures.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase heavy and light armored vehicles notwithstanding price or other limitations on the purchase of passenger carrying vehicles.

Language is included that provides availability of funds appropriated under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" for ac-

tivities related to the military buildup of Guam.

Language is included that places limitations on the number of parking spaces provided by the BRAC 133 project, with certain waiver authorities.

Language is included that requires the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly reports on civilian personnel end strength.

Language is included the places limitations on the use of funds to make certain modifications to the appropriations account structure or the allotment, obligation and disbursement processes.

Language is included that provides transfer authority for the Director of National Intelligence for the National Intelligence Program.

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority for the purpose of manning, operating, sustaining, equipping, and modernizing certain guided missile cruisers subject to certain requirements.

Language is included that prohibits funds to transfer or release certain individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba into the United States, its territories, or possessions.

Language is included that prohibits funds to construct, acquire, or modify any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house individuals detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Language is included that places limitations on the provision of funds to any corporation with an unpaid Federal tax liability.

Language is included that places limitations on the provision of funds to any corporation convicted of a felony criminal violation within the preceding 24 months.

Language is included that prohibits the Department of Defense from entering into certain agreements with Rosoboronexport with certain waiver authorities.

Language is included that prohibits the purchase or manufacture of a United States flag uncles such flag is treated as a covered item under section 2533a(b).

Language is included that provides funds and transfer authority to the Services to support high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements and activities.

Language is included that provides for the payment of ex gratia payments in certain circumstances subject to certain conditions.

Language is included that limits force structure changes at Lajes Field, Azores, Portugal except in accordance with section 1048 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2015.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to the Ready Reserve Force for expenses related to the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Language is included that provides funds for transfer to the John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund.

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority for pay for military personnel.

Language is included that provides for an additional appropriation and transfer authority for basic allowance for housing for military personnel.

Language is included that sets forth certain reporting requirements related to airborne warning and control.

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority for construction, renovation, repair, and expansion of public schools on military installations.

Language is included that provides an additional appropriation and transfer authority for military readiness improvements.

Language is included that requires certain notification on funds provided under section 1208 authorities, with a waiver for exigent circumstances.

Language is included that requires the Chiefs of National Guard and Reserve components to submit the modernization priority assessment for their respective components to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides that funds made available in title IX are in addition to amounts appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2015.

Language is included that provides for transfer authority between appropriations made available in title IX.

Language is included that provides for supervision and administration costs associated with overseas contingency operations.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase passenger, heavy, and light armored vehicles notwithstanding price or other limitations on the purchase of passenger carrying vehicles for use in the U.S. Central Command area of responsibility.

Language is included that provides funds and authority for the Commander's Emergency Response Program and establishes certain reporting requirements.

Language is included that authorizes the use of funds to provide certain assistance to coalition forces supporting military and stability operations in Afghanistan and establishes certain reporting requirements.

Language is included that places limitations on the use of Afghanistan Security Forces Funds and requires certain certifications.

Language is included that authorizes funds in title IX for the purchase of items having an investment unit cost of up to \$250,000, subject to certain conditions.

Language is included that authorizes up to \$150,000,000 under the heading "Operation and Maintenance" for the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq and security assistance teams, and operations and activities authorized in the fiscal year 2015 National Defense Authorization, subject to written notice requirements.

Language is included that provides for the rescission of pre-

viously appropriated funds.

Language is included that places limitations on the use of Coalition Support Funds for the Government of Pakistan.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) fo rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law:

[In thousands of dollars]

		Authorization		Appropriations	2015 compared to 2013	compared to 201
Agency/Program	authorization	Level	autnorization	in this bill 2015	2013	201
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE		(4)	10 000 010	41,183,729	453,715	274,81
Military Personnel, Army	2014	(1)	40,908,919	27,387,344	311,411	-284,21
Military Personnel, Navy	2014	(1)	27,671,555		224,432	-41,42
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	2014	(1)	12,826,857	12,785,431 27,564,362	-559,747	-818,60
Military Personnel, Air Force	2014	(1)	28,382,963	4,304,159	-152,664	-179,18
Reserve Personnel, Army	2014	(1)	4,483,343 1,875,536	1,836,024	-35,664	-39,51
Reserve Personnel, Navy	2014	(1)	665,499	659,224	7,363	-6,27
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	2014	(1)	1,745,579	1.652,148	-91,727	-93,43
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	2014	(1)	7,958,568	7,644,632	-444,845	-313,93
National Guard Personnel, Army	2014	(1)	3,130,361	3,110,587	-47,428	-19,77
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	2014 2014	(1)	35,183,796	32,671,980	-3.750.758	-2,511,81
Operation and Maintenance, Army	2014	35,697,777 40,402,605	40,127,402	39,073,543	-2,390,190	-1,053,85
Operation and Maintenance, Navy			6,298,757	5,984,680	-90,987	-314,07
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	2014	6,385,650		35,024,160	-384,635	-2.414.54
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	2014	37,540,267	37,438,701 32,301,685	30,896,741	-884,072	-1,404,94
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	2014	32,760,412			-663,817	-663,54
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2014	3,207,236	3,199,151	2,535,606	-244,520	-188,45
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	2014	1,213,552	1,200,283	1,011,827	-6,892	3,93
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	2014	263,617	266,561	270,485	-372,827	-159,8
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	2014	3,169,177	3,149,046	2,989,214	-372,827 -1,071,424	-159,8
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	2014	7,100,099	7,102,113	6,116,307	-1,071,424	-282,0
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	2014	6,594,204	6,675,999	6,393,919	-2 (4,907 207	1
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	2014	13,606	13,606			-97,2
Environmental Restoration, Army	2014	298,815	298,815		-134,361	
Environmental Restoration, Navy	2014	316,103	316,103		-33,300	-38,8 -31,1
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	2014	439,820	439,820		-120,547	
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	2014	10,575	10,757	8,547	-2,586	-2,2
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	2014	237,443	262,443		-4,190	-29,0
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	2014	108,759	109,500		-5,759	-6,5
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	2014	519,111	528,455		-154,003	-163,3
Aircraft Procurement, Army	2014	5,028,326	5,236,653		-819,269	59,3
Missile Procurement, Army	2014	1,334,083	1,628,083	1,217,483	-385,206	-410.6
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles,						158.1
Army	2014		1,545,560		-180,970	
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	2014	1,455,637	1,465,937		-565,291	-454,4
Other Procurement, Army	2014		6,467,751		-1,675,811	-1,655,5
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	2014		17,092,784		-3,463,801	-3,038,2
Weapons Procurement, Navy	2014		3,017,646		39,819	94,2
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	2014		544,116		-47,871	85,2
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy	2014	14,734,033	15,000,704		-979,765	-744,3
Other Procurement, Navy	2014	6,267,252	6,824,824		-440,812	-901,4
Procurement, Marine Corps	2014	1,325,503	1,271,311		-554,849	-344,0
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	2014	11,323,981	10,860,606		742,042	1,186,3
Missile Procurement, Air Force	2014	5,343,286	5,267,119		-902,935	-720,9
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	2014	759,442	743,442	648,200	49,006	-95,2
Other Procurement, Air Force	2014	16,746,843	16,791,497	16,633,023		-158,4
Procurement, Defense-Wide	2014	4,535,304	4,522,990	4,358,121	-71,214	-164,8
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	2014	7,954,132	7,961,486	6,720,000		-1,241,4
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	2014	15,661,821	15,368,352	15,877,770	-1,109,998	509,4
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	2014	25,718,946	24,947,354	23,438,982	-1,678,710	-1,508,3
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-						
Wide	2014	18,218,264	17,885,538			
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	2014	186,300	246,800	248,238		1,4
Defense Working Capital Funds	2014	1,412,510	1,545,827	1,334,468	-181,716	-211,3
National Defense Sealift Fund	2014	618,500	595,700) 0	-564,636	-595,7
Defense Health Program	2014		33,573,582	31,634,870	-1,227,364	-1,938,7
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense.	2014		1,057,123	828,868	-472,918	-228,2
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	2014		1,007,762		-188,676	-63,0
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	2014		.,,.			65,4
Office of the Inspector General	2014		347,000			-35,
Office of the Inspector General	2014	5-15,000	5 , , , 00			
System Fund	2014	N/A	514,000	514,000	0	
Intelligence Community Management Account	2014		552,535			-51,3
	2014		85,768,949			
Title IX - Overseas Deployments and Other Activities						

^{1/} The FY 2014 National Defense Authorization Act authorizes \$135,393,731,000 for military personnel Note: The bill includes several transfers of funds which may or may not be specifically authorized in law.

TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is submitted describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill.

Language has been included under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for certain

classified activities.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Army" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Navy" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of

unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Air Force" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included under "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for the Defense Rapid Innovation Program to appropriations for research, development, test and evaluation for specific purposes.

Language has been included under "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense" which provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for military personnel of the reserve components; for operation and maintenance; for procurement; and for research, development, test and evaluation for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the Department of Defense.

Language has been included under "Joint Improvised Explosive Devise Defeat Fund" which provides for the transfer of funds to appropriations available to the Department of Defense for military personnel; for operation and maintenance; for procurement; for research, development, test and evaluation; and for defense working capital funds for purposes related to assisting United States forces

in the defeat of improvised explosive devices.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8005" which provides for the transfer of working capital funds to other appropriations accounts of the Department of Defense for military functions.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8008" which provides for the transfer of funds between working capital funds and the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense" appropriation and the "Operation and Maintenance" appropriation ac-

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8015" which provides for the transfer of funds from the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program to any other appropriation for the purposes of implementing a Mentor-Protégé Pro-

gram development assistance agreement.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8050" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" to appropriations available for the pay of military personnel in connection with support and services of eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of De-

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8065" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Army" to other activities of the federal government.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8067" which provides for the transfer of funds available under "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" to the Department of State "Global Security Contingency Fund"

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8069" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Procurement, Defense-Wide" and "Research, Development, Test and Evaluation,

Defense-Wide" for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8071" which provides for the transfer of funds under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" to fund prior year ship-

building cost increases.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8087" which provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in the Intelligence Community Management Account for the Program Manager for the Information Sharing Environment to other departments and agencies for the purposes of Government-wide information sharing activities.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8092" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Army", "Operation and Maintenance, Navy", and "Operation and Maintenance, Air Force" to the central fund estab-

lished for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8093" which provides that funds appropriated by this Act may be made available for the purpose of making remittances to the De-

fense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8096" which provides for the transfer of funds appropriated for operation and maintenance for the Defense Health Program to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8104" which provides for the transfer of funds for the National In-

telligence Program.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8105" which provides for the transfer of funds from the "Ship Modernization, Operations, and Sustainment Fund" to appropriations for military personnel; operations and maintenance; research, development, test and evaluation; and procurement only for certain

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8118" which provides for the transfer of funds from the Department of Defense to the Army, Navy, Marine Corps, and Air Force, including Reserve and National Guard, to support high priority Sexual Assault Prevention and Response Program requirements and activities.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8128" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operations and Maintenance, Navy" to the Ready Reserve Force, Maritime Administration account of the Department of Transportation for the expenses related to the National Defense Reserve Fleet.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8129" which provides for the transfer of funds to the John C. Sten-

nis Center for Public Service Development Trust Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8130" which provides for the transfer of funds for pay for military personnel for purposes of fully funding the authorized military pay raise.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8131" which provides for the transfer of funds for basic allowance

for housing for military personnel.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 8135" which provides for the transfer of funds from "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" for transfer to the Secretary of Education to construct, renovate, repair, or expand elementary and secondary public schools on military installations.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec.

8137" which provides for the transfer of funds to the operation and maintenance accounts of the Army, Navy, Marine, and Air Force, including National Guard and reserve, for purposes of improving

military readiness.

Language has been included under title IX "Other Appropriations" which provides for the transfer of funds to Defense Health Program, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund, Office of the Inspector Gen-

eral, and Defense Working Capital Fund.

Language has been included under "General Provisions, Sec. 9002" which provides for the authority to transfer funds between the appropriations or funds made available to the Department of

Defense in title IX, subject to certain conditions.

RESCISSIONS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table is submitted describing the rescissions recommended in the accompanying bill:

Aircraft Procurement, Army, 2013/2015	\$27,000,000
Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army, 2013/2015	5,000,000
Other Procurement, Army, 2013/2015	30,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2013/2015	47,200,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy, 2013/2015	27,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, 2013/2015	71,100,000

Missile Procurement, Air Force, 2013/2015	13,800,000
Other Procurement, Army, 2014/2016	200,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2014/2016	171,622,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy, 2014/2016	91,436,000
Other Procurement, Navy, 2014/2016	1,505,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, 2014/2016	47,400,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force, 2014/2016	121,185,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army, 2014/2015	5,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, 2014/2015	105,400,000

TRANSFER OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the bill contains a general provision which allows for the transfer of unexpended balances from the Operation and Maintenance and Military Personnel accounts to the "Foreign Currency Fluctuation, Defense" account to address shortfalls due to foreign currency fluctuation.

DIRECTED RULE MAKING

This bill does not contain any provision that specifically directs the promulgation or completion of a rule.

PROGRAM DUPLICATION

No provision of this bill establishes or reauthorizes a program of the Federal Government known to be duplicative of another Federal program, a program that was included in any report from the Government Accountability Office to Congress pursuant to section 21 of Public Law 111–139, or a program related to a program identified in the most recent Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance.

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program's success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII, CL. 3(e) (RAMSEYER RULE)

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the Committee notes that the accompanying bill does not propose to repeal or amend a statute or part thereof.

EARMARK DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Neither the bill nor the report contains any congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in Clause 9 of Rule XXI.

COMPARISON WITH THE BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, which requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee's section 302(a) allocation. This information follows:

[INSERT TABLE]

FIVE-YEAR OUTLAY PROJECTIONS

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill.

[INSERT TABLE]

FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS

FULL COMMITTEE VOTES

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE I					
MILITARY PERSONNEL					
Wilitary Dereonnel Army	40.787.967	41.225,339	41,183,729	+395,762	-41,610
Military Dersonnel Navy	27.231.512	27.489,440	27,387,344	+155,832	-102,096
Military Dersonnel Marine Corns	12,766,099	12,919,103	12,785,431	+19,332	-133,672
Wilitary Personnel Air Force	28,519,993	27,815,926	27,564,362	-955,631	-251,564
Deserve Dersonnel Army	4,377,563	4,459,130	4,304,159	-73,404	-154,971
Decarde Derconnel Navy	1,843,966	1,863,034	1,836,024	-7,942	-27,010
Reserve Dersonnel Marine Corns	655,109	670,754	659,224	+4,115	-11,530
Decerve Personnel Air Force	1,723,159	1,675,518	1,652,148	-71,011	-23,370
National Guard Dersonnel Army	7,776,498	7,682,892	7,644,632	-131,866	-38,260
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	3,114,421	3,156,457	3,110,587	-3,834	-45,870
Total Title T Military Personnel	128,796,287	128,957,593	128,127,640	-668,647	-829,953
	13				
TITLE II					
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE					
Operation and Maintenance, Army	30,768,069 36,311,160	33,240,148 39,316,857	32,671,980 39,073,543	+1,903,911 +2,762,383	-568,168 -243,314

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	1118	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
	2 2 2 4 4 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	#	
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	5,397,605	5,909,487	5,984,680	+587,075	+75,193
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force.	က	35,331,193	35,024,160	+1,775,542	-307,033
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide		31,198,232	30,896,741	-553,327	-301,491
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve.		2,490,569	2,535,606	-405,330	+45,037
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve		1,007,100	1,011,827	-146,555	+4,727
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	255,317	268,582	270,485	+15,168	+1,903
Oberation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve.	m	3,015,842	2,989,214	-72,993	-26,628
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard		6,030,773	6,116,307	-741,223	+85,534
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	6,392	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,615	+1,060
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account		5,000	; ;	:	-5,000
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces		13,723	13,723	+117	•
Environmental Restoration, Army,		201,560	201,560	-97,255	:
Environmental Restoration, Navv		277,294	277,294	-38 809	:
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	439,820	408,716	408,716	-31,104	* *
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide		8,547	8,547	-2,210	:
Fuvironmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	287,443	208,353	233,353	-54,090	+25,000
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	109,500	100,000	103,000	-6,500	+3,000
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	500,455	365,108	365,108	-135,347	:
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce					
Development Fund	51,031	212,875	51,875	+844	-161,000
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1111111111111
Total, Title II, Operation and maintenance	159,869,726	166,002,818	164,631,638	+4,761,912	-1,371,180
		11		AND MAN AND MA	

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	lli8	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE III	1	1	1		
PROCUREMENT					
Aircroft Drougomont Arms	4.844.891	5.102.685	5,295,957	+451,066	+193,272
Missile Procurement, Army.	1,549,491	1,017,483	1,217,483	-332,008	+200,000
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles,					
Army	1,610,811	1,471,438	1,703,736	+92,925	+232,298
Procurement of Ametrican Army	1,444,067	1,031,477	1,011,477	-432,590	-20,000
Other Procurement Army	4,936,908	4,893,634	4,812,234	-124,674	-81,400
Absoraft Procurement Navv	16,442,794	13,074,317	14,054,523	-2,388,271	+980,206
Wearons Procurement Navy	3,009,157	3,217,945	3,111,931	+102,774	-106,014
Drocurement of Ammunition Navy and Marine Cords.	549,316	771,945	629,372	+80,056	-142,573
Shiphii Iding and Conversion Navy	15,231,364	14,400,625	14,256,361	-975,003	-144,264
Other Procinceport Navo	5,572,618	5,975,828	5,923,379	+350,761	-52,449
Drocinement Marine Corps	1.240,958	983,352	927,232	-313,726	-56,120
Aircraft Procurement Air Force	10,379,180	11,542,571	12,046,941	+1,667,761	+504,370
Missile Procurement Air Force	4,446,763	4,690,506	4,546,211	+99,448	-144,295
Procurement of Ammunition Air Force	729,677	677,400	648,200	-81,477	-29,200
Other Procurement Air Force	16.572,754	16,566,018	16,633,023	+60,269	+67,005
Procurement Defende Wide	4,240,416	4,221,437	4,358,121	+117,705	+136,684
Defense Production Act Purchases	60,135	21,638	51,638	-8,497	+30,000
Total, Title III, Procurement	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	1,567,520

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE IV	f f b b c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	1			
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION					
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	7,126,318	6,593,898	6,720,000	-406,318	+126,102
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	14,949,919 23,585,292	16,266,335 23,739,892	15,877,770 23,438,982	+927,851	-388,565 -300,910
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	17,086,412 246,800	16,766,084	17,077,900 248,238	-8,512	+311,816
Total, Title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	62,994,741	63,533,947	63,362,890	+368,149	-171,057
TITLE V					
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS					
Defense Working Capital Funds	1,649,214 597,213	1,234,468	1,334,468	-314,746 -597,213	+100,000
Total, Title V, Revolving and Management Funds	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	.911,959	+100,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bi11	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE VI					
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS					
Defense Health Program Operation and maintenance	30,704,995 441,764 1,552,399	31,031,911 308,413 654,594	30,080,563 308,413 1,245,894	-624,432 -133,351 -306,505	-951,348
Total, Defense Health Program 1/	32,699,158	31,994,918	31,634,870	-1,064,288	-360,048
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense: Operation and maintenance	398,572 1,368 604,183	222,728 10,227 595,913	222,728 10,227 595,913	-175,844 +8,859 -8,270	; ; ;
Total, Chemical Agents 2/	1,004,123	828,868	828,868	-175,255	;
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense Counter-narcotics support	1,015,885	719,096	669,631 105,591 169,465	-1,015,885 +669,631 +105,591 +169,465	-49,465 +4,000 +169,465
Total, Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense	1,015,885	820,687	944,687	-71,198	+124,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015

COMPARALIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BODGE! ACTION TO A THE DOOD! ACCOUNTY (Amounts in Thousands)	(Amounts in Thousands)	nds)			
	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Rill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund	316,000	115,058 20,000 10,000 311,830	65,464 10,000 311,830	+65,464	-49,594
Total, Title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs	35,035,166	34,101,361	33,795,719	-1,239,447	-305,642
RELATED AGENCIES					
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund	514,000 528,229	514,000 510,194	514,000	-27,035	000'6-
Total, Title VII, Related agencies	1,042,229	1,024,194	1,015,194	-27,035	000'6-
TITLE VIII					
GENERAL PROVISIONS					
Additional transfer authority (Sec.8005)Indian Financing Act incentives (Sec.8019)	(5,000,000) 15,000 -40,000	(5,000,000)	(5,000,000) -40,000 -964,648	-15,000	 -40,000 -698,963

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	1118	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	6 B 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8		; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :
O&M, Defense-wide transfer authority (Sec.8050)	(30,000)	(30,000)	(30,000)	:	1 1 1
transfer) (Sec. 8067)	(200,000)	(200,000)	(200,000)	•	:
Fisher House Foundation (Sec.8068)	4,000	,	4,000	:	+4,000
National grants (Sec. 8076)	44,000	;	44,000	:	+44,000
Shiphuilding & conversion funds, Navy (Sec.8080)	8,000	5,000	2,000	-3,000	* * *
ICMA transfer authority (Sec.8087)	(20,000)	(20,000)	(20,000)		:-
Fisher House transfer authority (Sec.8092)	(11,000)	(11,000)	(11,000)	:	:
Defense Health O&M transfer authority (Sec.8096)	(143,087)	(146,857)	(146,857)	(+3,770)	1 1
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (Sec. 8100)		4 4 4	6	400	
(transfer authority)	(119,400)	(80,596)	(80,596)	(-38,804)	\$ E E
Ship Modernization, Operations and	2 244 400	*	540,000	-1,704,400	+540,000
Special salon	-1,920,000	:	;	+1,920,000	1 1 1
Superintendents review	1,000	;	;	-1,000	;
Revised economic assumptions (Sec. 8106)	-380,000	:	-547,100	-167,100	-547,100
Special Victims Program implementation	25,000	1 1 1	•	-25,000	:
General/Flad Officers	-8,000	* *	;	+8,000	:
Working Capital Fund excess cash balances	-866,500	:	;	+866,500	1
National Defense Reserve Fleet (O&M, Navy					
transfer authority) (Sec.8128)	4	(291,000)	(291,000)	(+291,000)	3
John C. Stennis Center for Public Service Training and					
Development Fund (O&M, Navy transfer		4	000	(000	
authority)(Sec.8129)	1 1	(000, L)	(000,1)	(000,1+)	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
Total, Title VIII, General Provisions	-2,779,189	.260,685	958,452	+3,737,641	+1,219,137

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015
(Amounts in Thousands)

(Amoi	(Amounts in Thousands)	_			
	FY 2014	FY 2015 Request	8111	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
			1	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
TITLE IX					
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (OCO) 3/					
Military Personnel					
Wilitary Barconnel Army (OCA)	5.449.726	\$ 1 8	£ €	-5,449,726	1 7 5
Military Derechbel Navy (OCO)	558,344	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	:	-558,344	:
Military Dersonnel Marine Corns (OCO)	777.922	;		-777,922	
Military Dersonnel Air Force (DCO)	832,862		:	-832,862	:
Deserve Dersonnel Army (DCD)	33,352	:	;	-33,352	
Reserve Personnel Navy (OCO)	20,238	1	;	-20,238	,
Reserve Personnel Marine Corps (000)	15,134	1	;	-15,134	:
Reserve Personnel Air Force (OCO)	20,432	:	\$ \$ \$	-20,432	;
National Guard Personnel Army (OCO)	257,064	:	1	-257,064	•
Mational Chard Described Air Force (OCD)	6.919	:	;	-6,919	;
Military Personnel (000)	; ;	:	5,100,000	+5,100,000	+5,100,000
Total, Military Personnel	7,971,993	1	5,100,000	-2,871,993	+5,100,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Operation and Maintenance	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	. E	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Oberation & Maintenance Army (OCD)	32,369,249	1	;	-32,369,249	;
	8,470,808	;	:	-8,470,808	
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (OCO),	3,369,815	;	;	-3,369,815	1 1
	12,746,424	1 2	1	-12,746,424	* * *
	6,226,678)) T	:	-6,226,678	:
Coalition support funds (0CO)	(1,257,000)	;	:	(-1,257,000)	;
Oberation & Maintenance, Army Reserve (OCO)	34,674	;	;	-34,674	: :
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve (OCO)	55,700	1 1	;	-55,700	:
	12,534	:	;	-12,534	:
	32,849	1	;	-32,849	;
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard (000)	130,471	;	* * *	-130,471	3 3
	22,200	:	;	-22,200	;
. 8			58,675,000	+58,675,000	+58,675,000
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance	63,471,402	F	58,675,000	-4,796,402	+58,675,000
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (OCO)	199,000	1 5	:	-199,000	1 1 1
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (OCO)	4,726,720	!	:	-4,726,720	:
Total, Operation and Maintenance	68,397,122	1	58,675,000	-9,722,122	+58,675,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

1	000,699			בושכים	Request
	000,69				
•		;	1 1	000'699-	† † 1
Missile Procurement, Army (UCU),,,,	128,645	1	-	-128,645	:
	006,061	;	1 1	- 190,900	:
	53,902	:		-653,902	* * *
(000)	211,176	:	•	-211,176	:
(DD)	36,500	* ;	:	-86,500	;
	169,362	1	;	-169,362	:
	125,984	;	;	-125,984	1 1
	188.868	: :	1	-188,868	:
ir Force (DCO)	24,200	;	:	-24,200	•
	137.826	;	;	-137,826	;
Other Procurement Air Force (OCO)	2,517,846	1 1	:	-2,517,846	;
	128.947	;	;	-128,947	;
	000.000	t t	:	-1,000,000	
	1 1	•	12,220,000	+12,220,000	+12,220,000
;	6 222 156	1	12 220 000	+5 986 844	+12,220,000

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	, 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;			
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army (OCO)	13,500	* ; 1	4 1 2	-13,500	;
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (0C0)	34,426	:	;	-34,426	;
	000'6	1 †	;	000'6-	;
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide (OCO)	78,208	3 3 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	-78,208		; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	135,134	:	;	-135,134	t 1
Revolving and Management Funds					
Defense Working Capital Funds (OCO)	264,910	;		-264,910	•

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
National Guard and Reserve Equipment National Guard and Reserve Equipment (OCO)		;	2,000,000	+2,000,000	+2,000,000
Other Department of Defense Programs Defense Health Program:	898.701	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	;	-898,701	*
	376,305	* :	18 1 18 1 18 1	-376,305	4 ± ± ± ±
Joint IED Defeat Fund (000) 2/	10,766	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1,450,000	-10,766 -10,766 +1,450,000	+1,450,000
Total, Other Department of Defense Programs	2,164,997	F	1,450,000	-714,997	+1,450,000
TITLE IX General Provisions					
Additional transfer authority (OCO) (Sec.9002) Rescissions (OCO) (Sec.9013)	(4,000,000)	1 S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S	(4,000,000)	+140,370	(+4,000,000)
Total, Title IX	85,026,942	79,445,000	79,445,000	-5,581,942	转套 经经过转载 医甲基苯基氏 经收益 医甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基甲基
Net Grand Total	565,093,629	565,093,629 563,698,995 563,898,820 -1,194,809 +199,825	563,898,820	-1,194,809	+199,825

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014 Enacted	FY 2015 Request	B111	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET RECAP	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	,			
Scorekeeping adjustments: Lease of defense real property (permanent) Disposal of defense real property (permanent)	30,000	31,000 8,000	31,000 8,000	+1,000	: :
DHP, O&M to DOD-VA Joint Incentive Fund: Defense function	-15,000 15,000	-15,000	-15,000	; ; ; ; ; ;	; ;
Demonstration Fund: Defense function	-143,087	-146,857 146,857	-146,857 146,857	-3,770	: :
0&M, Defense-wide transfer to Department of State: Defense function	-50,000 50,000 7,258,000 164,000	000'08- 000'08 000'896'9	-30,000 30,000 6,963,000	+20,000 -20,000 -295,000 -164,000	1111
	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	
Adjusted total (includ. scorekeeping adjustments) AppropriationsRescisslons	572,555,629 (576,381,718) (-3,826,089)	570,700,995 (570,966,680) (-265,685)	570,900,820 (571,865,468) (-964,648)	-1,654,809 (-4,516,250) (+2,861,441)	+199,825 (+898,788) (-698,963)
Total mandatory and discretionary	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,900,820		+199,825

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET AUTHORITY FOR 2014 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2015 (Amounts in Thousands)

	FY 2014	FY 2015		Bill vs.	Bill vs.
	Enacted	Request	8111	Enacted	Request
**************************************	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	; t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t t		4	***************************************
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel	128,796,287	128,957,593	128,127,640	-668,647	-829,953
Title II - Operation and Maintenance	159,869,726	166,002,818	164,631,638	+4,761,912	-1,371,180
Title III - Procurement,	92,861,300	89,660,299	91,227,819	-1,633,481	+1,567,520
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation	62,994,741	63,533,947	63,362,890	+368,149	-171,057
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds	2,246,427	1,234,468	1,334,468	-911,959	+100,000
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs	35,035,166	34,101,361	33,795,719	-1,239,447	-305,642
Title VII - Related Agencies	1,042,229	1,024,194	1,015,194	-27,035	-9,000
Title VIII - General Provisions (net)	-2,779,189	-260,685	958,452	+3,737,641	+1,219,137
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO)	85,026,942	79,445,000	79,445,000	-5,581,942	;
		11111111111		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Total, Department of Defense	565,093,629	563,698,995	563,898,820	-1,194,809	+199,825
Scorekeeping adjustments	7,462,000	7,002,000	7,002,000	-460,000	* * * *
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Total mandatory and discretionary	572,555,629	570,700,995	570,900,820	-1,654,809	+199,825

1/ Included in Budget under Operation and Maintenance 2/ Included in Budget under Procurement 3/ Global War on Terrorism (GWOT) 4/ Contributions to Department of Defense Retiree Health Care Fund (Sec. 725, P.L. 108-375)