

**H.R. 1960—FY14 NATIONAL DEFENSE
AUTHORIZATION BILL**

CHAIRMAN’S MARK

SUMMARY OF BILL LANGUAGE.....	1
BILL LANGUAGE.....	49
DIRECTIVE REPORT LANGUAGE.....	389

SUMMARY OF BILL LANGUAGE

Table Of Contents

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 101—Authorization of Appropriations

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 201—Authorization of Appropriations

SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 218—Long-Range Standoff Weapon Requirement

Section 220—Evaluation and Assessment of the Distributed Common Ground Station

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 301—Operation and Maintenance Funding

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE C—GENERAL SERVICE AUTHORITIES

Section 524—Contents of Transition Assistance Program

Section 525—Procedures for Judicial Review of Military Personnel Decisions Relating to Correction of Military Records

Section 526—Establishment And Use Of Consistent Definition Of Gender-Neutral Occupational Standard For Military Career Designators

SUBTITLE D—MILITARY JUSTICE AND LEGAL MATTERS, INCLUDING SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE

Section 539—Review And Policy Regarding Department of Defense

Investigative Practices In Response to Allegations of Sex-Related Offenses

Section 540—Uniform Training And Education Programs For Sexual Assault Prevention And Response Program

Section 541—Development Of Selection Criteria For Assignment As Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Program Managers, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, and Sexual Assault Victim Advocates

SUBTITLE G—DEFENSE DEPENDENTS' EDUCATION

Section 573—Treatment of Tuition Payments Received for Virtual Elementary and Secondary Education Component of Department of Defense Education Program

SUBTITLE H—DECORATIONS AND AWARDS

Section 588—Authorization For Award Of The Distinguished-Service Cross To Sergeant First Class Robert F. Keiser For Acts Of Valor During The Korean War

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE B—BONUSES AND SPECIAL AND INCENTIVE PAYS

Section 616—One-Year Extension of Authority to Provide Incentive Pay for Members of Precommissioning Programs Pursuing Foreign Language Proficiency

Section 617—Authority to Provide Bonus to Certain Cadets and Midshipmen Enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE B—HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION

Section 711—Future Availability of TRICARE Prime for Certain Beneficiaries Enrolled in TRICARE Prime

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—ACQUISITION POLICY AND MANAGEMENT

Section 802—Enhanced Transfer of Technology Developed at Department of Defense Laboratories

SUBTITLE B—AMENDMENTS TO GENERAL CONTRACTING AUTHORITIES, PROCEDURES, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 811—Additional Contractor Responsibilities in Regulations Relating to Detection and Avoidance of Counterfeit Electronic Parts

Section 812—Limitations on Allowable Costs for Contractor Compensation

Section 813—Inclusion of Additional Cost Estimate Information in Certain Reports

SUBTITLE C—PROVISIONS RELATING TO CONTRACTS IN SUPPORT OF CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS IN IRAQ OR AFGHANISTAN

Section 821—Amendments Relating to Prohibition on Contracting with the Enemy

Section 822—Collection of Data Relating to Contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan

SUBTITLE D—OTHER MATTERS

Section 831—Extension of Pilot Program on Acquisition of Military Purpose Non-Developmental Items

Section 832—Extension of Authority to Acquire Products and Services Produced in Countries Along a Major Route of Supply to Afghanistan

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT

Section 901—Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps

SUBTITLE C—DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED MATTERS

Section 924—Prohibition on National Intelligence Program Consolidation

SUBTITLE D—CYBERSPACE-RELATED MATTERS

Section 932—Defense Science Board assessment of United States Cyber Command

Section 934—Notification of Investigations on Compromise of Critical Program Information

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1001—General Transfer Authority

Section 1002—Budgetary Effects of This Act

Section 1003—Audit of Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2018 Financial Statements

Section 1004—Authority to Transfer Funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to Sustain Nuclear Weapons Modernization

SUBTITLE B—COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Section 1011—Extension of Authority to Support Unified Counter-drug and Counterterrorism Campaign in Colombia

Section 1012—Extension of Authority for Joint Task Forces to Provide Support to Law Enforcement Agencies Conducting Counterterrorism Activities

Section 1013—Two-Year Extension of Authority to Provide Additional Support for Counter-drug Activities of Certain Foreign Governments

SUBTITLE C—NAVAL VESSELS AND SHIPYARDS

Section 1022—Availability of Funds for Retirement or Inactivation of Ticonderoga Class Cruisers or Dock Landing Ships

SUBTITLE D—COUNTERTERRORISM

Section 1031—Clarification of Procedures for Use of Alternate Members on Military Commissions

Section 1033—Prohibition on Use of Funds To Construct or Modify Facilities in the United States To House Detainees Transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

Section 1034—Requirements for Certifications Relating to the Transfer of Detainees at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to Foreign Countries and Other Foreign Entities

Section 1035—Prohibition on the Use Of Funds for the Transfer or Release of Individuals Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

Section 1036—Clarification with Respect to the Applicability of Consultation Requirement Regarding Prosecution of Terrorists

Section 1037—Unclassified Summary of Information Relating to Individuals Detained at Parwan, Afghanistan

Section 1038—Assessment of Affiliates and Adherents of Al-Qaeda Outside the United States

SUBTITLE E—SENSITIVE MILITARY OPERATIONS

Section 1041—Congressional Notification of Sensitive Military Operations

Section 1042—Report on Process for Determining Targets of Lethal Operations

Section 1043—Counterterrorism Operational Briefings

SUBTITLE G—MISCELLANEOUS AUTHORITIES AND LIMITATIONS

Section 1063—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Modification of Force Structure of the Army

Section 1064—Limitation on Use of Funds for Public-Private Cooperation Activities

SUBTITLE H—STUDIES AND REPORTS

Section 1071—Oversight of Combat Support Agencies

Section 1073—Extension of Deadline for Comptroller General Report on Assignment of Civilian Employees of the Department of Defense as Advisors to Foreign Ministries of Defense

Section 1074—Repeal of Requirement for Comptroller General Assessment of Department of Defense Efficiencies

Section 1075—Matters for Inclusion in the Assessment of the 2013 Quadrennial Defense Review

Section 1077—Reports on Unmanned Aircraft Systems

SUBTITLE I—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1081—Technical and Clerical Amendments

Section 1082—Transportation of Supplies for the United States by Aircraft Operated by United States Air Carriers

Section 1085—Revision of Compensation of Members of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING

Section 1201—Modification and Extension of Authorities Relating to Program to Build the Capacity of Foreign Military Forces

Section 1203—Global Security Contingency Fund

Section 1204—Codification of National Guard State Partnership Program

Section 1205—Authority to Conduct Activities to Enhance the Capability of Certain Foreign Countries to Respond to Incidents Involving Weapons of Mass Destruction in Syria and the Region

Section 1206—One-Year Extension of Authority to Support Foreign Forces Participating in Operations to Disarm the Lord's Resistance Army

SUBTITLE B—MATTERS RELATING TO IRAQ, AFGHANISTAN, AND PAKISTAN

Section 1211—One-Year Extension and Modification of Authority for Reimbursement of Certain Coalition Nations for Support Provided to United States Military Operations

Section 1212—One-Year Extension of Authority to Use Funds for Reintegration Activities in Afghanistan
Section 1213—Extension of Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan
Section 1214—Extension of Authority to Support Operations and Activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq
Section 1215—One-Year Extension and Modification of Authority for Program to Develop and Carry Out Infrastructure Projects in Afghanistan
Section 1216—Special Immigrant Visas for Certain Iraqi and Afghan Allies

SUBTITLE C—MATTERS RELATING TO AFGHANISTAN POST 2014

Section 1221—Modification of Report on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan
Section 1222—Sense of Congress on United States Military Support in Afghanistan
Section 1224—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Certain Authorities for Afghanistan

SUBTITLE D—MATTERS RELATING TO IRAN

Section 1232—Report on United States Military Partnership with Gulf Cooperation Council Countries
Section 1233—Additional Elements in Annual Report on Military Power of Iran
Section 1234—Sense of Congress on the Defense of the Arabian Gulf

SUBTITLE E—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 1241—Report on Posture and Readiness of United States Armed Forces to Respond to Future Terrorist Attacks in Africa and the Middle East
Section 1242—Role of the Government of Egypt to United States National Security
Section 1243—Sense of Congress on Military Developments on the Korean Peninsula
Section 1244—Sense of Congress on Defense Cooperation with Georgia
Section 1246—Additional Reports on Military and Security Developments Involving the Democratic People's Republic of Korea
Section 1250—Congressional Notifications Relating to Status of Forces Agreements
Section 1251—Sense of Congress on the Conflict in Syria
Section 1252—Revision of Statutory References to Former NATO Support Organizations and Related NATO Agreements

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds
Section 1302—Funding Allocations
Section 1303—Extension for Use of Contributions to the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—MILITARY PROGRAMS

Section 1401—Working Capital Funds

Section 1402—National Defense Sealift Fund

Section 1403—Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense

Section 1404—Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide

Section 1405—Defense Inspector General

Section 1406—Defense Health Program

SUBTITLE B—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

Section 1411—Use of National Defense Stockpile for the Conservation of a Strategic and Critical Materials Supply

Section 1412—Authority to Acquire Additional Materials for the National Defense Stockpile

SUBTITLE C—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1423—Cemeterial Expenses

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS

Section 1501—Purpose

Section 1502—Procurement

Section 1503—Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

Section 1504—Operation and Maintenance

Section 1505—Military Personnel

Section 1506—Working Capital Funds

Section 1507—Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide

Section 1508—Defense Inspector General

Section 1509—Defense Health Program

SUBTITLE B—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1521—Treatment as Additional Authorizations

Section 1522—Special Transfer Authority

SUBTITLE C—LIMITATIONS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 1531—Afghanistan Security Forces Fund

Section 1533—Limitation on Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance Support for Operation Observant Compass

TITLE XVI—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1601—Periodic Audits of Contracting Compliance by Inspector General of Department of Defense

Section 1602—Expansion of the Procurement Technical Assistance Program to Advance Small Business Growth

Section 1605—Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS
TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL
PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2861—Repeal of Annual Economic Adjustment Committee Reporting Requirement

TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2901—Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition Project

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL
SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER
AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY
PROGRAMS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 3101—National Nuclear Security Administration

Section 3102—Defense Environmental Cleanup

Section 3103—Other Defense Activities

Section 3104—Energy Security and Assurance

SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 3112—Termination of Department of Energy Employees to Protect National Security

Section 3113—Plan for Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposition of Tank Farm Waste at Hanford Nuclear Reservation

Section 3114—Enhanced Procurement Authority to Manage Supply Chain Risk

Section 3120—Extension of Principles of Pilot Program to Additional Facilities of the Nuclear Security Enterprise

SUBTITLE C—REPORTS

Section 3123—Repeal of Certain Reporting Requirements

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD
LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 3202—Improvements to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

Section 4001—Authorization of Amounts in Funding Tables

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE I—PROCUREMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 101—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize appropriations for Procurement at the levels identified in section 4101 of division D of this Act.

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 201—Authorization of Appropriations

This section would authorize appropriations for research, development, test, and evaluation at the levels identified in section 4201 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM REQUIREMENTS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 218—Long-Range Standoff Weapon Requirement

This section would require the Secretary of the Air Force to develop a follow-on air-launched cruise missile to the AGM-86 that achieves initial operating capability for both conventional and nuclear missions by not later than 2030 and is certified for internal carriage and employment for both conventional and nuclear missions on the next-generation long-range strike bomber by not later than 2034.

Section 220—Evaluation and Assessment of the Distributed Common Ground Station

This section would require that beginning in fiscal year 2015, future budget submissions include separate project codes for each capability component within each program element for each service version of the distributed common ground station. Furthermore, this section would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to conduct an analysis of commercial link analysis tools that could be used to meet the requirements of each of the service versions of the Distributed Common Ground Station program; and if one or more commercial link analysis tools are found to meet the requirements of the program, the responsible service secretary shall initiate a request for proposals.

TITLE III—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS

Section 301—Operation and Maintenance Funding

This section would authorize appropriations for operation and maintenance activities at the levels identified in section 4301 of division D of this Act.

TITLE V—MILITARY PERSONNEL POLICY

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE C—GENERAL SERVICE AUTHORITIES

Section 524—Contents of Transition Assistance Program

This section would amend section 1144 of title 10, United States Code, by requiring information about disability-related employment and education protections to be provided to service members during the transition assistance program. This section would also require any member who plans to use educational assistance entitlements under title 38 to receive instruction on an overview of those entitlements, courses in post-secondary education appropriate for the member and compatible with the member's goals, and how to finance the member's education. Implementation of this section would occur not later than April 1, 2015. In addition, this section would require, within 270 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, that the Secretary of Veterans Affairs submit to the Committees on Veterans' Affairs of the Senate and the House of Representatives and the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives the results of a feasibility study of providing instruction described in subsection (b) of section 1142 of title 10, United States Code, at all overseas locations.

Section 525—Procedures for Judicial Review of Military Personnel Decisions Relating to Correction of Military Records

This section would establish procedures for judicial review for any final decision regarding records correction made under sections 1034(f) or (g) and section 1552 of title 10, United States Code, by requiring the service member to exhaust administrative relief procedures before seeking judicial review for correction of military records or decisions granted by the boards for the correction of military records. Additionally, this section would require that service members be notified of

their right to judicial review and of the statutory time limits associated with judicial review of correction board decisions.

Section 526—Establishment And Use Of Consistent Definition Of Gender-Neutral Occupational Standard For Military Career Designators

This section would amend section 543 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1994 (Public Law 103-160) by adding definitions for gender-neutral occupational standard and military career designator. This section would also consistently apply the gender-neutral occupational standard and military career designator throughout the amended section.

SUBTITLE D—MILITARY JUSTICE AND LEGAL MATTERS, INCLUDING SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVENTION AND RESPONSE

Section 539—Review And Policy Regarding Department of Defense Investigative Practices In Response to Allegations of Sex-Related Offenses

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, within 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to review of the practices of military criminal investigative organizations regarding the investigation of alleged sex-related offenses involving members of the Armed Forces. The review would include an assessment of the extent to which the investigative organizations make a recommendation on whether an allegation of a sex-related offense appears founded or unfounded. This section would also require the Secretary to develop a uniform policy regarding the use of case determinations by the investigative organizations to record the results of the investigation of a sex-related offense. In developing the policy the Secretary shall consider the feasibility of adopting case determination methods used by non-military law enforcement agencies.

Section 540—Uniform Training And Education Programs For Sexual Assault Prevention And Response Program

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to develop a uniform curriculum, to include lesson plans, to ensure that sexual assault prevention and response training and education for members of the Armed Forces are uniform across the Department of Defense.

Section 541—Development Of Selection Criteria For Assignment As Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Program Managers, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, and Sexual Assault Victim Advocates

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to establish selection qualifications for members of the Armed Forces or civilian employees for

assignment to duty as Sexual Assault Response and Prevention Program Managers, Sexual Assault Response Coordinators, and Sexual Assault Victim Advocates.

SUBTITLE G—DEFENSE DEPENDENTS' EDUCATION

Section 573—Treatment of Tuition Payments Received for Virtual Elementary and Secondary Education Component of Department of Defense Education Program

This section would amend section 2164(l) of title 10, United States Code, to allow the Secretary of Defense to retain the tuition payments made by participants in the Department of Defense virtual elementary and secondary education programs. The retained tuition would be used to provide support for the virtual education programs authorized by section 2164(l).

SUBTITLE H—DECORATIONS AND AWARDS

Section 588—Authorization For Award Of The Distinguished-Service Cross To Sergeant First Class Robert F. Keiser For Acts Of Valor During The Korean War

This section would waive the statutory time limitation under section 3144 of title 10, United States Code, to authorize the Secretary of the Army to award the Distinguished Service Cross to Robert F. Keiser, who served in the United States Army during the Korean War. The committee takes this action based on the written confirmation by the Secretary of the Army that the actions of Robert F. Keiser merit the award of the Distinguished Service Cross.

TITLE VI—COMPENSATION AND OTHER PERSONNEL BENEFITS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE B—BONUSES AND SPECIAL AND INCENTIVE PAYS

Section 616—One-Year Extension of Authority to Provide Incentive Pay for Members of Precommissioning Programs Pursuing Foreign Language Proficiency

This section would authorize payment of incentive pay for members of pre-commissioning programs who are pursuing foreign language proficiency.

Section 617—Authority to Provide Bonus to Certain Cadets and Midshipmen Enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps

This section would extend from December 31, 2012, until December 31, 2015, the authority for a student attending the senior Reserve Officers' Training Corps Leaders Course, who executes a written agreement to accept a commission or

an appointment as an Officer of the Armed Forces and to serve on Active Duty, to receive a bonus.

TITLE VII—HEALTH CARE PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE B—HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION

Section 711—Future Availability of TRICARE Prime for Certain Beneficiaries Enrolled in TRICARE Prime

This section would authorize a one time opt-in to TRICARE Prime for beneficiaries who are eligible for TRICARE Prime as of September 30, 2013, provided the beneficiary remains in the same ZIP Code as the ZIP Code the beneficiary resided in at the time of the opt-in, notwithstanding eligibility for enrollment based on the location at which the beneficiary resides.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—ACQUISITION POLICY AND MANAGEMENT

Section 802—Enhanced Transfer of Technology Developed at Department of Defense Laboratories

This section would establish a 5-year pilot program to allow Department of Defense (DOD) laboratories to license DOD-owned intellectual property that may or may not be patented, and to retain associated royalties consistent with existing statutes on patent licensing, section 209 of title 35, United States Code, and royalties, section 3710c of title 15, United States Code.

SUBTITLE B—AMENDMENTS TO GENERAL CONTRACTING AUTHORITIES, PROCEDURES, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 811—Additional Contractor Responsibilities in Regulations Relating to Detection and Avoidance of Counterfeit Electronic Parts

This section would amend section 818 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to provide that the costs associated with the use of counterfeit electronic parts, and the subsequent cost of rework or corrective action that may be required to remedy the use or inclusion of

such parts, are allowable costs under Department of Defense contracts if the counterfeit electronic parts were procured from an original manufacturer or its authorized dealer, or from a trusted supplier.

Section 812—Limitations on Allowable Costs for Contractor Compensation

This section would amend section 2324(e)(1)(P) of title 10, United States Code, to replace the current statutory benchmark compensation formula used to determine the amount of contractor compensation that is considered an allowable cost on a Department of Defense contract. This section would limit additional changes to the current compensation baseline to the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Employment Cost Index (ECI). The Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy has previously set the adjusted compensation benchmark amount for fiscal year 2013 at \$763,209. This section would also make unallowable the entire cost of compensation for the five most-highly compensated employees of a contractor that meets statutory requirements for compliance with cost accounting standards on a Department of Defense contract. This section would exempt small business concerns from such limitation.

The committee believes application of the current formula by Office of Federal Procurement Policy is flawed, as it has resulted in an escalation of \$422,559, or nearly 225 percent, in the 15 years since the compensation cap was established in law. The committee does not believe this escalation reflects the actual adjustments in compensation for defense contractors over this same period due to inflation and other market factors. The committee notes that section 1127 of title 41, United States Code, directs the Administrator for Federal Procurement Policy to "review commercially available surveys of executive compensation and, on the basis of the results of the review, determine a benchmark compensation amount to apply for each fiscal year." The Administrator is also directed to consult with the Director of the Defense Contract Audit Agency and other officials of executive agencies in making the determination. However, rather than using all available data and input from appropriate officials to inform decision-making, it appears that the Administrator has interpreted the requirements of section 1127 to require that the benchmark compensation amount be established at an amount equal to the median amount of the total compensation (total amount of wages, salary, bonuses and deferred compensation) accrued over a 12-month period for the top five highest paid employees in management positions at each home office and each segment of publicly traded U.S. companies with annual sales over \$50.0 million. According to the Congressional Budget Office, if the current approach remains in place the compensation cap could be raised to as high as \$1.6 million by fiscal year 2020.

Section 813—Inclusion of Additional Cost Estimate Information in Certain Reports

This section would amend section 2432 of title 10, United States Code, to require that the program's baseline cost estimate, along with the associated risk curve and sensitivity of that estimate be provided in the quarterly selected

acquisition reports. In addition, this section would require that the reports include the current point estimate bounded by the the low-end and high-end estimates and the associated sensitivity of those estimates, and identification of the primary risk parameters associated with that point estimate. Furthermore, this section would require reporting of estimated termination liability remaining on the contract. Finally, this section would amend section 2334(f) of title 10, United States Code, to require the Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, to review the information required by this section and to include trend information, a summary of findings and recommendations to improve the cost estimates of the Department of Defense in the annual report to Congress on cost assessment activities.

SUBTITLE C—PROVISIONS RELATING TO CONTRACTS IN SUPPORT OF CONTINGENCY
OPERATIONS IN IRAQ OR AFGHANISTAN

Section 821—Amendments Relating to Prohibition on Contracting with the Enemy

This section would amend section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), regarding the authority of the Secretary of Defense to void a contract upon a determination that a foreign entity performing on the contract is engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners, to:

- (1) Lower the threshold for covered contracts from \$0.1 million to \$0.05 million;
- (2) Provide the authority to certain other geographic combatant commands during a contingency operation as defined by section 101(a)(13) of title 10, United States Code; and
- (3) Make the authority permanent.

Section 822—Collection of Data Relating to Contracts in Iraq and Afghanistan

This section would amend section 861 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), as amended, to allow for imposition of a penalty on any contractor that does not comply with the policies, guidance or regulations issued pursuant to that section. This section would also amend section 863 of Public Law 110-181 to require that the Annual Joint Report on Contracting in Iraq and Afghanistan include information on any penalties imposed on contractors for failing to comply with requirements under section 861(e) of Public Law 110-181.

SUBTITLE D—OTHER MATTERS

Section 831—Extension of Pilot Program on Acquisition of Military Purpose Non-
Developmental Items

This section would amend section 866 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) by extending the program authority to December 31, 2019.

In February 2013, the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics reported to the congressional defense committees that the pilot program for acquisition of military purpose non-developmental items (MPNDI) had not been used even though the Secretary of Defense implemented it through the Defense Federal Acquisition Supplement in June 2011. The committee is aware that there may be confusion about the requirement in section 866 of Public Law 111-383 for a contract under the MPNDI pilot program to be awarded using competitive procedures in accordance with chapter 137 of title 10, United States Code. The committee believes that section 866 of Public Law 111-383 is clear that any exception to competition requirements provided in the Competition in Contracting Act (10 U.S.C. 2304) applies to MPNDI acquisitions because section 2304(c) is part of chapter 137. However, the committee is concerned that the program has been implemented in a manner that either discourages use of the MPNDI authority, or infers that section 2304(c) of title 10, United States Code, does not apply. The committee encourages the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to review the MPNDI implementation guidance and to clarify that the standard exceptions to competition may be used as appropriate.

The committee is disappointed that the Department of Defense has not yet utilized the authorities provided by section 866 of Public Law 111-383, and it continues to believe that, if implemented, the MPNDI program could improve rapid acquisitions of privately-developed, militarily-useful items. This potential for improvement includes prospects for reducing costs, eliminating developmental periods, and expanding the industrial base by including non-traditional contractors.

Section 832—Extension of Authority to Acquire Products and Services Produced in Countries Along a Major Route of Supply to Afghanistan

This section would amend section 801 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84), as amended by section 841 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), by extending the authority to acquire products and services produced in countries along a major route of supply in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan through December 31, 2015.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE MANAGEMENT

Section 901—Redesignation of the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps

This section would re-designate the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and the Marine Corps and change the title of its secretary to the Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps. This section would formally recognize the responsibility of the Office of the Secretary of the Navy over both the Navy and Marine Corps and the Marine Corps' status as an equal partner with the Navy.

SUBTITLE C—DEFENSE INTELLIGENCE AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED MATTERS

Section 924—Prohibition on National Intelligence Program Consolidation

This section would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any of the funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise available to the Department of Defense to be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, to execute: the separation of the portion of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program from the rest of the Department of Defense budget; the consolidation of the portion of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program within the Department of Defense budget; or the establishment of a new appropriations account or appropriations account structure for such funds.

This section would also require the Secretary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence to jointly brief the congressional defense and intelligence committees not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act on any planning relating to future execution that has occurred during the past two years and any anticipated future planning and related efforts.

The committee is concerned that the executive branch has failed to notify the appropriate congressional committees about its continuing efforts to pursue consolidation of the portion of the Department of Defense budget designated as part of the National Intelligence Program.

SUBTITLE D—CYBERSPACE-RELATED MATTERS

Section 932—Defense Science Board assessment of United States Cyber Command

This section would require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense and the Inspector General of the Intelligence Community to conduct an independent assessment of the organization, missions, and authorities of U.S. Cyber Command.

Section 934—Notification of Investigations on Compromise of Critical Program Information

This section would require that the Secretary of Defense provide written notification to the congressional defense committees within 30 days of the initiation of any investigations carried out related to the potential compromise of Department of Defense critical program information related to weapon systems and other developmental activities, and within 30 days of the completion of any such investigations. Additionally, this section would require a report to be submitted to the congressional defense committees within 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, on all of the known network cyber intrusions from January 1, 2000, until August 1, 2013, resulting in compromise of critical program information.

Elsewhere in this report, the committee would require the Secretary to provide a briefing on related information.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1001—General Transfer Authority

This section would allow the Secretary of Defense to make transfers between any amounts of authorizations for fiscal year 2014 in division A of this Act. This section would limit the total amount transferred under this authority to \$3.5 billion. This section would also require prompt notification to Congress of each transfer made.

Section 1002—Budgetary Effects of This Act

This section would specify that the budgetary effects of this Act for purposes of the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010 (Public Law 111-139) will be determined by reference to a statement submitted for printing in the Congressional Record by the chairman of the House Committee on the Budget.

Section 1003—Audit of Department of Defense Fiscal Year 2018 Financial Statements

This section would express the sense of Congress regarding the Department of Defense's ongoing Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness process and support the goal of audit readiness across the Department by 2017. This section would also require that a full and complete audit takes place for fiscal year 2018.

Section 1004—Authority to Transfer Funds to the National Nuclear Security Administration to Sustain Nuclear Weapons Modernization

This section would provide the Secretary of Defense the authority to transfer up to \$150.0 million to the nuclear weapons program of the National Nuclear Security Administration if the amount authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for that program is less than \$8.4 billion (the amount specified for fiscal year 2014 in the report required by section 1251 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84)).

SUBTITLE B—COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Section 1011—Extension of Authority to Support Unified Counter-drug and Counterterrorism Campaign in Colombia

This section would extend, by 1 year, the unified counter-drug and counterterrorism campaign in the Republic of Colombia originally authorized by section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law 108-375), and most recently amended by section 1013 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239).

Section 1012—Extension of Authority for Joint Task Forces to Provide Support to Law Enforcement Agencies Conducting Counterterrorism Activities

This section would extend, by 1 year, the support for joint task forces to support law enforcement agencies conducting counterterrorism activities, as originally authorized by section 1022(b) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108-136), and most recently amended by section 1014 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239).

Section 1013—Two-Year Extension of Authority to Provide Additional Support for Counter-drug Activities of Certain Foreign Governments

This section would extend, by 2 years, the authority to provide support for counter-drug activities of certain foreign governments, originally authorized by subsection (a)(2) of section 1033 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105-85), and most recently amended by section 1006 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81).

SUBTITLE C—NAVAL VESSELS AND SHIPYARDS

Section 1022—Availability of Funds for Retirement or Inactivation of Ticonderoga Class Cruisers or Dock Landing Ships

This section would limit the obligation and expenditure of funds authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 for the

retirement, inactivation, or storage of a cruiser or dock landing ship. This section would provide an exception for the retirement of the U.S.S. Denver (LPD 9).

This section would further provide for transfer authority for the purpose of providing sufficient appropriations to support the modernization of seven cruisers. If requested by the Secretary of Defense, the committee believes the following transfers should be included: OPN Line 0960, \$662.7 million; OPN Line 2312, \$1.8 million; OPN Line 2360, \$6.6 million; OPN Line 2915, \$13.7 million; OPN Line 3050, \$13.4 million; OPN Line 3216, \$20.8 million; OPN Line 5530, \$4.6 million; WPN Line 4223, \$91.1 million; and RDTE Line 1447, \$100.0 million. The total transfer authority is \$914.7 million.

SUBTITLE D—COUNTERTERRORISM

Section 1031—Clarification of Procedures for Use of Alternate Members on Military Commissions

This section would clarify procedures for use of alternate members of military commissions.

Section 1033—Prohibition on Use of Funds To Construct or Modify Facilities in the United States To House Detainees Transferred from United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

This section would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any of the funds available to the Department of Defense during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, to modify or construct any facility in the United States, its territories, or possessions to house any detainee transferred from U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, for the purposes of detention or imprisonment in the custody or under the effective control of the Department of Defense.

Section 1034—Requirements for Certifications Relating to the Transfer of Detainees at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to Foreign Countries and Other Foreign Entities

This section would prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any of the funds available to the Department of Defense (DOD) to transfer or release, beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, individuals detained at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to or within a foreign country or any other foreign entity. This prohibition would apply unless the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State and in consultation with the Director of National Intelligence, provides a written certification to Congress addressing several requirements at least 30 days prior to the transfer of any such individual.

This section would also prohibit the Secretary of Defense from using any funds for the transfer of any such individual to the custody or effective control of a foreign country or any other foreign entity if there is a confirmed case of any individual transferred from U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to the same country or entity who engaged in terrorist activity subsequent to their transfer.

This section would allow the Secretary of Defense to waive the general prohibition against transfers to a foreign country where there has been a confirmed case of recidivism as well as two of the requirements for other transfers. In these instances, the Secretary of Defense must determine that alternative actions will be taken, that it is not possible to certify the risks have been completely eliminated, and that actions taken will substantially mitigate the risk of recidivism.

This section would require, in the event the Secretary of Defense uses the waiver, that he provide a report that includes a copy of the waiver, determination, a statement of the basis for the determination, a summary of the alternative actions to be taken, and information on the detainee's record of cooperation while in DOD custody and any agreements in place to provide for the detainee's continuing cooperation after transfer.

This section would also authorize the Secretary, for purposes of assessing the risk that a detainee will engage in terrorist activity if released for either a certification or national security waiver, to give favorable consideration to any detainee who has cooperated with U.S. intelligence and law enforcement authorities pursuant to a pre-trial agreement while in Department of Defense custody, and for whom appropriate agreements and mechanisms are in place to provide for continued cooperation with U.S. intelligence and law enforcement authorities following transfer.

Section 1035—Prohibition on the Use Of Funds for the Transfer or Release of Individuals Detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba

This section would prohibit the use of any amounts authorized to be appropriated or otherwise made available to the Department of Defense to be used during the period beginning on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014, to transfer or release detainees at U.S. Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, to or within the United States, its territories, or possessions.

Section 1036—Clarification with Respect to the Applicability of Consultation Requirement Regarding Prosecution of Terrorists

This section would clarify the applicability of the consultation requirement in section 1029 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81).

Section 1037—Unclassified Summary of Information Relating to Individuals Detained at Parwan, Afghanistan

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to make publicly available an unclassified summary relating to individuals detained by the Department of Defense at the Detention Facility at Parwan, Afghanistan pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note) at any time during the past 2 years who have been determined to represent an "enduring security threat" to the United States.

Section 1038—Assessment of Affiliates and Adherents of Al-Qaeda Outside the United States

This section would require an assessment to be conducted by the President, acting through the Secretary of Defense, of: any group operating outside the United States that is an affiliate or adherent of, or otherwise related to, Al Qaeda; a summary of relevant information relating to each such group; an assessment of whether each group is part of or substantially supporting Al Qaeda or the Taliban, or constitutes an associated force that is engaged in hostilities against the United States or its coalition partners; and the criteria used to determine the nature and extent of each group's relationship to Al Qaeda. The assessment would be required to be submitted to the congressional defense committees within 120 days after the date of the enactment of this Act.

SUBTITLE E—SENSITIVE MILITARY OPERATIONS

Section 1041—Congressional Notification of Sensitive Military Operations

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to promptly submit to the congressional defense committees notice in writing of any sensitive military operation following such operation. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to establish procedures not later than 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act for providing such notice in a manner consistent with the national security of the United States and the protection of operational integrity.

The term "sensitive military operation" would include lethal and capture operations conducted by the U.S. Armed Forces outside of the United States pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force (Public Law 107-40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note) or any other authority except a declaration of war or a specific statutory authorization for the use of force other than the 2001 authorization.

This section is not intended to create or alter reporting requirements of any other agency or department outside of the Department of Defense.

Section 1042—Report on Process for Determining Targets of Lethal Operations

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report within 60 days after the date of the enactment of this Act containing an explanation of the legal and policy considerations and approval processes used in determining whether an individual or group of individuals could be the target of a lethal operation or capture operation conducted by the Armed Forces of the United States outside the United States.

Section 1043—Counterterrorism Operational Briefings

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly briefings to the congressional defense committees outlining Department of Defense counterterrorism operations and related activities. Each briefing would include: a global update on activity within each geographic combatant command; an overview of authorities and legal issues including limitations; an outline of interagency activities and initiatives; and any other matters the Secretary considers appropriate.

SUBTITLE G—MISCELLANEOUS AUTHORITIES AND LIMITATIONS

Section 1063—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Modification of Force Structure of the Army

This section would prevent the Department of the Army from spending any fiscal year 2014 funds to modify the force structure or basing strategy of the Army until the Secretary of the Army submits to Congress the report on force structure required by section 1066 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239; 126 Stat. 1943).

Section 1064—Limitation on Use of Funds for Public-Private Cooperation Activities

This section would prohibit the Department of Defense from obligating or expending any funds for public-private cooperation (PPC) activities undertaken by a combatant command until the Secretary of Defense submits the report on the conclusions of the Defense Business Board as directed in the committee report (H. Rept. 112-479) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013.

The committee is aware that the Defense Business Board completed its report on the Department's public-private cooperation activities in July 2012. The Defense Business Board report found "the single most frequently cited issue preventing the advancement of PPCs is the absence (actual or perceived) of legal authority." The committee is concerned about the lack of guidance for planning, supporting, or executing a PPC activity. The committee recognizes the value of PPC activities, particularly if they can effectively and efficiently leverage the resources of civil society and private entities to maximize Department of Defense activities. However, the committee believes it is important that the Department work with

Congress to provide supporting doctrine, clear policy, and the proper authorities for the successful planning and execution of PPC activities.

SUBTITLE H—STUDIES AND REPORTS

Section 1071—Oversight of Combat Support Agencies

This section would require that assessments of combat support agencies undertaken pursuant to section 193(a) of title 10, United States Code, be submitted to the congressional defense committees.

Section 1073—Extension of Deadline for Comptroller General Report on Assignment of Civilian Employees of the Department of Defense as Advisors to Foreign Ministries of Defense

This section would modify section 1081 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), to extend the deadline for the required report of the Comptroller General of the United States from December 30, 2013, to December 30, 2014.

The committee understands that the Department of Defense will not deploy its first global Ministry of Defense Advisor (MODA) until June 2013. Therefore, the committee believes additional time is needed for the Government Accountability Office to thoroughly review and report on the effectiveness of the MODA program.

Section 1074—Repeal of Requirement for Comptroller General Assessment of Department of Defense Efficiencies

This section would repeal section 1054 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), relating to the implementation of the efficiencies undertaken in 2010 by then-Secretary of Defense Robert Gates.

The committee notes that the Comptroller General of the United States recommended that Government Accountability Office resources might be better used to address other congressional priorities, as any future reports on 2011 and 2012 efficiencies implementation would provide little additive information to the data that has already been reported. Moreover, the committee believes that while there was merit in ensuring that the efficiencies identified in 2010 were actually generating the savings projected, the effort has been overtaken by events, including the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Public Law 112-25) and the implementation of sequestration starting in March 2013, which would make it difficult to ascertain whether savings were the result of the 2010 effort or some other cause.

Section 1075—Matters for Inclusion in the Assessment of the 2013 Quadrennial Defense Review

This section would require the National Defense Panel (NDP) established pursuant to subsection 118(f) of title 10, United States Code, to review a recommendation of the Quadrennial Defense Review Independent Panel (QDRIP), which conducted an assessment of the 2009 quadrennial defense review. The members of the QDRIP found that there was insufficient top down guidance on priorities, roles, and missions to allow the Department of Defense to effectively plan its missions, structure, or resources, or to develop integration and coordination with other departments and agencies. Appendix 4 of the report of the QDRIP recommended the establishment of an independent strategic review panel to review the national security strategic environment of the next 20 years and provide prioritized goal and risk assessment guidance for use by the U.S. Government. The committee believes the concerns of the QDRIP are well founded, but seeks the advice of the current NDP regarding this recommendation, in light of the release of several strategic planning documents since the conclusion of the QDRIP.

In addition, this section would require the NDP to incorporate the assumptions and the findings of the Strategic Choices and Management Review (SCMR), directed by the Secretary of Defense during calendar year 2013, into its assessment of the Quadrennial Defense Review conducted during calendar year 2013. Furthermore, this section would require the Secretary to make information about both the 2013 quadrennial defense review and the SCMR available to the NDP in the conduct of its assessment.

Finally, although the committee commends the effort underway in the SCMR, elsewhere in this report, the committee notes that such an effort may be duplicative of reviews mandated within title 10, United States Code. The committee encourages the Secretary to ensure that the SCMR, or any other self-initiated, short-term review, does not replace the thorough, long-term strategic assessment that should be conducted as part of the 2013 quadrennial defense review. Likewise, the committee believes the Secretary of Defense would benefit from the independent counsel of the NDP. However, the committee is disappointed that the Secretary has not appointed the remaining members of the NDP, as required, by February 1, 2013, and encourages the Secretary to appoint the remaining members of the NDP.

Section 1077—Reports on Unmanned Aircraft Systems

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, the Secretary of Transportation, the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration, and the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration, on behalf of the Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) Executive Committee, to jointly submit a report on unmanned aircraft system collaboration, demonstration, use cases and data sharing to the appropriate committees of Congress within 90 days after the date of the enactment of this Act. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense, on behalf of the UAS Executive Committee, to submit a report to the appropriate committees of Congress setting forth the resource requirements needed

to meet the milestones for unmanned aircraft systems integration described in the 5-year roadmap under section 332(a)(5) of the FAA Modernization and Reform Act (Public Law 112-95).

SUBTITLE I—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1081—Technical and Clerical Amendments

This section would make a number of technical and clerical amendments of a non-substantive nature to existing law.

Section 1082—Transportation of Supplies for the United States by Aircraft Operated by United States Air Carriers

This section would modify section 2631a, chapter 157 of title 10, United States Code, to provide a preference for Civil Reserve Air Fleet aircraft for the transportation of Department of Defense supplies. This section would also require the Department of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees regarding outsize and oversize cargo. Finally, this section would amend chapter 401 of title 49, United States Code, to direct at least 50 percent of the gross tonnage of the equipment, materials, or commodities that are procured, contracted or subcontracted for by the U.S. Government, except the Department of Defense, be transported by the Civil Reserve Air Fleet.

Section 1085—Revision of Compensation of Members of the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force

This section would enable parity for compensation and ethics workday computations by decreasing and making optional the annual compensation rate for commissioners appointed to the National Commission on the Structure of the Air Force that was established in subtitle G of title III of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239).

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—ASSISTANCE AND TRAINING

Section 1201—Modification and Extension of Authorities Relating to Program to Build the Capacity of Foreign Military Forces

This section would modify subsection 1206(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006 (Public Law 109-163), as most recently amended by section 1206 of the Duncan Hunter National Defense Authorization Act

for Fiscal Year 2009 (Public Law 110–417), regarding the program to build the capacity of foreign military forces. This section would broaden the authority to include support of the theater security priorities of a geographic combatant commander. The committee expects that the additional activities under this new authority will be directly tied to a combatant command's Theater Security Cooperation Plan and should be narrowly defined to key theater security priorities that are directly linked to U.S. national security interests. The committee will closely consider the strength of this linkage during the congressional notification process.

This section would also authorize the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to build the capacity of a foreign country's security forces to conduct counterterrorism operations. The committee recognizes that in certain countries, the counterterrorism unit is not located with the Ministry of Defense. However, the committee expects this authority to be used sparingly when it is clear that these forces are the most suitable for the task.

This section would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit as part of the budget justification materials, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission, a detailed description of how the Department of Defense intends to spend not less than 50 percent of the funds authorized for that fiscal year. This description may take the form of the current congressional notification for individual projects. The committee believes that this would provide improved congressional oversight and public notice of the combatant command proposed programs, insight into how the programs support the combatant commanders' theater security plans, and balance both predictability for the combatant commands and flexibility for the Secretary of Defense to respond to emerging requirements.

This section would raise the limit of funds available from operation and maintenance accounts from \$350.0 million per fiscal year to \$425.0 million per fiscal year, and extend the termination of the program from September 30, 2014, to September 30, 2016.

The committee believes the expansion of this authority meets the current and emerging needs of the combatant commanders. As stated in the committee report (H. Rept. 112-479) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, the committee remains “concerned that the proliferation of similar, overlapping and/or competing building partner capacity authorities creates unnecessary confusion and friction.” Therefore, this section would also repeal section 1203 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239).

Section 1203—Global Security Contingency Fund

This section would modify the notification requirements of the Global Security Contingency Fund (GSCF), including the required process and guidance notification.

The committee is aware of a legislative proposal submitted by the Department of Defense requesting that "professional guidance and advice" be added as an authorized type of assistance. The committee believes that "professional guidance and advice" are logical supporting components of the provision of equipment, supplies, and training, and therefore covered under current authorized types of assistance.

The committee remains concerned about the progress of the GSCF, including the development of what appears to be a burdensome bureaucratic process. The committee notes the GSCF authority expires on September 30, 2015. The committee believes that if the Department of Defense and the Department of State cannot successfully establish GSCF with full operational capability, including planning, executing, and assessing GSCF activities, then it may be necessary to terminate or allow this authority to expire.

Section 1204—Codification of National Guard State Partnership Program

This section would codify the National Guard State Partnership Program in chapter 1 of title 32, United States Code.

Section 1205—Authority to Conduct Activities to Enhance the Capability of Certain Foreign Countries to Respond to Incidents Involving Weapons of Mass Destruction in Syria and the Region

This section would authorize the Secretary of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State, to provide assistance to the military and civilian response organizations of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, the State of Kuwait, the Kingdom of Bahrain, the United Arab Emirates, the Republic of Iraq, the Republic of Turkey, and other countries in the region of the Syrian Arab Republic in order for such countries to respond effectively to incidents involving weapons of mass destruction in Syria and the region. The Secretary may use up to \$4.0 million of the funds made available to the Department of Defense for operation and maintenance to carry out this program.

This section would also require, not later than 60 days after the date on which this authority is first exercised and annually thereafter through December 31, 2015, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit:

- (1) A detailed description by country of assistance provided.
- (2) An overview of how such assistance fits into, and is coordinated with, other United States efforts to build the capability and capacity of countries in the region of Syria to counter the threat of weapons of mass destruction in Syria and the region.
- (3) A listing of equipment and supplies provided to countries in the region of Syria.
- (4) Any other matters the Secretary of Defense and the Secretary of State determine appropriate.

The authority provided by this section would expire on September 30, 2015.

Section 1206—One-Year Extension of Authority to Support Foreign Forces Participating in Operations to Disarm the Lord's Resistance Army

This section would modify section 1206 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81) to extend the Counter Lord's Resistance Army authority and funding, which supports Operation Observant Compass, for 1 year through the end of fiscal year 2014.

Elsewhere in this Act, the committee would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report on metrics and objectives associated with Operation Observant Compass, and recommends \$50.0 million in intelligence, reconnaissance, and surveillance (ISR) support for the operation. In addition, the committee includes a provision in title XV of this Act that would limit the obligation or expenditure of amounts authorized to be appropriated for the ISR support for Operation Observant Compass until the committee receives the specified report.

SUBTITLE B—MATTERS RELATING TO IRAQ, AFGHANISTAN, AND PAKISTAN

Section 1211—One-Year Extension and Modification of Authority for Reimbursement of Certain Coalition Nations for Support Provided to United States Military Operations

This section would amend section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), as most recently amended by section 1227 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), by extending the authority for reimbursement of coalition nations for support provided to the United States for military operations through fiscal year 2014, and making certain technical amendments. This section would limit the amounts available for fiscal year 2014 to \$1.5 billion.

Additionally, this section would prohibit the reimbursement of support provided by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan until such time as the Secretary of Defense certifies to the congressional defense committees that Pakistan is maintaining security and is not, through its actions or inaction at any level of government, limiting or otherwise restricting the movement of U.S. equipment and supplies along the Ground Lines of Communication through Pakistan, and that Pakistan is taking demonstrable steps to (1) support counterterrorism operations against Al Qaeda, Tehrik-i-Taliban Pakistan, and other militant groups such as the Haqqani Network and the Quetta Shura Taliban; (2) disrupt the conduct of cross-border attacks against U.S., coalition, and Afghan security forces; (3) counter the threat of improvised explosive device networks; and (4) conduct cross-border coordination and communication with Afghan security forces and U.S. Armed Forces.

Section 1212—One-Year Extension of Authority to Use Funds for Reintegration Activities in Afghanistan

This section would amend section 1216 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383), as most recently amended by section 1218 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), by extending the authority to use funds for reintegration activities in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan and authorizing \$25.0 million for fiscal year 2014.

Elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this section can be obligated or expended until 15 days after the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, certifies to the congressional defense committees, the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations that the United States and the Government of Afghanistan have signed a bilateral security agreement and that such agreement includes certain specified requirements.

Section 1213—Extension of Commanders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan

This section would amend section 1201 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), as amended by section 1221 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), by extending for 1 year the Commanders' Emergency Response Program (CERP) in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan and authorizing \$60.0 million for fiscal year 2014.

Elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of \$45.0 million of CERP funding until 15 days after the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, certifies to the congressional defense committees, the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations that the United States and the Government of Afghanistan have signed a bilateral security agreement and that such agreement includes certain specified requirements.

Section 1214—Extension of Authority to Support Operations and Activities of the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq

This section would amend section 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-81), as amended by section 1211 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), by specifying that the Secretary of Defense, with concurrence of the Secretary of State, may use funds provided to the Office of Security Cooperation in Iraq (OSC-I) to conduct non-operational training of Iraqi Ministry of Defense personnel in an institutional environment to address capability gaps and integrate certain processes within the Iraqi security forces. This section would preclude

training of Counter Terrorism Service personnel. This section would also limit the total funding authorized for operations and activities for OSC-I to \$209.0 million in fiscal year 2014.

Section 1215—One-Year Extension and Modification of Authority for Program to Develop and Carry Out Infrastructure Projects in Afghanistan

This section would amend subsection (f) of section 1217 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383), as most recently amended by section 1219 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), by extending the authority for a program to develop and carry out infrastructure projects in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan for 1 year and authorizing \$279.0 million for fiscal year 2014. This section also includes an additional element which would require an assessment of the capability of the Afghan National Security Forces to provide security for projects funded by this authority after January 1, 2015.

Elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would none of the funds authorized to be appropriated by this section can be obligated or expended until 15 days after the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, certifies to the congressional defense committees, the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations that the United States and the Government of Afghanistan have signed a bilateral security agreement and that such agreement includes certain specified requirements.

Section 1216—Special Immigrant Visas for Certain Iraqi and Afghan Allies

This section would amend section 602(b) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8 U.S.C. 1101 note) by requiring that a principal alien seeking special immigrant status must apply for approval from the appropriate Chief of Mission, or the designee of the appropriate Chief of Mission, by not later than September 30, 2015. Also, the total number of principal aliens who may be provided special immigrant status under this section may not exceed 435 for each of fiscal years 2014-18.

Additionally, this section would amend section 1244(a)(1) of the Refugee Crisis in Iraq Act of 2007 (8 U.S.C. 1157 note) by authorizing the Secretary of Homeland Security, or, notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of State, in consultation with the Secretary of Homeland Security, to provide an alien described in section 1244(b) with the status of a special immigrant under section 101(a)(27) of the Immigration and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1101(a)(27)), if the alien or an agent acting on behalf of the alien submits a petition for classification under section 203(b)(4) of such Act (8 U.S.C. 1153(b)(4)) on or before the date of the enactment of this Act.

SUBTITLE C—MATTERS RELATING TO AFGHANISTAN POST 2014

Section 1221—Modification of Report on Progress Toward Security and Stability in Afghanistan

This section would amend the report required by section 1230 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), as most recently amended by section 1214 of the National Defense Authorization Act of Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), to require the Secretary of Defense, in all future reports, to provide information on the redeployment of U.S. personnel, redeployment of U.S. military vehicles and equipment, and the closure of U.S. bases in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. Additionally, this section would require a summary of tasks and functions conducted by the U.S. Armed Forces or the Department of Defense that have been transferred to other U.S. Government departments and agencies, Afghan Government ministries and agencies, other foreign governments, or nongovernmental organizations; or tasks that have been discontinued during the reporting period. The summary shall include a discussion of the formal and informal arrangements and working groups that have been established to coordinate and execute the transfer of such tasks and functions.

Section 1222—Sense of Congress on United States Military Support in Afghanistan

This section would express the sense of Congress on U.S. military support in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, including that a stable and secure Afghanistan is in the long-term interests of the United States; the United States should continue to assist the Afghan National Security Forces; the United States should continue to disrupt, dismantle, and defeat Al Qaeda following the duration of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization's mission on December 31, 2014; the United States should provide assistance for the operational requirements of the Afghan Security Forces to maintain the security of Afghanistan; the transition to counterterrorism and advise and assist missions should occur consistent with agreements between the United States, Afghanistan, and international partners; and the bilateral security agreement between the United States and the Government of Afghanistan is critical to the long-term stability of Afghanistan as well as U.S. interests. Additionally, this section would express the sense of Congress that the President, consistent with U.S. interests, should:

- (1) Publicly support a residual U.S. military presence in Afghanistan;
- (2) Publicly define the mission sets and support the United States will provide to the Afghan National Security Forces; and
- (3) Publicly support sufficient funding for the Afghan National Security Forces.

Section 1224—Limitation on Availability of Funds for Certain Authorities for Afghanistan

This section would require that none of the funds authorized to be appropriated for the Authority for the Program to Develop and Carry Out

Infrastructure Projects in Afghanistan and the Authority to Use Funds for Reintegration Activities in Afghanistan be obligated or expended until 15 days after the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, transmits to the specified committees a certification regarding the Bilateral Security Agreement (BSA) between the United States and the Government of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan.

Additionally, this section would prohibit the obligation or expenditure of \$45.0 million of the funds authorized to be appropriated for the Commander's Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan and \$2.6 billion of the funds authorized to be appropriated for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, respectively, until 15 days after the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, transmits to the specified committees the certification regarding the BSA between the United States and the Afghanistan.

This section would require that such certification include a confirmation that the United States and Afghanistan have signed a BSA that:

(1) Protects the Department of Defense, its military and civilian personnel, and contractors from liability to pay any tax, or similar charge, associated with efforts to carry out missions in the territory of Afghanistan that have been agreed to by both the Government of the United States and the Government of Afghanistan;

(2) Ensures exclusive jurisdiction for the United States over U.S. Armed Forces located in Afghanistan;

(3) Ensures that there is no infringement on the right of self-defense of the U.S. military mission or U.S. military personnel in Afghanistan;

(4) Ensures that the U.S. military in Afghanistan is permitted to take the efforts deemed necessary to protect other U.S. Government offices and personnel in Afghanistan as may be required;

(5) Ensures that the U.S. military mission in Afghanistan has sufficient access to bases and basing rights as may be necessary to carry out the activities in Afghanistan that the President has assigned to the military; and

(6) Ensures that the United States has the freedom of movement to carry out those military missions as may be required to continue the effort to defeat Al Qaeda and its associated forces.

The committee reiterates its concerns about illegal taxation of U.S. assistance in Afghanistan, as reflected in the committee report (H. Rept. 112-78) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, and notes that U.S. law forbids the taxing of U.S. Government assistance.

SUBTITLE D—MATTERS RELATING TO IRAN

Section 1232—Report on United States Military Partnership with Gulf Cooperation Council Countries

This section would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees, within 90 days after the date of the

enactment of this Act, on the United States military partnership with the Gulf Cooperation Council countries.

Section 1233—Additional Elements in Annual Report on Military Power of Iran

This section would amend section 1245 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111-84), as amended by section 1231 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), by requiring the Secretary of Defense to provide information on the global Iranian Threat Network and how the Iranian Threat Network reinforces the grand strategy of the Islamic Republic of Iran. Additionally, this section would require the Secretary of Defense to provide a list of gaps in intelligence and to prioritize those gaps by operational need.

Section 1234—Sense of Congress on the Defense of the Arabian Gulf

This section would express the sense of Congress with respect to the United States' operational posture and capacity to defend the Arabian Gulf, including the risk of maintaining only 1 aircraft carrier battle group in the Arabian Gulf to deter the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and the limitations on maintaining a 2 aircraft carrier battle group presence in the Arabian Gulf, stemming in part from not constructing and sustaining a fleet of at least 11 aircraft carriers. Additionally, this section would express the sense of Congress that the United States should finalize bilateral security agreements with key Gulf Cooperation Council countries that support the Defense of the Arabian Gulf requirements at the earliest possible date.

SUBTITLE E—REPORTS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 1241—Report on Posture and Readiness of United States Armed Forces to Respond to Future Terrorist Attacks in Africa and the Middle East

This section would express the sense of Congress regarding the terrorist attack that occurred in Benghazi, Libya, on September 11, 2012, and the alert status, readiness, and posture of the U.S. Armed Forces to respond to future terrorist attacks in Africa and the Middle East.

This section also would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff, to submit a report, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to the Senate Committee on Armed Services, the House Committee on Armed Services, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and the House Committee on Foreign Relations, that assesses the terrorist groups that threaten the United States in Africa and a description of the readiness, posture, and alert status of relevant U.S. Armed Forces in Europe, the Middle East, Africa, and the United States; and any changes implemented since the terrorist attack in Benghazi, Libya. Additionally, this section would require the

Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to include in this report a description of any new or modified requirements of the Department of State for U.S. Marine Security Guard Detachments, any other Department of Defense assets to provide enhanced security at Department of State facilities, and an explanation of how any new requirements for U.S. Marine Security Detachments or other Department of Defense assets affect the capacity of the U.S. Armed Forces to fulfill Department of Defense operational requirements.

Section 1242—Role of the Government of Egypt to United States National Security

This section would express the sense of Congress on the role of the Government of Egypt to U.S. national security. This section would also require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit a report, not later than 180 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, to the Senate Committee on Armed Services, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, the House Committee on Armed Services, and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, that contains a comprehensive plan for U.S. military assistance and cooperation with the Government of Egypt.

Section 1243—Sense of Congress on Military Developments on the Korean Peninsula

This section would express certain findings and the sense of the Congress regarding the military developments on the Korean peninsula.

Section 1244—Sense of Congress on Defense Cooperation with Georgia

This section would express the sense of Congress that the United States should enhance its defense cooperation efforts with the country of Georgia and support the efforts of the Government of Georgia to provide for the defense of its government, people, and sovereign territory.

Section 1246—Additional Reports on Military and Security Developments Involving the Democratic People's Republic of Korea

This section would amend the report on Military and Security Developments Involving the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, as originally required by section 1236 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81), to require the Secretary of Defense to submit the report every 2 years beginning on November 1, 2013, through November 1, 2017. The section would also require the Secretary of Defense to submit an update to the report if, in the Secretary of Defense's estimation, interim events or developments occurring during the 2-year period between reports require an update.

Section 1250—Congressional Notifications Relating to Status of Forces Agreements

This section would require the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to notify the congressional defense committees, and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs and the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, not later than 15 days after the date on which a Status of Forces Agreement between the United States and a foreign nation is signed, renewed, amended or otherwise revised, or terminated. This section would apply to such agreements that are signed on or after the date of the enactment of this Act.

Elsewhere in this report, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, not later than September 30, 2013, to provide a briefing on the status of any forthcoming or on-going Status of Force Agreement negotiations.

Section 1251—Sense of Congress on the Conflict in Syria

This section would set forth certain findings and express the sense of Congress on the conflict in the Syrian Arab Republic, including that the President of the United States should fully consider all courses of action to remove President Bashar al-Assad from power; the President of the United States should fully consider all courses of action to reinforce the stated "redline" regarding the use of chemical weapons; the United States should continue to conduct rigorous planning and operational preparation to secure the chemical stockpiles and associated weapons in Syria; the United States should continue to support the opposition as necessary; and the United States should have a policy that supports the stability of countries in the region of Syria.

Section 1252—Revision of Statutory References to Former NATO Support Organizations and Related NATO Agreements

This section would revise two statutes to reflect the new North Atlantic Treaty Organization organizational structure, which became effective in July 2012.

TITLE XIII—COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1301—Specification of Cooperative Threat Reduction Programs and Funds

This section would define the programs and funds that are Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) programs and funds as those authorized to be appropriated in section 301 of this Act, and specify that CTR funds shall remain available for obligation for 3 fiscal years.

Section 1302—Funding Allocations

This section would allocate specific amounts for each program under the Department of Defense Cooperative Threat Reduction (CTR) program from within

the overall \$528.5 million that the committee would authorize for the CTR program. The allocation under this section reflects the amount of the budget request for fiscal year 2014. This section would also require notifications to Congress 15 days before the Secretary of Defense obligates and expends fiscal year 2014 funds for purposes other than those specifically authorized. In addition, this section would provide limited authority to obligate amounts for a program element under the CTR program in excess of the amount specifically authorized for that purpose.

Section 1303—Extension for Use of Contributions to the Cooperative Threat Reduction Program

This section would extend the authority of the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to accept contributions from any person, including any foreign government or entity, for the Cooperative Threat Reduction program, through December 30, 2018.

TITLE XIV—OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—MILITARY PROGRAMS

Section 1401—Working Capital Funds

This section would authorize appropriations for Defense Working Capital Funds at the levels identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1402—National Defense Sealift Fund

This section would authorize appropriations for the National Defense Sealift Fund at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1403—Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense

This section would authorize appropriations for Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1404—Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide

This section would authorize appropriations for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1405—Defense Inspector General

This section would authorize appropriations for the Office of the Inspector General at the level identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

Section 1406—Defense Health Program

This section would authorize appropriations for the Defense Health Program at the levels identified in section 4501 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE

Section 1411—Use of National Defense Stockpile for the Conservation of a Strategic and Critical Materials Supply

This section would modify certain provisions of the President's authority to maintain and manage a national defense stockpile to allow the Defense Logistics Agency to more proactively engage in the market. These changes would grant the President the authority to conserve strategic and critical materials.

Section 1412—Authority to Acquire Additional Materials for the National Defense Stockpile

This section would provide authority to acquire certain additional strategic and critical materials for the National Defense Stockpile. The materials anticipated to be acquired have been identified to meet the military, industrial, and essential civilian needs of the United States.

SUBTITLE C—OTHER MATTERS

Section 1423—Cemeterial Expenses

This section would authorize \$45.8 million to be appropriated for the Army for cemeterial expenses for Arlington National Cemetery, Virginia.

TITLE XV—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—AUTHORIZATION OF ADDITIONAL APPROPRIATIONS

Section 1501—Purpose

This section would establish this title and make authorization of appropriations available upon enactment of this Act for the Department of Defense,

in addition to amounts otherwise authorized in this Act, to provide for additional costs due to overseas contingency operations.

Section 1502—Procurement

This section would authorize additional appropriations for procurement at the levels identified in section 4102 of division D of this Act.

Section 1503—Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation

This section would authorize additional appropriations for research, development, test, and evaluation at the levels identified in section 4202 of division D of this Act.

Section 1504—Operation and Maintenance

This section would authorize additional appropriations for operation and maintenance programs at the levels identified in section 4302 of division D of this Act.

Section 1505—Military Personnel

This section would authorize additional appropriations for military personnel at the levels identified in section 4402 of division D of this Act.

Section 1506—Working Capital Funds

This section would authorize additional appropriations for Defense Working Capital Funds at the levels identified in section 4502 of division D of this Act.

Section 1507—Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide

This section would authorize additional appropriations for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-Wide at the level identified in section 4502 of division D of this Act.

Section 1508—Defense Inspector General

This section would authorize additional appropriations for the Office of the Inspector General at the levels identified in section 4502 of division D of this Act.

Section 1509—Defense Health Program

This section would authorize additional appropriations for the Defense Health Program at the levels identified in section 4502 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—FINANCIAL MATTERS

Section 1521—Treatment as Additional Authorizations

This section would state that amounts authorized to be appropriated by this title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to be appropriated by this Act.

Section 1522—Special Transfer Authority

This section would authorize the transfer of up to \$3.0 billion of additional war-related funding authorizations in this title among the accounts in this title.

SUBTITLE C—LIMITATIONS AND OTHER MATTERS

Section 1531—Afghanistan Security Forces Fund

This section would amend section 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181), as amended by subsection 1531(b) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383), as most recently amended by section 1531 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), by extending the existing limitations on the availability of funds for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund through fiscal year 2014. Additionally, this section would require the Secretary of Defense to revise the plan required by section 1531(e) of Public Law 112-239 to ensure that an office or official of the Department of Defense is identified as responsible for each program or activity supported by the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, using funds available to the Department of Defense.

Elsewhere in this Act, the committee requires that of the funds authorized to be appropriated for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, \$2.61 billion may not be obligated or expended until 15 days after the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, certifies to the congressional defense committees, the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, and the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations that the United States and the Government of Afghanistan have signed a bilateral security agreement and that such agreement includes certain specified requirements.

Section 1533—Limitation on Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance Support for Operation Observant Compass

This section would require that none of the amounts authorized to be appropriated for operation and maintenance by section 1504, as specified in the funding table in section 4302 of this Act, may be obligated or expended for intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance support for Operation Observant Compass until the Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense

committees a report, required elsewhere in this Act, on Operation Observant Compass, including the specific goals of the campaign to counter the Lord's Resistance Army, the precise metrics used to measure progress in such campaign, and the required steps that will be taken to transition such campaign if it is determined that it is no longer necessary for the United States to support the mission of such campaign.

TITLE XVI—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 1601—Periodic Audits of Contracting Compliance by Inspector General of Department of Defense

This section would require the Inspector General of the Department of Defense to conduct an audit of the Department's compliance with contracting practices and policies related to procurement under section 2533a of title 10, United States Code, which pertains to the requirement to buy certain articles from American sources and is frequently referred to as the "Berry Amendment." This section would also require the Inspector General to include the findings of such periodic audits as part of the semiannual report transmitted to congressional committees as required by the Inspector General Act of 1978 (Public Law 95-452).

Section 1602—Expansion of the Procurement Technical Assistance Program to Advance Small Business Growth

This section would require the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to publish, and update annually, a list of capabilities and characteristics recommended to enable a qualified small business concern to become competitive as an other-than-small business for future contracts awarded by the Department of Defense. This section would also require any contract awarded to a qualified small business concern that would exceed the applicable receipt-based small business size standard (or if the contract would exceed \$70.0 million in an industry with an employee based size standard) to include a contract clause that would encourage the small business to develop the capabilities and characteristics identified by the Under Secretary if they desire to remain competitive as other-than-small business in that industry. In addition, this section would amend chapter 142 of title 10, United States Code, to enable Procurement Technical Assistance Centers (PTAC) to provide additional support to these businesses without the funding and cost-share limitations that are otherwise applicable to PTAC support. Finally, this section would require the Secretary of Defense to submit three annual reports to the congressional defense committees beginning on March 1, 2015, on the implementation of the amendments made by this section, along with any

recommendations for improving the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program.

Section 1605—Proof of Concept Commercialization Pilot Program

This section would allow the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering to establish a 5-year pilot program to accelerate the commercialization of basic research innovations from qualifying institutions.

DIVISION B—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXVIII—MILITARY CONSTRUCTION GENERAL PROVISIONS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE F—OTHER MATTERS

Section 2861—Repeal of Annual Economic Adjustment Committee Reporting Requirement

This section would repeal an annual economic adjustment committee report required by section 4004 of the Defense Economic Adjustment, Diversification, and Stabilization Act of 1990 (division D of Public Law 101-510).

TITLE XXIX—OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS MILITARY CONSTRUCTION

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 2901—Authorized Army Construction and Land Acquisition Project

This section would contain the list of certain authorized Army construction projects for fiscal year 2014. The authorized amounts include: Seaside Galley, \$12.0 million; High Value Detainee Facility, \$52.0 million; Medical Support Facilities, \$11.8 million; Communications Network Facility, \$11.6 million; Barracks (496 Persons), \$94.0 million; and Barracks (352 Persons), \$66.0 million. These projects represent a binding list of the specific projects authorized at this location.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

SUBTITLE A—NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS

Section 3101—National Nuclear Security Administration

This section would authorize appropriations for the National Nuclear Security Administration for fiscal year 2014, including funds for weapons activities, defense nuclear nonproliferation programs, naval reactor programs, and the Office of the Administrator, at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act. This section would also authorize several new plant projects for the National Nuclear Security Administration.

Section 3102—Defense Environmental Cleanup

This section would authorize appropriations for defense environmental cleanup activities for fiscal year 2014, at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act.

Section 3103—Other Defense Activities

This section would authorize appropriations for other defense activities for fiscal year 2014, including funds for Health, Safety, and Security, the Office of Legacy Management, and Nuclear Energy, at the funds identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act.

Section 3104—Energy Security and Assurance

This section would authorize appropriations for energy security and assurance programs for fiscal year 2014, at the levels identified in section 4701 of division D of this Act.

SUBTITLE B—PROGRAM AUTHORIZATIONS, RESTRICTIONS, AND LIMITATIONS

Section 3112—Termination of Department of Energy Employees to Protect National Security

This section would authorize the Secretary of Energy to terminate an employee of the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) or any element of the Department of Energy that involves nuclear security if the Secretary determines the employee acted in a manner that endangers the security of special

nuclear material or classified information. To exercise such authority, the Secretary would have to consider the termination to be in the interests of the United States and determine that the termination procedures prescribed by other provisions of law cannot be invoked in a manner that the Secretary considers consistent with national security.

This section would also require the Secretary, to the extent the interests of national security permit, to notify an employee whose employment is terminated under this section of the reasons for the termination. The terminated employee would then be allowed 30 days to submit statements or affidavits to the Secretary to show why the employee should be restored to duty. If such statements and affidavits are submitted, the Secretary would be required to provide a written response to the employee and may, if the Secretary chooses, restore the employment of the employee. A decision by the Secretary to terminate employment of an employee under this section would be final and would not be able to be appealed, though such termination would not affect the right of the employee to seek or accept employment with any other department or agency of the United States if that employee is declared eligible for such employment by the Director of the Office of Personnel Management. The authority under this section would reside only with the Secretary, without delegation.

The committee understands that several Federal employees have been reassigned or allowed to retire in response to the July 2012, security breach at the Y-12 National Security Complex. However, no Federal employees have been terminated. Senior officials from the Department of Energy have indicated to the committee that Federal employment rules did not enable terminations in this case. The committee believes that strong accountability actions are required in response to egregious security problems; this section would provide the Secretary of Energy the authority needed to ensure strong accountability actions are possible. The committee notes that this authority would reside only with the Secretary (without delegation) and encourages the Secretary to make use of the authority only in instances where the most robust accountability is needed. The committee notes the similar existing statutory authority is contained in section 1609 of title 10, United States Code, which enables the Secretary of Defense to terminate defense intelligence employees.

Section 3113—Plan for Retrieval, Treatment, and Disposition of Tank Farm Waste at Hanford Nuclear Reservation

This section would require the Secretary of Energy to submit a comprehensive plan through 2025 to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2014, for the safe and effective retrieval, treatment, and disposition of nuclear waste contained in the Tank Farms of the Hanford Nuclear Reservation in Richland, Washington. The plan would be required to include: (1) a list of all requirements, assumptions, and criteria needed to design, construct, and operate the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant (WTP) and any required

infrastructure or facilities at the Hanford Tank Farms; (2) a schedule of activities, construction, and operations at the Tank Farms and the WTP through 2025 in order to carry out the safe and effective retrieval, treatment, and disposition of nuclear waste in the Tank Farms; (3) actions required to accelerate, to the extent possible, retrieval and treatment of lower-risk, low-activity waste while continuing efforts to accelerate resolution of technical challenges associated with higher-risk, high-activity waste; and (4) a description of how adequate protection will be provided to workers and the public under the plan and how any new science and technical information, not available prior to development of the plan or available prior to March 2014, will be incorporated into the plan.

This section would also require that the Secretary make a determination whether each requirement, assumption, and criterion identified in the plan is finalized and will be used to inform planning, design, construction, and operations. For each requirement, assumption, or criterion that the Secretary cannot make a finalized determination, the Secretary would be required to include in the plan: (1) a description of the requirement, assumption, or criterion; (2) a list of activities required for the Secretary to make a finalized determination; and (3) the date on which the Secretary anticipates making a finalized determination. Once a finalized determination is made, the Secretary would be required to notify the congressional defense committees.

This section would authorize the Secretary (without delegation) to change a finalized determination made pursuant to this section. If such a change will have a material effect on any aspect of the schedule or cost of the WTP project, the Secretary would be required to promptly notify the congressional defense committees. Finally, the Secretary would be required to make changes to a requirement, assumption, or criterion if adequate protection cannot be provided without such change.

In carrying out this section, the committee expects the Secretary to leverage the national laboratories to help resolve outstanding technical challenges.

Section 3114—Enhanced Procurement Authority to Manage Supply Chain Risk

This section would provide the Secretary of Energy with the authority to take certain actions with regard to the protection of the supply chain of the Department of Energy. This authority would replicate the authority provided to the Department of Defense in section 806 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) and to the intelligence community in section 309 of the Intelligence Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112-87).

Section 3120—Extension of Principles of Pilot Program to Additional Facilities of the Nuclear Security Enterprise

This section would make a series of findings related to a pilot program conducted by the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) at the Kansas

City Plant (KCP) starting in April 2006, and would require the Administrator for Nuclear Security to extend the principles of such pilot program. The Administrator would be required to implement the principles of the pilot program permanently at the Kansas City Plant and extend the principles of the pilot program, with modifications as the Administrator determines appropriate, to not less than two additional facilities of the nuclear security enterprise within 1 year after the date of the enactment of this Act.

In carrying out the principles of the pilot program, the Administrator would be allowed to exempt high-hazard or high-risk activities from such extension, would be required to exempt nuclear operations from such extension, and would be required to focus initial extension of such principles on low-risk, high-reward initiatives. In extending the principles of the pilot program, the Administrator would be required to certify to the appropriate congressional committees that: (1) the management and operating contractor for a selected facility has sufficiently mature processes and high-performance to enable the extension without undue risk; and (2) Federal oversight mechanisms are in place and mature enough to enable the extension without undue risk. If the Administrator cannot make such a certification, the Administrator would be required to delay the extension until they can make such a certification and submit a report to the appropriate congressional committees regarding: what improvements to processes, procedures, and performance are required to make such certification; the Administrator's plan for what activities will be carried out to make such improvements; and the date by which the Administrator expects to make a certification.

Based upon its continuing oversight of this issue, the committee continues to believe that reform of the National Nuclear Security Administration and the Department of Energy's (DOE) approach to governance, management, and oversight of the nuclear security enterprise are required. In addition, the National Nuclear Security Administration and the Department of Energy must streamline and clarify roles, responsibilities, and authorities and ensure robust accountability of both contractors and Federal officials when failures occur.

The committee expects the Congressional Advisory Panel on the Governance of the Nuclear Security Enterprise established by section 3166 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) to provide concrete and actionable recommendations for fixing the longstanding and well-documented problems with the current system. However, the committee is concerned that fiscal challenges and the large scope of work facing the National Nuclear Security Administration necessitate more immediate action as well. Therefore, the committee recommends this section to extend the principles of a previously successful pilot program to additional facilities of the enterprise. Such extension was recommended in 2009 by the bipartisan Congressional Commission on the Strategic Posture of the United States.

The committee notes that while an independent assessment of the Kansas City Plant pilot program found significant cost savings and said, "the lessons learned at KCP can and should be applied at other NNSA and DOE sites," the

assessment also cautioned that application of the, "elements [of the pilot] will be limited in other locations, primarily by the presence of high risk, high hazard activities and materials." The assessment went on to highlight several features of the pilot that should be considered for other sites within these limitations. The committee encourages the Administrator to carefully consider the advice of the independent assessment, as well as the recommendations in recent reports by the Government Accountability Office on this topic, in determining where and how to extend the principles of the pilot program. Finally, to ensure the committee is fully informed, the committee expects the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by October 30, 2013, on the benefits, risks, opportunities, and challenges associated with extension of the principles of the pilot program to additional sites.

SUBTITLE C—REPORTS

Section 3123—Repeal of Certain Reporting Requirements

This section would repeal two statutes requiring submission of annual, recurring reports: (1) a report on Counterintelligence and Security Practices at National Laboratories required by section 4507 of the Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2658); and (2) a report on Advanced Supercomputer Sales to Certain Foreign Nations contained in section 3157 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law 105-85).

Repeal of these recurring report requirements was requested by the Secretary of Energy. The committee appreciates the Secretary's desire to reduce the number of recurring reports, but believes continued vigilance on the issues covered by these reports is warranted. The committee expects the Secretary of Energy to continue providing regular, annual briefings to the appropriate congressional committees on the matters covered by these reports to ensure continued leadership attention and robust congressional oversight.

TITLE XXXII—DEFENSE NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD

LEGISLATIVE PROVISIONS

Section 3202—Improvements to the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

This section would amend section 315 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C. 2286d) to enable the Secretary of Energy to request an analysis regarding the costs and benefits of any draft or final recommendation of the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board. If the Secretary requests such an analysis, the Board would be required to transmit such an analysis to the Department of Energy within 30 days and make such analysis public when the associated recommendation is made available to the public. Additionally, if the Secretary requests such an analysis from

the Board, the Secretary would be required to conduct a similar analysis of the costs and benefits of the recommendation and make such analysis available to the public.

This section would also amend section 312 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (U.S.C. 2286a) to clarify that, in making recommendations to the Secretary of Energy, the Board must use rigorous, quantitative analysis and specifically assess the use of various administrative, passive, and engineered controls for implementing the recommended measures.

DIVISION D—FUNDING TABLES

Section 4001—Authorization of Amounts in Funding Tables

This section would provide for the allocation of funds among programs, projects, and activities in accordance with the tables in division D of this Act, subject to reprogramming guidance in accordance with established procedures.

Consistent with the previously expressed views of the committee, this section would also require that a decision by an Agency Head to commit, obligate, or expend funds to a specific entity on the basis of such funding tables be based on merit-based selection procedures in accordance with the requirements of section 2304(k) and section 2374 of title 10, United States Code, and other applicable provisions of law.

BILL LANGUAGE

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 101. [Log 50566] AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
4 **TIONS.**

5 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
6 fiscal year 2014 for procurement for the Army, the Navy
7 and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and Defense-wide
8 activities, as specified in the funding table in section 4101.

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 201. [Log 50578] AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIA-**
4 **TIONS.**

5 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
6 fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Department of Defense
7 for research, development, test, and evaluation as specified
8 in the funding table in section 4201.

1 **SEC. 218. [Log 50439] LONG-RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON RE-**
2 **QUIREMENT.**

3 The Secretary of the Air Force shall develop a follow-
4 on air-launched cruise missile to the AGM-86 that—

5 (2) achieves initial operating capability for both
6 conventional and nuclear missions by not later than
7 2030; and

8 (3) is certified for internal carriage and employ-
9 ment for both conventional and nuclear missions on
10 the next-generation long-range strike bomber by not
11 later than 2034.

1 **SEC. 220. [Log 50961] EVALUATION AND ASSESSMENT OF**
2 **THE DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYS-**
3 **TEM.**

4 (a) PROJECT CODES FOR BUDGET SUBMISSIONS.—
5 In the budget transmitted by the President to Congress
6 under section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, for
7 fiscal year 2015 and each subsequent fiscal year, each ca-
8 pability component within the distributed common ground
9 system program shall be set forth as a separate project
10 code within the program element line, and each covered
11 official shall submit supporting justification for the project
12 code within the program element descriptive summary.

13 (b) ANALYSIS.—

14 (1) REQUIREMENT.—The Under Secretary of
15 Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics
16 shall conduct an analysis of commercial link analysis
17 tools that are compliant with the intelligence com-
18 munity data standards and could be used to meet
19 the requirements of the distributed common ground
20 system program.

21 (2) ELEMENTS.—The analysis required under
22 paragraph (1) shall include the following:

23 (A) Revalidation of the distributed com-
24 mon ground system program requirements for
25 link analysis tools based on current program
26 needs, recent operational experience, and the

1 requirement for nonproprietary solutions that
2 adhere to open-architecture principles.

3 (B) Market research of current commer-
4 cially available link analysis tools to determine
5 which tools, if any, could potentially satisfy the
6 requirements described in subparagraph (A).

7 (C) Analysis of the competitive acquisition
8 options for any commercially available link
9 analysis tools identified in subparagraph (B).

10 (3) SUBMISSION.—Not later than 180 days
11 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the
12 Under Secretary shall submit to the congressional
13 defense committees the results of the analysis con-
14 ducted under paragraph (1).

15 (c) COMPETITION REQUIRED.—

16 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided by para-
17 graph (3), if the Under Secretary identifies one or
18 more commercial link analysis tools under subsection
19 (b) (other than such tools offered by the current
20 technology provider) that meet the requirements for
21 the distributed common ground system program, in-
22 cluding the requirement for nonproprietary solutions
23 that adhere to open-architecture principles, each cov-
24 ered official shall initiate a request for proposals for
25 such link analysis tools by not later than 180 days

1 after the Under Secretary makes such identification.
2 Such a request for proposals shall be based on mar-
3 ket research and competitive procedures in accord-
4 ance with applicable law and the Defense Federal
5 Acquisition Regulation Supplement.

6 (2) NOTIFICATION.—Each covered official shall
7 submit to the congressional defense committees writ-
8 ten notification of any request for proposals issued
9 under paragraph (1) by not later than 30 days after
10 such request is issued.

11 (3) WAIVER OF RFP TIMELINE.—If a covered
12 official determines that issuing a request for pro-
13 posals by the date specified in paragraph (1) would
14 not be aligned with the acquisition or developmental
15 milestones of the distributed common ground station
16 program, the covered official may waive the require-
17 ment to issue such a request for proposals by such
18 date if the covered official submits to the congress-
19 sional defense committees a written notification of
20 such waiver that includes—

21 (A) the reasons for making such a waiver;

22 and

23 (B) identification of when in the acquisi-
24 tion timeline of such program that the covered
25 official plans to issue the request for proposals.

1 (d) COVERED OFFICIAL DEFINED.—In this section,
2 the term “covered official” means the following:

3 (1) The Secretary of the Army, with respect to
4 matters concerning the Army.

5 (2) The Secretary of the Navy, with respect to
6 matters concerning the Navy.

7 (3) The Secretary of the Air Force, with re-
8 spect to matters concerning the Air Force.

9 (4) The Commandant of the Marine Corps,
10 with respect to matters concerning the Marine
11 Corps.

12 (5) The Commander of the United States Spe-
13 cial Operations Command, with respect to matters
14 concerning the United States Special Operations
15 Command.

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 301 [Log 50579]. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FUND-**
4 **ING.**

5 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
6 fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
7 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
8 expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and
9 maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section
10 4301.

1 **SEC. 524 [Log 51143]. CONTENTS OF TRANSITION ASSIST-**
2 **ANCE PROGRAM.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1144 of title 10, United
4 States Code, is amended—

5 (1) in subsection (b), by adding at the end the
6 following new paragraph:

7 “(9) Provide information about disability-re-
8 lated employment and education protections.”.

9 (2) by redesignating subsections (c), (d), and
10 (e), as subsections (d), (e), and (f), respectively; and

11 (3) by inserting after subsection (b) the fol-
12 lowing new subsection (c):

13 “(c) ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS OF PROGRAM.—The
14 mandatory program carried out by this section shall in-
15 clude—

16 “(1) for any such member who plans to use the
17 member’s entitlement to educational assistance
18 under title 38—

19 “(A) instruction providing an overview of
20 the use of such entitlement; and

21 “(B) courses of post-secondary education
22 appropriate for the member, courses of post-
23 secondary education compatible with the mem-
24 ber’s education goals, and instruction on how to
25 finance the member’s post-secondary education;
26 and

1 “(2) instruction in the benefits under laws ad-
2 ministered by the Secretary of Veterans Affairs and
3 in other subjects determined by the Secretary con-
4 cerned.”.

5 (b) DEADLINE FOR IMPLEMENTATION.—The pro-
6 gram carried out under section 1144 of title 10, United
7 States Code, shall comply with the requirements of sub-
8 sections (b)(9) and (c) of such section, as added by sub-
9 section (a), by not later than April 1, 2015.

10 (c) FEASIBILITY STUDY.—Not later than 270 days
11 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary
12 of Veterans Affairs shall submit to the Committee on Vet-
13 erans’ Affairs and the Committee on Armed Services of
14 the Senate and the Committee on Veterans’ Affairs and
15 the Committee on Armed Services of the House of Rep-
16 resentatives the results of a study carried out by the Sec-
17 retary to determine the feasibility of providing the instruc-
18 tion described in subsection (b) of section 1142 of title
19 10, United States Code, at all overseas locations where
20 such instruction is provided by entering into a contract
21 jointly with the Secretary of Labor for the provision of
22 such instruction.

1 **SEC. 525 [Log 50720]. PROCEDURES FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW**
2 **OF MILITARY PERSONNEL DECISIONS RELAT-**
3 **ING TO CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS.**

4 (a) AVAILABILITY OF JUDICIAL REVIEW; LIMITA-
5 TIONS.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 79 of title 10,
7 United States Code, is amended by adding at the
8 end the following new section:

9 **“§ 1560. Judicial review of decisions relating to cor-**
10 **rection of military records**

11 **“(a) AVAILABILITY OF JUDICIAL REVIEW.—**

12 **“(1) IN GENERAL.—**Pursuant to sections 1346
13 and 1491 of title 28, United States Code, and chap-
14 ter 7 of title 5, United States Code, any person ad-
15 versely affected by a records correction final decision
16 may obtain judicial review of the decision in a court
17 with jurisdiction to hear the matter.

18 **“(2) RECORDS CORRECTION FINAL DECISION**
19 **DEFINED.—**In this section, the term ‘records correc-
20 tion final decision’ means any of the following deci-
21 sions:

22 **“(A) A final decision issued by the Sec-**
23 **retary concerned pursuant to section 1552 of**
24 **this title.**

1 “(B) A final decision issued by the Sec-
2 retary concerned pursuant to section 1034(f) of
3 this title.

4 “(C) A final decision issued by the Sec-
5 retary of Defense pursuant to section 1034(g)
6 of this title.

7 “(b) EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REM-
8 EDIES.—

9 “(1) GENERAL RULE.—Except as provided in
10 paragraphs (3) and (4), judicial review of a matter
11 that could be subject to correction under a provision
12 of law specified in subsection (a)(2) may not be ob-
13 tained under this section or any other provision of
14 law unless—

15 “(A) the petitioner has requested a correc-
16 tion under section 1552 of this title (including
17 such a request in a matter arising under section
18 1034 of this title); and

19 “(B) the Secretary concerned has rendered
20 a final decision denying that correction in whole
21 or in part.

22 “(2) WHISTLEBLOWER CASES.—When the final
23 decision of the Secretary concerned is subject to re-
24 view by the Secretary of Defense under section
25 1034(g) of this title, the petitioner is not required

1 to seek such review before obtaining judicial review,
2 but if the petitioner does seek such review, judicial
3 review may not be sought until the earlier of the fol-
4 lowing occurs:

5 “(A) The Secretary of Defense makes a
6 decision in the matter.

7 “(B) The period specified in section
8 1034(g) of this title for the Secretary to make
9 a decision in the matter expires.

10 “(3) CLASS ACTIONS.—If judicial review of a
11 records correction final decision is sought, and the
12 petitioner for such judicial review also seeks to bring
13 a class action with respect to a matter for which the
14 petitioner requested a correction under section 1552
15 of this title (including such a request in a matter
16 arising under section 1034 of this title) and the
17 court issues an order certifying a class in the case,
18 paragraphs (1) and (2) do not apply to any member
19 of the certified class (other than the petitioner) with
20 respect to any matter covered by a claim for which
21 the class is certified.

22 “(4) TIMELINESS.—Paragraph (1) shall not
23 apply if the records correction final decision of the
24 Secretary concerned is not issued by the date that

1 is 18 months after the date on which the petitioner
2 requests a correction.

3 “(c) STATUTES OF LIMITATION.—

4 “(1) SIX YEARS FROM FINAL DECISION.—A
5 records correction final decision (other than in a
6 matter to which paragraph (2) applies) is not sub-
7 ject to judicial review under this section or otherwise
8 subject to review in any court unless petition for
9 such review is filed in a court not later than six
10 years after the date of the records correction final
11 decision.

12 “(2) SIX YEARS FOR CERTAIN CLAIMS THAT
13 MAY RESULT IN PAYMENT OF MONEY.—(A) In a
14 case of a records correction final decision described
15 in subparagraph (B), the records correction final de-
16 cision (or the portion of such decision described in
17 such subparagraph) is not subject to judicial review
18 under this section or otherwise subject to review in
19 any court unless petition for such review is filed in
20 a court before the end of the six-year period that
21 began on the date of discharge, retirement, release
22 from active duty, or death while on active duty, of
23 the person whose military records are the subject of
24 the correction request. Such six-year period does not
25 include any time between the date of the filing of the

1 request for correction of military records leading to
2 the records correction final decision and the date of
3 the final decision.

4 “(B) Subparagraph (A) applies to a records
5 correction final decision or portion of the decision
6 that involves a denial of a claim that, if relief were
7 to be granted by the court, would support, or result
8 in, the payment of money, other than payments
9 made under chapter 73 of this title, either under a
10 court order or under a subsequent administrative de-
11 termination.

12 “(d) HABEAS CORPUS.—This section does not affect
13 any cause of action arising under chapter 153 of title 28.”.

14 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
15 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
16 by adding at the end the following new item:

“1560. Judicial review of decisions.”.

17 (b) EFFECT OF DENIAL OF REQUEST FOR CORREC-
18 TION OF RECORDS WHEN PROHIBITED PERSONNEL AC-
19 TION ALLEGED.—

20 (1) NOTICE OF DENIAL; PROCEDURES FOR JU-
21 DICIAL REVIEW.—Subsection (f) of section 1034 of
22 such title is amended by adding at the end the fol-
23 lowing new paragraph:

24 “(7) In any case in which the final decision of the
25 Secretary concerned results in denial, in whole or in part,

1 of any requested correction of the record of the member
2 or former member, the Secretary concerned shall provide
3 the member or former member—

4 “(A) a concise written statement of the basis
5 for the decision; and

6 “(B) a notification of the availability of judicial
7 review of the decision pursuant to section 1560 of
8 this title and the time period for obtaining such re-
9 view in accordance with the applicable statute of lim-
10 itations.”.

11 (2) SECRETARY OF DEFENSE REVIEW; NOTICE
12 OF DENIAL.—Subsection (g) of such section is
13 amended—

14 (A) by inserting “(1)” before “Upon the
15 completion of all”; and

16 (B) by adding at the end the following new
17 paragraph:

18 “(2) The submittal of a matter to the Secretary of
19 Defense by the member or former member under para-
20 graph (1) must be made within 90 days of the receipt by
21 the member or former member of the final decision of the
22 Secretary of the military department concerned in the
23 matter. In any case in which the final decision of the Sec-
24 retary of Defense results in denial, in whole or in part,
25 of any requested correction of the record of the member

1 or former member, the Secretary of Defense shall provide
2 the member or former member—

3 “(A) a concise written statement of the basis
4 for the decision; and

5 “(B) a notification of the availability of judicial
6 review of the decision pursuant to section 1560 of
7 this title and the time period for obtaining such re-
8 view in accordance with the applicable statute of lim-
9 itations.”.

10 (3) SOLE BASIS FOR JUDICIAL REVIEW.—Such
11 section is further amended—

12 (A) by redesignating subsections (h) and
13 (i) as subsections (i) and (j), respectively; and

14 (B) by inserting after subsection (g) the
15 following new subsection (h):

16 “(h) JUDICIAL REVIEW.—(1) A decision of the Sec-
17 retary of Defense under subsection (g) shall be subject to
18 judicial review only as provided in section 1560 of this
19 title.

20 “(2) In a case in which review by the Secretary of
21 Defense under subsection (g) was not sought, a decision
22 of the Secretary of a military department under subsection
23 (f) shall be subject to judicial review only as provided in
24 section 1560 of this title.

1 “(3) A decision by the Secretary of Homeland Secu-
2 rity under subsection (f) shall be subject to judicial review
3 only as provided in section 1560 of this title.”.

4 (c) EFFECT OF DENIAL OF OTHER REQUESTS FOR
5 CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS.—Section 1552 of
6 such title is amended by adding at the end the following
7 new subsections:

8 “(h) In any case in which the final decision of the
9 Secretary concerned results in denial, in whole or in part,
10 of any requested correction, the Secretary concerned shall
11 provide the claimant—

12 “(1) a concise written statement of the basis for
13 the decision; and

14 “(2) a notification of the availability of judicial
15 review of the decision pursuant to section 1560 of
16 this title and the time period for obtaining such re-
17 view in accordance with the applicable statute of lim-
18 itations.

19 “(i) A decision by the Secretary concerned under this
20 section shall be subject to judicial review only as provided
21 in section 1560 of this title.”.

22 (d) EFFECTIVE DATE AND APPLICATION.—

23 (1) IN GENERAL.—The amendments made by
24 this section shall take effect on January 1, 2015,
25 and shall apply to all final decisions of the Secretary

1 of Defense under section 1034(g) of title 10, United
2 States Code, and of the Secretary of a military de-
3 partment and the Secretary of Homeland Security
4 under sections 1034(f) or 1552 of such title ren-
5 dered on or after such date.

6 (2) TREATMENT OF EXISTING CASES.—This
7 section and the amendments made by this section do
8 not affect the authority of any court to exercise ju-
9 risdiction over any case that was properly before the
10 court before the effective date specified in paragraph
11 (1).

12 (e) IMPLEMENTATION.—The Secretary of a military
13 department and the Secretary of Homeland Security (in
14 the case of the Coast Guard when it is not operating as
15 a service in the Department of the Navy) may prescribe
16 regulations, and interim guidance before prescribing such
17 regulations, to implement the amendments made by this
18 section. Regulations or interim guidance prescribed by the
19 Secretary of a military department may not take effect
20 until approved by the Secretary of Defense.

1 **SEC. 526 [Log 50948]. ESTABLISHMENT AND USE OF CON-**
2 **SISTENT DEFINITION OF GENDER-NEUTRAL**
3 **OCCUPATIONAL STANDARD FOR MILITARY**
4 **CAREER DESIGNATORS.**

5 (a) ESTABLISHMENT OF DEFINITIONS.—Section 543
6 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
7 1994 (Public Law 103–160; 10 U.S.C. 113 note) is
8 amended by adding at the end the following new sub-
9 section:

10 “(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

11 “(1) GENDER-NEUTRAL OCCUPATIONAL STAND-
12 ARD.—The term ‘gender-neutral occupational stand-
13 ard’, with respect to a military career designator,
14 means that all members of the Armed Forces serv-
15 ing in or assigned to the military career designator
16 must meet the same physical and performance out-
17 come-based standards for the successful accomplish-
18 ment of the necessary and required specific tasks as-
19 sociated with the qualifications and duties performed
20 while serving in or assigned to the military career
21 designator.

22 “(2) MILITARY CAREER DESIGNATOR.—The
23 term ‘military career designator’ refers to—

24 “(A) in the case of enlisted members and
25 warrant officers of the Armed Forces, military
26 occupational specialties, specialty codes, enlisted

1 designators, enlisted classification codes, addi-
2 tional skill identifiers, and special qualification
3 identifiers; and

4 “(B) in the case of commissioned officers
5 (other than commissioned warrant officers), of-
6 ficer areas of concentration, occupational spe-
7 cialties, specialty codes, additional skill identi-
8 fiers, and special qualification identifiers.”.

9 (b) USE OF DEFINITIONS.—Such section is further
10 amended—

11 (1) in subsection (a)—

12 (A) in the matter preceding paragraph (1),
13 by striking “military occupational career field”
14 and inserting “military career designator”; and

15 (B) in paragraph (1), by striking “com-
16 mon, relevant performance standards” and in-
17 serting “an occupational standard”;

18 (2) in subsection (b)—

19 (A) in paragraph (1)—

20 (i) by striking “any military occupa-
21 tional specialty” and inserting “any mili-
22 tary career designator”; and

23 (ii) by striking “requirements for
24 members in that specialty and shall ensure
25 (in the case of an occupational specialty”

1 and inserting “requirements as part of the
2 gender-neutral occupational standard for
3 members in that career designator and
4 shall ensure (in the case of a career desig-
5 nator”); and

6 (B) in paragraph (2)—

7 (i) by striking “an occupational spe-
8 cialty” and inserting “a military career
9 designator”;

10 (ii) by striking “that occupational spe-
11 cialty” and inserting “that military career
12 designator”; and

13 (iii) by striking “that specialty” and
14 inserting “that military career designator”;
15 and

16 (3) in subsection (c)—

17 (A) by striking “the occupational stand-
18 ards for a military occupational field” and in-
19 serting “the gender-neutral occupational stand-
20 ard for a military career designator”; and

21 (B) by striking “that occupational field”
22 and inserting “that military career designator”.

1 **SEC. 539 [Log51055]. REVIEW AND POLICY REGARDING DE-**
2 **PARTMENT OF DEFENSE INVESTIGATIVE**
3 **PRACTICES IN RESPONSE TO ALLEGATIONS**
4 **OF SEX-RELATED OFFENSES.**

5 (a) REVIEW.—Not later than 180 days after the date
6 of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense
7 shall conduct a review of the practices of the military
8 criminal investigative organizations (Army Criminal Inves-
9 tigation Command, Naval Criminal Investigative Service,
10 and Air Force Office of Special Investigation) regarding
11 the investigation of alleged sex-related offenses involving
12 members of the Armed Forces, including the extent to
13 which the military criminal investigative organizations
14 make a recommendation regarding whether an allegation
15 of a sex-related offense appears founded or unfounded.

16 (b) POLICY.—After conducting the review required by
17 subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall develop a
18 uniform policy for the Armed Forces, to the extent prac-
19 ticable, regarding the use of case determinations to record
20 the results of the investigation of a sex-related offense.
21 In developing the policy, the Secretary shall consider the
22 feasibility of adopting case determination methods, such
23 as the uniform crime report, used by nonmilitary law en-
24 forcement agencies.

25 (c) SEX-RELATED OFFENSE DEFINED.—In this sec-
26 tion, the term “sex-related offense” includes—

1 (1) any offense covered by section 920, 920a,
2 920b, 920c, or 925 of title 10, United States Code
3 (article 120, 120a, 120b, 120c, or 125 of the Uni-
4 form Code of Military Justice); or

5 (2) an attempt to commit an offense specified
6 in a paragraph (1) as punishable under section 880
7 of such title (article 80 of the Uniform Code of Mili-
8 tary Justice).

1 **SEC. 540 [Log 51193]. UNIFORM TRAINING AND EDUCATION**
2 **PROGRAMS FOR SEXUAL ASSAULT PREVEN-**
3 **TION AND RESPONSE PROGRAM.**

4 Section 585(a) of the National Defense Authorization
5 Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat.
6 1434; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended—

7 (1) in paragraph (1)—

8 (A) in the first sentence, by striking “Not
9 later than one year after the date of the enact-
10 ment of this Act, the Secretary of each military
11 department shall develop a curriculum to pro-
12 vide sexual assault prevention and response
13 training and education for members of the
14 Armed Forces under the jurisdiction of the Sec-
15 retary and civilian employees of the military de-
16 partment” and inserting “Not later than June
17 30, 2014, the Secretary of Defense shall de-
18 velop a uniform curriculum to provide sexual
19 assault prevention and response training and
20 education for members of the Armed Forces
21 and civilian employees of the Department of
22 Defense”; and

23 (B) in the second sentence, by inserting
24 “including lesson plans to achieve core com-
25 petencies and learning objectives,” after “cur-
26 riculum,”; and

1 (2) in paragraph (3)—

2 (A) by striking “CONSISTENT TRAINING.—
3 The Secretary of Defense shall ensure” and in-
4 serting “UNIFORM TRAINING.—The Secretary
5 of Defense shall require”; and

6 (B) by striking “consistent” and inserting
7 “uniform”.

1 **SEC. 541 [Log 51194]. DEVELOPMENT OF SELECTION CRI-**
2 **TERIA FOR ASSIGNMENT AS SEXUAL AS-**
3 **SAULT RESPONSE AND PREVENTION PRO-**
4 **GRAM MANAGERS, SEXUAL ASSAULT RE-**
5 **SPONSE COORDINATORS, AND SEXUAL AS-**
6 **SAULT VICTIM ADVOCATES.**

7 (a) **QUALIFICATIONS FOR ASSIGNMENT.**—Section
8 1602(e)(2) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authoriza-
9 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 10
10 U.S.C. 1561 note; 124 Stat. 4431) is amended—

11 (1) by redesignating subparagraph (B) as sub-
12 paragraph (C); and

13 (2) by striking subparagraph (A) and inserting
14 the following new subparagraphs:

15 “(A) the qualifications necessary for a
16 member of the Armed Forces or a civilian em-
17 ployee of the Department of Defense to be se-
18 lected for assignment to duty as a Sexual As-
19 sault Response and Prevention Program Man-
20 ager, Sexual Assault Response Coordinator, or
21 Sexual Assault Victim Advocate, whether as-
22 signed to such duty on a full-time or part-time
23 basis;

24 “(B) consistent with section 584(e) of the
25 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
26 Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C.

1 1561 note; 125 Stat. 1433), the training, cer-
2 tification, and status of members of the Armed
3 Forces and civilian employees of the department
4 assigned to duty as Sexual Assault Response
5 and Prevention Program Managers, Sexual As-
6 sault Response Coordinators, and Sexual As-
7 sault Victim Advocates for the Armed Forces;
8 and”.

9 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS.—Section 584 of the
10 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012
11 (Public Law 112–81; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note; 125 Stat.
12 1432) is amended—

13 (1) in subsection (a)(2), by inserting “who sat-
14 isfy the selection criteria established under section
15 1602(e)(2) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Au-
16 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law
17 111–383; 10 U.S.C. 1561 note; 124 Stat. 4431)”
18 after “Defense”; and

19 (2) in subsection (b)(2), by inserting “who sat-
20 isfy the selection criteria established under section
21 1602(e)(2) of the Ike Skelton National Defense Au-
22 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011” after “De-
23 fense”.

1 **SEC. 573 [Log 51195]. TREATMENT OF TUITION PAYMENTS**
2 **RECEIVED FOR VIRTUAL ELEMENTARY AND**
3 **SECONDARY EDUCATION COMPONENT OF DE-**
4 **PARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION PRO-**
5 **GRAM.**

6 (a) CREDITING OF PAYMENTS.—Section 2164(l) of
7 title 10, United States Code, is amended by adding at the
8 end the following new paragraph:

9 “(3) Any payments received by the Secretary of De-
10 fense under this subsection shall be credited to the account
11 designated by the Secretary for the operation of the virtual
12 educational program under this subsection. Payments so
13 credited shall be merged with other funds in the account
14 and shall be available, to the extent provided in advance
15 in appropriation Acts, for the same purposes and the same
16 period as other funds in the account.”.

17 (b) APPLICATION OF AMENDMENT.—The amendment
18 made by subsection (a) shall apply only with respect to
19 tuition payments received under section 2164(l) of title
20 10, United States Code, for enrollments authorized by
21 such section, after the date of the enactment of this Act,
22 in the virtual elementary and secondary education pro-
23 gram of the Department of Defense education program.

1 **SEC. 588 [Log 51189]. AUTHORIZATION FOR AWARD OF THE**
2 **DISTINGUISHED-SERVICE CROSS TO SER-**
3 **GEANT FIRST CLASS ROBERT F. KEISER FOR**
4 **ACTS OF VALOR DURING THE KOREAN WAR.**

5 (a) AUTHORIZATION.—Notwithstanding the time lim-
6 itations specified in section 3144 of title 10, United States
7 Code, or any other time limitation with respect to the
8 awarding of certain medals to persons who served in the
9 Armed Forces, the Secretary of the Army is authorized
10 and requested to award the Distinguished-Service Cross
11 under section 3742 of such title to Sergeant First Class
12 Robert F. Keiser for the acts of valor referred to in sub-
13 section (b) during the Korean War.

14 (b) ACTS OF VALOR DESCRIBED.—The acts of valor
15 referred to in subsection (a) are the actions of Robert F.
16 Keiser's on November 30, 1950, as a member of the 2d
17 Military Police Company, 2d Infantry Division, United
18 States Army, during the Division's successful withdrawal
19 from the Kunuri-Sunchon Pass.

1 **SEC. 616 [Log 51132]. ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY**
2 **TO PROVIDE INCENTIVE PAY FOR MEMBERS**
3 **OF PRECOMMISSIONING PROGRAMS PUR-**
4 **SUING FOREIGN LANGUAGE PROFICIENCY.**

5 Section 316a(g) of title 37, United States Code is
6 amended by striking “December 31, 2013” and inserting
7 “December 31, 2014”.

1 **SEC. 617 [Log 50845]. AUTHORITY TO PROVIDE BONUS TO**
2 **CERTAIN CADETS AND MIDSHIPMEN EN-**
3 **ROLLED IN THE SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS'**
4 **TRAINING CORPS.**

5 (a) BONUS AUTHORIZED.—Chapter 5 of title 37,
6 United States Code, is amended by inserting after section
7 335 the following new section:

8 **“§ 336. Contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen**
9 **enrolled in the Senior Reserve Officers'**
10 **Training Corps**

11 “(a) CONTRACTING BONUS AUTHORIZED.—The Sec-
12 retary concerned may pay a bonus under this section to
13 a cadet or midshipman enrolled in the Senior Reserve Offi-
14 cers' Training Corps who executes a written agreement de-
15 scribed in subsection (c).

16 “(b) AMOUNT OF BONUS.—The amount of a bonus
17 under subsection (a) may not exceed \$5,000.

18 “(c) AGREEMENT.—A written agreement referred to
19 in subsection (a) is a written agreement by the cadet or
20 midshipman—

21 “(1) to complete field training or a practice
22 cruise under section 2104(b)(6)(A)(ii) of title 10;

23 “(2) to complete advanced training under chap-
24 ter 103 of title 10;

25 “(3) to accept a commission or appointment as
26 an officer of the armed forces; and

1 “(4) to serve on active duty.

2 “(d) PAYMENT METHOD.—Upon acceptance of a
3 written agreement under subsection (a) by the Secretary
4 concerned, the total amount of the bonus payable under
5 the agreement becomes fixed. The agreement shall specify
6 when the bonus will be paid and whether the bonus will
7 be paid in a lump sum or in installments.

8 “(e) REPAYMENT.—A person who, having received all
9 or part of a bonus under subsection (a), fails to fulfill the
10 terms of the written agreement required by such sub-
11 section for receipt of the bonus shall be subject to the re-
12 payment provisions of section 373 of this title.

13 “(f) REGULATIONS.—The Secretary concerned shall
14 issue such regulations as may be necessary to carry out
15 this section.

16 “(g) TERMINATION OF AUTHORITY.—No agreement
17 under this section may be entered into after December 31,
18 2015.”.

19 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections
20 at the beginning of such chapter is amended by inserting
21 after the item relating to section 335 the following new
22 item:

“336. Contracting bonus for cadets and midshipmen enrolled in the Senior Re-
serve Officers’ Training Corps.”.

1 **Subtitle B—Health Care**
2 **Administration**

3 **SEC. 711. [Log 50908] FUTURE AVAILABILITY OF TRICARE**
4 **PRIME FOR CERTAIN BENEFICIARIES EN-**
5 **ROLLED IN TRICARE PRIME.**

6 Section 732 of the National Defense Authorization
7 Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat.
8 1816) is amended—

9 (1) by redesignating subsection (b) as sub-
10 section (c); and

11 (2) by inserting the following new subsection:

12 “(b) ACCESS TO TRICARE PRIME.—

13 “(1) ONE-TIME ELECTION.—Subject to para-
14 graph (3), the Secretary shall ensure that each af-
15 fected eligible beneficiary who is enrolled in
16 TRICARE Prime as of September 30, 2013, may
17 make a one-time election to continue such enroll-
18 ment in TRICARE Prime, notwithstanding that a
19 contract described in subsection (a)(2)(A) does not
20 allow for such enrollment based on the location in
21 which such beneficiary resides. The beneficiary may
22 continue such enrollment in TRICARE Prime so
23 long as the beneficiary resides in the same ZIP code
24 as the ZIP Code in which the beneficiary resided at
25 the time of such election.

1 “(2) ENROLLMENT IN TRICARE STANDARD.—If
2 an affected eligible beneficiary makes the one-time
3 election under paragraph (1), the beneficiary may
4 thereafter elect to enroll in TRICARE Standard at
5 any time in accordance with a contract described in
6 subsection (a)(2)(A).

7 “(3) RESIDENCE AT TIME OF ELECTION.—An
8 affected eligible beneficiary may not make the one-
9 time election under paragraph (1) if, at the time of
10 such election, the beneficiary does not reside in a
11 ZIP code that is in a region described in subsection
12 (c)(1)(B).”.

1 **SEC. 802 [Log 50861]. ENHANCED TRANSFER OF TECH-**
2 **NOLOGY DEVELOPED AT DEPARTMENT OF**
3 **DEFENSE LABORATORIES.**

4 (a) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section:

5 (1) The term “military department” has the
6 meaning provided in section 101 of title 10, United
7 States Code.

8 (2) The term “DOD laboratory” or “labora-
9 tory” means any facility or group of facilities that—

10 (A) is owned, leased, operated, or other-
11 wise used by the Department of Defense; and

12 (B) meets the definition of “laboratory” as
13 provided in subsection (d)(2) of section 12 of
14 the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation
15 Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3710a).

16 (b) AUTHORITY.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense
18 and the Secretary of a military department each
19 may authorize the heads of DOD laboratories to
20 grant nonexclusive, exclusive, or partially exclusive
21 licenses, royalty free or for royalties or for rights to
22 other intellectual property, for computer software
23 and its related documentation developed at a DOD
24 laboratory, but only if—

25 (A) the computer software and related doc-
26 umentation would be a trade secret under the

1 meaning of section 552(b)(4) of title 5, United
2 States Code, if the information had been ob-
3 tained from a non-Federal party;

4 (B) the public is notified of the availability
5 of the software and related documentation for
6 licensing and interested parties have a fair op-
7 portunity to submit applications for licensing;

8 (C) such licensing activities and licenses
9 comply with the requirements under section 209
10 of title 35, United States Code; and

11 (D) the software originally was developed
12 to meet the military needs of the Department
13 of Defense.

14 (2) PROTECTIONS AGAINST UNAUTHORIZED
15 DISCLOSURE.—The Secretary of Defense and the
16 Secretary of a military department each shall pro-
17 vide appropriate precautions against the unauthor-
18 ized disclosure of any computer software or docu-
19 mentation covered by paragraph (1)(A), including
20 exemption from section 552 of title 5, United States
21 Code, for a period of up to 5 years after the develop-
22 ment of the computer software by the DOD labora-
23 tory.

24 (c) ROYALTIES.—

1 (1) USE OF ROYALTIES.—Except as provided in
2 paragraph (2), any royalties or other payments re-
3 ceived by the Department of Defense or a military
4 department from licensing computer software or doc-
5 umentation under paragraph (b)(1) shall be retained
6 by the Department of Defense or the military de-
7 partment and shall be disposed of as follows:

8 (A)(i) The Department of Defense or the
9 military department shall pay each year the
10 first \$2,000, and thereafter at least 15 percent,
11 of the royalties or other payments, to be divided
12 among the employees who developed the com-
13 puter software.

14 (ii) The Department of Defense or the
15 military department may provide appropriate
16 lesser incentives, from the royalties or other
17 payments, to laboratory employees who are not
18 developers of such computer software but who
19 substantially increased the technical value of
20 the software.

21 (iii) The Department of Defense or the
22 military department shall retain the royalties
23 and other payments received until it makes pay-
24 ments to employees of a DOD laboratory under
25 clause (i) or (ii).

1 (iv) The Department of Defense or the
2 military department may retain an amount rea-
3 sonably necessary to pay expenses incidental to
4 the administration and distribution of royalties
5 or other payments under this section by an or-
6 ganizational unit of the Department of Defense
7 or military department other than its labora-
8 tories.

9 (B) The balance of the royalties or other pay-
10 ments shall be transferred by the Department of De-
11 fense or the military department to its laboratories,
12 with the majority share of the royalties or other pay-
13 ments going to the laboratory where the development
14 occurred. The royalties or other payments so trans-
15 ferred to any DOD laboratory may be used or obli-
16 gated by that laboratory during the fiscal year in
17 which they are received or during the 2 succeeding
18 fiscal years—

19 (i) to reward scientific, engineering, and
20 technical employees of the DOD laboratory, in-
21 cluding developers of sensitive or classified tech-
22 nology, regardless of whether the technology
23 has commercial applications;

24 (ii) to further scientific exchange among
25 the laboratories of the agency;

1 (iii) for education and training of employ-
2 ees consistent with the research and develop-
3 ment missions and objectives of the Department
4 of Defense, military department, or DOD lab-
5 oratory, and for other activities that increase
6 the potential for transfer of the technology of
7 the laboratories;

8 (iv) for payment of expenses incidental to
9 the administration and licensing of computer
10 software or other intellectual property made at
11 that DOD laboratory, including the fees or
12 other costs for the services of other agencies,
13 persons, or organizations for intellectual prop-
14 erty management and licensing services; or

15 (v) for scientific research and development
16 consistent with the research and development
17 missions and objectives of the DOD laboratory.

18 (C) All royalties or other payments retained by
19 the Department of Defense, military department, or
20 DOD laboratory after payments have been made
21 pursuant to subparagraphs (A) and (B) that are un-
22 obligated and unexpended at the end of the second
23 fiscal year succeeding the fiscal year in which the
24 royalties and other payments were received shall be
25 paid into the Treasury of the United States.

1 (2) EXCEPTION.—If, after payments under
2 paragraph (1)(A), the balance of the royalties or
3 other payments received by the Department of De-
4 fense or the military department in any fiscal year
5 exceed 5 percent of the funds received for use by the
6 DOD laboratory for research, development, engineer-
7 ing, testing, and evaluation or other related adminis-
8 trative, processing or value-added activities for that
9 year, 75 percent of such excess shall be paid to the
10 Treasury of the United States and the remaining 25
11 percent may be used or obligated under paragraph
12 (1)(B). Any funds not so used or obligated shall be
13 paid into the Treasury of the United States.

14 (3) STATUS OF PAYMENTS TO EMPLOYEES.—
15 Any payment made to an employee under this sec-
16 tion shall be in addition to the regular pay of the
17 employee and to any other awards made to the em-
18 ployee, and shall not affect the entitlement of the
19 employee to any regular pay, annuity, or award to
20 which the employee is otherwise entitled or for which
21 the employee is otherwise eligible or limit the
22 amount thereof except that the monetary value of an
23 award for the same project or effort shall be de-
24 ducted from the amount otherwise available under
25 this paragraph. Payments, determined under the

1 terms of this paragraph and made to an employee
2 developer as such, may continue after the developer
3 leaves the DOD laboratory or the Department of
4 Defense or military department. Payments made
5 under this section shall not exceed \$75,000 per year
6 to any one person, unless the President approves a
7 larger award (with the excess over \$75,000 being
8 treated as a Presidential award under section 4504
9 of title 5, United States Code).

10 (d) INFORMATION IN REPORT.—The report required
11 by section 2515(d) of title 10, United States Code, shall
12 include information regarding the implementation and ef-
13 fectiveness of this section.

14 (e) EXPIRATION.—The authority provided in this sec-
15 tion shall expire on December 31, 2018.

1 **Subtitle B—Amendments to Gen-**
2 **eral Contracting Authorities,**
3 **Procedures, and Limitations**

4 **SEC. 811 [Log 51135]. ADDITIONAL CONTRACTOR RESPON-**
5 **SIBILITIES IN REGULATIONS RELATING TO**
6 **DETECTION AND AVOIDANCE OF COUNTER-**
7 **FEIT ELECTRONIC PARTS.**

8 Section 818(c)(2)(B) of the National Defense Au-
9 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–
10 81; 125 Stat. 1493; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended—

11 (1) in clause (i), by inserting “electronic” after
12 “avoid counterfeit”; and

13 (2) in clause (ii), by striking “were provided”
14 and inserting the following: “were—

15 “(I) procured from an original
16 manufacturer or its authorized dealer
17 or from a trusted supplier in accord-
18 ance with regulations described in
19 paragraph (3); or

20 “(II) provided”.

1 **SEC. 812 [Log 50534]. LIMITATIONS ON ALLOWABLE COSTS**
2 **FOR CONTRACTOR COMPENSATION.**

3 (a) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO CONTRACTOR EM-
4 PLOYEES.—Subparagraph (P) of section 2324(e)(1) of
5 title 10, United States Code, is amended to read as fol-
6 lows:

7 “(P) Costs of compensation of any contractor
8 employee for a fiscal year, regardless of the contract
9 funding source, to the extent that such compensa-
10 tion exceeds \$763,029 adjusted annually for the
11 U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics Employment Cost
12 Index for total compensation for private industry
13 workers, by occupational and industry group not
14 seasonally adjusted, except that the Secretary of De-
15 fense may establish narrowly targeted exceptions for
16 positions in the science, technology, engineering,
17 mathematics, medical, and manufacturing fields
18 upon a determination that such exceptions are need-
19 ed to ensure that the Department of Defense has
20 continued access to needed skills and capabilities.”.

21 (b) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO SENIOR EXECU-
22 TIVES OF CERTAIN CONTRACTORS.—Section 2324(e)(1)
23 of such title is further amended by adding at the end the
24 following new subparagraph:

25 “(Q) Costs of compensation of senior executives
26 of a covered contractor.”.

1 (c) DEFINITIONS.—Section 2324(1) of such title is
2 amended—

3 (1) by inserting after paragraph (4) the fol-
4 lowing new paragraph (5):

5 “(5) The term ‘senior executives’, with respect
6 to a covered contractor, means the five most highly
7 compensated employees of the contractor. In deter-
8 mining the five most highly compensated employees
9 in the case of a contractor with components (such as
10 subsidiaries or divisions), the determination shall be
11 made using the five most highly compensated em-
12 ployees contractor-wide, not within each compo-
13 nent.”; and

14 (2) by inserting after paragraph (6) the fol-
15 lowing new paragraph (7):

16 “(7) The term ‘covered contractor’ means a
17 contractor—

18 “(A) that is required to use cost account-
19 ing standards under section 1502(b) of title 41;
20 and

21 “(B) that is not a small business concern
22 as defined under section 3 of the Small Busi-
23 ness Act (15 U.S.C. 632) and regulations pre-
24 scribed under that section.”.

1 (d) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section 1127(b) of
2 title 41, United States Code, is amended by striking “and
3 section 2324(e)(1)(P) of title 10”.

4 (e) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by
5 this section shall apply with respect to costs of compensa-
6 tion incurred under contracts entered into on or after the
7 date that is 180 days after the date of the enactment of
8 this Act.

1 **SEC. 813 [Log 50538]. INCLUSION OF ADDITIONAL COST ES-**
2 **TIMATE INFORMATION IN CERTAIN REPORTS.**

3 (a) ADDITIONAL COST ESTIMATE INFORMATION RE-
4 QUIRED TO BE INCLUDED IN SELECTED ACQUISITION
5 REPORTS.—Section 2432(c)(1) of title 10, United States
6 Code, is amended—

7 (1) by redesignating subparagraphs (B), (C)
8 and (D) as subparagraphs (C), (D), and (F), respec-
9 tively;

10 (2) by inserting after subparagraph (A) the fol-
11 lowing new subparagraph (B):

12 “(B) for each major defense acquisition pro-
13 gram or designated major subprogram included in
14 the report—

15 “(i) the Baseline Estimate (as that term is
16 defined in section 2433(a)(2) of this title),
17 along with the associated risk curve and sensi-
18 tivity of that estimate;

19 “(ii) the original Baseline Estimate (as
20 that term is defined in section 2435(d)(1) of
21 this title), along with the associated risk curve
22 and sensitivity of that estimate;

23 “(iii) if the original Baseline Estimate was
24 adjusted or revised pursuant to section
25 2435(d)(2) of this title, such adjusted or re-

1 vised estimate, along with the associated risk
2 curve and sensitivity of that estimate; and

3 “(iv) the primary risk parameters associ-
4 ated with the current procurement cost for the
5 program (as that term is used in section
6 2432(e)(4) of this title);”;

7 (3) in subparagraph (D), as so redesignated, by
8 striking “and” at the end; and

9 (4) by inserting after subparagraph (D), as so
10 redesignated, the following new subparagraph (E):

11 “(E) estimated contract termination costs;
12 and”.

13 (b) ADDITIONAL DUTIES OF DIRECTOR OF COST AS-
14 SESSMENT AND PROGRAM EVALUATION WITH RESPECT
15 TO SAR.—

16 (1) REVIEW REQUIRED.—Section 2334(a) of
17 title 10, United States Code, is amended—

18 (A) by striking “and” at the end of para-
19 graph (6);

20 (B) by striking the period and inserting “;
21 and” at the end of paragraph (7); and

22 (C) by adding at the end the following new
23 paragraph (8):

24 “(8) annually review the cost estimates and as-
25 sociated information required to be included, by sec-

1 tion 2432(c)(1)(B) of this title, in the Selected Ac-
2 quisition Reports required by that section.”.

3 (2) ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REQUIRED IN
4 ANNUAL REPORT.—Section 2334(f)(1) of such title
5 is amended—

6 (A) by striking “report, an assessment
7 of—” and inserting “report—”;

8 (B) in each of subparagraphs (A), (B), and
9 (C), by inserting “an assessment of” before the
10 first word of the text;

11 (C) in subparagraph (B), by striking
12 “and” at the end;

13 (D) in subparagraph (C), by striking the
14 period at the end and inserting “; and”; and

15 (E) by adding at the end the following new
16 subparagraph:

17 “(D) a summary of the cost estimate informa-
18 tion reviewed under subsection (a)(8), an identifica-
19 tion of any trends in that information, an aggrega-
20 tion of the cumulative risk of the portfolio of sys-
21 tems reviewed under that subsection, and rec-
22 ommendations for improving cost estimates on the
23 basis of the review under that subsection.”.

1 **Subtitle C—Provisions Relating to**
2 **Contracts in Support of Contin-**
3 **gency Operations in Iraq or Af-**
4 **ghanistan**

5 **SEC. 821 [Log 50592]. AMENDMENTS RELATING TO PROHIBI-**
6 **TION ON CONTRACTING WITH THE ENEMY.**

7 (a) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO PROHIBITION.—

8 Section 841(a)(1) of the National Defense Authorization
9 Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 126 Stat.
10 1510) is amended—

11 (1) in the matter preceding subparagraph (A),
12 by striking “Commander of the United States Cen-
13 tral Command” and inserting “commander of a cov-
14 ered combatant command”;

15 (2) in subparagraph (A)—

16 (A) by striking “Commander of the United
17 States Central Command” and inserting “com-
18 mander of the covered combatant command”;
19 and

20 (B) by striking “United States Central
21 Command theater of operations” and inserting
22 “theater of operations of that command”;

23 (3) in subparagraph (B), by striking “United
24 States Central Command theater of operations” and

1 inserting “theater of operations of the covered com-
2 batant command”; and

3 (4) in subparagraph (C)—

4 (A) by striking “Commander of the United
5 States Central Command” and inserting “com-
6 mander of the covered combatant command”;
7 and

8 (B) by striking “United States Central
9 Command theater of operations” and inserting
10 “theater of operations of that command”.

11 (b) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO CONTRACT
12 CLAUSE.—Section 841(b)(3) of such Act is amended—

13 (1) by striking “\$100,000” and inserting
14 “\$50,000”; and

15 (2) by striking “United States Central Com-
16 mand theater of operations” and inserting “theater
17 of operations of a covered combatant command”.

18 (c) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO IDENTIFICATION OF
19 CONTRACTS.—Section 841(c) of such Act is amended—

20 (1) in paragraph (1)—

21 (A) by striking “, acting through the Com-
22 mander of the United States Central Com-
23 mand,”; and

24 (B) by striking “United States Central
25 Command theater of operations” and inserting

1 “theaters of operations of covered combatant
2 commands”;

3 (2) in paragraph (2)—

4 (A) by striking “Commander of the United
5 States Central Command” and inserting “com-
6 mander of a covered combatant command”; and

7 (B) by striking “Commander may notify”
8 and inserting “commander may notify”; and

9 (3) in paragraph (3), by striking “Commander
10 of the United States Central Command” and insert-
11 ing “commander of a covered combatant command”.

12 (d) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO NONDELEGATION
13 OF RESPONSIBILITIES.—Section 841(d)(2) of such Act is
14 amended by striking “Commander of the United States
15 Central Command” and inserting “commander of a cov-
16 ered combatant command”.

17 (e) AMENDMENTS RELATING TO DEFINITIONS.—
18 Section 841(f) of such Act is amended—

19 (1) by striking the subsection heading and in-
20 serting “DEFINITIONS.—”;

21 (2) by striking “In this section, the term” and
22 inserting the following: “In this section:

23 “(1) CONTINGENCY OPERATION.—The term”;
24 and

1 (3) by adding at the end the following new
2 paragraph:

3 “(2) COVERED COMBATANT COMMAND.—The
4 term ‘covered combatant command’ means the
5 United States Central Command, the United States
6 European Command, the United States Southern
7 Command, and the United States Pacific Com-
8 mand.”.

9 (f) REPEAL OF SUNSET.—Subsection (g) of section
10 841 of such Act is repealed.

11 (g) TECHNICAL AMENDMENTS.—

12 (1) CONFORMING AMENDMENT TO SECTION
13 HEADING.—

14 (A) The heading of section 841 of such Act
15 is amended by striking “**IN THE UNITED**
16 **STATES CENTRAL COMMAND THEATER OF**
17 **OPERATIONS**”.

18 (B) The item relating to section 841 in the
19 table of sections at the beginning of title VIII
20 and in section 2 of such Act is amended to read
21 as follows:

“Sec. 841. Prohibition on contracting with the enemy.”.

22 (2) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED DEADLINES.—
23 Paragraph (1) of each of subsections (a), (b), and
24 (c) of section 841 of such Act is amended by strik-

1 ing “Not later than 30 days after the date of the en-
2 actment of this Act, the” and inserting “The”.

3 (h) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by
4 this section shall apply to contracts entered into on or
5 after the date that is 90 days after the date of the enact-
6 ment of this Act.

1 **SEC. 822 [Log 50479]. COLLECTION OF DATA RELATING TO**
2 **CONTRACTS IN IRAQ AND AFGHANISTAN.**

3 (a) PENALTIES.—Section 861 of the National De-
4 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law
5 110–181; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amended by adding at
6 the end the following new subsection:

7 “(e) PENALTIES FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY.—Any
8 contract in Afghanistan entered into or modified after the
9 date of the enactment of the National Defense Authoriza-
10 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2014 may include a clause requir-
11 ing the imposition of a penalty on any contractor that does
12 not comply with the policies or guidance issued or the reg-
13 ulations prescribed pursuant to subsection (c). Compliance
14 with such policies, guidance, or regulations may be consid-
15 ered as a factor in the determination of award and incen-
16 tive fees.”.

17 (b) PENALTY INFORMATION COVERED IN REPORT.—
18 Section 863(c) of the National Defense Authorization Act
19 for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 10 U.S.C.
20 2302 note) is amended by adding at the end the following
21 new paragraph:

22 “(4) Any penalties imposed on contractors for
23 failing to comply with requirements under section
24 861(e), including requirements to provide informa-
25 tion for the common databases identified under sec-
26 tion 861(b)(4).”.

1 **Subtitle D—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 831 [Log 51039]. EXTENSION OF PILOT PROGRAM ON**
3 **ACQUISITION OF MILITARY PURPOSE NON-**
4 **DEVELOPMENTAL ITEMS.**

5 Section 866(f)(1) of the Ike Skelton National De-
6 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law
7 111–383; 124 Stat. 4296; 10 U.S.C. 2302 note) is amend-
8 ed by striking “the date that is five years after the date
9 of the enactment of this Act.” and inserting “December
10 31, 2019.”.

1 **SEC. 832 [Log 51048]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO AC-**
2 **QUIRE PRODUCTS AND SERVICES PRODUCED**
3 **IN COUNTRIES ALONG A MAJOR ROUTE OF**
4 **SUPPLY TO AFGHANISTAN.**

5 Section 801(f) of the National Defense Authorization
6 Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat.
7 2399), as amended by section 841(a) of the National De-
8 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law
9 112–239; 126 Stat. 1845), is amended by striking “De-
10 cember 31, 2014” and inserting “December 31, 2015”.

1 **Subtitle A—Department of Defense**
2 **Management**

3 **SEC. 901 [Log 50968]. REDESIGNATION OF THE DEPART-**
4 **MENT OF THE NAVY AS THE DEPARTMENT OF**
5 **THE NAVY AND MARINE CORPS.**

6 (a) REDESIGNATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF THE
7 NAVY AS THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MARINE
8 CORPS.—

9 (1) REDESIGNATION OF MILITARY DEPART-
10 MENT.—The military department designated as the
11 Department of the Navy is redesignated as the De-
12 partment of the Navy and Marine Corps.

13 (2) REDESIGNATION OF SECRETARY AND
14 OTHER STATUTORY OFFICES.—

15 (A) SECRETARY.—The position of the Sec-
16 retary of the Navy is redesignated as the Sec-
17 retary of the Navy and Marine Corps.

18 (B) OTHER STATUTORY OFFICES.—The
19 positions of the Under Secretary of the Navy,
20 the four Assistant Secretaries of the Navy, and
21 the General Counsel of the Department of the
22 Navy are redesignated as the Under Secretary
23 of the Navy and Marine Corps, the Assistant
24 Secretaries of the Navy and Marine Corps, and

1 the General Counsel of the Department of the
2 Navy and Marine Corps, respectively.

3 (b) CONFORMING AMENDMENTS TO TITLE 10,
4 UNITED STATES CODE.—

5 (1) DEFINITION OF “MILITARY DEPART-
6 MENT”.—Paragraph (8) of section 101(a) of title
7 10, United States Code, is amended to read as fol-
8 lows:

9 “(8) The term ‘military department’ means the
10 Department of the Army, the Department of the
11 Navy and Marine Corps, and the Department of the
12 Air Force.”.

13 (2) ORGANIZATION OF DEPARTMENT.—The text
14 of section 5011 of such title is amended to read as
15 follows: “The Department of the Navy and Marine
16 Corps is separately organized under the Secretary of
17 the Navy and Marine Corps.”.

18 (3) POSITION OF SECRETARY.—Section
19 5013(a)(1) of such title is amended by striking
20 “‘There is a Secretary of the Navy’” and inserting
21 “‘There is a Secretary of the Navy and Marine
22 Corps’”.

23 (4) CHAPTER HEADINGS.—

24 (A) The heading of chapter 503 of such
25 title is amended to read as follows:

1 **“CHAPTER 503—DEPARTMENT OF THE**
2 **NAVY AND MARINE CORPS”.**

3 (B) The heading of chapter 507 of such
4 title is amended to read as follows:

5 **“CHAPTER 507—COMPOSITION OF THE DE-**
6 **PARTMENT OF THE NAVY AND MARINE**
7 **CORPS”.**

8 (5) OTHER AMENDMENTS.—

9 (A) Title 10, United States Code, is
10 amended by striking “Department of the Navy”
11 and “Secretary of the Navy” each place they
12 appear other than as specified in paragraphs
13 (1), (2), (3), and (4) (including in section head-
14 ings, subsection captions, tables of chapters,
15 and tables of sections) and inserting “Depart-
16 ment of the Navy and Marine Corps” and “Sec-
17 retary of the Navy and Marine Corps”, respec-
18 tively, in each case with the matter inserted to
19 be in the same typeface and typestyle as the
20 matter stricken.

21 (B)(i) Sections 5013(f), 5014(b)(2),
22 5016(a), 5017(2), 5032(a), and 5042(a) of
23 such title are amended by striking “Assistant
24 Secretaries of the Navy” and inserting “Assist-
25 ant Secretaries of the Navy and Marine Corps”.

1 (ii) The heading of section 5016 of such
2 title, and the item relating to such section in
3 the table of sections at the beginning of chapter
4 503 of such title, are each amended by insert-
5 ing “and Marine Corps” after “of the Navy”,
6 with the matter inserted in each case to be in
7 the same typeface and typestyle as the matter
8 amended.

9 (c) OTHER PROVISIONS OF LAW AND OTHER REF-
10 ERENCES.—

11 (1) TITLE 37, UNITED STATES CODE.—Title 37,
12 United States Code, is amended by striking “De-
13 partment of the Navy” and “Secretary of the Navy”
14 each place they appear and inserting “Department
15 of the Navy and Marine Corps” and “Secretary of
16 the Navy and Marine Corps”, respectively.

17 (2) OTHER REFERENCES.—Any reference in
18 any law other than in title 10 or title 37, United
19 States Code, or in any regulation, document, record,
20 or other paper of the United States, to the Depart-
21 ment of the Navy shall be considered to be a ref-
22 erence to the Department of the Navy and Marine
23 Corps. Any such reference to an office specified in
24 subsection (a)(2) shall be considered to be a ref-
25 erence to that office as redesignated by that section.

1 (d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section and the amend-
2 ments made by this section shall take effect on the first
3 day of the first month beginning more than 60 days after
4 the date of the enactment of this Act.

1 **SEC. 924 [Log 51208]. PROHIBITION ON NATIONAL INTEL-**
2 **LIGENCE PROGRAM CONSOLIDATION.**

3 (a) PROHIBITION.—No amounts authorized to be ap-
4 propriated or otherwise made available to the Department
5 of Defense may be used during the period beginning on
6 the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on De-
7 cember 31, 2014, to execute—

8 (1) the separation of the National Intelligence
9 Program budget from the Department of Defense
10 budget;

11 (2) the consolidation of the National Intel-
12 ligence Program budget within the Department of
13 Defense budget; or

14 (3) the establishment of a new appropriations
15 account or appropriations account structure for the
16 National Intelligence Program budget.

17 (b) BRIEFING REQUIREMENT.—Not later than 30
18 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
19 retary of Defense and the Director of National Intelligence
20 shall jointly provide to the congressional defense commit-
21 tees, the Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence of
22 the House of Representatives, and the Select Committee
23 on Intelligence of the Senate a briefing regarding any
24 planning relating to the future execution of the activities
25 described in subsection (a) that has occurred during the
26 two-year period ending on such date and any anticipated

1 future planning relating to such execution or related ef-
2 forts.

3 (c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

4 (1) NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM.—The
5 term “National Intelligence Program” has the mean-
6 ing given the term in section 3 of the National Secu-
7 rity Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003).

8 (2) NATIONAL INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM BUDG-
9 ET.—The term “National Intelligence Program
10 budget” means the portions of the Department of
11 Defense budget designated as part of the National
12 Intelligence Program.

1 **SEC. 932 [Log 50876]. DEFENSE SCIENCE BOARD ASSESS-**
2 **MENT OF UNITED STATES CYBER COMMAND.**

3 (a) ASSESSMENT.—The Defense Science Board shall
4 conduct an assessment of the organization, missions, and
5 authorities of the United States Cyber Command.

6 (b) ELEMENTS.—The assessment required by sub-
7 section (a) shall include the following:

8 (1) A review of the existing organizational
9 structure of the United States Cyber Command, in-
10 cluding—

11 (A) the positive and negative impact on the
12 Command resulting from a single individual si-
13 multaneously serving as the Commander of the
14 United States Cyber Command and the Direc-
15 tor of the National Security Agency;

16 (B) the oversight activities undertaken by
17 the Commander and the Director with regard
18 to the Command and the Agency, respectively,
19 including how the respective oversight activities
20 affect the ability of each entity to complete the
21 respective missions of such entity;

22 (C) the dependencies of the Command and
23 the Agency on one another under the existing
24 management structure of both entities, includ-
25 ing an examination of the advantages and dis-
26 advantages attributable to the unity of com-

1 mand and unity of effort resulting from a single
2 individual simultaneously serving as the Com-
3 mander of the United States Cyber Command
4 and the Director of the National Security Agen-
5 cy;

6 (D) the ability of the existing management
7 structure of the Command and the Agency to
8 identify and adequately address potential con-
9 flicts of interest between the roles of the Com-
10 mander of the United States Cyber Command
11 and the Director of the National Security Agen-
12 cy; and

13 (E) the ability of the Department of De-
14 fense to train and develop, through professional
15 assignment, individuals with the appropriate
16 subject-matter expertise and management expe-
17 rience to support both the cyber operations mis-
18 sions of the Command and the signals intel-
19 ligence missions of the Agency.

20 (2) A review of the missions of the Command,
21 including whether the reliance of the Command on
22 the Agency for critical warfighting infrastructure,
23 organization, and personnel contributes to or de-
24 tracts from the ability of the Command to achieve
25 the missions of the Command.

1 (3) A review of how the Commander of the
2 United States Cyber Command and the Director of
3 the National Security Agency implement authorities
4 where missions intersect to ensure that the activities
5 of each entity are conducted only pursuant to the re-
6 spective authorities of each entity.

7 (c) REPORT.—

8 (1) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than 300
9 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the
10 Defense Science Board shall submit to the Secretary
11 of Defense, the Director of National Intelligence, the
12 congressional defense committees, the Permanent
13 Select Committee on Intelligence of the House of
14 Representatives, and the Select Committee on Intel-
15 ligence of the Senate a report containing—

16 (A) the results of the assessment required
17 by subsection (a); and

18 (B) recommendations for improvements or
19 changes to the organization, missions, or au-
20 thorities of the United States Cyber Command.

21 (2) ADDITIONAL EVALUATION REQUIRED.—Not
22 later than 60 days after the date on which the com-
23 mittees referred to in paragraph (1) receive the re-
24 port required by such paragraph, the Secretary of
25 Defense and the Director of National Intelligence

1 shall jointly submit to such committees an evalua-
2 tion of the findings and recommendations contained
3 in such report.

4 (3) FORM.—The report required by paragraph
5 (1) shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may
6 include a classified annex.

7 (d) INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY DEFINED.—In this
8 section, the term “intelligence community” has the mean-
9 ing given the term in section 3(4) of the National Security
10 Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 3003(4)).

1 **SEC. 934 [Log 51218]. NOTIFICATION OF INVESTIGATIONS**
2 **RELATED TO COMPROMISE OF CRITICAL**
3 **PROGRAM INFORMATION.**

4 (a) NOTIFICATION OF INVESTIGATION INITIATION.—

5 (1) NOTIFICATION.—Not later than 30 days
6 after the date of the initiation of any investigation
7 related to the potential compromise of Department
8 of Defense critical program information related to a
9 weapons system or other developmental activity, the
10 Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congress-
11 sional defense committees a written notification of
12 such investigation including the elements required
13 under paragraph (2).

14 (2) ELEMENTS.—The written notification re-
15 quired under paragraph (1) shall include, with re-
16 spect to an investigation described in such sub-
17 section, the following elements:

18 (A) A statement of the reason for such in-
19 vestigation.

20 (B) An identification of each party affected
21 by such investigation.

22 (C) An identification of the party respon-
23 sible for conducting such investigation.

24 (D) Any preliminary observations, find-
25 ings, or recommendations related to such inves-
26 tigation.

1 (E) A timeline and methodology for con-
2 ducting such investigation.

3 (b) NOTIFICATION OF COMPLETION OF CERTAIN IN-
4 VESTIGATIONS.—Not later than 30 days after the date of
5 the completion of any investigation conducted or overseen
6 by the Damage Assessment Management Office of the De-
7 partment of Defense, the Secretary of Defense shall sub-
8 mit to the congressional defense committees a written no-
9 tification of such investigation, including a summary of
10 the findings and recommendations of such investigation.

11 (c) REPORT ON INTRUSIONS AFTER JANUARY 1,
12 2000.—Not later than 60 days after the date of the enact-
13 ment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit
14 to the congressional defense committees a report detailing
15 the known network cyber intrusions that occurred on or
16 after January 1, 2000, and before August 1, 2013, and
17 resulted in the compromise of critical program information
18 related to a weapons system, information system develop-
19 ment, or another research and development initiative of
20 the Department of Defense. Such report shall include a
21 description of the critical program information that was
22 compromised, the source of each network that was com-
23 promised, the systems or developmental activities that
24 were compromised, and the suspected origin of each cyber
25 intrusion.

1 **Subtitle A—Financial Matters**

2 **SEC. 1001 [Log 50662]. GENERAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

3 (a) **AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—**

4 (1) **AUTHORITY.**—Upon determination by the
5 Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in
6 the national interest, the Secretary may transfer
7 amounts of authorizations made available to the De-
8 partment of Defense in this division for fiscal year
9 2014 between any such authorizations for that fiscal
10 year (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of au-
11 thorizations so transferred shall be merged with and
12 be available for the same purposes as the authoriza-
13 tion to which transferred.

14 (2) **LIMITATION.**—Except as provided in para-
15 graph (3), the total amount of authorizations that
16 the Secretary may transfer under the authority of
17 this section may not exceed \$3,500,000,000.

18 (3) **EXCEPTION FOR TRANSFERS BETWEEN**
19 **MILITARY PERSONNEL AUTHORIZATIONS.**—A trans-
20 fer of funds between military personnel authoriza-
21 tions under title IV shall not be counted toward the
22 dollar limitation in paragraph (2).

23 (b) **LIMITATIONS.**—The authority provided by sub-
24 section (a) to transfer authorizations—

1 (1) may only be used to provide authority for
2 items that have a higher priority than the items
3 from which authority is transferred; and

4 (2) may not be used to provide authority for an
5 item that has been denied authorization by Con-
6 gress.

7 (c) EFFECT ON AUTHORIZATION AMOUNTS.—A
8 transfer made from one account to another under the au-
9 thority of this section shall be deemed to increase the
10 amount authorized for the account to which the amount
11 is transferred by an amount equal to the amount trans-
12 ferred.

13 (d) NOTICE TO CONGRESS.—The Secretary shall
14 promptly notify Congress of each transfer made under
15 subsection (a).

1 **SEC. 1002 [Log 51197]. BUDGETARY EFFECTS OF THIS ACT.**

2 The budgetary effects of this Act, for the purpose of
3 complying with the Statutory Pay-As-You-Go Act of 2010,
4 shall be determined by reference to the latest statement
5 titled “Budgetary Effects of PAYGO Legislation” for this
6 Act, submitted for printing in the Congressional Record
7 by the Chairman of the Committee on the Budget of the
8 House of Representatives, as long as such statement has
9 been submitted prior to the vote on passage of this Act.

1 **SEC. 1003 [Log 50589]. AUDIT OF DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE**
2 **FISCAL YEAR 2018 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.**

3 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—Congress—

4 (1) reaffirms the findings of the Panel on De-
5 fense Financial Management and Auditability Re-
6 form of the Committee on Armed Services of the
7 House of Representatives;

8 (2) points to the Government Accountability Of-
9 fice's most recent High Risk List recommendations;

10 (3) is encouraged by the important progress the
11 Department of Defense has made in achieving
12 auditability; and

13 (4) stands ready to continue helping in this ef-
14 fort.

15 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DOD FINANCIAL MAN-
16 AGEMENT REFORM.—It is the sense of Congress that, in
17 the aftermath of the effects of sequestration as enacted
18 by the Budget Control Act of 2011 (Public Law 112–25),
19 financial management reform is imperative, and the De-
20 partment of Defense should place continued importance
21 on, and remain vigilant in, its financial management re-
22 form efforts.

23 (c) AUDIT OF DOD FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.—In
24 addition to the requirement under section
25 1003(a)(2)(A)(ii) of the National Defense Authorization
26 Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 10 U.S.C.

1 2222 note) that the Financial Improvement and Audit
2 Readiness Plan describe specific actions to be taken and
3 the costs associated with ensuring that the financial state-
4 ments of the Department of Defense are validated as
5 ready for audit by not later than September 30, 2017,
6 upon the conclusion of fiscal year 2018, the Secretary of
7 Defense shall ensure that a full audit is performed on the
8 financial statements of the Department of Defense for
9 such fiscal year. The Secretary shall submit to Congress
10 the results of that audit by not later than March 31, 2019.

1 **SEC. 1004. [Log 50383] AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER FUNDS TO**
2 **THE NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMIN-**
3 **ISTRATION TO SUSTAIN NUCLEAR WEAPONS**
4 **MODERNIZATION.**

5 (a) **TRANSFER AUTHORIZED.**—If the amount author-
6 ized to be appropriated for the weapons activities of the
7 National Nuclear Security Administration under section
8 3101 or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014 is
9 less than \$8,400,000,000 (the amount projected to be re-
10 quired for such activities in fiscal year 2014 as specified
11 in the report under section 1251 of the National Defense
12 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–
13 84; 123 Stat. 2549)), the Secretary of Defense may trans-
14 fer, from amounts authorized to be appropriated for the
15 Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 pursuant to
16 this Act, to the Secretary of Energy an amount, not to
17 exceed \$150,000,000, to be available only for weapons ac-
18 tivities of the National Nuclear Security Administration.

19 (b) **NOTICE TO CONGRESS.**—In the event of a trans-
20 fer under subsection (a), the Secretary of Defense shall
21 promptly notify Congress of the transfer, and shall include
22 in such notice the Department of Defense account or ac-
23 counts from which funds are transferred.

24 (c) **TRANSFER MECHANISM.**—Any funds transferred
25 under this section shall be transferred in accordance with

1 established procedures for reprogramming under section
2 1001 or successor provisions of law.

3 (d) CONSTRUCTION OF AUTHORITY.—The transfer
4 authority provided under subsection (a) is in addition to
5 any other transfer authority provided under this Act.

1 **Subtitle B—Counter-Drug**
2 **Activities**

3 **SEC. 1011 [Log 50320]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUP-**
4 **PORT UNIFIED COUNTER-DRUG AND**
5 **COUNTERTERRORISM CAMPAIGN IN COLOM-**
6 **BIA.**

7 Section 1021 of the Ronald W. Reagan National De-
8 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2005 (Public Law
9 108-375; 118 Stat. 2042), as most recently amended by
10 section 1010 of the National Defense Authorization Act
11 for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat.
12 1907), is amended—

13 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “2013” and
14 inserting “2014”; and

15 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “2013” and
16 inserting “2014”.

1 **SEC. 1012 [Log 50321]. EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY FOR**
2 **JOINT TASK FORCES TO PROVIDE SUPPORT**
3 **TO LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES CON-**
4 **DUCTING COUNTER-TERRORISM ACTIVITIES.**

5 Section 1022(b) of the National Defense Authoriza-
6 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law 108–136; 117
7 Stat. 1594; 10 U.S.C. 371 note), as most recently amend-
8 ed by section 1011 of the National Defense Authorization
9 Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat.
10 1907) is amended by striking “2013” and inserting
11 “2014”.

1 **SEC. 1013 [Log 50322]. TWO-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHOR-**
2 **ITY TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL SUPPORT FOR**
3 **COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES OF CERTAIN**
4 **FOREIGN GOVERNMENTS.**

5 Subsection (a)(2) of section 1033 of the National De-
6 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1998 (Public Law
7 105–85; 111 Stat. 1881), as most recently amended by
8 section 1006(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act
9 for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat.
10 1557), is amended by striking “2013” and inserting
11 “2015”.

1 **SEC. 1022 [Log 51027]. AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR RE-**
2 **TIREMENT OR INACTIVATION OF TICON-**
3 **DEROGA CLASS CRUISERS OR DOCK LAND-**
4 **ING SHIPS.**

5 (a) **LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—**

6 (1) **IN GENERAL.—**Except as provided in para-
7 graph (2), none of the funds authorized to be appro-
8 priated by this Act or otherwise made available for
9 fiscal year 2014 for the Department of Defense may
10 be obligated or expended to retire, prepare to retire,
11 inactivate, or place in storage a cruiser or dock land-
12 ing ship.

13 (2) **EXCEPTION.—**Notwithstanding paragraph
14 (1), the funds referred to in such subsection may be
15 obligated or expended to retire the U.S.S. Denver,
16 LPD9.

17 (b) **AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—**

18 (1) **AUTHORITY.—**Subject to the availability of
19 appropriations for such purpose, the Secretary of
20 Defense may transfer amounts of authorizations
21 made available to the Department of Defense for fis-
22 cal year 2013 specifically for the modernization of
23 vessels referred to in subsection (a)(1). Amounts of
24 authorizations so transferred shall be merged with
25 and be available for the same purposes as the au-
26 thorization to which transferred.

1 (2) LIMITATION.—The total amount of author-
2 izations that the Secretary may transfer under the
3 authority of this subsection may not exceed
4 \$914,676,000.

5 (3) ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.—The transfer au-
6 thority provided by this subsection is in addition to
7 the transfer authority provided under section 1001
8 of this Act and under section 1001 of the National
9 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013
10 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1902).

1 **Subtitle D—Counterterrorism**

2 **SEC. 1031 [Log 51201]. CLARIFICATION OF PROCEDURES**
3 **FOR USE OF ALTERNATE MEMBERS ON MILI-**
4 **TARY COMMISSIONS.**

5 (a) PRIMARY AND ALTERNATE MEMBERS.—

6 (1) NUMBER OF MEMBERS.—Subsection (a) of
7 section 948m of title 10, United States Code, is
8 amended—

9 (A) in paragraph (1)—

10 (i) by striking “at least five members”
11 and inserting “at least five primary mem-
12 bers and as many alternate members as
13 the convening authority shall detail”; and

14 (ii) by adding at the end the following
15 new sentence: “Alternate members shall be
16 designated in the order in which they will
17 replace an excused primary member.” and

18 (B) in paragraph (2), by inserting “pri-
19 mary” after “the number of”.

20 (2) GENERAL RULES.—Such section is further
21 amended—

22 (A) by redesignating subsection (b) and (c)
23 as subsections (d) and (e), respectively; and

24 (B) by inserting after subsection (a) the
25 following new subsections (b) and (c):

1 “(b) PRIMARY MEMBERS.—Primary members of a
2 military commission under this chapter are voting mem-
3 bers.

4 “(c) ALTERNATE MEMBERS.—(1) A military commis-
5 sion may include alternate members to replace primary
6 members who are excused from service on the commission.

7 “(2) Whenever a primary member is excused from
8 service on the commission, an alternate member, if avail-
9 able, shall replace the excused primary member and the
10 trial may proceed.”.

11 (3) EXCUSE OF MEMBERS.—Subsection (d) of
12 such section, as redesignated by paragraph (2)(A), is
13 amended—

14 (A) in the matter before paragraph (1), by
15 inserting “primary or alternate” before “mem-
16 ber”;

17 (B) by striking “or” at the end of para-
18 graph (2),

19 (C) by striking the period at the end of
20 paragraph (3) and inserting “; or”; and

21 (D) by adding at the end the following new
22 paragraph:

23 “(4) in the case of an alternate member, in
24 order to reduce the number of alternate members re-

1 quired for service on the commission, as determined
2 by the convening authority.”.

3 (4) ABSENT AND ADDITIONAL MEMBERS.—Sub-
4 section (e) of such section, as redesignated by para-
5 graph (2)(A), is amended—

6 (A) in the first sentence—

7 (i) by inserting “the number of pri-
8 mary members of” after “Whenever”;

9 (ii) by inserting “primary” before
10 “members required by”; and

11 (iii) by inserting “and there are no re-
12 maining alternate members to replace the
13 excused primary members” after “sub-
14 section (a)”;

15 (B) by adding at the end the following new
16 sentence: “An alternate member who was
17 present for the introduction of all evidence shall
18 not be considered to be a new or additional
19 member.”.

20 (b) CHALLENGES.—Section 949f of such title is
21 amended—

22 (1) in subsection (a), by inserting “primary or
23 alternate” before “member”; and

24 (2) by adding at the end of subsection (b) the
25 following new sentence: “Nothing in this section pro-

1 hibits the military judge from awarding to each
2 party such additional peremptory challenges as may
3 be required in the interests of justice.”.

4 (c) NUMBER OF VOTES REQUIRED.—Section 949m
5 of such title is amended—

6 (1) by inserting “primary” before “members”
7 each place it appears; and

8 (2) by adding at the end of subsection (b) the
9 following new paragraph:

10 “(4) The primary members present for a vote on a
11 sentence need not be the same primary members who
12 voted on the conviction if the requirements of section
13 948m(d) of this title are met.”.

1 **SEC. 1033 [Log 50442]. PROHIBITION ON USE OF FUNDS TO**
2 **CONSTRUCT OR MODIFY FACILITIES IN THE**
3 **UNITED STATES TO HOUSE DETAINEES**
4 **TRANSFERRED FROM UNITED STATES NAVAL**
5 **STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

6 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—No amounts authorized to be ap-
7 propriated or otherwise made available to the Department
8 of Defense may be used during the period beginning on
9 the date of the enactment of this Act and ending on De-
10 cember 31, 2014, to construct or modify any facility in
11 the United States, its territories, or possessions to house
12 any individual detained at Guantanamo for the purposes
13 of detention or imprisonment in the custody or under the
14 control of the Department of Defense unless authorized
15 by Congress.

16 (b) **EXCEPTION.**—The prohibition in subsection (a)
17 shall not apply to any modification of facilities at United
18 States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

19 (c) **INDIVIDUAL DETAINED AT GUANTANAMO DE-**
20 **FINED.**—In this section, the term “individual detained at
21 Guantanamo” has the meaning given that term in section
22 1034(f)(2).

1 **SEC. 1034 [Log 50443]. REQUIREMENTS FOR CERTIFI-**
2 **CATIONS RELATING TO THE TRANSFER OF**
3 **DETAINEES AT UNITED STATES NAVAL STA-**
4 **TION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA, TO FOREIGN**
5 **COUNTRIES AND OTHER FOREIGN ENTITIES.**

6 (a) CERTIFICATION REQUIRED PRIOR TO TRANS-
7 FER.—

8 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided in para-
9 graph (2) and subsection (d), the Secretary of De-
10 fense may not use any amounts authorized to be ap-
11 propriated or otherwise available to the Department
12 of Defense to transfer, during the period beginning
13 on the date of the enactment of this Act and ending
14 on December 31, 2014, any individual detained at
15 Guantanamo to the custody or control of the individ-
16 ual's country of origin, any other foreign country, or
17 any other foreign entity unless the Secretary sub-
18 mits to Congress the certification described in sub-
19 section (b) not later than 30 days before the trans-
20 fer of the individual.

21 (2) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (1) shall not
22 apply to any action taken by the Secretary to trans-
23 fer any individual detained at Guantanamo to effec-
24 tuate an order affecting the disposition of the indi-
25 vidual that is issued by a court or competent tri-
26 bunal of the United States having lawful jurisdiction

1 (which the Secretary shall notify Congress of
2 promptly after issuance).

3 (b) CERTIFICATION.—A certification described in this
4 subsection is a written certification made by the Secretary
5 of Defense, with the concurrence of the Secretary of State
6 and in consultation with the Director of National Intel-
7 ligence, that—

8 (1) the government of the foreign country or
9 the recognized leadership of the foreign entity to
10 which the individual detained at Guantanamo is to
11 be transferred—

12 (A) is not a designated state sponsor of
13 terrorism or a designated foreign terrorist orga-
14 nization;

15 (B) maintains control over each detention
16 facility in which the individual is to be detained
17 if the individual is to be housed in a detention
18 facility;

19 (C) is not, as of the date of the certifi-
20 cation, facing a threat that is likely to substan-
21 tially affect its ability to exercise control over
22 the individual;

23 (D) has taken or agreed to take effective
24 actions to ensure that the individual cannot

1 take action to threaten the United States, its
2 citizens, or its allies in the future;

3 (E) has taken or agreed to take such ac-
4 tions as the Secretary of Defense determines
5 are necessary to ensure that the individual can-
6 not engage or reengage in any terrorist activity;
7 and

8 (F) has agreed to share with the United
9 States any information that—

10 (i) is related to the individual or any
11 associates of the individual; and

12 (ii) could affect the security of the
13 United States, its citizens, or its allies; and

14 (2) includes an assessment, in classified or un-
15 classified form, of the capacity, willingness, and past
16 practices (if applicable) of the foreign country or en-
17 tity in relation to the Secretary's certifications.

18 (c) PROHIBITION IN CASES OF PRIOR CONFIRMED
19 RECIDIVISM.—

20 (1) PROHIBITION.—Except as provided in para-
21 graph (2) and subsection (d), the Secretary of De-
22 fense may not use any amounts authorized to be ap-
23 propriated or otherwise made available to the De-
24 partment of Defense to transfer any individual de-
25 tained at Guantanamo to the custody or control of

1 the individual's country of origin, any other foreign
2 country, or any other foreign entity if there is a con-
3 firmed case of any individual who was detained at
4 United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay,
5 Cuba, at any time after September 11, 2001, who
6 was transferred to such foreign country or entity
7 and subsequently engaged in any terrorist activity.

8 (2) EXCEPTION.—Paragraph (1) shall not
9 apply to any action taken by the Secretary to trans-
10 fer any individual detained at Guantanamo to effec-
11 tuate an order affecting the disposition of the indi-
12 vidual that is issued by a court or competent tri-
13 bunal of the United States having lawful jurisdiction
14 (which the Secretary shall notify Congress of
15 promptly after issuance).

16 (d) NATIONAL SECURITY WAIVER.—

17 (1) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense
18 may waive the applicability to a detainee transfer of
19 a certification requirement specified in subparagraph
20 (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1) or the prohibition in
21 subsection (c), if the Secretary certifies the rest of
22 the criteria required by subsection (b) for transfers
23 prohibited by subsection (c) and, with the concur-
24 rence of the Secretary of State and in consultation

1 with the Director of National Intelligence, deter-
2 mines that—

3 (A) alternative actions will be taken to ad-
4 dress the underlying purpose of the requirement
5 or requirements to be waived;

6 (B) in the case of a waiver of subpara-
7 graph (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1), it is not
8 possible to certify that the risks addressed in
9 the paragraph to be waived have been com-
10 pletely eliminated, but the actions to be taken
11 under subparagraph (A) will substantially miti-
12 gate such risks with regard to the individual to
13 be transferred;

14 (C) in the case of a waiver of subsection
15 (c), the Secretary has considered any confirmed
16 case in which an individual who was transferred
17 to the country subsequently engaged in terrorist
18 activity, and the actions to be taken under sub-
19 paragraph (A) will substantially mitigate the
20 risk of recidivism with regard to the individual
21 to be transferred; and

22 (D) the transfer is in the national security
23 interests of the United States.

24 (2) REPORTS.—Whenever the Secretary makes
25 a determination under paragraph (1), the Secretary

1 shall submit to the appropriate committees of Con-
2 gress, not later than 30 days before the transfer of
3 the individual concerned, the following:

4 (A) A copy of the determination and the
5 waiver concerned.

6 (B) A statement of the basis for the deter-
7 mination, including—

8 (i) an explanation why the transfer is
9 in the national security interests of the
10 United States;

11 (ii) in the case of a waiver of subpara-
12 graph (D) or (E) of subsection (b)(1), an
13 explanation why it is not possible to certify
14 that the risks addressed in the paragraph
15 to be waived have been completely elimi-
16 nated; and

17 (iii) a classified summary of—

18 (I) the individual's record of co-
19 operation while in the custody of or
20 under the effective control of the De-
21 partment of Defense; and

22 (II) the agreements and mecha-
23 nisms in place to provide for con-
24 tinuing cooperation.

1 (C) A summary of the alternative actions
2 to be taken to address the underlying purpose
3 of, and to mitigate the risks addressed in, the
4 paragraph or subsection to be waived.

5 (D) The assessment required by subsection
6 (b)(2).

7 (e) RECORD OF COOPERATION.—In assessing the risk
8 that an individual detained at Guantanamo will engage in
9 terrorist activity or other actions that could affect the se-
10 curity of the United States if released for the purpose of
11 making a certification under subsection (b) or a waiver
12 under subsection (d), the Secretary of Defense may give
13 favorable consideration to any such individual—

14 (1) who has substantially cooperated with
15 United States intelligence and law enforcement au-
16 thorities, pursuant to a pre-trial agreement, while in
17 the custody of or under the effective control of the
18 Department of Defense; and

19 (2) for whom agreements and effective mecha-
20 nisms are in place, to the extent relevant and nec-
21 essary, to provide for continued cooperation with
22 United States intelligence and law enforcement au-
23 thorities.

24 (f) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 (1) The term “appropriate committees of Con-
2 gress” means—

3 (A) the Committee on Armed Services, the
4 Committee on Appropriations, the Committee
5 on Foreign Relations, and the Select Committee
6 on Intelligence of the Senate; and

7 (B) the Committee on Armed Services, the
8 Committee on Appropriations, the Committee
9 on Foreign Affairs, and the Permanent Select
10 Committee on Intelligence of the House of Rep-
11 resentatives.

12 (2) The term “individual detained at Guanta-
13 namo” means any individual located at United
14 States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba, as of
15 October 1, 2009, who—

16 (A) is not a citizen of the United States or
17 a member of the Armed Forces of the United
18 States; and

19 (B) is—

20 (i) in the custody or under the control
21 of the Department of Defense; or

22 (ii) otherwise under detention at
23 United States Naval Station, Guantanamo
24 Bay, Cuba.

1 (3) The term “foreign terrorist organization”
2 means any organization so designated by the Sec-
3 retary of State under section 219 of the Immigra-
4 tion and Nationality Act (8 U.S.C. 1189).

1 **SEC. 1035 [Log 50444]. PROHIBITION ON THE USE OF FUNDS**
2 **FOR THE TRANSFER OR RELEASE OF INDI-**
3 **VIDUALS DETAINED AT UNITED STATES**
4 **NAVAL STATION, GUANTANAMO BAY, CUBA.**

5 No amounts authorized to be appropriated or other-
6 wise made available to the Department of Defense may
7 be used during the period beginning on the date of the
8 enactment of this Act and ending on December 31, 2014,
9 to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release to
10 or within the United States, its territories, or possessions
11 of Khalid Sheikh Mohammed or any other detainee who—

12 (1) is not a United States citizen or a member
13 of the Armed Forces of the United States; and

14 (2) is or was held on or after January 20,
15 2009, at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo
16 Bay, Cuba, by the Department of Defense.

1 **SEC. 1036 [Log 50450]. CLARIFICATION WITH RESPECT TO**
2 **THE APPLICABILITY OF CONSULTATION RE-**
3 **QUIREMENT REGARDING PROSECUTION OF**
4 **TERRORISTS.**

5 Section 1029(b)(1) of the National Defense Author-
6 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 10
7 U.S.C. 801 note) is amended—

8 (1) by striking “who is subject to the require-
9 ments of section 1022, in accordance with a deter-
10 mination made pursuant to subsection (a)(2) of such
11 section” and inserting “who—”; and

12 (2) by adding at the end the following new sub-
13 paragraphs:

14 “(A) is a member of, or part of, al-Qaeda
15 or an associated force that acts in coordination
16 with or pursuant to the direction of al-Qaeda;
17 and

18 “(B) has participated in the course of
19 planning or carrying out an attack or attempted
20 attack against the United States or its coalition
21 partners; and”.

1 **SEC. 1037 [Log 50445]. UNCLASSIFIED SUMMARY OF INFOR-**
2 **MATION RELATING TO INDIVIDUALS DE-**
3 **TAINED AT PARWAN, AFGHANISTAN.**

4 Not later than 120 days after the date of the enact-
5 ment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall make pub-
6 licly available an unclassified summary of information re-
7 lating to the individuals detained by the Department of
8 Defense at the Detention Facility at Parwan, Afghanistan,
9 pursuant to the Authorization for Use of Military Force
10 (Public Law 107-40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note) who have been
11 determined to represent an enduring security threat to the
12 United States. Such summary shall cover any individual
13 detained at such facility as of the date of the enactment
14 of this Act and any individual so detained during the two-
15 year period preceding the date of the enactment of this
16 Act. Such summary shall include for each such covered
17 individual—

18 (1) a description of the relevant organization or
19 organizations with which the individual is affiliated;

20 (2) whether the individual had ever been in the
21 custody or under the effective control of the United
22 States at any time before being detained at such fa-
23 cility and, if so, where the individual had been in
24 such custody or under such effective control; and

25 (3) whether the individual has been directly
26 linked to the death of any member of the United

1 States Armed Forces or any United States Govern-
2 ment employee.

1 **SEC. 1038 [Log 50869]. ASSESSMENT OF AFFILIATES AND AD-**
2 **HERENTS OF AL-QAEDA OUTSIDE THE**
3 **UNITED STATES.**

4 Not later than 120 days after the date of the enact-
5 ment of this Act, the President, acting through the Sec-
6 retary of Defense, shall submit to the congressional de-
7 fense committees an assessment containing each of the fol-
8 lowing:

9 (1) An identification of any group operating
10 outside the United States that is an affiliate or ad-
11 herent of, or otherwise related to, al-Qaeda.

12 (2) A summary of relevant information relating
13 to each such group, including—

14 (A) the extent to which members or leaders
15 of the group have—

16 (i) conducted or planned to conduct
17 lethal or significant operations outside the
18 borders of the state or states in which the
19 group ordinarily operates;

20 (ii) conducted fundraising or recruit-
21 ing outside the borders of such state or
22 states; and

23 (iii) have demonstrated any interest in
24 conducting activities described in clauses
25 (i) and (ii) outside the borders of such
26 state or states;

1 (B) the extent to which the connection of
2 the group to the senior leadership of al-Qaeda
3 has changed over time; and

4 (C) whether the group has attacked or
5 planned to purposefully attack United States
6 citizens, members of Armed Forces of the
7 United States, or other representatives of the
8 United States, or is likely to do so in the fu-
9 ture.

10 (3) An assessment of whether each group is
11 part of or substantially supporting al-Qaeda or the
12 Taliban, or constitutes an associated force that is
13 engaged in hostilities against the United States or
14 its coalition partners.

15 (4) The criteria used to determine the nature
16 and extent of each group's relationship to al-Qaeda.

1 **Subtitle E—Sensitive Military**
2 **Operations**

3 **SEC. 1041 [Log 51011]. CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION OF**
4 **SENSITIVE MILITARY OPERATIONS.**

5 (a) NOTIFICATION REQUIRED.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 3 of title 10, United
7 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the
8 following new section:

9 **“§ 130f. Congressional notification of sensitive mili-**
10 **tary operations**

11 “(a) IN GENERAL.—The Secretary of Defense shall
12 promptly submit to the congressional defense committees
13 notice in writing of any sensitive military operation fol-
14 lowing such operation.

15 “(b) PROCEDURES.—(1) The Secretary of Defense
16 shall establish and submit to the congressional defense
17 committees procedures for complying with the require-
18 ments of subsection (a) consistent with the national secu-
19 rity of the United States and the protection of operational
20 integrity.

21 “(2) The congressional defense committees shall en-
22 sure that committee procedures designed to protect from
23 unauthorized disclosure classified information relating to
24 national security of the United States are sufficient to pro-

1 tect the information that is submitted to the committees
2 pursuant to this section.

3 “(c) SENSITIVE MILITARY OPERATION DEFINED.—

4 The term ‘sensitive military operation’ means a lethal op-
5 eration or capture operation conducted by the armed
6 forces outside the United States pursuant to—

7 “(1) the Authorization for Use of Military
8 Force (Public Law 107–40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note);
9 or

10 “(2) any other authority except—

11 “(A) a declaration of war; or

12 “(B) a specific statutory authorization for
13 the use of force other than the authorization re-
14 ferred to in paragraph (1).

15 “(d) EXCEPTION.—The notification requirement
16 under subsection (a) shall not apply with respect to a sen-
17 sitive military operation executed within the territory of
18 Afghanistan pursuant to the Authorization for Use of
19 Military Force (Public Law 107–40; 50 U.S.C. 1541
20 note).

21 “(e) RULE OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this sec-
22 tion shall be construed to provide any new authority or
23 to alter or otherwise affect the War Powers Resolution (50
24 U.S.C. 1541 et seq.), the Authorization for Use of Military
25 Force (Public Law 107–40; 50 U.S.C. 1541 note), or any

1 requirement under the National Security Act of 1947 (50
2 U.S.C. 3001 et seq.).”.

3 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
4 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
5 by inserting after the item relating to section 130e
6 the following new item:

“130f. Congressional notification regarding sensitive military operations.”.

7 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 130f of title 10,
8 United States Code, as added by subsection (a), shall
9 apply with respect to any sensitive military operation (as
10 defined in subsection (c) of such section) executed on or
11 after the date of the enactment of this Act.

12 (c) DEADLINE FOR SUBMITTAL OF PROCEDURES.—
13 The Secretary of Defense shall submit to the congressional
14 defense committees the procedures required under section
15 130f(b) of title 10, United States Code, as added by sub-
16 section (a), by not later than 60 days after the date of
17 the enactment of this Act.

1 **SEC. 1042 [Log 51012]. REPORT ON PROCESS FOR DETER-**
2 **MINING TARGETS OF LETHAL OPERATIONS.**

3 Not later than 60 days after the date of the enact-
4 ment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense shall submit
5 to the congressional defense committees a report con-
6 taining an explanation of the legal and policy consider-
7 ations and approval processes used in determining whether
8 an individual or group of individuals could be the target
9 of a lethal operation or capture operation conducted by
10 the Armed Forces of the United States outside the United
11 States.

1 **SEC. 1043 [Log 51013]. COUNTERTERRORISM OPERATIONAL**
2 **BRIEFINGS.**

3 (a) BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.—Chapter 23 of title 10,
4 United States Code, is amended by adding at the end the
5 following new section:

6 **“§ 492. Quarterly briefings: counterterrorism oper-**
7 **ations**

8 “(a) BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.—The Secretary of De-
9 fense shall provide to the congressional defense commit-
10 tees quarterly briefings outlining Department of Defense
11 counterterrorism operations and related activities.

12 “(b) ELEMENTS.—Each briefing under subsection
13 (a) shall include each of the following:

14 “(1) A global update on activity within each ge-
15 ographic combatant command.

16 “(2) An overview of authorities and legal issues
17 including limitations.

18 “(3) An outline of interagency activities and
19 initiatives.

20 “(4) Any other matters the Secretary considers
21 appropriate.”.

22 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sections
23 at the beginning of such chapter is amended by adding
24 at the end the following new item:

“492. Quarterly briefings: counterterrorism operations.”.

1 **SEC. 1063. [Log 50795] LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF**
2 **FUNDS FOR MODIFICATION OF FORCE**
3 **STRUCTURE OF THE ARMY.**

4 None of the funds authorized to be appropriated by
5 this Act or otherwise made available for fiscal year 2014
6 for the Department of the Army may be used to modify
7 the force structure or basing strategy of the Army until
8 the Secretary of the Army—

9 (1) submits to Congress the report on force
10 structure required by section 1066 of the National
11 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013
12 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1943); and

13 (2) provides to the congressional defense com-
14 mittees a briefing on the most recent force mix anal-
15 ysis conducted by the Secretary, including—

16 (A) the assumptions and scenarios used to
17 determine the type and mix of Brigade Combat
18 Teams;

19 (B) the rationale for the recommended
20 force mix; and

21 (C) the risks involved with the rec-
22 ommended force mix.

1 **SEC. 1064 [Log 50438]. LIMITATION ON USE OF FUNDS FOR**
2 **PUBLIC-PRIVATE COOPERATION ACTIVITIES.**

3 No amounts authorized to be appropriated or other-
4 wise made available to the Department of Defense by this
5 Act or any other Act may be obligated or expended on
6 any public-private cooperation activity undertaken by a
7 combatant command until the Secretary of Defense sub-
8 mits to the Committee on Armed Services of the Senate
9 and the Committee on Armed Services of the House of
10 Representatives the report on the conclusions of the De-
11 fense Business Board that the Secretary was directed to
12 provide under the Report of the Committee on Armed
13 Services to accompany H.R. 4310 of the 112th Congress
14 (H. Rept. 112-479).

1 **Subtitle H—Studies and Reports**

2 **SEC. [1071 Log 50640]. OVERSIGHT OF COMBAT SUPPORT**
3 **AGENCIES.**

4 Section 193(a)(1) of title 10, United States Code, is
5 amended in the matter preceding subparagraph (A) by in-
6 serting “and the congressional defense committees” after
7 “the Secretary of Defense”.

1 **SEC. 1073 [Log 51014]. EXTENSION OF DEADLINE FOR COMP-**
2 **TROLLER GENERAL REPORT ON ASSIGN-**
3 **MENT OF CIVILIAN EMPLOYEES OF THE DE-**
4 **PARTMENT OF DEFENSE AS ADVISORS TO**
5 **FOREIGN MINISTRIES OF DEFENSE.**

6 Section 1081(d) of the National Defense Authoriza-
7 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law. 112–81; 125
8 Stat. 1599; 10 U.S.C. 168 note) is amended by striking
9 “December 30, 2013” and inserting “December 30,
10 2014”.

1 **SEC. 1074 [Log 50942]. REPEAL OF REQUIREMENT FOR**
2 **COMPTROLLER GENERAL ASSESSMENT OF**
3 **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EFFICIENCIES.**

4 Section 1054 of the National Defense Authorization
5 Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat.
6 1582) is repealed.

1 **SEC. 1075 [Log 51190]. MATTERS FOR INCLUSION IN THE AS-**
2 **SESSMENT OF THE 2013 QUADRENNIAL DE-**
3 **FENSE REVIEW.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—For purposes of conducting the
5 assessment of the 2013 quadrennial defense review under
6 section 118 of title 10, United States Code, the National
7 Defense Panel established under subsection (f) of such
8 section (hereinafter in this section referred to as the
9 “Panel”) shall—

10 (1) conduct an assessment of the recommenda-
11 tion included in the assessment of the 2009 quad-
12 rennial defense review under such section regarding
13 the establishment of a standing, independent stra-
14 tegic review panel;

15 (2) include in the report required by paragraph
16 (7) of such subsection the recommendations of the
17 Panel regarding the establishment of such a stand-
18 ing panel; and

19 (3) take into consideration the Strategic
20 Choices and Management Review directed by the
21 Secretary of Defense during 2013, particularly in
22 carrying out the responsibilities of the Panel under
23 clauses (i), (ii), and (v) of paragraph (5) of such
24 subsection.

25 (b) UPDATES FROM SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.—In
26 providing updates to the panel regarding the 2013 quad-

1 rennial defense review under paragraph (8) of such sub-
2 section, or providing information requested by the panel
3 pursuant to paragraph (9)(A) of such subsection, the Sec-
4 retary of Defense or head of the department or agency,
5 as appropriate, shall also provide information related to
6 the Strategic Choices and Management Review.

1 **SEC. 1077. [Log 51034] REPORTS ON UNMANNED AIRCRAFT**
2 **SYSTEMS.**

3 (a) REPORT ON COLLABORATION, DEMONSTRATION,
4 AND USE CASES AND DATA SHARING.—Not later than 90
5 days after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
6 retary of Defense, the Secretary of Transportation, the
7 Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration,
8 and the Administrator of the National Aeronautics and
9 Space Administration, on behalf of the UAS Executive
10 Committee, shall submit jointly to the appropriate com-
11 mittees of Congress a report setting forth the following:

12 (1) The collaboration, demonstrations, and ini-
13 tial fielding of unmanned aircraft systems at test
14 sites within and outside of restricted airspace.

15 (2) The progress being made to develop public
16 and civil sense-and-avoid and command-and-control
17 technology.

18 (3) An assessment on the sharing of oper-
19 ational, programmatic, and research data relating to
20 unmanned aircraft systems operations by the Fed-
21 eral Aviation Administration, the Department of De-
22 fense, and the National Aeronautics and Space Ad-
23 ministration to help the Federal Aviation Adminis-
24 tration establish civil unmanned aircraft systems
25 certification standards, pilot certification and licens-
26 ing, and air traffic control procedures, including

1 identifying the locations selected to collect, analyze,
2 and store the data.

3 (b) REPORT ON RESOURCE REQUIREMENTS NEEDED
4 FOR UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS DESCRIBED IN THE
5 FIVE-YEAR ROADMAP.—Not later than 90 days after the
6 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of De-
7 fense, on behalf of the UAS Executive Committee, shall
8 submit to the appropriate committees of Congress a report
9 setting forth the resource requirements needed to meet the
10 milestones for unmanned aircraft systems integration de-
11 scribed in the five-year roadmap under section 332(a)(5)
12 of the FAA Modernization and Reform Act (Public Law
13 112–95; 49 U.S.C. 40101 note).

14 (c) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

15 (1) The term “appropriate committees of Con-
16 gress” means—

17 (A) the Committee on Armed Services, the
18 Committee on Commerce, Science and Trans-
19 portation, and the Committee on Appropria-
20 tions of the Senate; and

21 (B) the Committee on Armed Services, the
22 Committee on Transportation and Infrastruc-
23 ture, the Committee on Science, Space, and
24 Technology, and the Committee on Appropria-
25 tions of the House of Representatives.

1 (2) The term “UAS Executive Committee”
2 means the Department of Defense–Federal Aviation
3 Administration executive committee described in sec-
4 tion 1036(b) of the Duncan Hunter National De-
5 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009 (Pub-
6 lic Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4596) established by the
7 Secretary of Defense and the Administrator of the
8 Federal Aviation Administration.

1 **Subtitle I—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 1081 [Log 50380]. TECHNICAL AND CLERICAL AMEND-**
3 **MENTS.**

4 (a) TITLE 10.—Title 10, United States Code, is
5 amended as follows:

6 (1) The table of chapters at the beginning of
7 subtitle A, and at the beginning of part I of such
8 subtitle, are each amended by striking the item re-
9 lating to chapter 24 and inserting the following:

24. Nuclear Posture 491

10 (2) Section 122a(a) is amended by striking
11 “subsection (b) is” and inserting “subsection (b)
12 is—”.

13 (3) The table of sections at the beginning of
14 chapter 3 is amended by striking the item relating
15 to section 130e and inserting the following new item:

“130e. Treatment under Freedom of Information Act of critical infrastructure
security information.”.

16 (4) The table of sections at the beginning of
17 chapter 9 is amended by striking the item relating
18 to section 231 and inserting the following new item:

“231. Budgeting for construction of naval vessels: annual plan and certifi-
cation.”.

19 (5) Section 231a(a) is amended by striking
20 “fiscal year of Defense” and inserting “fiscal year,
21 the Secretary of Defense”.

1 (6) Chapter 24 is amended by adding a period
2 at the end of the enumerator of section 498.

3 (7) Section 494(c) is amended by striking “the
4 date of the enactment of this Act” each place it ap-
5 pears and inserting “December 31, 2011”.

6 (8) Section 673(a) is amended by inserting “of
7 the Uniform Code of Military Justice” after “120c”.

8 (9) Section 1401a is amended by striking “be-
9 fore the enactment of the National Defense Author-
10 ization Act for Fiscal Year 2008” in subsections (d)
11 and (e) and inserting “before January 28, 2008”.

12 (10) Section 2359b(k)(4)(B) is amended by
13 adding a period at the end.

14 (11) Section 2461(a)(5)(E)(i) is amended by
15 striking “the a” and inserting “the”.

16 (b) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR
17 FISCAL YEAR 2013.—Effective as of January 2, 2013,
18 and as if included therein as enacted, the National De-
19 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law
20 112–239) is amended as follows:

21 (1) Section 322(e)(2) (126 Stat. 1695) is
22 amended by striking “Section 2366b(A)(3)(F)” and
23 inserting “Section 2366b(a)(3)(F)”.

24 (2) Section 371(a)(1) (126 Stat. 1706) is
25 amended by striking “subsections (f) and (g) as sub-

1 sections (g) and (h), respectively” and inserting
2 “subsection (f) as subsection (g)”.

3 (3) Section 611(7) (126 Stat. 1776) is amended
4 by striking “Section 408a(e)” and inserting “Section
5 478a(e)”.

6 (4) Section 822(b) (126 Stat. 1830) is amended
7 by striking “such Act” and inserting “such section”.

8 (5) Section 1031(b)(3)(B) (126 Stat.1918) is
9 amended by striking the subclause (III) immediately
10 below clause (iv).

11 (6) Section 1031(b)(4) (126 Stat.1919) is
12 amended by striking “Section 1031(b)” and insert-
13 ing “Section 1041(b)”.

14 (7) Section 1086(d)(1) (126 Stat.1969) is
15 amended by striking “paragraph (1)” and inserting
16 “paragraph (2)”.

17 (8) Section 1221(a)(2) (126 Stat. 1992) is
18 amended by striking “FISCAL” both places it ap-
19 pears and inserting “FISCAL”.

20 (9) Section 1804 (126 Stat. 2111) is amend-
21 ed—

22 (A) in subsection (h)(1)(B), by striking
23 “inserting ‘; and’;” and inserting “inserting a
24 semicolon;”; and

1 (B) in subsection (i), by inserting after “it
2 appears” the following: “(except in those places
3 in which ‘Administrator of FEMA’ already ap-
4 pears)”.

5 (c) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR
6 FISCAL YEAR 2012.—Effective as of December 31, 2011,
7 and as if included therein as enacted, the National De-
8 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law
9 112–81) is amended as follows:

10 (1) Section 312(b)(6)(F) (125 Stat. 1354) is
11 amended by striking “subsection (D)” and inserting
12 “subsection (d)”.

13 (2) Section 585(a)(1) (125 Stat. 1434; 10
14 U.S.C. 1561 note) is amended “experts sexual” and
15 inserting “experts in sexual”.

16 (d) NATIONAL DEFENSE AUTHORIZATION ACT FOR
17 FISCAL YEAR 2004.—Section 338(a) of the National De-
18 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2004 (Public Law
19 108–136; 10 U.S.C. 5013 note), as most recently amended
20 by section 321 of the National Defense Authorization Act
21 for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat.
22 1694), is amended by striking “subsection 4703” and in-
23 serting “section 4703”.

1 (e) AMENDMENT TO TITLE 41.—Section 4712(i) is
2 amended by inserting before “the enactment” the fol-
3 lowing: “that is 180 days after the date”.

4 (f) COORDINATION WITH OTHER AMENDMENTS
5 MADE BY THIS ACT.—For purposes of applying amend-
6 ments made by provisions of this Act other than this sec-
7 tion, the amendments made by this section shall be treated
8 as having been enacted immediately before any amend-
9 ment made by other provisions of this Act.

1 **SEC. 1082 [Log 50707]. TRANSPORTATION OF SUPPLIES FOR**
2 **THE UNITED STATES BY AIRCRAFT OPER-**
3 **ATED BY UNITED STATES AIR CARRIERS.**

4 (a) DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 157 of title 10,
6 United States Code, is amended by inserting after
7 section 2631a the following new section:

8 **“§ 2631b. Supplies: preference to United States air-**
9 **craft**

10 “(a) PREFERENCE.—Only aircraft owned by the
11 United States, or aircraft operated by or under the super-
12 vision of United States air carriers holding a certificate
13 under section 41102 of title 49 and registered in the Civil
14 Reserve Air Fleet, may be used for the transportation by
15 air of supplies on behalf of any component of the Depart-
16 ment of Defense. However, if the President finds that the
17 rates charged for the use of those aircraft is excessive or
18 otherwise unreasonable, contracts for transportation may
19 be made as otherwise provided by law. Charges made for
20 the transportation of those supplies by those aircraft may
21 not be higher than the charges made for transporting like
22 goods for private persons.

23 “(b) OUTSIZE AND OVERSIZE CARGOES.—(1) The
24 preference under subsection (a) shall not apply to outsize
25 or oversize cargoes if no air carrier registered in the Civil
26 Reserve Air Fleet nor any aircraft owned by the United

1 States is capable and available of transporting such a
2 cargo.

3 “(2) The Secretary of Defense shall ensure that, to
4 the maximum extent practicable, outsize and oversize car-
5 goes are transported by aircraft owned and operated by
6 the United States or by air carriers in the Civil Reserve
7 Air Fleet.

8 “(3) Not later than March 30 of each year, the Sec-
9 retary of Defense shall submit to the congressional defense
10 committees a report on outsize and oversize cargo flights.
11 Each such report shall include, for the year covered by
12 the report, each of the following:

13 “(A) The number of outsize and oversize cargo
14 flights, including the number of flights and tonnage
15 of each flight, flown both by aircraft owned and op-
16 erated by the United States and by carriers in the
17 Civil Reserve Air Fleet.

18 “(B) For any cargo carried by aircraft that is
19 neither owned and operated by the United States
20 nor by an air carrier in the Civil Reserve Air Fleet,
21 an explanation for the use of such a carrier.”.

22 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
23 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
24 by inserting after the item relating to section 2631a
25 the following new item:

“2631b. Supplies: preference to United States aircraft.”.

1 (b) OTHER DEPARTMENTS AND AGENCIES.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 401 of title 49,
3 United States Code, is amended by adding at the
4 end the following new section:

5 **“§ 40131. Air transportation procured by the United**
6 **States Government**

7 “(a) GUARANTEE.—When the United States Govern-
8 ment procures, contracts or subcontracts for, or otherwise
9 obtains for its own account, or furnishes to or for the ac-
10 count of a foreign country, organization, or person without
11 provision for reimbursement any equipment, materials, or
12 commodities, or provides financing in any way with Fed-
13 eral funds for the account of any person unless otherwise
14 exempted, within or outside the United States, or ad-
15 vances funds or credits, or guarantees the convertibility
16 of foreign currencies in connection with the furnishing or
17 obtaining of the equipment, materials, or commodities, the
18 head of the relevant department or agency shall take such
19 steps as may be necessary and practicable to ensure that
20 at least 50 percent of the gross tonnage of the equipment,
21 materials, or commodities that may be transported on
22 fixed-wing transport aircraft are transported on commer-
23 cial aircraft that are owned, operated, or otherwise super-
24 vised by air carriers holding a certificate under section
25 41102 of this title and registered in the civil reserve air

1 fleet, to the extent those aircraft are available at fair and
2 reasonable rates.

3 “(b) MILITARY EXCEPTION.—The requirement under
4 subsection (a) shall not apply to any equipment, materials,
5 or commodities transported for the use of the Armed
6 Forces of the United States.

7 “(c) WAIVER.—

8 “(1) IN GENERAL.—The President, or Sec-
9 retary of Transportation in coordination with the
10 Secretary of Defense, may issue a waiver of the re-
11 quirement under subsection (a) to respond to an
12 emergency, if the President, or the Secretary of
13 Transportation in coordination with the Secretary of
14 Defense, as appropriate, determines that such a
15 waiver is in the national security interests of the
16 United States.

17 “(2) COMMITTEE NOTICE.—Any waiver issued
18 under paragraph (1) shall be provided to the fol-
19 lowing committees and subcommittees within 30
20 days of its issuance:

21 “(A) The Committee on Armed Services of
22 the House of Representatives.

23 “(B) The Committee on Transportation
24 and Infrastructure of the House of Representa-
25 tives.

1 “(C) The Committee on Armed Services of
2 the Senate.

3 “(D) The Committee on Commerce,
4 Science and Transportation of the Senate.

5 “(E) The Subcommittees on Defense and
6 on Transportation, Housing and Urban Devel-
7 opment, and Related Agencies of the Committee
8 on Appropriations of the House of Representa-
9 tives.

10 “(F) The Subcommittees on Defense and
11 on Transportation, Housing and Urban Devel-
12 opment, and Related Agencies of the Committee
13 on Appropriations of the Senate.

14 “(3) EXPIRATION AND RENEWAL OF WAIVER.—
15 Any waiver issued under paragraph (1) shall expire
16 not later than 180 days after the date on which it
17 is issued. The President or Secretary of Transpor-
18 tation, as appropriate, may renew an expired or ex-
19 piring waiver as long as the President or Secretary
20 provides notice to the committees and subcommittees
21 referred to in paragraph (2).

22 “(d) REGULATIONS.—Each department or agency of
23 the Government shall administer its air transport oper-
24 ations according to regulations and guidance issued by the
25 Secretary of Transportation.

1 “(e) ENFORCEMENT.—The Secretary of Transpor-
2 tation may impose on any person violating this section,
3 or a regulation issued under this section, a civil penalty
4 of up to \$25,000 for each violation knowingly committed,
5 with each day of a continuing violation following the initial
6 shipment to be a separate violation.”.

7 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
8 tions at the beginning of such chapter is amended
9 by adding at the end the following new item:

 “40131. Transportation of supplies for the United States by aircraft operated
 by United State air carriers.”.

1 **SEC. 1085 [Log 50943]. REVISION OF COMPENSATION OF**
2 **MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL COMMISSION**
3 **ON THE STRUCTURE OF THE AIR FORCE.**

4 (a) **REVISION.**—Section 365(a) of the National De-
5 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law
6 112–239; 126 Stat.1705) is amended—

7 (1) by striking “shall be compensated” and in-
8 serting “may be compensated”;

9 (2) by striking “equal to” and inserting “not to
10 exceed”; and

11 (3) by inserting “of \$155,400” after “annual
12 rate”.

13 (b) **EFFECTIVE DATE.**—The amendments made by
14 subsection (a) shall apply with respect to compensation for
15 a duty performed on or after April 2, 2013.

1 **Subtitle A—Assistance and**
2 **Training**

3 **SEC. 1201. [LOG 50646] MODIFICATION AND EXTENSION OF**
4 **AUTHORITIES RELATING TO PROGRAM TO**
5 **BUILD THE CAPACITY OF FOREIGN MILITARY**
6 **FORCES.**

7 (a) **AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (a) of section 1206 of
8 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
9 2006 (Public Law 109–163; 119 Stat. 3456), as most re-
10 cently amended by section 1206 of the Duncan Hunter
11 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2009
12 (Public Law 110–417; 122 Stat. 4625), is further amend-
13 ed—

14 (1) in paragraph (1)—

15 (A) in subparagraph (A), by striking “or”
16 at the end;

17 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking the
18 period at the end and inserting “; or”; and

19 (C) by adding at the end the following new
20 subparagraph:

21 “(C) support the theater security priorities
22 of a Geographic Combatant Commander.”; and

23 (2) by adding at the end the following new
24 paragraph:

1 “(3) To build the capacity of a foreign coun-
2 try’s security forces to conduct counterterrorism op-
3 erations.”.

4 (b) ANNUAL FUNDING LIMITATION.—Subsection
5 (c)(1) of section 1206 of the National Defense Authoriza-
6 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2006, as so amended, is further
7 amended by striking “\$350,000,000” and inserting
8 “\$425,000,000”.

9 (c) NOTIFICATION OF PLANNING AND EXECUTION OF
10 FUNDS.—Subsection (e) of section 1206 of the National
11 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2006, as most
12 recently amended by section 1201 of the National Defense
13 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–
14 239; 126 Stat. 1979), is further amended—

15 (1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as para-
16 graph (4);

17 (2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-
18 lowing new paragraph:

19 “(3) NOTIFICATION OF PLANNING AND EXECU-
20 TION OF FUNDS.—In the budget materials submitted
21 to the President by the Secretary of Defense in con-
22 nection with the submission to Congress, pursuant
23 to section 1105 of title 31, United States Code, of
24 the budget for fiscal year 2016, and each subsequent

1 fiscal year, the Secretary of Defense shall include
2 the following:

3 “(A) For programs to be conducted or
4 supported under subsection (a) (other than sub-
5 section (a)(1)(C)) for such fiscal year, a de-
6 scription of the proposed planning and execu-
7 tion of not less than 50 percent of the total
8 amount of funds to be made available for such
9 programs.

10 “(B) For programs to be conducted or
11 supported under subsection (a)(1)(C) for such
12 fiscal year, a description of the proposed plan-
13 ning and execution of 100 percent of the total
14 amount of funds to be made available for such
15 programs.”; and

16 (3) in subparagraph (B) of paragraph (4), as so
17 redesignated, by striking “Committee on Inter-
18 national Relations” and inserting “Committee on
19 Foreign Affairs”.

20 (d) TERMINATION OF PROGRAM.—Subsection (g) of
21 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
22 2006, as most recently amended by section 1201 of the
23 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013,
24 is further amended by striking “2014” each place it ap-
25 pears and inserting “2016”.

1 (e) REPEAL OF AUTHORITY TO BUILD THE CAPAC-
2 ITY OF CERTAIN COUNTERTERRORISM FORCES IN YEMEN
3 AND EAST AFRICA.—Section 1203 of the National De-
4 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law
5 112–239; 126 Stat. 1980) is hereby repealed.

1 **SEC. 1203. [LOG 50477] GLOBAL SECURITY CONTINGENCY**
2 **FUND.**

3 (a) **AUTHORITY.**—Subsection (b) of section 1207 of
4 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
5 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1625; 22 U.S.C.
6 2151 note) is amended—

7 (1) in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by
8 inserting “or regions” after “countries”; and

9 (2) in paragraph (1)—

10 (A) in the matter preceding subparagraph
11 (A), by striking “and other national security
12 forces” and inserting “or other national secu-
13 rity forces”; and

14 (B) in subparagraph (A)—

15 (i) by striking “and counterterrorism
16 operations” and inserting “or counterter-
17 rorism operations”; and

18 (ii) by striking “and” at the end and
19 inserting “or”.

20 (b) **NOTICES TO CONGRESS.**—Subsection (l) of such
21 section is amended to read as follows:

22 “(l) **NOTICES TO CONGRESS.**—Not less than 30 days
23 before initiating an activity under a program of assistance
24 under subsection (b), the Secretary of State and the Sec-
25 retary of Defense shall jointly submit to the specified con-

1 gressional committees a notification that includes the fol-
2 lowing:

3 “(1) A request for the transfer of funds into
4 the Fund under subsection (f) or any other author-
5 ity, including the original source of the funds.

6 “(2) A detailed justification for the total antici-
7 pated program plan for each country to include total
8 anticipated costs and the specific activities contained
9 therein.

10 “(3) The budget, execution plan and timeline,
11 and anticipated completion date for the activity.

12 “(4) A list of other security-related assistance
13 or justice sector and stabilization assistance that the
14 United States is currently providing the country con-
15 cerned and that is related to or supported by the ac-
16 tivity.

17 “(5) Such other information relating to the pro-
18 gram or activity as the Secretary of State or Sec-
19 retary of Defense considers appropriate.”.

20 (c) TRANSITIONAL AUTHORITIES; ANNUAL REPORTS;
21 GUIDANCE AND PROCESSES FOR EXERCISE OF AUTHOR-
22 ITY.—Such section, as so amended, is further amended—

23 (1) by striking subsection (n);

24 (2) by redesignating subsection (m) as sub-
25 section (n); and

1 (3) by inserting after subsection (l), as so
2 amended, the following new subsection:

3 “(m) **GUIDANCE AND PROCESSES FOR EXERCISE OF**
4 **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of State and the Secretary
5 of Defense shall jointly submit a report to the specified
6 congressional committees 15 days after the date on which
7 the necessary guidance has been issued and processes for
8 implementation of the authority in subsection (b). The
9 Secretary of State and Secretary of Defense shall jointly
10 submit additional reports not later than 15 days after the
11 date on which any future modifications to the guidance
12 and processes for implementation of the authority in sub-
13 section (b) are issued.”.

14 (d) **FUNDING.**—Subsection (o) of such section is
15 amended by striking “(o) **FUNDING.**—” and all that fol-
16 lows through “(2) **FISCAL YEARS 2013 AND AFTER.**—” and
17 inserting “(o) **FUNDING.**—”.

1 **SEC. 1204. [LOG 50120] CODIFICATION OF NATIONAL GUARD**
2 **STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.**

3 (a) STATE PARTNERSHIP PROGRAM.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 1 of title 32, United
5 States Code, is amended by adding at the end the
6 following new section:

7 **“§ 116. State Partnership Program**

8 “(a) PURPOSES OF PROGRAM.—The purposes of the
9 State Partnership Program of the National Guard are the
10 following:

11 “(1) To support the objectives of the com-
12 mander of the combatant command for the theater
13 of operations in which such contacts and activities
14 are conducted.

15 “(2) To support the objectives of the United
16 States chief of mission of the partner nation with
17 which contacts and activities are conducted.

18 “(3) To build international partnerships and
19 defense and security capacity.

20 “(4) To strengthen cooperation between the de-
21 partments and agencies of the United States Gov-
22 ernment and agencies of foreign governments to sup-
23 port building of defense and security capacity.

24 “(5) To facilitate intergovernmental collabora-
25 tion between the United States Government and for-

1 eign governments in the areas of defense and secu-
2 rity.

3 “(6) To facilitate and enhance the exchange of
4 information between the United States Government
5 and foreign governments on matters relating to de-
6 fense and security.

7 “(b) AVAILABILITY OF APPROPRIATED FUNDS FOR
8 PROGRAM.—(1) Funds appropriated to the Department of
9 Defense, including funds appropriated for the Air and
10 Army National Guard, shall be available for the payment
11 of costs incurred by the National Guard to conduct activi-
12 ties under the State Partnership Program, whether those
13 costs are incurred inside or outside the United States.

14 “(2) Costs incurred by the National Guard and cov-
15 ered under paragraph (1) may include the following:

16 “(A) Costs of pay and allowances of members
17 of the National Guard.

18 “(B) Travel and necessary expenses of United
19 States personnel outside of the Department of De-
20 fense in support of the State Partnership Program.

21 “(C) Travel and necessary expenses of foreign
22 participants directly supporting activities under the
23 State Partnership Program.

24 “(c) LIMITATIONS ON USE OF FUNDS.—(1) Funds
25 shall not be available under subsection (b) for activities

1 conducted in a foreign country unless jointly approved
2 by—

3 “(A) the commander of the combatant com-
4 mand concerned; and

5 “(B) the chief of mission concerned, with the
6 concurrence of the Secretary of State.

7 “(2) Funds shall not be available under subsection
8 (b) for the participation of a member of the National
9 Guard in activities in a foreign country unless the member
10 is on active duty in the armed forces at the time of such
11 participation.

12 “(3) Funds shall not be available under subsection
13 (b) for interagency activities involving United States civil-
14 ian personnel or foreign civilian personnel unless the par-
15 ticipation of such personnel in such activities—

16 “(A) contributes to responsible management of
17 defense resources;

18 “(B) fosters greater respect for and under-
19 standing of the principle of civilian control of the
20 military;

21 “(C) contributes to cooperation between the
22 United States armed forces and civilian govern-
23 mental agencies and foreign military and civilian
24 government agencies; or

1 “(D) improves international partnerships and
2 capacity on matters relating to defense and security.

3 “(d) REIMBURSEMENT.—(1) In the event of the par-
4 ticipation of United States Government participants
5 (other than personnel of the Department of Defense) in
6 activities for which payment is made under subsection (b),
7 the head of the department or agency concerned shall re-
8 imburse the Secretary of Defense for the costs associated
9 with the participation of such personnel in such contacts
10 and activities.

11 “(2) Amounts received under paragraph (1) shall be
12 deposited in the appropriation or account from which
13 amounts for the payment concerned were derived. Any
14 amounts so deposited shall be merged with amounts in
15 such appropriation or account, and shall be available for
16 the same purposes, and subject to the same conditions and
17 limitations, as amounts in such appropriation or account.

18 “(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

19 “(1) The term ‘State Partnership Program’
20 means a program that establishes a defense and se-
21 curity relationship between the National Guard of a
22 State or territory and the military and security
23 forces, and related disaster management, emergency
24 response, and security ministries, of a foreign coun-
25 try.

1 “(2) The term ‘activities’, for purposes of the
2 State Partnership Program, means any military-to-
3 military activities or interagency activities for a pur-
4 pose set forth in subsection (a)(1).

5 “(3) The term ‘interagency activities’ means the
6 following:

7 “(A) Contacts between members of the Na-
8 tional Guard and foreign civilian personnel out-
9 side the ministry of defense of the foreign coun-
10 try concerned on a matter within the core com-
11 petencies of the National Guard.

12 “(B) Contacts between United States civil-
13 ian personnel and members of the military and
14 security forces of a foreign country or foreign
15 civilian personnel on a matter within the core
16 competencies of the National Guard.

17 “(4) The term ‘matter within the core com-
18 petencies of the National Guard’ means matters with
19 respect to the following:

20 “(A) Disaster response and mitigation.

21 “(B) Defense support to civil authorities.

22 “(C) Consequence management and instal-
23 lation protection.

1 “(D) Response to a chemical, biological,
2 radiological, nuclear, or explosives (CBRNE)
3 event.

4 “(E) Border and port security and co-
5 operation with civilian law enforcement.

6 “(F) Search and rescue.

7 “(G) Medicine.

8 “(H) Counter-drug and counter-narcotics
9 activities.

10 “(I) Public affairs.

11 “(J) Employer support and family support
12 for reserve forces.

13 “(5) The term ‘United States civilian personnel’
14 means the following:

15 “(A) Personnel of the United States Gov-
16 ernment (including personnel of departments
17 and agencies of the United States Government
18 other than the Department of Defense) and
19 personnel of State and local governments of the
20 United States.

21 “(B) Members and employees of the legis-
22 lative branch of the United States Government.

23 “(C) Non-governmental individuals.

24 “(6) The term ‘foreign civilian personnel’
25 means the following:

1 “(A) Civilian personnel of a foreign gov-
2 ernment at any level (including personnel of
3 ministries other than ministries of defense).

4 “(B) Non-governmental individuals of a
5 foreign country.”.

6 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
7 tions at the beginning of chapter 1 of such title is
8 amended by adding at the end the following new
9 item:

 “116. State Partnership Program.”.

10 (b) REPEAL OF SUPERSEDED AUTHORITY.—Section
11 1210 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
12 Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2517; 32
13 U.S.C. 107 note) is repealed.

1 **SEC. 1205. [LOG 50969] AUTHORITY TO CONDUCT ACTIVI-**
2 **TIES TO ENHANCE THE CAPABILITY OF CER-**
3 **TAIN FOREIGN COUNTRIES TO RESPOND TO**
4 **INCIDENTS INVOLVING WEAPONS OF MASS**
5 **DESTRUCTION IN SYRIA AND THE REGION.**

6 (a) **AUTHORITY.**—The Secretary of Defense, with the
7 concurrence of the Secretary of State, may provide assist-
8 ance to the military and civilian response organizations of
9 Jordan, Kuwait, Bahrain, the United Arab Emirates,
10 Iraq, Turkey, and other countries in the region of Syria
11 in order for such countries to respond effectively to inci-
12 dents involving weapons of mass destruction in Syria and
13 the region.

14 (b) **AUTHORIZED ELEMENTS.**—Assistance provided
15 under this section may include training, equipment, and
16 supplies.

17 (c) **AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS FOR ACTIVITIES**
18 **ACROSS FISCAL YEARS.**—The Secretary of Defense may
19 use up to \$4,000,000 of the funds made available to the
20 Department of Defense for operation and maintenance for
21 a fiscal year to carry out the program authorized in sub-
22 section (a) and may provide assistance under such pro-
23 gram that begins in that fiscal year but ends in the next
24 fiscal year.

25 (d) **REPORT.**—Not later than 60 days after the date
26 on which the authority of subsection (a) is first exercised,

1 and annually thereafter through December 31, 2015, the
2 Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary
3 of State, shall submit to the congressional defense commit-
4 tees and the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Sen-
5 ate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House
6 of Representatives an annual report to include at least the
7 following:

8 (1) A detailed description by country of assist-
9 ance provided.

10 (2) An overview of how such assistance fits
11 into, and is coordinated with, other United States ef-
12 forts to build the capability and capacity of countries
13 in the region of Syria to counter the threat of weap-
14 ons of mass destruction in Syria and the region.

15 (3) A listing of equipment and supplies pro-
16 vided to countries in the region of Syria.

17 (4) Any other matters the Secretary of Defense
18 and the Secretary of State determine appropriate.

19 (e) EXPIRATION.—The authority provided under sub-
20 section (a) may not be exercised after September 30,
21 2015.

1 **SEC. 1206. [LOG 50447] ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHOR-**
2 **ITY TO SUPPORT FOREIGN FORCES PARTICI-**
3 **PATING IN OPERATIONS TO DISARM THE**
4 **LORD’S RESISTANCE ARMY.**

5 (a) FUNDING.—Subsection (c)(1) of section 1206 of
6 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
7 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1624) is amended—

8 (1) by striking “fiscal years 2012 and 2013”
9 and inserting “fiscal years 2012, 2013, and 2014”;
10 and

11 (2) by striking “for operation and mainte-
12 nance” and inserting “to provide additional oper-
13 ation and maintenance funds for overseas contin-
14 gency operations being carried out by the Armed
15 Forces as specified in the funding table in section
16 4302”.

17 (b) EXPIRATION.—Subsection (h) of such section is
18 amended by striking “September 30, 2013” and inserting
19 “September 30, 2014”.

1 **Subtitle B—Matters Relating to**
2 **Iraq, Afghanistan, and Pakistan**

3 **SEC. 1211. [LOG 50414] ONE-YEAR EXTENSION AND MODI-**
4 **FICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR REIMBURSE-**
5 **MENT OF CERTAIN COALITION NATIONS FOR**
6 **SUPPORT PROVIDED TO UNITED STATES**
7 **MILITARY OPERATIONS.**

8 (a) EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY.—Subsection (a) of
9 section 1233 of the National Defense Authorization Act
10 for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat.
11 393), as most recently amended by section 1227 of the
12 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013
13 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2000), is further amend-
14 ed by striking “for fiscal year 2013” and inserting “for
15 fiscal year 2014”.

16 (b) LIMITATION ON AMOUNTS AVAILABLE.—Sub-
17 section (d) of such section, as so amended, is further
18 amended—

19 (1) in paragraph (1), by striking “during fiscal
20 year 2013 may not exceed \$1,650,000,000” and in-
21 serting “during fiscal year 2014 may not exceed
22 \$1,500,000,000”; and

23 (2) in paragraph (3), by striking “Fiscal Year
24 2013” and inserting “Fiscal Year 2014”.

1 (c) LIMITATION ON REIMBURSEMENT OF PAKISTAN
2 IN FISCAL YEAR 2014 PENDING CERTIFICATION ON
3 PAKISTAN.—

4 (1) IN GENERAL.—Effective as of the date of
5 the enactment of this Act, no amounts authorized to
6 be appropriated by this Act, and no amounts author-
7 ized to be appropriated for fiscal years before fiscal
8 year 2014 that remain available for obligation, may
9 be used for reimbursements of Pakistan under the
10 authority in subsection (a) of section 1233 of the
11 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
12 2008, as amended by this section, until the Sec-
13 retary of Defense certifies to the congressional de-
14 fense committees each of the following:

15 (A) That Pakistan is maintaining security
16 and is not through its actions or inactions at
17 any level of government limiting or otherwise
18 restricting the movement of United States
19 equipment and supplies along the Ground Lines
20 of Communications (GLOCs) through Pakistan
21 to Afghanistan so that such equipment and
22 supplies can be transshipped and such equip-
23 ment and supplies can be retrograded out of Af-
24 ghanistan.

1 (B) That Pakistan is taking demonstrable
2 steps to—

3 (i) support counterterrorism oper-
4 ations against al Qaeda, Tehrik-i-Taliban
5 Pakistan, and other militant extremists
6 groups such as the Haqqani Network and
7 the Quetta Shura Taliban located in Paki-
8 stan;

9 (ii) disrupt the conduct of cross-bor-
10 der attacks against United States, coaliti-
11 on, and Afghanistan security forces lo-
12 cated in Afghanistan by such groups (in-
13 cluding the Haqqani Network and the
14 Quetta Shura Taliban) from bases in Paki-
15 stan;

16 (iii) counter the threat of improvised
17 explosive devices, including efforts to at-
18 tack improvised explosive device networks,
19 monitor known precursors used in impro-
20 vised explosive devices, and systematically
21 address the misuse of explosive materials
22 (including calcium ammonium nitrate) and
23 accessories and their supply to legitimate
24 end-users in a manner that impedes the
25 flow of improvised explosive devices and

1 improvised explosive device components
2 into Afghanistan; and

3 (iv) conduct cross-border coordination
4 and communication with Afghan security
5 forces and United States Armed Forces in
6 Afghanistan.

7 (2) WAIVER AUTHORITY.—The Secretary of De-
8 fense may waive the limitation in paragraph (1) if
9 the Secretary certifies to the congressional defense
10 committees in writing that the waiver is in the na-
11 tional security interests of the United States and in-
12 cludes with such certification a justification for the
13 waiver.

1 **SEC. 1212. [LOG 50415] ONE-YEAR EXTENSION OF AUTHOR-**
2 **ITY TO USE FUNDS FOR REINTEGRATION AC-**
3 **TIVITIES IN AFGHANISTAN.**

4 Section 1216 of the Ike Skelton National Defense
5 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–
6 383; 124 Stat. 4392), as most recently amended by sec-
7 tion 1218 of the National Defense Authorization Act for
8 Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1990),
9 is further amended—

10 (1) in subsection (a)—

11 (A) by striking “\$35,000,000” and insert-
12 ing “\$25,000,000”; and

13 (B) by striking “for fiscal year 2013” and
14 inserting “for fiscal year 2014”; and

15 (2) in subsection (e), by striking “December 31,
16 2013” and inserting “December 31, 2014”.

1 **SEC. 1213. [LOG 50419] EXTENSION OF COMMANDERS'**
2 **EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM IN AF-**
3 **GHANISTAN.**

4 (a) ONE YEAR EXTENSION.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Section 1201 of the Na-
6 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
7 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619), as
8 amended by section 1221 of the National Defense
9 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law
10 112–239; 126 Stat. 1992), is amended by striking
11 “fiscal year 2013” each place it appears and insert-
12 ing “fiscal year 2014”.

13 (2) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—The heading
14 of subsection (a) of such section is amended by
15 striking “FISCAL YEAR 2013” and inserting “FIS-
16 CAL YEAR 2014”.

17 (b) AMOUNT OF FUNDS AVAILABLE DURING FISCAL
18 YEAR 2014.—Subsection (a) of such section is further
19 amended by striking “\$200,000,000” and inserting
20 “\$60,000,000”.

1 **SEC. 1214. [LOG 50420] EXTENSION OF AUTHORITY TO SUP-**
2 **PORT OPERATIONS AND ACTIVITIES OF THE**
3 **OFFICE OF SECURITY COOPERATION IN IRAQ.**

4 (a) **LIMITATION ON AMOUNT.**—Subsection (c) of sec-
5 tion 1215 of the National Defense Authorization Act for
6 Fiscal Year 2012 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1631),
7 as amended by section 1211 of the National Defense Au-
8 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–
9 239; 126 Stat. 1982), is further amended by striking “fis-
10 cal year 2012” and all that follows and inserting “fiscal
11 year 2014 may not exceed \$209,000,000.”.

12 (b) **SOURCE OF FUNDS.**—Subsection (d) of such sec-
13 tion, as so amended, is further amended—

14 (1) by striking “fiscal year 2012 or fiscal year
15 2013” and inserting “fiscal year 2014”; and

16 (2) by striking “fiscal year 2012 or 2013, as
17 the case may be,” and inserting “that fiscal year”.

18 (c) **ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY FOR THE ACTIVITIES**
19 **OF THE OFFICE OF SECURITY COOPERATION IN IRAQ.**—
20 Subsection (f) of such section, as so amended, is further
21 amended—

22 (1) by striking “fiscal year 2013” and inserting
23 “fiscal year 2014”; and

24 (2) by striking “and Counter Terrorism Serv-
25 ice”.

1 **SEC. 1215. [LOG 50459] ONE-YEAR EXTENSION AND MODI-**
2 **FICATION OF AUTHORITY FOR PROGRAM TO**
3 **DEVELOP AND CARRY OUT INFRASTRUCTURE**
4 **PROJECTS IN AFGHANISTAN.**

5 Section 1217(f) of the Ike Skelton National Defense
6 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111–
7 383; 124 Stat. 4393), as most recently amended by sec-
8 tion 1219 of the National Defense Authorization Act for
9 Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 1991),
10 is further amended—

11 (1) in paragraph (1), by adding at the end the
12 following new subparagraph:

13 “(C) Up to \$279,000,000 made available
14 to the Department of Defense for operation and
15 maintenance for fiscal year 2014.”;

16 (2) in paragraph (2)—

17 (A) in the matter preceding subparagraph
18 (A)—

19 (i) by striking “fiscal year 2011” and
20 inserting “fiscal year 2013”; and

21 (ii) by inserting “, or phase of a
22 project,” after “each project”;

23 (B) by redesignating subparagraph (C) as
24 subparagraph (D); and

25 (C) by inserting after subparagraph (B)
26 the following new subparagraph:

1 “(C) An assessment of the capability of the
2 Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF) to
3 provide security for such project after January
4 1, 2015, including ANSF force levels required
5 to secure the project. Such assessment should
6 include the estimated costs of providing security
7 and whether or not the Government of Afghani-
8 stan is committed to providing such security.”;
9 and
10 (3) in paragraph (3), by adding at the end the
11 following new subparagraph:
12 “(D) In the case of funds for fiscal year
13 2014, until September 30, 2015.”.

1 **SEC. 1216. [LOG 50559] SPECIAL IMMIGRANT VISAS FOR**
2 **CERTAIN IRAQI AND AFGHAN ALLIES.**

3 (a) PROTECTION FOR AFGHAN ALLIES.—Section
4 602(b) of the Afghan Allies Protection Act of 2009 (8
5 U.S.C.1101 note) is amended—

6 (1) in paragraph (2)(A)(ii), by striking “on or
7 after October 7, 2001,” and inserting “during the
8 period beginning on October 7, 2001, and ending on
9 December 31, 2014,”;

10 (2) in paragraph (2)(D), by adding at the end
11 the following: “A principal alien described in sub-
12 paragraph (A) seeking special immigrant status
13 under this section shall apply for an approval de-
14 scribed in this subparagraph not later than Sep-
15 tember 30, 2015.”; and

16 (3) in paragraph (3)(A), by striking “2013.”
17 and inserting “2013, and may not exceed 435 for
18 each of fiscal years 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, and
19 2018.”.

20 (b) SPECIAL IMMIGRANT STATUS FOR CERTAIN
21 IRAQIS.—Section 1244(a)(1) of the Refugee Crisis in Iraq
22 Act of 2007 (8 U.S.C. 1157 note) is amended by striking
23 the semicolon at the end and inserting “on or before the
24 date of the enactment of the National Defense Authoriza-
25 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2014;”.

1 **Subtitle C—Matters Relating to**
2 **Afghanistan Post 2014**

3 **SEC. 1221. [LOG 50457] MODIFICATION OF REPORT ON**
4 **PROGRESS TOWARD SECURITY AND STA-**
5 **BILITY IN AFGHANISTAN.**

6 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1230 of the National De-
7 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law
8 110–181; 122 Stat. 385), as most recently amended by
9 section 1214(a) of the National Defense Authorization Act
10 for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat.
11 1986), is further amended—

12 (1) by redesignating subsections (f), (g), and
13 (h) as subsections (g), (h), and (i), respectively; and
14 (2) by inserting after subsection (e) the fol-
15 lowing new subsection:

16 “(f) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED: REDEPLOYMENT
17 OF UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES FROM AFGHANI-
18 STAN.—The report required under subsection (a) shall in-
19 clude a detailed description of the following matters relat-
20 ing to the redeployment of United States Armed Forces
21 from Afghanistan:

22 “(1) The number and a description of United
23 States Armed Forces redeployed, vehicles and equip-
24 ment redeployed, and bases closed during the report-
25 ing period.

1 “(2) A summary of tasks and functions con-
2 ducted by the United States Armed Forces or the
3 Department of Defense that have been transferred
4 to other United States Government departments and
5 agencies, Afghan Government ministries and agen-
6 cies, other foreign governments, or nongovernmental
7 organizations, or discontinued during the reporting
8 period. The summary shall include a discussion of
9 the formal and informal arrangements and working
10 groups that have been established to coordinate and
11 execute the transfer of such tasks and functions.”.

12 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made this
13 section apply with respect to any report required to be
14 submitted under section 1230 of the National Defense Au-
15 thorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110–
16 181; 122 Stat. 385) on or after the date of the enactment
17 of this Act.

1 **SEC. 1222. [LOG 50463] SENSE OF CONGRESS ON UNITED**
2 **STATES MILITARY SUPPORT IN AFGHANI-**
3 **STAN.**

4 It is the sense of Congress that—

5 (1) since the United States engagement in Af-
6 ghanistan beginning in 2001, United States and coa-
7 lition forces have achieved substantial progress to-
8 ward security and stability in Afghanistan, including
9 the training of the Afghan National Security Forces;

10 (2) a stable and secure Afghanistan with a
11 credible government is in the long-term national se-
12 curity interests of the United States and would con-
13 tribute to the overall stability and security in the re-
14 gion;

15 (3) as the United States accelerates transfer of
16 the lead for security to the Afghan National Security
17 Forces by the spring of 2013, the United States
18 should assist the Afghan National Security Forces
19 to maintain gains in security and should continue to
20 evaluate the capability and capacity of the Afghan
21 National Security Forces through the fighting sea-
22 son in 2013;

23 (4) following the duration of the North Atlantic
24 Treaty Organization (NATO) mission on December
25 31, 2014, the United States should continue efforts
26 to disrupt, dismantle, and defeat al Qaeda;

1 (5) the Haqqani Network continues to be the
2 most important enabler of al Qaeda in Afghanistan
3 and Pakistan;

4 (6) the operational requirements of the Afghan
5 National Security Forces, in part due to the threat
6 to the Government of Afghanistan from the Haqqani
7 Network, al Qaeda, and other associated groups, ne-
8 cessitate that the Afghan Security National Forces
9 have sufficient operational capacity to maintain the
10 security of Afghanistan, including enabler capabili-
11 ties such as aviation, casualty evacuation, logistics,
12 intelligence, and indirect fire;

13 (7) the United States, with its Afghan partners,
14 should provide assistance to the Government of Af-
15 ghanistan so that the Taliban, the Haqqani Net-
16 work, and associated terrorist and insurgent groups
17 cannot militarily overthrow the Government of Af-
18 ghanistan or plan and launch attacks against United
19 States and Afghan interests from safe havens in Af-
20 ghanistan;

21 (8) the United States military's transition to
22 counterterrorism and advise and assist missions
23 should occur consistent with agreements between the
24 United States, Afghanistan, and international part-
25 ners as well as conditions on the ground;

1 (9) a bilateral security agreement that preserves
2 vital United States interests between the United
3 States and the Government of Afghanistan, achieved
4 at the earliest practicable time, is critical to the
5 long-term stability of Afghanistan as well as United
6 States' long term interests; however, the United
7 States should not sign a bilateral security agreement
8 that is antithetical to United States national security
9 interests or commits to funding not directly linked
10 to achieving those interests;

11 (10) the United States should support the
12 achievement of a bilateral security agreement be-
13 tween NATO and the Government of Afghanistan
14 because such a bilateral security agreement also will
15 contribute to the long term stability and security of
16 Afghanistan;

17 (11) the United States should conduct the re-
18 quired oversight and audits of United States sta-
19 bility programs to ensure that the activities are in
20 line with the intended purpose of these programs;

21 (12) the United States should assist the Gov-
22 ernment of Afghanistan to provide security for the
23 Afghan elections scheduled for 2014 and provide
24 such assistance as requested by Afghan Government
25 entities overseeing the elections and judged nec-

1 essary by the United States to help guarantee a
2 credible and legitimate election; and

3 (13) significant uncertainty exists within Af-
4 ghanistan regarding the level of future United
5 States military support following the end of the
6 NATO mission on December 31, 2014, and there-
7 fore in order to reduce such uncertainty and pro-
8 mote further stability and security in Afghanistan
9 following the end of the NATO mission, the Presi-
10 dent should—

11 (A) publicly support a residual United
12 States military presence in Afghanistan con-
13 sistent with United States national security in-
14 terests;

15 (B) as part of the announcement of resid-
16 ual force levels, publicly define the mission sets
17 and the support that the United States will pro-
18 vide to the Afghan National Security Forces;
19 and

20 (C) publicly support sufficient funding for
21 the Afghan National Security Forces until the
22 Government of Afghanistan is able to independ-
23 ently sustain the security of Afghanistan con-
24 sistent with United States national security in-
25 terests.

1 **SEC. 1224. [LOG 51090] LIMITATION ON AVAILABILITY OF**
2 **FUNDS FOR CERTAIN AUTHORITIES FOR AF-**
3 **GHANISTAN.**

4 (a) REINTEGRATION ACTIVITIES AND INFRASTRUC-
5 TURE PROJECTS IN AFGHANISTAN.—

6 (1) IN GENERAL.—None of the funds author-
7 ized to be appropriated by this Act may be obligated
8 or expended to carry out the provisions of law de-
9 scribed in paragraph (2) until 15 days after the date
10 on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the
11 specified congressional committees the certification
12 described in subsection (d).

13 (2) PROVISIONS OF LAW.—The provisions of
14 law referred to in paragraph (1) are the following:

15 (A) Section 1216 of the Ike Skelton Na-
16 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
17 Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat.
18 4392; relating to authority to use funds for re-
19 integration activities in Afghanistan).

20 (B) Section 1217 of the Ike Skelton Na-
21 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
22 Year 2011 (Public Law 111–383; 124 Stat.
23 4393; relating to authority for program to de-
24 velop and carry out infrastructure projects in
25 Afghanistan).

1 (b) COMMANDERS' EMERGENCY RESPONSE PRO-
2 GRAM IN AFGHANISTAN.—Of the funds authorized to be
3 appropriated by this Act to carry out section 1201 of the
4 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012
5 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1619; relating to the Com-
6 manders' Emergency Response Program in Afghanistan),
7 \$45,000,000 may not be obligated or expended until 15
8 days after the date on which the Secretary of Defense sub-
9 mits to the specified congressional committees the certifi-
10 cation described in subsection (d).

11 (c) AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND.—Of the
12 funds authorized to be appropriated by this Act for the
13 Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, \$2,615,000,000 may
14 not be obligated or expended until 15 days after the date
15 on which the Secretary of Defense submits to the specified
16 congressional committees the certification described in
17 subsection (d).

18 (d) CERTIFICATION DESCRIBED.—The certification
19 referred to in subsections (a), (b), and (c) is a certification
20 of the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Sec-
21 retary of State, that the United States and Afghanistan
22 have signed a bilateral security agreement that—

23 (1) protects the Department of Defense, its
24 military and civilian personnel, and contractors from
25 liability to pay any tax, or similar charge, associated

1 with efforts to carry out missions in the territory of
2 Afghanistan that have been agreed to by both the
3 Government of the United States and the Govern-
4 ment of Afghanistan;

5 (2) ensures exclusive jurisdiction for the United
6 States over United States Armed Forces located in
7 Afghanistan;

8 (3) ensures that there is no infringement on the
9 right of self-defense of the United States military
10 mission or United States military personnel in Af-
11 ghanistan;

12 (4) ensures that the United States military in
13 Afghanistan is permitted to take the efforts deemed
14 necessary to protect other United States Govern-
15 ment offices and personnel in Afghanistan as may be
16 required;

17 (5) ensures that the United States military mis-
18 sion in Afghanistan has sufficient access to bases
19 and basing rights as may be necessary to carry out
20 the activities in Afghanistan that the President has
21 assigned to the military; and

22 (6) ensures that the United States has the free-
23 dom of movement to carry out those military mis-
24 sions as may be required to continue the effort to
25 defeat al Qaeda and its associated forces.

1 (e) SPECIFIED CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—In
2 this section, the term “specified congressional commit-
3 tees” means—

- 4 (1) the congressional defense committees; and
5 (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the
6 Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
7 House of Representatives.

1 **SEC. 1232. [LOG 50455] REPORT ON UNITED STATES MILI-**
2 **TARY PARTNERSHIP WITH GULF COOPERA-**
3 **TION COUNCIL COUNTRIES.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 90 days after the
5 date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense
6 shall submit to the congressional defense committees a re-
7 port on the United States military partnership with Gulf
8 Cooperation Council countries.

9 (b) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The report re-
10 quired by subsection (a) shall include the following:

11 (1) An explanation of the steps that the De-
12 partment of Defense is taking to improve the inter-
13 operability of United States-Gulf Cooperation Coun-
14 cil countries missile defense systems.

15 (2) An outline of the defense agreements with
16 Gulf Cooperation Council countries, including cave-
17 ats and restrictions on United States operations.

18 (3) An outline of United States efforts in Gulf
19 Cooperation Council countries that are funded by
20 overseas contingency operations funding, an expla-
21 nation of overseas contingency operations funding
22 for such efforts, and a plan to transition overseas
23 contingency operations funding for such efforts to
24 long-term, sustainable funding sources.

1 (c) FORM.—The report required by subsection (a)
2 shall be submitted in unclassified form, but may contain
3 a classified annex, if necessary.

1 **SEC. 1233. [LOG 50458] ADDITIONAL ELEMENTS IN ANNUAL**
2 **REPORT ON MILITARY POWER OF IRAN.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Section 1245(b)(3) of the Na-
4 tional Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010
5 (Public Law 111–84; 123 Stat. 2542) is amended—

6 (1) in subparagraph (C), by striking “and” at
7 the end;

8 (2) in subparagraph (D), by striking the period
9 at the end and inserting a semicolon; and

10 (3) by adding at the end the following new sub-
11 paragraphs:

12 “(E) a description of the strategy and
13 structure of the global Iranian Threat Network
14 and an assessment of the capability of such
15 Network and how such Network operates to re-
16 inforce Iran’s grand strategy; and

17 “(F) a description of the gaps in intel-
18 ligence of the Department of Defense with re-
19 spect to Iran and a prioritization of those gaps
20 in intelligence by operational need.”.

21 (b) EFFECTIVE DATE.—The amendments made by
22 this section shall take effect on the date of the enactment
23 of this Act and shall apply with respect to reports required
24 to be submitted under section 1245 of the National De-
25 fense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2010, as so
26 amended, on or after that date.

1 **SEC. 1234. [LOG 50405] SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE DE-**
2 **FENSE OF THE ARABIAN GULF.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

4 (1) In response to U.S. Central Command re-
5 quirements, the United States Navy has maintained,
6 on average, more than one aircraft carrier in the
7 Arabian Gulf for more than five years.

8 (2) In February 2013, the senior leadership of
9 the Department of Defense elected to reduce the
10 number of aircraft carriers deployed to the Arabian
11 Gulf in light of budget constraints and limitation of
12 the overall carrier force structure to support the two
13 aircraft carrier requirement.

14 (3) In reference to the decision to indefinitely
15 delay the deployment of the USS Harry Truman,
16 CVN 75, and the USS Gettysburg, its cruiser escort,
17 Chairman of the Joint Chiefs, General Martin
18 Dempsey stated, “We’re trying to stretch our readi-
19 ness out by keeping this particular carrier in home-
20 port in our global response force, so if something
21 happens elsewhere in the world, we can respond to
22 it. Had we deployed it and ‘consumed’ that readi-
23 ness, we could have created a situation where down-
24 stream we wouldn’t have a carrier present in certain
25 parts of the world at all.”

1 (4) Highlighting the risks of having only one
2 aircraft carrier in the region and relying on land-
3 based aircraft, General Dempsey stated, “When you
4 have carrier-based aircraft, you have complete au-
5 tonomy and control over when you use them. When
6 you use land-based aircraft, you often have to have
7 host-nation permission to use them.”.

8 (5) Addressing the perception of the United
9 States commitment to the region, General James
10 Mattis, Commander of U.S. Central Command, tes-
11 tified in March 2013, “Perhaps the greatest risk to
12 U.S. interests in the region is a perceived lack of an
13 enduring U.S. commitment to collective interests
14 and the security of our regional partners.”. He went
15 on to testify that, “The drawdown of our forces can
16 be misinterpreted as a lack of attention, a lack of
17 commitment to the region.”.

18 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
19 gress that—

20 (1) maintaining only one aircraft carrier battle
21 group in the Arabian Gulf constrains United States’
22 options and could put at risk the ability to have di-
23 versified platforms from which to defend the Ara-
24 bian Gulf and, if necessary, to conduct military oper-
25 ations to prevent Iran from threatening the United

1 States, United States allies, or Iran's neighbors with
2 nuclear weapons;

3 (2) it is in the interests of the United States to
4 maintain both land-based and sea-based capabilities
5 in the region to project force;

6 (3) land-based locations in the region could re-
7 strict United States military options and critically
8 impact the operational capability if required to con-
9 duct a defense of the Arabian Gulf because the
10 United States has not finalized bilateral security
11 agreements with key Gulf Cooperation Council coun-
12 tries;

13 (4) as a result of these and other critical limita-
14 tions associated with maintaining one aircraft car-
15 rier battle group in the Arabian Gulf, United States
16 military commanders have expressed concerns about
17 the operational constraints, the increasing uncer-
18 tainty among United States allies, and the
19 emboldening of potential adversaries such as Iran;

20 (5) regarding the ability of the United States
21 Navy to maintain a two aircraft carrier presence in
22 the Arabian Gulf, the Chief of Naval Operations,
23 Admiral Jonathan Greenert, stated, "We need 11
24 carriers to do the job. That's been pretty clearly

1 written, and that's underwritten in our defense stra-
2 tegic guidance.”.

3 (6) the United States should construct and suf-
4 ficiently sustain a fleet of at least eleven aircraft
5 carriers and associated battle force ships in order to
6 meet current and future requirements and to sup-
7 port at least a two aircraft carrier battle group pres-
8 ence in the Arabian Gulf, in addition to meeting
9 other operational requirements; and

10 (7) the United States should finalize bilateral
11 agreements with key Gulf Cooperation Council coun-
12 tries that support the Defense of the Arabian Gulf
13 requirements, at the earliest possible date.

1 **Subtitle E—Reports and Other**
2 **Matters**

3 **SEC. 1241. [LOG 50470] REPORT ON POSTURE AND READI-**
4 **NESS OF UNITED STATES ARMED FORCES TO**
5 **RESPOND TO FUTURE TERRORIST ATTACKS**
6 **IN AFRICA AND THE MIDDLE EAST.**

7 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
8 gress that—

9 (1) the terrorist attack in Benghazi, Libya on
10 September 11, 2012, may have never occurred or
11 could have been prevented had there been an inter-
12 national stabilizing force following NATO-led oper-
13 ations in order to help stabilize the country, build
14 capacity within the security forces, and pursue ter-
15 rorist groups that threaten the local government as
16 well as United States interests;

17 (2) the attack also highlighted the limitations of
18 the United States military to alert, deploy, and deci-
19 sively counter a no-notice terrorist attack such as
20 the one in Benghazi, or another security contin-
21 gency, due to the limitations stemming from United
22 States military posture in Africa and the Middle
23 East and when there is a lack of a layered defense
24 at United States diplomatic facilities;

1 (3) the United States military is more effec-
2 tively able to respond to terrorist attacks on United
3 States facilities outside of the United States if the
4 responding United States military assets are forward
5 deployed;

6 (4) when an intelligence threat assessment de-
7 termines that a United States facility overseas is
8 vulnerable to attack, such facility should have robust
9 force protection measures sufficient to safeguard
10 personnel and assets until a United States military
11 response can arrive;

12 (5) the continually evolving terrorist threat to
13 United States interests on the Continent of Africa
14 and the Middle East necessitates that the United
15 States military maintains a forward deployed pos-
16 ture in Europe, Middle East, and Africa in order to
17 be able to respond to terrorist events, or other secu-
18 rity contingencies, and to effectively evacuate and
19 recover United States personnel;

20 (6) the United States military, in conjunction
21 with the Department of State and the intelligence
22 community, should continue to evaluate the assump-
23 tions underpinning the terrorist threat in order to
24 ensure that it is effectively able to respond globally
25 to future terrorist attacks;

1 (7) the United States military should regularly
2 re-evaluate the posture and alert status require-
3 ments of its crisis response elements in order to be
4 more responsive to the evolving and global nature of
5 the terrorist threat, and all United States military
6 crisis response elements should be fully equipped
7 with the required supporting capabilities to conduct
8 their missions;

9 (8) on April 16, 2013, Chairman of the Joint
10 Chiefs of Staff, General Martin Dempsey, testified
11 before the House Appropriations Committee that the
12 military is, “. . .adapting our force posture to a new
13 normal of combustible violence in North Africa and
14 in the Middle East”;

15 (9) The President stated in a press conference
16 on May 16, 2013, “I have directed the Defense De-
17 partment to ensure that our military can respond
18 lightening quick in times of crisis.”;

19 (10) the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs should
20 continue to evaluate the posture of United States
21 forces to respond to the global terrorist threat, in-
22 cluding an evaluation of whether United States Afri-
23 ca Command should have forces and necessary
24 equipment permanently assigned to the command to
25 respond more promptly to this “new normal”; and

1 (11) although the Department of State-initiated
2 Accountability Review Board found that the Marine
3 Security Guard program should be expanded and
4 that there should be greater coordination between
5 the Department of Defense and the Department of
6 State to identify additional resources for security at
7 high risk posts, the United States military may be
8 challenged to provide additional security to Depart-
9 ment of State facilities due to budget shortfalls, on-
10 going force structure constraints, and increasing
11 operational requirements for the Department of De-
12 fense.

13 (b) REPORT REQUIRED.—

14 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
15 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
16 retary of Defense, in consultation with the Chairman
17 of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, shall submit to the ap-
18 propriate congressional committees a report on the
19 posture and readiness of United States Armed
20 Forces to respond to future terrorist attacks in Afri-
21 ca and the Middle East.

22 (2) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The plan re-
23 quired under paragraph (1) shall include, at a min-
24 imum, the following:

1 (A) An assessment of terrorist groups and
2 other non-state groups that threaten United
3 States interests and facilities in Africa, includ-
4 ing a description of the key assumptions under-
5 pinning such assessment.

6 (B) A description of the readiness, posture,
7 and alert status of relevant United States
8 Armed Forces in Europe, the Middle East, Af-
9 rica, and the United States and any changes
10 implemented or planned to be implemented
11 since the terrorist attack in Benghazi, Libya on
12 September 11, 2012, to respond to the “new
13 normal” and President Obama’s directive for
14 the military to respond “lightening quick” in
15 times of crisis.

16 (C) In consultation with the Secretary of
17 State, a description of new or modified require-
18 ments of the Department of State, if any, for—

19 (i) United States Marine Security
20 Guard Detachments;

21 (ii) any other Department of Defense
22 assets to provide enhanced security at De-
23 partment of State facilities;

24 (iii) an explanation of how any new
25 requirements for Marine Security Detach-

1 ments or other Department of Defense as-
2 sets affect the capacity of the Armed
3 Forces, including specifically the capacity
4 of the Marine Corps, to fulfill Department
5 of Defense operational requirements; and

6 (iv) an explanation of how any
7 unfulfilled requirements for Marine Secu-
8 rity Detachments would adversely impact
9 security at Department of State facilities.

10 (3) DEFINITION.—In this subsection, the term
11 “appropriate congressional committees” means—

12 (A) the Committee on Armed Services and
13 the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Sen-
14 ate; and

15 (B) the Committee on Armed Services and
16 the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House
17 of Representatives.

1 **SEC. 1242. [LOG 50471] ROLE OF THE GOVERNMENT OF**
2 **EGYPT TO UNITED STATES NATIONAL SECU-**
3 **RITY.**

4 (a) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
5 gress that—

6 (1) Egypt is undergoing a significant political
7 transition and the ultimate outcome of this political
8 process and its implications for United States na-
9 tional security interests remain uncertain;

10 (2) the United States continues to have consid-
11 erable concerns about the intentions and actions of
12 the Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood and whether the
13 government of President Morsi is committed to a
14 pluralistic, democratic Egypt;

15 (3) the United States has a stake in Egypt be-
16 coming a mature, pluralistic democracy in which the
17 rights of Egyptian citizens, including women and mi-
18 norities, are protected;

19 (4) the United States should continue to closely
20 monitor President Morsi's support for the peace
21 treaty with the Government of Israel, which has
22 been a stabilizing force in the region for over 30
23 years;

24 (5) the United States military relationship with
25 the Egyptian military is long-standing and should

1 remain a key pillar to, and component of, United
2 States engagement with Egypt;

3 (6) the close military-to-military relationship
4 between the United States and Egypt has been a
5 critical component in enabling counterterrorism co-
6 operation between the two governments to ensure
7 the United States military has freedom of movement
8 throughout the region in order to deter aggression
9 and respond to threats to United States national se-
10 curity interests, particularly in light of the security
11 situation in Libya and the Sinai;

12 (7) the Egyptian military has exercised re-
13 straint and professionalism during the unrest in
14 Egypt over the last two years and hopefully will re-
15 main a key mechanism through which the United
16 States can support the people of Egypt in achieving
17 their goals for a representative and democratic polit-
18 ical system, while promoting peace and security in
19 the region; and

20 (8) therefore, with appropriate vetting, United
21 States military assistance and support to the Egyp-
22 tian military should continue, even as civilian aid to
23 Egypt receives greater scrutiny as a result of the un-
24 certainty associated with Egypt's current political
25 leadership and economic policies.

1 (b) PLAN REQUIRED.—

2 (1) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days
3 after the date of the enactment of this Act, the Sec-
4 retary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary
5 of State, shall submit to the appropriate congress-
6 sional committees a report that contains a com-
7 prehensive plan for United States military assistance
8 and cooperation with Egypt.

9 (2) MATTERS TO BE INCLUDED.—The plan re-
10 quired under paragraph (1) shall include, at a min-
11 imum, a detailed description of the following:

12 (A) How United States security assistance
13 and cooperation enables—

14 (i) freedom of movement for the
15 United States military throughout the re-
16 gion; and

17 (ii) the Government of Egypt to dis-
18 rupt, dismantle, and defeat al Qaeda, af-
19 filiated groups, and other terrorist organi-
20 zations, whether based in and operating
21 from Egyptian territory or the region.

22 (B) The capacity of the Government of
23 Egypt to prevent the illicit movement of terror-
24 ists, criminals, weapons, and other dangerous
25 material across Egypt's borders or administra-

1 tive boundaries, including through tunnels and
2 other illicit points of entry into Gaza.

3 (C) The extent to which the Egyptian mili-
4 tary is—

5 (i) supporting the protection of the
6 political, economic, and religious freedoms
7 and human rights of all citizens and resi-
8 dents in Egypt;

9 (ii) supporting credible and legitimate
10 elections in Egypt;

11 (iii) supporting the Egypt-Israel
12 Peace Treaty;

13 (iv) taking effective steps to eliminate
14 smuggling networks and to detect and de-
15 stroy tunnels between Egypt and Gaza;
16 and

17 (v) supporting action to combat ter-
18 rorism in the Sinai.

19 (3) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-
20 TEES DEFINED.—In this subsection, the term “ap-
21 propriate congressional committees” means—

22 (A) the congressional defense committees;
23 and

1 (B) the Committee on Foreign Relations of
2 the Senate and the Committee on Foreign Af-
3 fairs of the House of Representatives.

1 **SEC. 1243. [LOG 50388] SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE MILI-**
2 **TARY DEVELOPMENTS ON THE KOREAN PE-**
3 **NINSULA.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

5 (1) The Democratic People’s Republic of Korea
6 (“North Korea”) has escalated regional tensions
7 with hostile rhetoric and provocative actions.

8 (2) North Korea threatened a nuclear attack on
9 the United States and a resumption of open war
10 against the Republic of Korea (“South Korea”).

11 (3) North Korea’s nuclear weapons and ballistic
12 missile programs constitute a threat to the national
13 security of the United States and to regional sta-
14 bility.

15 (4) On April 14, 2009, North Korea halted ne-
16 gotiations regarding its nuclear weapons program
17 when it abandoned the Six-Party Talks with the
18 People’s Republic of China (“China”), Japan, the
19 Russian Federation (“Russia”), South Korea, and
20 the United States.

21 (5) On May 25, 2009, North Korea detonated
22 a nuclear device in an underground explosive test.

23 (6) On March 26, 2010, North Korea sank a
24 South Korean naval vessel, the Cheonan, killing 46
25 South Korean sailors.

1 (7) On November 23, 2010, North Korea
2 shelled the border island of Yeonpyeong-do, killing
3 four people. This was the first direct artillery attack
4 on South Korean territory since the signing of the
5 1953 armistice.

6 (8) On April 13, 2012, North Korea conducted
7 a rocket launch that failed to send a satellite into
8 orbit. This launch violated United Nations Security
9 Council (UNSC) Resolutions 1718 and 1874.

10 (9) On December 12, 2012, North Korea used
11 banned long-range missile technology to launch an
12 earth observation satellite into orbit. In response,
13 the UNSC unanimously adopted Resolution 2087,
14 condemning the launch.

15 (10) On February 12, 2013, North Korea con-
16 ducted a third underground nuclear test in violation
17 of UNSC Resolution 1718, 1874, and 2087. The
18 test also contravened North Korea's commitments
19 under the September 2005 Joint Statement of the
20 Six-Party Talks.

21 (11) On March 7, 2013, the UNSC unani-
22 mously adopted Resolution 2094, condemning North
23 Korea's third nuclear test and imposed additional
24 sanctions against the regime.

1 (12) On March 28, 2013, North Korea unilaterally nullified the armistice agreement with the
2 United States that suspended military conflict on
3 the Korean peninsula.

5 (13) On March 30, 2013, North Korea declared
6 a state of war with South Korea.

7 (14) On April 4, 2013, North Korea placed two
8 intermediate-range Musudan missiles on mobile
9 launchers and temporarily relocated them to the
10 eastern coast of the Korean peninsula before removing
11 them a month later from the launch sites.

12 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Congress that—

14 (1) the United States and its allies, South
15 Korea and Japan, share the goal of a stable and
16 peaceful Korean Peninsula, free of nuclear weapons;

17 (2) the United States remains committed to defending
18 its allies in the Asia-Pacific region and stability in
19 Northeast Asia requires restraint by all parties from
20 activities that would complicate international relations
21 or escalate international tensions, and international
22 disputes should be mitigated in a constructive manner
23 consistent with established principles of international law;

25 (3) Congress supports—

1 (A) the verifiable denuclearization of the
2 Korean Peninsula in a peaceful manner,

3 (B) North Korea's abandonment of its nu-
4 clear programs and return to the Treaty on the
5 Nonproliferation of Nuclear Weapons and to
6 International Atomic Energy Agency safe-
7 guards; and

8 (C) North Korea's full acceptance of and
9 compliance with the terms of the 1953 Armi-
10 stice Agreement;

11 (4) the United States has national interests in
12 security and stability in the Asia-Pacific region, the
13 implementation of the United States-Korea Free
14 Trade Agreement, nuclear non-proliferation efforts,
15 the promotion of respect for the fundamental human
16 rights of the North Korean people, international
17 cyber-security cooperation, and full implementation
18 of United States and multilateral sanctions against
19 illicit activities;

20 (5) the United States encourages China and
21 Russia to fully implement and enforce United States
22 and United Nations Security Council sanctions
23 against North Korea; and

24 (6) the President, the Secretary of State, and
25 the Secretary of Defense should keep Congress fully

- 1 informed on security developments on the Korean
- 2 Peninsula.

1 **SEC. 1244. [LOG 50905] SENSE OF CONGRESS ON DEFENSE**
2 **COOPERATION WITH GEORGIA.**

3 It is the sense of Congress that the United States
4 should enhance its defense cooperation efforts with Geor-
5 gia and support the efforts of the Government of Georgia
6 to provide for the defense of its government, people, and
7 sovereign territory.

1 **SEC. 1246. [LOG 50996] ADDITIONAL REPORTS ON MILITARY**
2 **AND SECURITY DEVELOPMENTS INVOLVING**
3 **THE DEMOCRATIC PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF**
4 **KOREA.**

5 (a) REPORT.—Subsection (a) of section 1236 of the
6 National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012
7 (Public Law 112–81; 125 Stat. 1641), as amended by sec-
8 tion 1292 of the National Defense Authorization Act for
9 Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126 Stat. 2042),
10 is further amended by striking “November 1, 2012, and
11 November 1, 2013,” and inserting “November 1, 2013,
12 November 1, 2015, and November 1, 2017,”.

13 (b) UPDATE.—Section 1236 of the National Defense
14 Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012 is amended—

15 (1) by redesignating subsection (c) as sub-
16 section (d); and

17 (2) by inserting after subsection (b) the fol-
18 lowing new subsection:

19 “(c) UPDATE.—The Secretary of Defense shall revise
20 or supplement the most recent report submitted pursuant
21 to subsection (a) if, in the Secretary’s estimation, interim
22 events or developments occurring in a period between re-
23 ports required under subsection (a) warrant revision or
24 supplement.”.

1 **SEC. 1250. [LOG 50462] CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATIONS**
2 **RELATING TO STATUS OF FORCES AGREE-**
3 **MENTS.**

4 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—With respect to an agreement on
5 the status of forces between the United States and a for-
6 eign country, the Secretary of Defense, in consultation
7 with the Secretary of State, shall notify the appropriate
8 congressional committees not later than 15 days after the
9 date on which the agreement is signed, renewed, amended
10 or otherwise revised, or terminated.

11 (b) **BRIEFINGS REQUIRED.**—Not later than February
12 1 of each calendar year, the Secretary of Defense, in con-
13 sultation with the Secretary of State, shall provide a brief-
14 ing to the appropriate congressional committees on the fol-
15 lowing:

16 (1) Status of forces agreements that the United
17 States will seek to enter into in such calendar year.

18 (2) Status of forces agreements that have ex-
19 pired and which the United States will seek to renew
20 in such calendar year.

21 (3) Amendments to status of forces agreements
22 that the Secretary of Defense determines to be sub-
23 stantial and are likely to be negotiated in such cal-
24 endar year.

1 (c) APPROPRIATE CONGRESSIONAL COMMITTEES.—

2 In this section, the term “appropriate congressional com-
3 mittees” means—

4 (1) the congressional defense committees; and

5 (2) the Committee on Foreign Relations of the
6 Senate and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the
7 House of Representatives.

8 (d) EFFECTIVE DATE.—This section shall take effect
9 on the date of the enactment of this Act and shall apply
10 with respect to an agreement described in subsection (a)
11 that is signed on or after the date of the enactment of
12 this Act.

1 **SEC. 1251. [LOG 50560] SENSE OF CONGRESS ON THE CON-**
2 **FLICT IN SYRIA.**

3 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

4 (1) The conflict in Syria began in March 2011.

5 (2) As of February 2013, the United Nations
6 High Commissioner for Human Rights estimated
7 that approximately 70,000 Syrians have been killed
8 during the conflict.

9 (3) According to the United Nations High Com-
10 missioner for Refugees, over 1,200,000 Syrians are
11 registered refugees or persons of concern including,
12 over 66,000 in Egypt, over 145,000 in Iraq, over
13 461,000 in Jordan, over 462,000 in Lebanon, and
14 over 329,000 in Turkey.

15 (4) Jabhat al-Nusra, a group located in Syria
16 and categorized as an affiliate of al-Qaeda by the in-
17 telligence community, presents a direct threat to the
18 interests of the United States and could present a
19 direct threat to the United States.

20 (5) On August 19, 2011, President Obama
21 stated: “The future of Syria must be determined by
22 its people, but President Bashar al-Assad is stand-
23 ing in their way. We have consistently said that
24 President Assad must lead a democratic transition
25 or get out of the way. He has not led. For the sake

1 of the Syrian people, the time has come for Presi-
2 dent Assad to step aside.”.

3 (6) The United States is deploying 200 military
4 personnel from the headquarters of the 1st Armored
5 Division to Jordan in order to “improve readiness
6 and prepare for a number of scenarios”.

7 (7) In a letter from Miguel Rodriguez, the As-
8 sistant to the President for Legislative Affairs, to
9 Senators McCain and Levin, dated April 25, 2013,
10 it stated that “our intelligence community does as-
11 sess with varying degrees of confidence that the Syr-
12 ian regime has used chemical weapons on a small
13 scale in Syria, specifically, the chemical agent
14 sarin. . .We do believe that any use of chemical
15 weapons in Syria would very likely have originated
16 with the Assad regime. . .the President has made it
17 clear that the use of chemical weapons—or the
18 transfer of chemical weapons to terrorist groups—is
19 a red line for the United States of America”.

20 (8) In a press conference with Israel Prime
21 Minister, Benjamin Netanyahu, President Obama
22 stated: “I have made clear that the use of chemical
23 weapons is a game-changer”.

24 (9) In August 2012, during a White House
25 press conference, President Obama stated: “We have

1 been very clear to the Assad regime, but also to
2 other players on the ground, that a redline for us is
3 we start seeing a whole bunch of chemical weapons
4 moving around or being utilized.”.

5 (10) It is a threat to the vital national security
6 interest of the United States if terrorist groups,
7 such as al-Qaeda, obtain chemical or biological mate-
8 rial or weapons in Syria.

9 (11) At a Pentagon press conference on May 2,
10 2013, Secretary Hagel confirmed that the Obama
11 Administration is re-thinking its opposition to arm-
12 ing the rebels.

13 (12) On April 11, 2013, responding to a ques-
14 tion about the need for a supplemental funding re-
15 quest for any potential United States military effort
16 in Syria, Secretary Hagel stated: “Yes, I think it is
17 pretty clear that a supplemental would be re-
18 quired.”.

19 (b) SENSE OF CONGRESS.—It is the sense of Con-
20 gress that—

21 (1) President Obama should fully consider all
22 courses of action to remove President Bashar al-
23 Assad from power;

24 (2) the President should fully consider all
25 courses of action to reinforce his stated “redline” re-

1 garding the use of weapons of mass destruction by
2 the Assad regime in Syria, which could threaten the
3 credibility of the United States with its allies in the
4 region and embolden the Assad regime;

5 (3) the United States should continue to con-
6 duct rigorous planning and operational preparation
7 to support any efforts to secure the chemical and bi-
8 ological stockpiles and associated weapons;

9 (4) the United States should have a policy that
10 supports the stability of countries on Syria's border,
11 including Jordan, Turkey, Iraq, Lebanon, and
12 Israel;

13 (5) the United States should continue to sup-
14 port Syrian opposition forces with non-lethal aid;

15 (6) the President, the Department of Defense,
16 the Department of State, and the intelligence com-
17 munity, in cooperation with European and regional
18 allies, should ensure that the risks of all courses of
19 action or inaction regarding Syria are fully explored
20 and understood and that Congress is kept fully in-
21 formed of such risks;

22 (7) the President should fully consider, and the
23 Department of Defense should conduct prudent
24 planning for, the provision of lethal aid and relevant
25 operational training to vetted Syrian opposition

1 forces, including an analysis of the risks of the pro-
2 vision of such aid and training; and

3 (8) should the President decide to employ any
4 military assets in Syria, the President should pro-
5 vide a supplemental budget request to Congress.

1 **SEC. 1252. [LOG 50903] REVISION OF STATUTORY REF-**
2 **ERENCES TO FORMER NATO SUPPORT ORGA-**
3 **NIZATIONS AND RELATED NATO AGREE-**
4 **MENTS.**

5 (a) TITLE 10, UNITED STATES CODE.—Section
6 2350d of title 10, United States Code, is amended—

7 (1) by striking “NATO Maintenance and Sup-
8 ply Organization” each place it appears and insert-
9 ing “NATO Support Organization and its executive
10 agencies”;

11 (2) in subsection (a)(1)—

12 (A) by striking “Weapon System Partner-
13 ship Agreements” and inserting “Support Part-
14 nership Agreements”; and

15 (B) in subparagraph (B), by striking “a
16 specific weapon system” and inserting “activi-
17 ties”; and

18 (3) in subsections (b), (c), (d), and (e), by
19 striking “Weapon System Partnership Agreement”
20 each place it appears and inserting “Support Part-
21 nership Agreement”.

22 (b) ARMS EXPORT CONTROL ACT.—Section 21(e)(3)
23 of the Arms Export Control Act (22 U.S.C. 2761(e)(3))
24 is amended—

25 (1) in subparagraphs (A) and (C)(i), by striking
26 “Maintenance and Supply Agency of the North At-

1 Atlantic Treaty Organization” and inserting “North
2 Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) Support Or-
3 ganization and its executive agencies”;

4 (2) in subparagraph (A)(i), by striking “weapon
5 system partnership agreement” and inserting “sup-
6 port partnership agreement”; and

7 (3) in subparagraph (C)(i)(II), by striking “a
8 specific weapon system” and inserting “activities”.

1 **SEC. 1301. [Log 50391] SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE**
2 **THREAT REDUCTION PROGRAMS AND FUNDS.**

3 (a) SPECIFICATION OF COOPERATIVE THREAT RE-
4 Duction PROGRAMS.—For purposes of section 301 and
5 other provisions of this Act, Cooperative Threat Reduction
6 programs are the programs specified in section 1501 of
7 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
8 1997 (50 U.S.C. 2362 note).

9 (b) FISCAL YEAR 2014 COOPERATIVE THREAT RE-
10 Duction FUNDS DEFINED.—As used in this title, the
11 term “fiscal year 2014 Cooperative Threat Reduction
12 funds” means the funds appropriated pursuant to the au-
13 thorization of appropriations in section 301 and made
14 available by the funding table in section 4301 for Coopera-
15 tive Threat Reduction programs.

16 (c) AVAILABILITY OF FUNDS.—Funds appropriated
17 pursuant to the authorization of appropriations in section
18 301 and made available by the funding table in section
19 4301 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs shall be
20 available for obligation for fiscal years 2014, 2015, and
21 2016.

1 **SEC. 1302. [Log 50392] FUNDING ALLOCATIONS.**

2 (a) FUNDING FOR SPECIFIC PURPOSES.—Of the
3 \$528,455,000 authorized to be appropriated to the De-
4 partment of Defense for fiscal year 2014 in section 301
5 and made available by the funding table in section 4301
6 for Cooperative Threat Reduction programs, the following
7 amounts may be obligated for the purposes specified:

8 (1) For strategic offensive arms elimination,
9 \$5,655,000.

10 (2) For chemical weapons destruction,
11 \$13,000,000.

12 (3) For global nuclear security, \$32,793,000.

13 (4) For cooperative biological engagement,
14 \$293,142,110.

15 (5) For proliferation prevention, \$149,314,890.

16 (6) For threat reduction engagement,
17 \$6,375,000.

18 (7) For activities designated as Other Assess-
19 ments/Administrative Costs, \$28,175,000.

20 (b) REPORT ON OBLIGATION OR EXPENDITURE OF
21 FUNDS FOR OTHER PURPOSES.—No fiscal year 2014 Co-
22 operative Threat Reduction funds may be obligated or ex-
23 pended for a purpose other than a purpose listed in para-
24 graphs (1) through (7) of subsection (a) until 15 days
25 after the date that the Secretary of Defense submits to
26 Congress a report on the purpose for which the funds will

1 be obligated or expended and the amount of funds to be
2 obligated or expended. Nothing in the preceding sentence
3 shall be construed as authorizing the obligation or expend-
4 iture of fiscal year 2014 Cooperative Threat Reduction
5 funds for a purpose for which the obligation or expendi-
6 ture of such funds is specifically prohibited under this title
7 or any other provision of law.

8 (c) LIMITED AUTHORITY TO VARY INDIVIDUAL
9 AMOUNTS.—

10 (1) IN GENERAL.—Subject to paragraph (2), in
11 any case in which the Secretary of Defense deter-
12 mines that it is necessary to do so in the national
13 interest, the Secretary may obligate amounts appro-
14 priated for fiscal year 2014 for a purpose listed in
15 paragraphs (1) through (7) of subsection (a) in ex-
16 cess of the specific amount authorized for that pur-
17 pose.

18 (2) NOTICE-AND-WAIT REQUIRED.—An obliga-
19 tion of funds for a purpose stated in paragraphs (1)
20 through (7) of subsection (a) in excess of the specific
21 amount authorized for such purpose may be made
22 using the authority provided in paragraph (1) only
23 after—

24 (A) the Secretary submits to Congress no-
25 tification of the intent to do so together with a

1 complete discussion of the justification for
2 doing so; and
3 (B) 15 days have elapsed following the
4 date of the notification.

1 **SEC. 1303. [Log 50499] EXTENSION FOR USE OF CONTRIBU-**
2 **TIONS TO THE COOPERATIVE THREAT RE-**
3 **DUCTION PROGRAM.**

4 Section 1303(g) of the National Defense Authoriza-
5 tion Act for Fiscal Year 2010 (Public Law 111–84; 22
6 U.S.C. 5952 note) is amended by striking “2015” and in-
7 serting “2018”.

1 **Subtitle A—Military Programs**

2 **SEC. 1401 [Log 50581]. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
5 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
6 providing capital for working capital and revolving funds,
7 as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1402 [Log 50582]. NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 the fiscal year 2014 for the National Defense Sealift
4 Fund, as specified in the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1403 [Log 50583]. CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS**
2 **DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE.**

3 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
4 are hereby authorized to be appropriated for the Depart-
5 ment of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for expenses, not oth-
6 erwise provided for, for Chemical Agents and Munitions
7 Destruction, Defense, as specified in the funding table in
8 section 4501.

9 (b) USE.—Amounts authorized to be appropriated
10 under subsection (a) are authorized for—

11 (1) the destruction of lethal chemical agents
12 and munitions in accordance with section 1412 of
13 the Department of Defense Authorization Act, 1986
14 (50 U.S.C. 1521); and

15 (2) the destruction of chemical warfare materiel
16 of the United States that is not covered by section
17 1412 of such Act.

1 **SEC. 1404 [Log 50584]. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-**
2 **DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for ex-
5 penses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction
6 and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in
7 the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1405 [Log 50585]. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for ex-
4 penses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the
5 Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as speci-
6 fied in the funding table in section 4501.

1 **SEC. 1406 [Log 50586]. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2014 for the Defense Health Program, as spec-
4 ified in the funding table in section 4501, for use of the
5 Armed Forces and other activities and agencies of the De-
6 partment of Defense in providing for the health of eligible
7 beneficiaries.

1 **Subtitle B—National Defense**
2 **Stockpile**

3 **SEC. 1411 [Log 50540]. USE OF NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCK-**
4 **PILE FOR THE CONSERVATION OF A STRA-**
5 **TEGIC AND CRITICAL MATERIALS SUPPLY.**

6 (a) PRESIDENTIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR CONSERVA-
7 TION OF STOCKPILE MATERIALS.—Section 98e(a) of title
8 50, United States Code, is amended—

9 (1) by redesignating paragraphs (5) and (6) as
10 paragraphs (6) and (7), respectively; and

11 (2) by inserting after paragraph (4) the fol-
12 lowing new paragraph (5):

13 “(5) provide for the recovery of any strategic
14 and critical material from excess materials made
15 available for recovery purposes by other Federal
16 agencies;”.

17 (b) USES OF NATIONAL DEFENSE STOCKPILE
18 TRANSACTION FUND.—Section 98h(b)(2) of title 50,
19 United States Code, is amended—

20 (1) by redesignating subparagraphs (D)
21 through (L) as subparagraphs (E) through (M), re-
22 spectively; and

23 (2) by inserting after subparagraph (C) the fol-
24 lowing new subparagraph (D):

1 “(D) Encouraging the conservation of stra-
2 tegic and critical materials.”.

3 (c) DEVELOPMENT OF DOMESTIC SOURCES.—Sec-
4 tion 98h-6(a) of title 50, United States Code, is amended,
5 in the matter preceding paragraph (1), by inserting “and
6 conservation” after “development”.

1 **SEC. 1412 [Log 50794]. AUTHORITY TO ACQUIRE ADDI-**
2 **TIONAL MATERIALS FOR THE NATIONAL DE-**
3 **FENSE STOCKPILE.**

4 (a) ACQUISITION AUTHORITY.—Using funds avail-
5 able in the National Defense Stockpile Transaction Fund,
6 the National Defense Stockpile Manager may acquire the
7 following materials determined to be strategic and critical
8 materials required to meet the defense, industrial, and es-
9 sential civilian needs of the United States:

10 (1) Ferroniobium.

11 (2) Dysprosium Metal.

12 (3) Yttrium Oxide.

13 (4) Cadmium Zinc Tellurium Substrate Mate-
14 rials.

15 (5) Lithium Ion Precursors.

16 (6) Triamino-Trinitrobenzene and Insensitive
17 High Explosive Molding Powders.

18 (b) AMOUNT OF AUTHORITY.—The National Defense
19 Stockpile Manager may use up to \$41,000,000 of the Na-
20 tional Stockpile Transaction Fund for acquisition of the
21 materials specified in subsection (a).

22 (c) FISCAL YEAR LIMITATION.—The authority under
23 this section is available for purchases during fiscal year
24 2014 through fiscal year 2019.

1 **SEC. 1423 [Log 50634]. CEMETERIAL EXPENSES.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 the Department of the Army for fiscal year 2014 for
4 cemeterial expenses, not otherwise provided for, in the
5 amount of \$45,800,000.

1 **Subtitle A—Authorization of**
2 **Additional Appropriations**

3 **SEC. 1501 [Log 50567]. PURPOSE.**

4 The purpose of this subtitle is to authorize appropria-
5 tions for the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014
6 to provide additional funds for overseas contingency oper-
7 ations being carried out by the Armed Forces.

1 **SEC. 1502 [Log 50568]. PROCUREMENT.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2014 for procurement accounts for the Army,
4 the Navy and the Marine Corps, the Air Force, and De-
5 fense-wide activities, as specified in the funding table in
6 section 4102.

1 **SEC. 1503 [Log 50569]. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST,**
2 **AND EVALUATION.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Department of Defense
5 for research, development, test, and evaluation, as speci-
6 fied in the funding table in section 4202.

1 **SEC. 1504 [Log 50570]. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
4 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
5 expenses, not otherwise provided for, for operation and
6 maintenance, as specified in the funding table in section
7 4302.

1 **SEC. 1505 [Log 50571]. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
4 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
5 expenses, not otherwise provided for, for military per-
6 sonnel, as specified in the funding table in section 4402.

1 **SEC. 1506 [Log 50572]. WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 fiscal year 2014 for the use of the Armed Forces and other
4 activities and agencies of the Department of Defense for
5 providing capital for working capital and revolving funds,
6 as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

1 **SEC. 1507 [Log 50574]. DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-**
2 **DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEFENSE-WIDE.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
4 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for ex-
5 penses, not otherwise provided for, for Drug Interdiction
6 and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense-wide, as specified in
7 the funding table in section 4502.

1 **SEC. 1508 [Log50575]. DEFENSE INSPECTOR GENERAL.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for ex-
4 penses, not otherwise provided for, for the Office of the
5 Inspector General of the Department of Defense, as speci-
6 fied in the funding table in section 4502.

1 **SEC. 1509 [Log 50573]. DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated for
3 the Department of Defense for fiscal year 2014 for ex-
4 penses, not otherwise provided for, for the Defense Health
5 Program, as specified in the funding table in section 4502.

1 **Subtitle B—Financial Matters**

2 **SEC. 1521 [Log 50576]. TREATMENT AS ADDITIONAL AU-**
3 **THORIZATIONS.**

4 The amounts authorized to be appropriated by this
5 title are in addition to amounts otherwise authorized to
6 be appropriated by this Act.

1 **SEC. 1522 [Log 50577]. SPECIAL TRANSFER AUTHORITY.**

2 (a) **AUTHORITY TO TRANSFER AUTHORIZATIONS.—**

3 (1) **AUTHORITY.**—Upon determination by the
4 Secretary of Defense that such action is necessary in
5 the national interest, the Secretary may transfer
6 amounts of authorizations made available to the De-
7 partment of Defense in this title for fiscal year 2014
8 between any such authorizations for that fiscal year
9 (or any subdivisions thereof). Amounts of authoriza-
10 tions so transferred shall be merged with and be
11 available for the same purposes as the authorization
12 to which transferred.

13 (2) **LIMITATION.**—The total amount of author-
14 izations that the Secretary may transfer under the
15 authority of this subsection may not exceed
16 \$3,000,000,000.

17 (b) **TERMS AND CONDITIONS.**—Transfers under this
18 section shall be subject to the same terms and conditions
19 as transfers under section 1001.

20 (c) **ADDITIONAL AUTHORITY.**—The transfer author-
21 ity provided by this section is in addition to the transfer
22 authority provided under section 1001.

1 **Subtitle C—Limitations and Other**
2 **Matters**

3 **SEC. 1531 [Log 50421]. AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES**
4 **FUND.**

5 (a) CONTINUATION OF EXISTING LIMITATIONS ON
6 USE OF FUNDS IN FUND.—Funds available to the De-
7 partment of Defense for the Afghanistan Security Forces
8 Fund for fiscal year 2014 shall be subject to the condi-
9 tions contained in subsections (b) through (g) of section
10 1513 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal
11 Year 2008 (Public Law 110–181; 122 Stat. 428), as
12 amended by section 1531(b) of the Ike Skelton National
13 Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public
14 Law 111–383; 124 Stat. 4424).

15 (b) REVISION OF PLAN FOR USE OF AFGHANISTAN
16 SECURITY FORCES FUND.—

17 (1) REVISION AND PURPOSE.—The Secretary of
18 Defense shall revise the plan required by section
19 1531(e) of the National Defense Authorization Act
20 for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112–239; 126
21 Stat. 2056) regarding use of the Afghanistan Secu-
22 rity Forces Fund through September 30, 2017, to
23 ensure that an office or official of the Department
24 of Defense is identified as responsible for each pro-
25 gram or activity supported using funds available to

1 the Department of Defense through the Afghanistan
2 Security Forces Fund.

3 (2) SUBMISSION.—Not later than 90 days after
4 the date of the enactment of this Act, the Secretary
5 of Defense shall submit to the congressional commit-
6 tees the plan as revised pursuant to paragraph (1).

1 **SEC. 1533 [Log 51137]. LIMITATION ON INTELLIGENCE, SUR-**
2 **VEILLANCE, AND RECONNAISSANCE SUP-**
3 **PORT FOR OPERATION OBSERVANT COM-**
4 **PASS.**

5 None of the amounts authorized to be appropriated
6 for operation and maintenance by section 1504, as speci-
7 fied in the funding table in section 4302, may be obligated
8 or expended for intelligence, surveillance, and reconnais-
9 sance support for Operation Observant Compass until the
10 Secretary of Defense submits to the congressional defense
11 committees a report on Operation Observant Compass, in-
12 cluding the specific goals of the campaign to counter the
13 Lord Resistance Army, the precise metrics used to meas-
14 ure progress in such campaign, and the required steps
15 that will be taken to transition such campaign if it is de-
16 termined that it is no longer necessary for the United
17 States to support the mission of such campaign.



1 **SEC. 1601 [Log 50365]. PERIODIC AUDITS OF CONTRACTING**
2 **COMPLIANCE BY INSPECTOR GENERAL OF**
3 **DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE.**

4 (a) REQUIREMENT FOR PERIODIC AUDITS OF CON-
5 TRACTING COMPLIANCE.—The Inspector General of the
6 Department of Defense shall conduct periodic audits of
7 contracting practices and policies related to procurement
8 under section 2533a of title 10, United States Code. Such
9 an audit shall be conducted at least once every three years.

10 (b) REQUIREMENT FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION
11 IN SEMIANNUAL REPORTS.—The Inspector General of the
12 Department of Defense shall ensure that findings and
13 other information resulting from audits conducted pursu-
14 ant to subsection (a) are included in the semiannual report
15 transmitted to congressional committees under section
16 8(f)(1) of the Inspector General Act of 1978 (5 U.S.C.
17 App).

1 **SEC. 1602 [Log 50860]. EXPANSION OF THE PROCUREMENT**
2 **TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAM TO AD-**
3 **VANCE SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH.**

4 (a) ADVANCING SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Chapter 142 of title 10,
6 United States Code, is amended—

7 (A) by redesignating section 2419 as sec-
8 tion 2420; and

9 (B) by inserting after section 2418 the fol-
10 lowing new section 2419:

11 **“§ 2419. Advancing small business growth**

12 “(a) IDENTIFICATION OF RECOMMENDED BUSINESS
13 CAPABILITIES AND CHARACTERISTICS.—(1) The Under
14 Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Lo-
15 gistics shall publish in the Federal Register and on the
16 website of the Office of Small Business Programs of the
17 Department of Defense a list of capabilities and character-
18 istics recommended for the successful transition of a quali-
19 fied small business concern to become competitive as an
20 other-than-small business for contracts awarded by the
21 Department of Defense. The capabilities and characteris-
22 ties on the list shall be set forth by North American Indus-
23 try Classification System sector.

24 “(2) The list shall be reviewed and updated appro-
25 priately on an annual basis.

1 “(b) CONTRACT CLAUSE REQUIRED.—(1) The Under
2 Secretary shall require the clause described in paragraph
3 (2) to be included in each covered contract awarded by
4 the Department of Defense.

5 “(2) The clause described in this paragraph is a
6 clause that—

7 “(A) requires the contractor to acknowledge
8 that acceptance of the contract may cause the busi-
9 ness to exceed the applicable small business size
10 standards (established pursuant to section 3(a) of
11 the Small Business Act) for the industry concerned
12 and that the contractor may no longer qualify as a
13 small business concern for that industry; and

14 “(B) encourages the contractor to develop capa-
15 bilities and characteristics identified in the list re-
16 quired by subsection (a) if the contractor intends to
17 remain competitive as an other-than-small business
18 in that industry.

19 “(c) ASSISTANCE FOR ADVANCING CERTAIN SMALL
20 BUSINESSES.—Eligible small businesses may be provided
21 specific assistance with developing the capabilities and
22 characteristics identified in the list required by subsection
23 (a), as part of any procurement technical assistance fur-
24 nished pursuant to this chapter.

25 “(d) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

1 “(1) The term ‘covered contract’ means a con-
2 tract—

3 “(A) awarded to a qualified small business
4 concern as defined pursuant to section 3(a) of
5 the Small Business Act; and

6 “(B) with an estimated annual value—

7 “(i) that will exceed the applicable re-
8 ceipt-based small business size standard; or

9 “(ii) if the contract is in an industry
10 with an employee-based size standard, that
11 will exceed \$70,000,000.

12 “(2) The term ‘eligible small business’ means a
13 qualified small business concern as defined pursuant
14 to section 3(a) of the Small Business Act that has
15 entered into a contract with the Department of De-
16 fense that includes a contract clause described in
17 subsection (b)(2).”.

18 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of sec-
19 tions as the beginning of such chapter is amended
20 by striking the item relating to section 2419 and in-
21 serting the following:

“2419. Advancing small business growth.

“2420. Regulations.”.

22 (b) EXCEPTION TO LIMITATION ON FUNDING.—Sec-
23 tion 2414 of such title is amended—

1 (1) in subsection (a), by striking “The value”
2 and inserting “Except as provided in subsection (c),
3 the value”; and

4 (2) by adding at the end the following new sub-
5 section (c):

6 “(c) EXCEPTION.—The value of the assistance pro-
7 vided in accordance with section 2419(c) of this title is
8 not subject to the limitations in subsection (a).”.

9 (c) REVISIONS TO COOPERATIVE AGREEMENTS.—

10 (1) FULL FUNDING ALLOWED FOR CERTAIN AS-
11 SISTANCE.—Section 2413(b) of such title is amend-
12 ed—

13 (A) by striking “except that in the case”
14 and inserting: “except that—
15 “(1) in the case”;

16 (B) by striking the period at the end and
17 inserting “; and”; and

18 (C) by adding at the end the following new
19 paragraph:

20 “(2) in the case of a program sponsored by
21 such an entity that provides specific assistance for
22 eligible small businesses pursuant to section 2419(c)
23 of this title, the Secretary may agree to furnish the
24 full cost of such assistance.”.

1 (2) ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS.—Section
2 2413 of such title is further amended by adding at
3 the end the following new subsection:

4 “(e) In determining the level of funding to provide
5 under an agreement under subsection (b), the Secretary
6 shall consider the forecast by the eligible entity of demand
7 for procurement technical assistance, and, in the case of
8 an established program under this chapter, the outlays
9 and receipts of such program during prior years of oper-
10 ation.”.

11 (3) CONFORMING AMENDMENT.—Section
12 2413(d) of such title is amended by striking “and in
13 determining the level of funding to provide under an
14 agreement under subsection (b),”.

15 (d) REPORT REQUIRED.—Not later than March 15
16 of 2015, 2016, and 2017, the Secretary of Defense shall
17 submit to the congressional defense committees a report
18 on the implementation of the amendments made by this
19 section, along with any recommendations for improving
20 the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agree-
21 ment Program.

1 **SEC. 1605 [Log 50910]. PROOF OF CONCEPT COMMER-**
2 **IALIZATION PILOT PROGRAM.**

3 (a) PILOT PROGRAM.—The Secretary of Defense,
4 acting through the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Re-
5 search and Engineering, shall establish and implement a
6 pilot program, to be known as the “Proof of Concept Com-
7 mercialization Pilot Program”, in accordance with this
8 section.

9 (b) PURPOSE.—The purpose of the pilot program is
10 to accelerate the commercialization of basic research inno-
11 vations from qualifying institutions.

12 (c) AWARDS.—

13 (1) IN GENERAL.—Under the pilot program,
14 the Secretary shall make financial awards to quali-
15 fying institutions in accordance with this subsection.

16 (2) COMPETITIVE, MERIT-BASED PROCESS.—An
17 award under the pilot program shall be made using
18 a competitive, merit-based process.

19 (3) ELIGIBILITY.—A qualifying institution shall
20 be eligible for an award under the pilot program if
21 the institution agrees to—

22 (A) use funds from the award for the uses
23 specified in paragraph (5); and

24 (B) oversee the use of the funds through—

25 (i) a rigorous, diverse review board
26 comprised of experts in translational and

1 proof of concept research, including indus-
2 try, start-up, venture capital, technical, fi-
3 nancial, and business experts and univer-
4 sity technology transfer officials;

5 (ii) technology validation milestones
6 focused on market feasibility;

7 (iii) simple reporting on program
8 progress; and

9 (iv) a process to reallocate funding
10 from poor performing projects to those
11 with more potential.

12 (4) CRITERIA.—An award may be made under
13 the pilot program to a qualifying institution in ac-
14 cordance with the following criteria:

15 (A) The extent to which a qualifying insti-
16 tution—

17 (i) has an established and proven
18 technology transfer or commercialization
19 office and has a plan for engaging that of-
20 fice in the program's implementation or
21 has outlined an innovative approach to
22 technology transfer that has the potential
23 to increase or accelerate technology trans-
24 fer outcomes and can be adopted by other
25 qualifying institutions;

1 (ii) can assemble a project manage-
2 ment board comprised of industry, start-
3 up, venture capital, technical, financial,
4 and business experts;

5 (iii) has an intellectual property rights
6 strategy or office; and

7 (iv) demonstrates a plan for sustain-
8 ability beyond the duration of the funding
9 from the award.

10 (B) Such other criteria as the Secretary
11 determines necessary.

12 (5) USE OF AWARD.—

13 (A) IN GENERAL.—Subject to subpara-
14 graph (B), the funds from an award may be
15 used to evaluate the commercial potential of ex-
16 isting discoveries, including activities that con-
17 tribute to determining a project's commer-
18 cialization path, including technical validations,
19 market research, clarifying intellectual property
20 rights, and investigating commercial and busi-
21 ness opportunities.

22 (B) LIMITATIONS.—

23 (i) The amount of an award may not
24 exceed \$500,000 a year.

1 (ii) Funds from an award may not be
2 used for basic research, or to fund the ac-
3 quisition of research equipment or supplies
4 unrelated to commercialization activities.

5 (d) REPORT.—Not later than one year after the es-
6 tablishment of the pilot program, the Secretary shall sub-
7 mit to the congressional defense committees and to the
8 Committee on Science, Space, and Technology of the
9 House of Representatives and the Committee on Com-
10 merce, Science, and Transportation of the Senate a report
11 evaluating the effectiveness of the activities of the pilot
12 program. The report shall include—

13 (1) a detailed description of the pilot program,
14 including incentives and activities undertaken by re-
15 view board experts;

16 (2) an accounting of the funds used in the pilot
17 program;

18 (3) a detailed description of the institutional se-
19 lection process;

20 (4) a detailed compilation of results achieved by
21 the pilot program; and

22 (5) an analysis of the program's effectiveness,
23 with data supporting the analysis.

24 (e) QUALIFYING INSTITUTION DEFINED.—In this
25 section, the term “qualifying institution” means a non-

1 profit institution, as defined in section 4(3) of the Steven-
2 son-Wydler Technology Innovation Act of 1980 (15 U.S.C.
3 3703(3)), or a Federal laboratory, as defined in section
4 4(4) of the Stevenson-Wydler Technology Innovation Act
5 of 1980 (15 U.S.C. 3703(4)).

6 (f) TERMINATION.—The pilot program conducted
7 under this section shall terminate on September 30, 2018.

1 **Subtitle F—Other Matters**

2 **SEC. 2861 [Log 50328]. REPEAL OF ANNUAL ECONOMIC AD-**
3 **JUSTMENT COMMITTEE REPORTING RE-**
4 **QUIREMENT.**

5 Subsection (d) of section 4004 of the Defense Eco-
6 nomic Adjustment, Diversification, Conversion, and Sta-
7 bilization Act of 1990 (division D of Public Law 101–510;
8 10 U.S.C. 2391 note), as amended by section 4212(b) of
9 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
10 1993 (Public Law 102–484; 106 Stat. 2664), is further
11 amended—

12 (1) by inserting “and” at the end of paragraph

13 (1);

14 (2) by striking “; and” at the end of paragraph

15 (2) and inserting a period; and

16 (3) by striking paragraph (3).

1 **SEC. 2901 [Log 50618]. AUTHORIZED ARMY CONSTRUCTION**
 2 **AND LAND ACQUISITION PROJECT.**

3 (a) OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES.—The Secretary
 4 of the Army may acquire real property and carry out the
 5 military construction project for the installation outside
 6 the United States, and in the amount, set forth in the
 7 following table:

Army: Outside the United States

Country	Installation	Amount
Cuba	Guantanamo Bay	\$247,400,000

8 (b) USE OF UNOBLIGATED PRIOR-YEAR MILITARY
 9 CONSTRUCTION FUNDS.—To carry out the military con-
 10 struction project set forth in the table in subsection (a),
 11 the Secretary of Defense may make available to the Sec-
 12 retary of the Army available, unobligated military con-
 13 struction funds appropriated for a fiscal year before fiscal
 14 year 2014.

15 (c) CONGRESSIONAL NOTIFICATION.—The Secretary
 16 of the Army shall provide information in accordance with
 17 section 2851(c) of title 10, United States Code, regarding
 18 the military construction project set forth in the table in
 19 subsection (a). If it becomes necessary to exceed the esti-
 20 mated project cost, the Secretary shall utilize the author-
 21 ity provided by section 2853 of such title regarding au-
 22 thorized cost and scope of work variations.



1 **Subtitle A—National Security**
2 **Programs Authorizations**

3 **SEC. 3101. [Log 50804] NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY AD-**
4 **MINISTRATION.**

5 (a) AUTHORIZATION OF APPROPRIATIONS.—Funds
6 are hereby authorized to be appropriated to the Depart-
7 ment of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for the activities of
8 the National Nuclear Security Administration in carrying
9 out programs as specified in the funding table in section
10 4701.

11 (b) AUTHORIZATION OF NEW PLANT PROJECTS.—
12 From funds referred to in subsection (a) that are available
13 for carrying out plant projects, the Secretary of Energy
14 may carry out new plant projects for the National Nuclear
15 Security Administration as follows:

16 Project 14–D–710, Device Assembly Facil-
17 ity Argus Installation Project, Nevada National
18 Security Site, Las Vegas, Nevada, \$14,000,000

19 Project 14–D–901, Spent Fueling Han-
20 dling Recapitalization Project, Naval Reactors
21 Facility, Idaho, \$45,400,000.

22 Project 14–D–902, KL Materials Charac-
23 terization Laboratory, Knolls Atomic Power
24 Laboratory, Schenectady, New York,
25 \$1,000,000.

1 **SEC. 3102. [Log 50805] DEFENSE ENVIRONMENTAL CLEAN-**
2 **UP.**

3 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to
4 the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for defense
5 environmental cleanup activities in carrying out programs
6 as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

1 **SEC. 3103. [Log 50806] OTHER DEFENSE ACTIVITIES.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to
3 the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for other
4 defense activities in carrying out programs as specified in
5 the funding table in section 4701.

1 **SEC. 3104. [Log 50833] ENERGY SECURITY AND ASSURANCE.**

2 Funds are hereby authorized to be appropriated to
3 the Department of Energy for fiscal year 2014 for energy
4 security and assurance programs necessary for national
5 security as specified in the funding table in section 4701.

1 **SEC. 3112. [Log 50413] TERMINATION OF DEPARTMENT OF**
2 **ENERGY EMPLOYEES TO PROTECT NATIONAL**
3 **SECURITY.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle C of the National Nu-
5 clear Security Administration Act (50 U.S.C. 2441 et
6 seq.) is amended by adding at the end the following new
7 section:

8 **“SEC. 3245. TERMINATION OF EMPLOYEES TO PROTECT NA-**
9 **TIONAL SECURITY.**

10 “(a) TERMINATION AUTHORITY.—Notwithstanding
11 any other provision of law, the Secretary of Energy may
12 terminate an employee of the Administration or any ele-
13 ment of the Department of Energy that involves nuclear
14 security if the Secretary—

15 “(1) determines that the employee acted in a
16 manner that endangers the security of special nu-
17 clear material or classified information;

18 “(2) considers the termination to be in the in-
19 terests of the United States; and

20 “(3) determines that the procedures prescribed
21 in other provisions of law that authorize the termi-
22 nation of the employment of such employee cannot
23 be invoked in a manner that the Secretary considers
24 consistent with national security.

25 “(b) STATEMENTS AND AFFIDAVITS.—(1) To the ex-
26 tent that the Secretary determines that the interests of

1 national security permit, the Secretary shall notify an em-
2 ployee whose employment is terminated under this section
3 of the reasons for the termination.

4 “(2) During the 30-day period beginning on the date
5 on which a terminated employee is notified under para-
6 graph (1), the employee may submit to the Secretary
7 statements or affidavits to show why the employee should
8 be restored to duty.

9 “(3) If a terminated employee submits statements
10 and affidavits under paragraph (2), the Secretary—

11 “(A) shall provide a written response to the em-
12 ployee; and

13 “(B) may restore the employment of the em-
14 ployee.

15 “(c) FINALITY.—A decision by the Secretary to ter-
16 minate the employment of an employee under this section
17 is final and may not be appealed or reviewed outside the
18 Department.

19 “(d) NOTIFICATION TO CONGRESSIONAL COMMIT-
20 TEES.—Whenever the Secretary terminates the employ-
21 ment of an employee under the authority of this section,
22 the Secretary shall promptly notify the congressional de-
23 fense committees of such termination.

24 “(e) PRESERVATION OF RIGHT TO SEEK OTHER EM-
25 PLOYMENT.—Any termination of employment under this

1 section does not affect the right of the employee involved
2 to seek or accept employment with any other department
3 or agency of the United States if that employee is declared
4 eligible for such employment by the Director of the Office
5 of Personnel Management.

6 “(f) PROHIBITION ON DELEGATION.—The authority
7 of the Secretary under this section may not be delegated.”.

8 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents
9 at the beginning of such Act is amended by inserting after
10 the item relating to section 3244 the following new item:

“Sec. 3245. Termination of employees to protect national security.”.

1 **SEC. 3113. [Log 50619] PLAN FOR RETRIEVAL, TREATMENT,**
2 **AND DISPOSITION OF TANK FARM WASTE AT**
3 **HANFORD NUCLEAR RESERVATION.**

4 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle D of title XLIV of the
5 Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2621 et seq.) is
6 amended by adding at the end the following new section:

7 **“SEC. 4445. PLAN FOR RETRIEVAL, TREATMENT, AND DIS-**
8 **POSITION OF TANK FARM WASTE AT HAN-**
9 **FORD NUCLEAR RESERVATION.**

10 “(a) PLAN.—Not later than March 1, 2014, the Sec-
11 retary of Energy shall submit to the congressional defense
12 committees a comprehensive plan through 2025 for the
13 safe and effective retrieval, treatment, and disposition of
14 nuclear waste contained in the tank farms of Hanford Nu-
15 clear Reservation, Richland, Washington.

16 “(b) MATTERS INCLUDED.—The plan under sub-
17 section (a) shall include the following:

18 “(1) A list of all requirements, assumptions,
19 and criteria needed to design, construct, and operate
20 the Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant and
21 any required infrastructure facilities at the Hanford
22 Tank Farms.

23 “(2) A schedule of activities, construction, and
24 operations at the Hanford Tank Farms and Waste
25 Treatment and Immobilization Plant required before
26 2025 to carry out the safe and effective retrieval,

1 treatment, and disposition of waste in the Hanford
2 Tank Farms.

3 “(3) Actions required to accelerate, to the ex-
4 tent possible, the retrieval and treatment of lower-
5 risk, low-activity waste while continuing efforts to
6 accelerate the resolution of technical challenges asso-
7 ciated with higher-risk, high-activity waste.

8 “(4) A description of how the Secretary will—

9 “(A) provide adequate protection to work-
10 ers and the public under the plan; and

11 “(B) incorporate into the plan any new
12 science and technical information that was not
13 available before the development of the plan, in-
14 cluding new science and technical information
15 not available as of March 2014.

16 “(c) DETERMINATIONS.—(1) For each requirement,
17 assumption, or criterion identified by the Secretary under
18 subsection (b)(1), the Secretary shall include in the plan
19 under subsection (a) a determination regarding whether
20 such requirement, assumption or criterion is finalized and
21 will be used to inform planning, design, construction, and
22 operations of the Waste Treatment and Immobilization
23 Plant project.

24 “(2) For each requirement, assumption, or criterion
25 that the Secretary cannot make a finalized determination

1 for under paragraph (1) by the date the plan under sub-
2 section (a) is submitted to the congressional defense com-
3 mittees, the Secretary shall—

4 “(A) include in the plan—

5 “(i) a description of the requirement, as-
6 sumption, or criterion;

7 “(ii) a list of activities required for the
8 Secretary to make such determination; and

9 “(iii) the date on which the Secretary an-
10 ticipates making such determination; and

11 “(B) once the Secretary makes the finalized de-
12 termination with respect to the requirement, as-
13 sumption, or criterion, submit to such committees
14 notification that the requirement, assumption, or cri-
15 terion is finalized and will be used to inform the
16 planning, design, construction, and operations of the
17 Waste Treatment and Immobilization Plant project.

18 “(3)(A) Subject to subparagraph (B), the Secretary
19 may authorize a change to a requirement, assumption, or
20 criterion that the Secretary determines as finalized under
21 paragraph (1) or (2)(B).

22 “(B) The Secretary shall make changes to a require-
23 ment, assumption, or criterion under subparagraph (A) if
24 the Secretary cannot provide adequate protection without
25 making such changes.

1 “(C) If the Secretary authorizes a change to a re-
2 quirement, assumption, or criterion under subparagraph
3 (A) or (B) that will have a material effect on any aspect
4 of the schedule or cost of the Waste Treatment and Immo-
5 bilization Plant project, the Secretary shall promptly no-
6 tify the congressional defense committees of such change.

7 “(D) The authority of the Secretary under this para-
8 graph may be delegated only to the Deputy Secretary of
9 Energy.”.

10 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents
11 at the beginning of the Atomic Energy Defense Act is
12 amended by inserting after the item relating to section
13 4444 the following new item:

 “Sec. 4445. Plan for retrieval, treatment, and disposition of tank farm waste
 at Hanford Nuclear Reservation.”.

1 **SEC. 3114. [Log 50663] ENHANCED PROCUREMENT AUTHOR-**
2 **ITY TO MANAGE SUPPLY CHAIN RISK.**

3 (a) IN GENERAL.—Subtitle A of title XLVIII of the
4 Atomic Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2781 et seq.) is
5 amended by adding at the end the following:

6 **“SEC. 4806. ENHANCED PROCUREMENT AUTHORITY TO**
7 **MANAGE SUPPLY CHAIN RISK.**

8 “(a) AUTHORITY.—Subject to subsection (b), a cov-
9 ered official may—

10 “(1) carry out a covered procurement action;
11 and

12 “(2) notwithstanding any other provision of
13 law, limit, in whole or in part, the disclosure of in-
14 formation relating to the basis for carrying out a
15 covered procurement action.

16 “(b) DETERMINATION AND NOTIFICATION.—Before
17 exercising the authority under subsection (a), a covered
18 official shall—

19 “(1) obtain a joint recommendation by the Dep-
20 uty Secretary of Energy and the Chief Information
21 Officer of the Department of Energy, on the basis
22 of a risk assessment conducted by the Office of In-
23 telligence and Counterintelligence of the Department
24 of Energy, that there is a significant supply chain
25 risk to a covered system;

1 “(2) make a determination in writing, with the
2 concurrence of the Deputy Secretary of Energy,
3 that—

4 “(A) carrying out a covered procurement
5 action under subsection (a)(1) is necessary to
6 protect national security by reducing supply
7 chain risk;

8 “(B) less intrusive measures are not rea-
9 sonably available to reduce such supply chain
10 risk; and

11 “(C) if the covered official plans to limit
12 disclosure of information under subsection
13 (a)(2), the risk to national security that may
14 result from the disclosure of such information is
15 greater than such risk that may result from not
16 disclosing such information; and

17 “(3) submit to the congressional defense com-
18 mittees, the Committee on Energy and Natural Re-
19 sources of the Senate, and the Committee on Energy
20 and Commerce of the House of Representatives writ-
21 ten notification of—

22 “(A) the joint recommendation under para-
23 graph (1), including a summary of the risk as-
24 sessment by the Office of Intelligence and

1 Counterintelligence that serves as the basis for
2 such joint recommendation;

3 “(B) the determination under paragraph
4 (2), including—

5 “(i) a summary of the basis for such
6 determination; and

7 “(ii) a discussion of the less intrusive
8 measures that were considered under sub-
9 paragraph (B) of such paragraph and the
10 reason that the official determined such
11 measures to not be reasonably available;
12 and

13 “(C) the information required by section
14 2304(f)(3) of title 10, United States Code.

15 “(c) LIMITATION ON DISCLOSURE.—If a covered offi-
16 cial exercises the authority under subsection (a)—

17 “(1) no action undertaken by the covered offi-
18 cial under such subsection shall be subject to review
19 by the Comptroller General of the United States in
20 a protest filed under subchapter V of chapter 35 of
21 title 31, United States Code, or in any Federal
22 court; and

23 “(2) the covered official shall—

24 “(A) notify appropriate parties of the cov-
25 ered procurement action and the basis for such

1 action only to the extent necessary to carry out
2 the covered procurement action;

3 “(B) notify other elements of the Depart-
4 ment of Energy or other departments or agen-
5 cies of the United States that are responsible
6 for procurement that may be subject to the
7 same or similar supply chain risk of the covered
8 procurement action, consistent with the require-
9 ments of national security; and

10 “(C) ensure the confidentiality of any noti-
11 fication made under subparagraph (A) or (B).

12 “(d) DELEGATION.—A covered official may not dele-
13 gate the authority provided under this section to an offi-
14 cial of the Department of Energy below the level of the
15 Deputy Assistant Secretary of Energy.

16 “(e) DEFINITIONS.—In this section:

17 “(1) The term ‘covered item of supply’ means
18 an item that is purchased for inclusion in a covered
19 system, and the loss of integrity of which could re-
20 sult in a supply chain risk for a covered system.

21 “(2) The term ‘covered official’ means any of
22 the following:

23 “(A) The Under Secretary of Energy.

24 “(B) The Under Secretary of Energy for
25 Science.

1 “(C) The Administrator for Nuclear Secu-
2 rity.

3 “(D) The Administrator of the Energy In-
4 formation Administration.

5 “(E) The Administrator of the Bonneville
6 Power Administration.

7 “(F) The Administrator of the South-
8 eastern Power Administration.

9 “(G) The Administrator of the South-
10 western Power Administration.

11 “(H) The Administrator of the Western
12 Area Power Administration.

13 “(I) The Chief Information Officer of the
14 Department of Energy.

15 “(3) The term ‘covered procurement’ means—

16 “(A) a source selection for a covered sys-
17 tem or a covered item of supply involving either
18 a performance specification, as described in
19 paragraph (1)(C)(ii) of section 2305(a) of title
20 10, United States Code, or an evaluation factor,
21 as described in paragraph (2)(A) of such sec-
22 tion, relating to supply chain risk;

23 “(B) the consideration of proposals for and
24 issuance of a task or delivery order for a cov-
25 ered system or a covered item of supply if the

1 task or delivery order contract concerned in-
2 cludes a contract clause establishing a require-
3 ment relating to supply chain risk; or

4 “(C) any contract action involving a con-
5 tract for a covered system or a covered item of
6 supply if such contract includes a clause estab-
7 lishing requirements relating to supply chain
8 risk.

9 “(4) The term ‘covered procurement action’
10 means, with respect to an action that occurs in the
11 course of conducting a covered procurement, any of
12 the following:

13 “(A) The exclusion of a source that fails to
14 meet qualification standards established in ac-
15 cordance with the requirements of section 2319
16 of title 10, United States Code, for the purpose
17 of reducing supply chain risk in the acquisition
18 of covered systems.

19 “(B) The exclusion of a source that fails to
20 achieve an acceptable rating with respect to an
21 evaluation factor providing for the consideration
22 of supply chain risk in the evaluation of pro-
23 posals for the award of a contract or the
24 issuance of a task or delivery order.

1 “(C) The withholding of consent for a con-
2 tractor to subcontract with a particular source
3 or the direction to a contractor for a covered
4 system to exclude a particular source from con-
5 sideration for a subcontract under the contract.

6 “(5) The term ‘covered system’ means—

7 “(A) nuclear weapons;

8 “(B) components of nuclear weapons;

9 “(C) items associated with the design, de-
10 velopment, production, and maintenance of nu-
11 clear weapons or components of nuclear weap-
12 ons; and

13 “(D) items associated with the surveillance
14 of the nuclear weapon stockpile; and

15 “(E) any national security system (as de-
16 fined in section 3542(b)(2) of title 44, United
17 States Code).

18 “(6) The term ‘supply chain risk’ means the
19 risk that an adversary may sabotage, maliciously in-
20 troduce an unwanted function, or otherwise subvert
21 the design, integrity, manufacturing, production, dis-
22 tribution, installation, operation, or maintenance of
23 a covered system so as to surveil, deny, disrupt, or
24 otherwise degrade the function, use, or operation of
25 such system.”.

1 (b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of contents
2 at the beginning of such Act is amended by inserting after
3 the item relating to section 4805 the following new item:

“Sec. 4806. Enhanced procurement authority to manage supply chain risk.”.

4 (c) EFFECTIVE DATE.—Section 4806 of the Atomic
5 Energy Defense Act, as added by subsection (a), shall
6 apply with respect to—

7 (1) contracts that are awarded on or after the
8 date that is 180 days after the date of the enact-
9 ment of this Act; and

10 (2) task and delivery orders that are issued on
11 or after the date that is 180 days after such date
12 of enactment under contracts awarded before, on, or
13 after such date of enactment.

1 **SEC. 3120. [Log 50826] EXTENSION OF PRINCIPLES OF**
2 **PILOT PROGRAM TO ADDITIONAL FACILITIES**
3 **OF THE NUCLEAR SECURITY ENTERPRISE.**

4 (a) FINDINGS.—Congress finds the following:

5 (1) In April 2006, the Administrator for Nu-
6 clear Security initiated a pilot program to improve
7 and streamline oversight of the Kansas City Plant of
8 the National Nuclear Security Administration.

9 (2) In a memorandum initiating the pilot, the
10 Administrator cited slow progress in implementing
11 previous efforts to streamline such oversight, saying
12 that such slow progress “is a reflection of excessive
13 risk aversion”.

14 (3) The pilot program shifted away from reli-
15 ance on directives of the Department of Energy and
16 toward third-party certification and industrial stand-
17 ards whenever possible—but the pilot program spe-
18 cifically exempted certain high-hazard operations
19 from its scope.

20 (4) An independent assessment conducted one
21 year after initiation of the pilot found approximately
22 \$14,000,000 had been saved in fiscal year 2007 be-
23 cause of the pilot program.

24 (5) The independent assessment found that
25 “the replacement of Department of Energy prescrip-
26 tive requirements with site specific standards and

1 operating systems was observed to be a significant
2 cost reduction driver. . .in several business areas,
3 this reduction was accomplished by moving toward
4 the use of metrics and benchmarks rather than
5 transactional oversight.”.

6 (6) The independent assessment further found
7 that “no immediate or negative impacts were ob-
8 served as a result” of the pilot program and that
9 “the lessons learned at [the Kansas City Plant] can
10 and should be applied at other NNSA and DOE
11 sites”, while acknowledging that application of such
12 lessons would be limited by the presence of high-
13 risk, high-hazard activities at such locations.

14 (7) The independent assessment concluded, “it
15 is our opinion that these elements can be encouraged
16 and developed over time at each NNSA facility, sub-
17 ject to the limitations made necessary by the nature
18 of the site.”.

19 (b) EXTENSION OF POLICIES.—

20 (1) IN GENERAL.—Except as provided by para-
21 graph (2), the Administrator for Nuclear Security
22 shall—

23 (A) ensure that the principles of the pilot
24 program are permanently implemented at the

1 Kansas City Plant of the National Nuclear Se-
2 curity Administration; and

3 (B) in accordance with paragraph (3), ex-
4 tend such principles of the pilot program, with
5 modifications as the Administrator determines
6 appropriate, to not less than two additional fa-
7 cilities of the nuclear security enterprise (as de-
8 fined in section 4002(5) of the Atomic Energy
9 Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2501(5)), with such
10 principles commencing at each facility not later
11 than one year after the date of the enactment
12 of this Act.

13 (2) EXEMPTION.—In carrying out the extension
14 of the principles of the pilot program pursuant to
15 subparagraph (A) and (B) of paragraph (1), the Ad-
16 ministrator—

17 (A) may exempt high-hazard or high-risk
18 activities from such extension;

19 (B) shall exempt nuclear operations from
20 such extension; and

21 (C) shall focus the initial extension of such
22 principles on low-risk, high-reward initiatives.

23 (3) IMPLEMENTATION.—

24 (A) In extending the principles of the pilot
25 program to not less than two facilities under

1 paragraph (1)(B), the Administrator shall cer-
2 tify to the appropriate congressional committees
3 that—

4 (i) the management and operating
5 contractor for such a facility has suffi-
6 ciently mature processes, as well as high
7 performance, to enable the extension with-
8 out undue risk; and

9 (ii) Federal oversight mechanisms are
10 in place and sufficiently mature to enable
11 the extension without undue risk.

12 (B) If the Administrator cannot make a
13 certification under subparagraph (A) with re-
14 spect to a facility—

15 (i) the Administrator shall delay the
16 extension of the principles of the pilot pro-
17 gram to such facility until the date on
18 which the Administrator makes such cer-
19 tification; and

20 (ii) not later than one year after the
21 date of the enactment of this Act, the Ad-
22 ministrator shall submit to the appropriate
23 congressional committees a report regard-
24 ing—

1 (I) the improvements to proc-
2 esses, procedures, and performance
3 that are required to make such certifi-
4 cation;

5 (II) a plan with respect to the ac-
6 tivities that the Administrator will
7 carry out to make such improvements;
8 and

9 (III) the date by which the Ad-
10 ministrator expects to make such cer-
11 tification and extend the principles of
12 the pilot program.

13 (4) DEFINITIONS.—In this subsection:

14 (A) The term “appropriate congressional
15 committees” means the following:

16 (i) The congressional defense commit-
17 tees.

18 (ii) The Committee on Energy and
19 Natural Resources of the Senate and the
20 Committee on Energy and Commerce of
21 the House of Representatives.

22 (B) The term “principles of the pilot pro-
23 gram” means the principles regarding the use
24 of third-party certification, industrial standards,
25 best business practices, and verification of in-

1 ternal procedures and performance to improve
2 and streamline oversight, as demonstrated in
3 the pilot program at the Kansas City Plant of
4 the Administration described in subsection
5 (a)(1).

1 **SEC. 3123. [Log 50338] REPEAL OF CERTAIN REPORTING RE-**
2 **QUIREMENTS.**

3 (a) REPORT ON COUNTERINTELLIGENCE AND SECUR-
4 RITY PRACTICES AT NATIONAL LABORATORIES.—

5 (1) IN GENERAL.—Section 4507 of the Atomic
6 Energy Defense Act (50 U.S.C. 2658) is repealed.

7 (2) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The table of con-
8 tents at the beginning of the Atomic Energy Defense
9 Act is amended by striking the item relating to sec-
10 tion 4507.

11 (b) REPORTS ON ADVANCED SUPERCOMPUTER
12 SALES TO CERTAIN FOREIGN NATIONS.—Section 3157 of
13 the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year
14 1998 (Public Law 105–85; 50 U.S.C. App. 2404 note) is
15 repealed.

1 **SEC. 3202. [Log 50811] IMPROVEMENTS TO THE DEFENSE**
2 **NUCLEAR FACILITIES SAFETY BOARD.**

3 (a) **COST-BENEFIT ANALYSIS.**—Subsection (a) of sec-
4 tion 315 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 (42 U.S.C.
5 2286d(a)) is amended—

6 (1) by redesignating paragraph (3) as para-
7 graph (4); and

8 (2) by inserting after paragraph (2) the fol-
9 lowing new paragraph (3):

10 “(3) The Secretary may request an analysis from the
11 Board regarding the costs and benefits of any draft or
12 final recommendation. If the Secretary requests such an
13 analysis, the Board shall transmit to the Secretary such
14 analysis by not later than 30 days after the date of the
15 request. The Board shall make such analysis available to
16 the public when the associated recommendation is made
17 available to the public under subsection (b) or promptly
18 thereafter. Additionally, if the Secretary requests such an
19 analysis, the Secretary shall conduct an analysis of the
20 costs and benefits of the recommendation and make such
21 analysis available to the public together with the response
22 of the Secretary to the Board under subsection (c).”.

23 (b) **RECOMMENDATIONS.**—Paragraph (5) of section
24 312(b) of such Act (42. U.S.C. 2286a(b)(5)) is amended
25 to read as follows:

1 “(5) RECOMMENDATIONS.—The Board shall
2 make such recommendations to the Secretary of En-
3 ergy with respect to Department of Energy defense
4 nuclear facilities, including operations of such facili-
5 ties, standards, and research needs, as the Board
6 determines are necessary to ensure adequate protec-
7 tion of public health and safety. In making its rec-
8 ommendations, the Board shall—

9 “(A) use rigorous, quantitative analysis;

10 “(B) specifically assess risk (whenever suf-
11 ficient data exists);

12 “(C) specifically assess the use of various
13 administrative, passive, and engineered controls
14 for implementing the recommended measures;
15 and

16 “(D) specifically assess the technical and
17 economic feasibility of implementing the rec-
18 ommended measures.”.

1 **SEC. 4001 [Log 50792]. AUTHORIZATION OF AMOUNTS IN**
2 **FUNDING TABLES.**

3 (a) **IN GENERAL.**—Whenever a funding table in this
4 division specifies a dollar amount authorized for a project,
5 program, or activity, the obligation and expenditure of the
6 specified dollar amount for the project, program, or activ-
7 ity is hereby authorized, subject to the availability of ap-
8 propriations.

9 (b) **MERIT-BASED DECISIONS.**—A decision to com-
10 mit, obligate, or expend funds with or to a specific entity
11 on the basis of a dollar amount authorized pursuant to
12 subsection (a) shall—

13 (1) be based on merit-based selection proce-
14 dures in accordance with the requirements of sec-
15 tions 2304(k) and 2374 of title 10, United States
16 Code, or on competitive procedures; and

17 (2) comply with other applicable provisions of
18 law.

19 (c) **RELATIONSHIP TO TRANSFER AND PROGRAM-**
20 **MING AUTHORITY.**—An amount specified in the funding
21 tables in this division may be transferred or repro-
22 grammed under a transfer or reprogramming authority
23 provided by another provision of this Act or by other law.
24 The transfer or reprogramming of an amount specified in
25 such funding tables shall not count against a ceiling on
26 such transfers or reprogrammings under section 1001 or

1 section 1522 of this Act or any other provision of law,
2 unless such transfer or reprogramming would move funds
3 between appropriation accounts.

4 (d) APPLICABILITY TO CLASSIFIED ANNEX.—This
5 section applies to any classified annex that accompanies
6 this Act.

7 (e) ORAL AND WRITTEN COMMUNICATIONS.—No
8 oral or written communication concerning any amount
9 specified in the funding tables in this division shall super-
10 sede the requirements of this section.

1 TITLE XLI—PROCUREMENT

2 SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT.

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
FIXED WING			
001	UTILITY F/W AIRCRAFT	19,730	19,730
002	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP)	142,050	142,050
003	MQ-1 UAV	518,460	518,460
004	RQ-11 (RAVEN)	10,772	10,772
ROTARY			
005	HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH)	96,227	231,327
	Program increase for additional aircraft		[115,100]
	Program increase for fielding		[20,000]
006	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN	608,469	608,469
007	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	150,931	150,931
011	UH-60 BLACKHAWK M MODEL (MYP)	1,046,976	1,046,976
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	116,001	116,001
013	CH-47 HELICOPTER	801,650	801,650
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	98,376	98,376
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT			
015	MQ-1 PAYLOAD—UAS	97,781	97,781
016	GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP)	10,262	10,262
017	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP)	12,467	12,467
018	AH-64 MODS	53,559	53,559
019	CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS (MYP)	149,764	149,764
020	UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS	17,500	17,500
021	UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS	74,095	74,095
022	KIOWA MODS WARRIOR	184,044	184,044
023	NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN	152,569	152,569
024	COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE	92,779	92,779
025	GATM ROLLUP	65,613	65,613
026	RQ-7 UAV MODS	121,902	121,902
GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS			
027	AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT	47,610	47,610
028	SURVIVABILITY CM	5,700	5,700
029	CMWS	126,869	126,869
OTHER SUPPORT			
030	AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,809	6,809
031	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	65,397	65,397
032	AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	45,841	45,841
033	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	79,692	79,692
034	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	1,615	1,615
035	LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET	2,877	2,877
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY	5,024,387	5,159,487
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM			
002	MSE MISSILE	540,401	540,401
AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM			
003	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	4,464	4,464
ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS			
004	JAVELIN (AAWS-M) SYSTEM SUMMARY	110,510	110,510
005	TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY	49,354	49,354
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	19,965	19,965
007	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	237,216	237,216
008	MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR)	19,022	19,022
MODIFICATIONS			
010	PATRIOT MODS	256,438	256,438
011	STINGER MODS	37,252	37,252
012	ITAS/TOW MODS	20,000	20,000
013	MLRS MODS	11,571	11,571
014	HIMARS MODIFICATIONS	6,105	6,105
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS			
015	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	11,222	11,222
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES			
016	AIR DEFENSE TARGETS	3,530	3,530
017	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES)	1,748	1,748
018	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT	5,285	5,285
	TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY	1,334,083	1,334,083
PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY			
TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES			
001	STRYKER VEHICLE	374,100	374,100
MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES			

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
002	STRYKER (MOD)	20,522	20,522
003	FIST VEHICLE (MOD)	29,965	29,965
004	BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD)	158,000	158,000
005	HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD)	4,769	4,769
006	PALADIN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT (PIM)	260,177	260,177
007	IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES)	111,031	186,031
	Program increase		[75,000]
008	ASSAULT BRIDGE (MOD)	2,500	2,500
009	ASSAULT BREACHER VEHICLE	62,951	93,951
	Program increase		[31,000]
010	M88 FOV MODS	28,469	28,469
011	JOINT ASSAULT BRIDGE	2,002	2,002
012	M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD)	178,100	346,100
	Program increase		[168,000]
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
014	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV)	1,544	1,544
	WEAPONS & OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES		
015	INTEGRATED AIR BURST WEAPON SYSTEM FAMILY	69,147	8,147
	Funding ahead of need		[-50,000]
	Transfer to PE 64601A per Army's request		[-11,000]
018	MORTAR SYSTEMS	5,310	5,310
019	XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM)	24,049	24,049
021	CARBINE	70,846	48,846
	Funding ahead of need		[-22,000]
023	COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS STATION	56,580	56,580
024	HANDGUN	300	300
	MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH		
026	M777 MODS	39,300	39,300
027	M4 CARBINE MODS	10,300	10,300
028	M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS	33,691	33,691
029	M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS	7,608	7,608
030	M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS	2,719	2,719
031	SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS	7,017	7,017
032	M119 MODIFICATIONS	18,707	18,707
033	M16 RIFLE MODS	2,136	2,136
034	MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	1,569	1,569
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
035	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (WOCV-WTCV)	2,024	2,024
036	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV)	10,108	10,108
037	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	459	459
038	SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG)	1,267	1,267
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY	1,597,267	1,788,267
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		
	SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION		
002	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES	112,167	87,167
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction		[-25,000]
003	CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES	58,571	53,571
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction		[-5,000]
004	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES	9,858	9,858
005	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	80,037	55,037
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction		[-25,000]
007	CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES	16,496	16,496
008	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	69,533	50,033
	Unit cost efficiencies—Army requested reduction		[-19,500]
009	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES	55,781	55,781
	MORTAR AMMUNITION		
010	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	38,029	38,029
011	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	24,656	24,656
012	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	60,781	60,781
	TANK AMMUNITION		
013	CARTRIDGES, TANK, 105MM AND 120MM, ALL TYPES	121,551	121,551
	ARTILLERY AMMUNITION		
014	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES	39,825	39,825
015	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES	37,902	37,902
016	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982	67,896	67,896
017	ARTILLERY PROPELLANTS, FUZES AND PRIMERS, ALL	71,205	71,205
	ROCKETS		
020	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	1,012	1,012
021	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	108,476	108,476
	OTHER AMMUNITION		
022	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	24,074	24,074
023	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	33,242	33,242
024	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	7,609	7,609
025	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	5,228	5,228
	MISCELLANEOUS		
026	AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES	16,700	16,700
027	NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES	7,366	7,366

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
028	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES	3,614	3,614
029	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (AMMO)	12,423	12,423
030	AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT	16,604	16,604
031	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO)	14,328	14,328
032	CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES	108	108
	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT		
033	PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	242,324	242,324
034	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION	179,605	179,605
035	ARMS INITIATIVE	3,436	3,436
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY	1,540,437	1,465,937
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY		
	TACTICAL VEHICLES		
001	TACTICAL TRAILERS/DOLLY SETS	4,000	4,000
002	SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:	6,841	6,841
003	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)	223,910	223,910
004	FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIP	11,880	11,880
005	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)	14,731	14,731
006	PLS ESP	44,252	44,252
009	HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV	39,525	39,525
011	TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS	51,258	25,958
	Funding ahead of need		[-25,300]
012	MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP	49,904	49,904
013	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS	2,200	2,200
	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES		
014	HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN	400	400
015	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	716	716
016	NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER	5,619	5,619
	COMM—JOINT COMMUNICATIONS		
018	WIN-T—GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK	973,477	973,477
019	SIGNAL MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	14,120	14,120
020	JOINT INCIDENT SITE COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITY	7,869	7,869
021	JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM)	5,296	5,296
	COMM—SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		
022	DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS	147,212	147,212
023	TRANSPORTABLE TACTICAL COMMAND COMMUNICATIONS	7,998	7,998
024	SHF TERM	7,232	7,232
025	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE)	3,308	3,308
026	SMART-T (SPACE)	13,992	13,992
028	GLOBAL BRDCST SVC—GBS	28,206	28,206
029	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT)	2,778	2,778
	COMM—C3 SYSTEM		
031	ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS)	17,590	17,590
	COMM—COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS		
032	ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO)	786	786
033	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	382,930	382,930
034	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVN)	19,200	19,200
035	RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2)	1,438	1,438
036	SINGGARS FAMILY	9,856	9,856
037	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS—OPA2	14,184	14,184
038	TRACTOR DESK	6,271	6,271
040	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS	1,030	1,030
041	TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS AND PROTECTIVE SYSTEM	31,868	31,868
042	UNIFIED COMMAND SUITE	18,000	18,000
044	RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY	1,166	1,166
045	FAMILY OF MED COMM FOR COMBAT CASUALTY CARE	22,867	22,867
	COMM—INTELLIGENCE COMM		
048	CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE	1,512	1,512
049	ARMY CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT	61,096	61,096
	INFORMATION SECURITY		
050	TSEC—ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS)	13,890	13,890
051	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP	23,245	23,245
052	BIOMETRICS ENTERPRISE	3,800	3,800
053	COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC)	24,711	24,711
	COMM—LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS		
055	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS	43,395	43,395
	COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS		
057	INFORMATION SYSTEMS	104,577	104,577
058	DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS)	612	612
059	EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	39,000	39,000
060	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM	248,477	248,477
	ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)		
064	JTT/CIBS-M	824	824
065	PROPHET GROUND	59,198	59,198
067	DCGS-A (MIP)	267,214	267,214
068	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS)	9,899	9,899
069	TROJAN (MIP)	24,598	24,598
070	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP)	1,927	1,927

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
071	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)	6,169	6,169
072	MACHINE FOREIGN LANGUAGE TRANSLATION SYSTEM-M	2,924	2,924
	ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)		
074	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	40,735	40,735
075	EW PLANNING & MANAGEMENT TOOLS (EWPMT)	13	13
076	ENEMY UAS	2,800	2,800
079	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES	1,237	1,237
080	CI MODERNIZATION	1,399	1,399
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)		
082	SENTINEL MODS	47,983	47,983
083	SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW)	142	142
084	NIGHT VISION DEVICES	202,428	202,428
085	LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	5,183	5,183
086	NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT	14,074	14,074
087	SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF	22,300	22,300
089	GREEN LASER INTERDICTION SYSTEM (GLIS)	1,016	1,016
090	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION FAMILY OF SYSTEMS	55,354	55,354
091	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	800	800
092	PROFILER	3,027	3,027
093	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS)	1,185	1,185
094	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND—PLATFORM (JBC-P)	103,214	103,214
096	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR)	26,037	26,037
097	MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM	23,100	23,100
098	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	312,727	312,727
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS		
101	FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY	43,228	43,228
102	BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM	14,446	14,446
103	FAAD C2	4,607	4,607
104	AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS	33,090	33,090
105	LAMD BATTLE COMMAND SYSTEM	21,200	21,200
107	LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS)	1,795	1,795
109	NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION AND SERVICE	54,327	54,327
110	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)	59,171	59,171
111	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM-ARMY (GCSS-A)	83,936	83,936
113	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION	25,476	25,476
114	RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET	19,341	19,341
	ELECT EQUIP—AUTOMATION		
115	ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION	11,865	11,865
116	AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP	219,431	219,431
117	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEMS FAM	6,414	6,414
118	HIGH PERF COMPUTING MOD PGM (HPCMP)	62,683	62,683
120	RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS)	34,951	34,951
	ELECT EQUIP—AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)		
121	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V)	7,440	7,440
122	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT)	1,615	1,615
	ELECT EQUIP—SUPPORT		
123	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E)	554	554
124	BCT EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES	20,000	20,000
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
124A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,558	3,558
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT		
126	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE)	762	762
127	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS)	20,630	20,630
128	CBRN DEFENSE	22,151	22,151
	BRIDGING EQUIPMENT		
130	TACTICAL BRIDGING	14,188	14,188
131	TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON	23,101	23,101
132	COMMON BRIDGE TRANSPORTER (CBT) RECAP	15,416	15,416
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT		
134	GRND STANDOFF MINE DETECTN SYSM (GSTAMIDS)	50,465	50,465
135	ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM (RCSS)	6,490	6,490
136	EOD ROBOTICS SYSTEMS RECAPITALIZATION	1,563	1,563
137	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)	20,921	20,921
138	REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS	100	100
139	< \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT	2,271	2,271
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
140	HEATERS AND ECU'S	7,269	7,269
141	LAUNDRIES, SHOWERS AND LATRINES	200	200
142	SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT	1,468	1,468
143	PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS)	26,526	26,526
144	GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM	81,680	71,680
	Unjustified unit cost growth		[-10,000]
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	28,096	28,096
148	CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM	56,150	56,150
149	MORTUARY AFFAIRS SYSTEMS	3,242	3,242
150	FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS	38,141	38,141
151	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT)	5,859	5,859
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
152	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER	60,612	60,612
	MEDICAL EQUIPMENT		
153	COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL	22,042	22,042
154	MEDEVAC MISSION EQUIPMENT PACKAGE (MEP)	35,318	35,318
	MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT		
155	MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS	19,427	19,427
156	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ)	3,860	3,860
	CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT		
157	GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE)	2,000	2,000
159	SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING	36,078	36,078
160	MISSION MODULES—ENGINEERING	9,721	9,721
162	HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR	50,122	50,122
163	TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED	28,828	28,828
164	ALL TERRAIN CRANES	19,863	19,863
166	HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE)	23,465	23,465
168	ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION CAPAP	13,590	13,590
169	CONST EQUIP ESP	16,088	16,088
170	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP)	6,850	6,850
	RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT		
171	ARMY WATERCRAFT ESP	38,007	19,007
	Funding ahead of need		[-19,000]
172	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL)	10,605	10,605
	GENERATORS		
173	GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIP	129,437	129,437
	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
174	ROUGH TERRAIN CONTAINER HANDLER (RTCH)	1,250	1,250
175	FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS	8,260	8,260
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
176	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT	121,710	121,710
177	TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM	225,200	225,200
178	CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER	30,063	30,063
179	AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER	34,913	34,913
180	GAMING TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPORT OF ARMY TRAINING	9,955	9,955
	TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)		
181	CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT	8,241	8,241
182	INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE)	67,506	67,506
183	TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD)	18,755	18,755
	OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
184	M25 STABILIZED BINOCULAR	5,110	5,110
185	RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	5,110	5,110
186	PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3)	62,904	62,904
187	BASE LEVEL COMMON EQUIPMENT	1,427	1,427
188	MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3)	96,661	96,661
189	PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH)	2,450	2,450
190	SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING	11,593	11,593
191	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3	8,948	8,948
192	TRACTOR YARD	8,000	8,000
	OPA2		
195	INITIAL SPARES—C&E	59,700	59,700
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	6,465,218	6,410,918
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT		
001	EA-18G	2,001,787	1,956,787
	Program adjustment		[-45,000]
003	F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET	206,551	206,551
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		75,000
	Program increase		[75,000]
005	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV	1,135,444	1,135,444
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	94,766	94,766
007	JSP SPOVL	1,267,260	1,267,260
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	103,195	103,195
009	V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	1,432,573	1,432,573
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	55,196	55,196
011	H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z)	749,962	749,962
012	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	71,000	71,000
013	MH-60S (MYP)	383,831	383,831
014	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	37,278	37,278
015	MH-60R (MYP)	599,237	599,237
016	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	231,834	231,834
017	P-8A POSEIDON	3,189,989	3,189,989
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	313,160	313,160
019	E-2D ADV HAWKEYE	997,107	962,107
	Unjustified CRI Funding		[-35,000]
020	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	266,542	266,542
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT		
021	JPATS	249,080	249,080
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
022	KC-130J	134,358	134,358
023	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	32,288	32,288
025	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	52,002	52,002
026	MQ-8 UAV	60,980	60,980
028	OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT	14,958	14,958
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		
029	EA-6 SERIES	18,577	18,577
030	AEA SYSTEMS	48,502	48,502
031	AV-8 SERIES	41,575	41,575
032	ADVERSARY	2,992	2,992
033	F-18 SERIES	875,371	875,371
034	H-46 SERIES	2,127	2,127
036	H-53 SERIES	67,675	67,675
037	SH-60 SERIES	135,054	135,054
038	H-1 SERIES	41,706	41,706
039	EP-3 SERIES	55,903	77,903
	12th Aircraft Spiral 3 Upgrade		[8,000]
	Multi-INT Sensor Kits & Installation		[14,000]
040	P-3 SERIES	37,436	37,436
041	E-2 SERIES	31,044	31,044
042	TRAINER A/C SERIES	43,720	43,720
043	C-2A	902	902
044	C-130 SERIES	47,587	47,587
045	FEWSG	665	665
046	CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	14,587	14,587
047	E-6 SERIES	189,312	189,312
048	EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES	85,537	85,537
049	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	3,684	16,684
	Engineering and Technical Services Support		[8,000]
	Multi-INT Sensor Kits & Installation		[5,000]
050	T-45 SERIES	98,128	98,128
051	POWER PLANT CHANGES	22,999	22,999
052	JPATS SERIES	1,576	1,576
053	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT MODS	6,267	6,267
054	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	141,685	141,685
055	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	120,660	120,660
056	COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM	3,554	3,554
057	ID SYSTEMS	41,800	41,800
058	P-8 SERIES	9,485	9,485
059	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION	14,431	14,431
060	MQ-8 SERIES	1,001	1,001
061	RQ-7 SERIES	26,433	26,433
062	V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY	160,834	160,834
063	F-35 STOVL SERIES	147,130	147,130
064	F-35 CV SERIES	31,100	31,100
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
065	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	1,142,461	1,142,461
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIP & FACILITIES		
066	COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT	410,044	410,044
067	AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	27,450	27,450
068	WAR CONSUMABLES	28,930	28,930
069	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	5,268	5,268
070	SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	60,306	60,306
071	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	1,775	1,775
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	17,927,651	17,957,651
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		
001	TRIDENT II MODS	1,140,865	1,126,765
	Equipment related to New START treaty implementation		[-14,100]
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		
002	MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	7,617	7,617
	STRATEGIC MISSILES		
003	TOMAHAWK	312,456	312,456
	TACTICAL MISSILES		
004	AMRAAM	95,413	95,413
005	SIDEWINDER	117,208	117,208
006	JSOW	136,794	136,794
007	STANDARD MISSILE	367,985	367,985
008	RAM	67,596	67,596
009	HELLFIRE	33,916	33,916
010	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM)	6,278	6,278
011	AERIAL TARGETS	41,799	41,799
012	OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT	3,538	3,538
	MODIFICATION OF MISSILES		
013	ESSM	76,749	76,749
014	HARM MODS	111,902	111,902
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
015	WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	1,138	1,138
016	FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON	23,014	23,014
	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
017	ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	84,318	84,318
	TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP		
018	SSTD	3,978	3,978
019	ASW TARGETS	8,031	8,031
	MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP		
020	MK-54 TORPEDO MODS	125,898	125,898
021	MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS	53,203	53,203
022	QUICKSTRIKE MINE	7,800	7,800
	SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
023	TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	59,730	59,730
024	ASW RANGE SUPPORT	4,222	4,222
	DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION		
025	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	3,963	3,963
	GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS		
026	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS	12,513	12,513
	MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS		
027	CIWS MODS	56,308	56,308
028	COAST GUARD WEAPONS	10,727	10,727
029	GUN MOUNT MODS	72,901	72,901
030	CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS	1,943	1,943
031	AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS	19,758	19,758
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
033	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	52,632	52,632
	TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	3,122,193	3,108,093
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC		
	NAVY AMMUNITION		
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	37,703	37,703
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	65,411	65,411
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	20,284	20,284
004	PRACTICE BOMBS	37,870	37,870
005	CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES	53,764	53,764
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES	67,194	67,194
007	JATOS	2,749	2,749
008	LRLAP 6" LONG RANGE ATTACK PROJECTILE	3,906	3,906
009	5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION	24,151	24,151
010	INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION	33,080	33,080
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	40,398	40,398
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	61,219	61,219
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	10,637	10,637
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	4,578	4,578
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION		
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION	26,297	26,297
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES	6,088	6,088
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES	7,644	7,644
018	60MM, ALL TYPES	3,349	3,349
020	120MM, ALL TYPES	13,361	13,361
022	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	2,149	2,149
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	27,465	27,465
026	FUZE, ALL TYPES	26,366	26,366
028	AMMO MODERNIZATION	8,403	8,403
029	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	5,201	5,201
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC	589,267	589,267
	SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		
	OTHER WARSHIPS		
001	CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	944,866	944,866
003	VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	2,930,704	3,422,704
	Increase to Virginia class		[492,000]
004	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	2,354,612	2,354,612
005	CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS	1,705,424	1,705,424
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	245,793	245,793
007	DDG 1000	231,694	310,994
	Increase to DDG 1000		[79,300]
008	DDG-51	1,615,564	1,615,564
009	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	388,551	388,551
010	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	1,793,014	1,793,014
	AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		
012	AFLOAT FORWARD STAGING BASE	524,000	524,000
014	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL	2,732	2,732
	AUXILIARIES, CRAFT AND PRIOR YR PROGRAM COST		
016	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	183,900	183,900
017	OUTFITTING	450,163	450,163
019	LCAC SLEP	80,987	80,987
020	COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS	625,800	988,800

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
	DDG-51		[332,000]
	Joint High Speed Vessel		[7,600]
	MTS		[23,400]
	TOTAL SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY	14,077,804	15,012,104
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT		
001	LM-2500 GAS TURBINE	10,180	10,180
002	ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE	5,536	5,536
003	HYBRID ELECTRIC DRIVE (HED)	16,956	16,956
	GENERATORS		
004	SURFACE COMBATANT HM&E	19,782	19,782
	NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT		
005	OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT	39,509	39,509
	PERISCOPES		
006	SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP	52,515	52,515
	OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT		
007	DDG MOD	285,994	285,994
008	FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT	14,389	14,389
009	COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD	2,436	2,436
010	LHA/LHD MIDLIFE	12,700	12,700
011	LCC 19/20 EXTENDED SERVICE LIFE PROGRAM	40,329	40,329
012	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	19,603	19,603
013	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	8,678	8,678
014	VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	74,209	74,209
015	LCS CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	47,078	47,078
016	SUBMARINE BATTERIES	37,000	37,000
017	LPD CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	25,053	25,053
018	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	12,986	12,986
019	DSSP EQUIPMENT	2,455	2,455
020	CG MODERNIZATION	10,539	10,539
021	LCAC	14,431	14,431
022	UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS	36,700	36,700
023	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	119,902	119,902
024	CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS	3,678	3,678
025	SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM	8,292	8,292
	REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT		
027	REACTOR COMPONENTS	286,744	286,744
	OCEAN ENGINEERING		
028	DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT	8,780	8,780
	SMALL BOATS		
029	STANDARD BOATS	36,452	36,452
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
030	OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT	36,145	36,145
	PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT		
031	OPERATING FORCES IPE	69,368	69,368
	OTHER SHIP SUPPORT		
032	NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS	106,328	106,328
033	LCS COMMON MISSION MODULES EQUIPMENT	45,966	45,966
034	LCS MCM MISSION MODULES	59,885	59,885
035	LCS SUW MISSION MODULES	37,168	37,168
	LOGISTIC SUPPORT		
036	LSD MIDLIFE	77,974	77,974
	SHIP SONARS		
038	SPQ-9B RADAR	27,934	27,934
039	AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM	83,231	83,231
040	SSN ACOUSTICS	199,438	199,438
041	UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	9,394	9,394
042	SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS	12,953	12,953
043	ELECTRONIC WARFARE MILDEC	8,958	8,958
	ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
044	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM	24,077	24,077
045	SSTD	11,925	11,925
046	FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	94,338	94,338
047	SURTASS	9,680	9,680
048	MARITIME PATROL AND RECONNAISSANCE FORCE	18,130	18,130
	ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT		
049	AN/SLQ-32	203,375	203,375
	RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT		
050	SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT	123,656	123,656
051	AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS)	896	896
	SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT		
052	SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG	49,475	49,475
	OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
053	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY	34,692	34,692
054	TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS)	396	396
055	NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS)	15,703	15,703
056	ATDLS	3,836	3,836

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
057	NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS)	7,201	7,201
058	MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT	54,400	54,400
059	SHALLOW WATER MCM	8,548	8,548
060	NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE)	11,765	11,765
061	AMERICAN FORCES RADIO AND TV SERVICE	6,483	6,483
062	STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP	7,631	7,631
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
063	OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT	53,644	53,644
	AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
064	MATCALS	7,461	7,461
065	SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	9,140	9,140
066	AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM	20,798	20,798
067	NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM	19,754	19,754
068	FLEET AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL SYSTEMS	8,909	8,909
069	LANDING SYSTEMS	13,554	13,554
070	ID SYSTEMS	38,934	38,934
071	NAVAL MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	14,131	14,131
	OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT		
072	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND & CONTROL	3,249	3,249
073	MARITIME INTEGRATED BROADCAST SYSTEM	11,646	11,646
074	TACTICAL/MOBILE C4I SYSTEMS	18,189	18,189
075	DCGS-N	17,350	17,350
076	CANES	340,567	340,567
077	RADLAC	9,835	9,835
078	CANES-INTELL	59,652	59,652
079	GPETE	6,253	6,253
080	INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY	4,963	4,963
081	EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION	4,664	4,664
082	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	66,889	66,889
	SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS		
084	SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION	23,877	23,877
086	COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M	28,001	28,001
	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS		
087	SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT	7,856	7,856
088	SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT	74,376	74,376
	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS		
089	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	27,381	27,381
090	NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)	215,952	215,952
	SHORE COMMUNICATIONS		
091	JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT	4,463	4,463
092	ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS	778	778
	CRYPTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT		
094	INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	133,530	133,530
095	MIO INTEL EXPLOITATION TEAM	1,000	1,000
	CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT		
096	CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP	12,251	12,251
	OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT		
097	COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT	2,893	2,893
	SONOBUOYS		
099	SONOBUOYS—ALL TYPES	179,927	179,927
	AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
100	WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	55,279	55,279
101	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS	8,792	8,792
102	AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT	11,364	11,364
103	AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT	59,502	59,502
104	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT	19,118	19,118
105	DCRS/DPL	1,425	1,425
106	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT	29,670	29,670
107	AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES	101,554	101,554
108	LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT	18,293	18,293
109	PORTABLE ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AIDS	7,969	7,969
110	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	5,215	5,215
111	AUTONOMIC LOGISTICS INFORMATION SYSTEM (ALIS)	4,827	4,827
	SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT		
112	NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM	1,188	1,188
113	GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT	4,447	4,447
	SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT		
114	NATO SEASPARROW	58,368	58,368
115	RAM GMLS	491	491
116	SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM	51,858	51,858
117	AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	59,757	59,757
118	TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	71,559	71,559
119	VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS	626	626
120	MARITIME INTEGRATED PLANNING SYSTEM-MIPS	2,779	2,779
	FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
121	STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP	224,484	198,565
	New START treaty implementation		[–25,919]
	ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
122	SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS	85,678	85,678
123	SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	3,913	3,913
124	SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	3,909	3,909
125	ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	28,694	28,694
	OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
126	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP	46,586	46,586
127	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	11,933	11,933
	OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE		
128	ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM	62,361	62,361
129	SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	41,813	41,813
130	SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS	26,672	26,672
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
131	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	5,600	5,600
132	GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS	3,717	3,717
133	CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP	10,881	10,881
134	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT	14,748	14,748
135	TACTICAL VEHICLES	5,540	5,540
136	AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT	5,741	5,741
137	POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT	3,852	3,852
138	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION	25,757	25,757
139	PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES	1,182	1,182
	SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
140	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT	14,250	14,250
141	OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	6,401	6,401
142	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	5,718	5,718
143	SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS	22,597	22,597
	TRAINING DEVICES		
144	TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	22,527	22,527
	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
145	COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	50,428	50,428
146	EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,292	2,292
147	MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,925	4,925
149	NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	3,202	3,202
151	OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	24,294	24,294
152	C4ISR EQUIPMENT	4,287	4,287
153	ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	18,276	18,276
154	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	134,495	134,495
155	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	324,327	324,327
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
156A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	12,140	12,140
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
157	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	317,234	316,959
	New START treaty implementation		[-275]
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	6,310,257	6,284,063
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		
	TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES		
001	AAV7A1 PIP	32,360	32,360
002	LAV PIP	6,003	6,003
	ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS		
003	EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	589	589
004	155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER	3,655	3,655
005	HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM	5,467	5,467
006	WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION	20,354	20,354
	OTHER SUPPORT		
007	MODIFICATION KITS	38,446	38,446
008	WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM	4,734	4,734
	GUIDED MISSILES		
009	GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE	15,713	15,713
010	JAVELIN	36,175	36,175
012	ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H)	1,136	1,136
	OTHER SUPPORT		
013	MODIFICATION KITS	33,976	33,976
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS		
014	UNIT OPERATIONS CENTER	16,273	16,273
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		
015	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	41,063	41,063
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)		
016	COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	2,930	2,930
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)		
018	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	1,637	1,637
019	AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS	18,394	18,394
	RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
020	RADAR SYSTEMS	114,051	114,051
021	RQ-21 UAS	66,612	66,612
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
022	FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM	3,749	3,749
023	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	75,979	75,979

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
026	RQ-11 UAV	1,653	1,653
027	DCGS-MC	9,494	9,494
	OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
028	NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT	6,171	6,171
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)		
029	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	121,955	121,955
030	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	83,294	83,294
031	RADIO SYSTEMS	74,718	74,718
032	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS	47,613	47,613
033	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT	19,573	19,573
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
033A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	5,659	5,659
	ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES		
034	COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES	1,039	1,039
035	COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES	31,050	31,050
	TACTICAL VEHICLES		
036	5/4T TRUCK HMMWV (MYP)	36,333	36,333
037	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS	3,137	3,137
040	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS	27,385	27,385
	OTHER SUPPORT		
041	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	7,016	7,016
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		
042	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	14,377	14,377
043	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	24,864	24,864
044	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	21,592	21,592
045	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	61,353	61,353
046	AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	4,827	4,827
047	EOD SYSTEMS	40,011	40,011
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
048	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	16,809	16,809
049	GARRISON MOBILE ENGINEER EQUIPMENT (GMEE)	3,408	3,408
050	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	48,549	48,549
051	FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION	190	190
	GENERAL PROPERTY		
052	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT	23,129	23,129
053	TRAINING DEVICES	8,346	8,346
054	CONTAINER FAMILY	1,857	1,857
055	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	36,198	36,198
056	RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN	2,390	2,390
	OTHER SUPPORT		
057	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	6,525	6,525
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
058	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	13,700	13,700
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	1,343,511	1,343,511
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	TACTICAL FORCES		
001	F-35	3,060,770	3,060,770
002	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	363,783	363,783
	OTHER AIRLIFT		
005	C-130J	537,517	537,517
006	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	162,000	162,000
007	HC-130J	132,121	132,121
008	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	88,000	88,000
009	MC-130J	389,434	389,434
010	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	104,000	104,000
	HELICOPTERS		
015	CV-22 (MYP)	230,798	230,798
	MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT		
017	CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C	2,541	2,541
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
020	TARGET DRONES	138,669	138,669
022	AC-130J	470,019	470,019
024	RQ-4	27,000	27,000
027	MQ-9	272,217	352,217
	Program increase		[80,000]
028	RQ-4 BLOCK 40 PROC	1,747	1,747
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT		
029	B-2A	20,019	20,019
030	B-1B	132,222	132,222
031	B-52	111,002	110,502
	B-52 conversions related to New START treaty implementation		[-500]
032	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	27,197	27,197
	TACTICAL AIRCRAFT		
033	A-10	47,598	47,598
034	F-15	354,624	354,624
035	F-16	11,794	11,794
036	F-22A	285,830	285,830

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
037	F-35 MODIFICATIONS	157,777	157,777
	AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT		
038	C-5	2,456	2,456
039	C-5M	1,021,967	1,021,967
042	C-17A	143,197	143,197
043	C-21	103	103
044	C-32A	9,780	9,780
045	C-37A	452	452
046	C-130 AMP		47,300
	LRIP Kit Procurement		[47,300]
	TRAINER AIRCRAFT		
047	GLIDER MODS	128	128
048	T-6	6,427	6,427
049	T-1	277	277
050	T-38	28,686	28,686
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
052	U-2 MODS	45,591	45,591
053	KC-10A (ATCA)	70,918	70,918
054	C-12	1,876	1,876
055	MC-12W	5,000	5,000
056	C-20 MODS	192	192
057	VC-25A MOD	263	263
058	C-40	6,119	6,119
059	C-130	58,577	74,277
	C-130H Propulsion System Engine Upgrades		[15,700]
061	C-130J MODS	10,475	10,475
062	C-135	46,556	46,556
063	COMPASS CALL MODS	34,494	34,494
064	RC-135	171,813	171,813
065	E-3	197,087	197,087
066	E-4	14,304	14,304
067	E-8	57,472	57,472
068	H-1	6,627	6,627
069	H-60	27,654	27,654
070	RQ-4 MODS	9,313	9,313
071	HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	16,300	16,300
072	OTHER AIRCRAFT	6,948	6,948
073	MQ-1 MODS	9,734	9,734
074	MQ-9 MODS	102,970	102,970
076	RQ-4 GSRA/CSRA MODS	30,000	30,000
077	CV-22 MODS	23,310	23,310
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
078	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	463,285	639,285
	F100-229 spare engine shortfall		[165,000]
	MQ-9 spares		[11,000]
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
079	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP	49,140	49,140
	POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT		
081	B-1	3,683	3,683
083	B-2A	43,786	43,786
084	B-52	7,000	7,000
087	C-17A	81,952	81,952
089	C-135	8,597	8,597
090	F-15	2,403	2,403
091	F-16	3,455	3,455
092	F-22A	5,911	5,911
	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS		
094	INDUSTRIAL RESPONSIVENESS	21,148	21,148
	WAR CONSUMABLES		
095	WAR CONSUMABLES	94,947	94,947
	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES		
096	OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES	1,242,004	1,242,004
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
101A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	75,845	67,545
	Program Decrease		[-8,300]
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	11,398,901	11,709,101
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT—BALLISTIC		
001	MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC	39,104	39,104
	TACTICAL		
002	JASSM	291,151	291,151
003	SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X)	119,904	119,904
004	AMRAAM	340,015	340,015
005	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	48,548	48,548
006	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	42,347	42,347
	INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES		
007	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POL PREVENTION	752	752

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
	CLASS IV		
009	MM III MODIFICATIONS	21,635	21,635
010	AGM-65D MAVERICK	276	276
011	AGM-88A HARM	580	580
012	AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	6,888	6,888
013	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB	5,000	5,000
	MISSILE SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
014	INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	72,080	71,377
	Spares and repair parts related to New START treaty implementation		[-703]
	SPACE PROGRAMS		
015	ADVANCED EHF	379,586	379,586
016	WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES(SPACE)	38,398	38,398
017	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	403,431	403,431
018	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	74,167	74,167
019	SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC)	5,244	5,244
020	GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE)	55,997	55,997
021	DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG(SPACE)	95,673	95,673
022	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH(SPACE)	1,852,900	1,852,900
023	SBIR HIGH (SPACE)	583,192	583,192
	SPECIAL PROGRAMS		
029	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS	36,716	36,716
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
029A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	829,702	829,702
	TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	5,343,286	5,342,583
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		
	ROCKETS		
001	ROCKETS	15,735	15,735
	CARTRIDGES		
002	CARTRIDGES	129,921	129,921
	BOMBS		
003	PRACTICE BOMBS	30,840	30,840
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	187,397	187,397
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	188,510	188,510
	OTHER ITEMS		
006	CAD/PAD	35,837	35,837
007	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL (EOD)	7,531	7,531
008	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	499	499
009	MODIFICATIONS	480	480
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	9,765	9,765
	FLARES		
011	FLARES	55,864	55,864
	FUZES		
013	FUZES	76,037	76,037
	SMALL ARMS		
014	SMALL ARMS	21,026	21,026
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE	759,442	759,442
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES		
001	PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES	2,048	2,048
	CARGO AND UTILITY VEHICLES		
002	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE	8,019	8,019
003	CAP VEHICLES	946	946
004	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	7,138	7,138
	SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES		
005	SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES	13,093	13,093
006	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	13,983	13,983
	FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT		
007	FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES	23,794	23,794
	MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT		
008	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	8,669	8,669
	BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT		
009	RUNWAY SNOW REMOV & CLEANING EQUIP	6,144	6,144
010	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	1,580	1,580
	COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)		
012	COMSEC EQUIPMENT	149,661	149,661
013	MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC)	726	726
	INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS		
014	INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT	2,789	2,789
015	INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIPMENT	31,875	31,875
016	ADVANCE TECH SENSORS	452	452
017	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	14,203	14,203
	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS		
018	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL & LANDING SYS	46,232	46,232
019	NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM	11,685	11,685
020	BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM—FIXED	19,248	19,248
021	THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPROVEMENTS	19,292	19,292

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
022	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST	17,166	17,166
023	STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL	22,723	22,723
024	CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX	27,930	27,930
025	TAC SIGNIT SPT	217	217
	SPCL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS		
027	GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY	49,627	49,627
028	AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYS	13,559	13,559
029	MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL	11,186	11,186
030	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM	43,238	43,238
031	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	10,431	10,431
032	C3 COUNTERMEASURES	13,769	13,769
033	GCSS-AF FOS	19,138	19,138
034	THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYSTEM	8,809	8,809
035	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CTR-WPN SYS	26,935	26,935
	AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS		
036	INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS	80,558	80,558
038	AFNET	97,588	97,588
039	VOICE SYSTEMS	8,419	8,419
040	USCENTCOM	34,276	34,276
	SPACE PROGRAMS		
041	SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PGM SPACE	28,235	28,235
042	NAVSTAR GPS SPACE	2,061	2,061
043	NUDET DETECTION SYS SPACE	4,415	4,415
044	AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE	30,237	30,237
045	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE	98,062	98,062
046	MILSATCOM SPACE	105,935	105,935
047	SPACE MODS SPACE	37,861	37,861
048	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM	7,171	7,171
	ORGANIZATION AND BASE		
049	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT	83,537	83,537
050	COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATER	11,884	11,884
051	RADIO EQUIPMENT	14,711	14,711
052	CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT	10,275	10,275
053	BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE	50,907	50,907
	MODIFICATIONS		
054	COMM ELECT MODS	55,701	55,701
	PERSONAL SAFETY & RESCUE EQUIP		
055	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES	14,524	14,524
056	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	28,655	28,655
	DEPOT PLANT+MTRLS HANDLING EQ		
057	MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP	9,332	9,332
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
058	BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT	16,762	16,762
059	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	33,768	33,768
060	PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT	2,495	2,495
061	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	12,859	12,859
062	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	1,954	1,954
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS		
064	DARP RC135	24,528	24,528
065	DCGS-AF	137,819	137,819
067	SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM	479,586	479,586
068	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG.	45,159	45,159
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
068A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	14,519,256	14,519,256
	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
069	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	25,746	25,746
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	16,760,581	16,760,581
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD		
038	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD	37,345	37,345
039	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE	16,678	16,678
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA		
037	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)	14,363	14,363
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS		
041	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS	35,259	35,259
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA		
008	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY	16,189	16,189
011	TELEPORT PROGRAM	66,075	66,075
012	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	83,881	83,881
013	NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	2,572	2,572
014	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM NETWORK	125,557	125,557
016	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	16,941	16,941
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA		
017	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	13,137	13,137
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DSS		
021	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	5,020	5,020
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA		

SEC. 4101. PROCUREMENT
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
001	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	1,291	1,291
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS		
040	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS	14,792	14,792
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY		
025	THAAD	581,005	581,005
026	AEGIS BMD	580,814	580,814
027	BMDS AN/TPY-2 RADARS	62,000	62,000
028	AEGIS ASHORE PHASE III	131,400	131,400
030	IRON DOME	220,309	220,309
032	ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)		107,000
	Advanced Procurement of 14 GBIs, beginning with booster motor sets		[107,000]
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA		
003	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	47,201	47,201
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY		
022	VEHICLES	100	100
023	OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT	13,395	13,395
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY		
020	EQUIPMENT	978	978
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA		
019	AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS	1,454	1,454
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA		
002	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	5,711	5,711
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT		
018	MAJOR EQUIPMENT	15,414	15,414
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
041A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	544,272	544,272
	AVIATION PROGRAMS		
043	ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT	112,456	112,456
044	MH-60 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	81,457	81,457
045	NON-STANDARD AVIATION	2,650	2,650
046	U-28	56,208	56,208
047	MH-47 CHINOOK	19,766	19,766
048	RQ-11 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	850	850
049	CV-22 MODIFICATION	98,927	98,927
050	MQ-1 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	20,576	20,576
051	MQ-9 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE	1,893	1,893
053	STUASLO	13,166	13,166
054	PRECISION STRIKE PACKAGE	107,687	107,687
055	AC/MC-130J	51,870	51,870
057	C-130 MODIFICATIONS	71,940	71,940
	SHIPBUILDING		
059	UNDERWATER SYSTEMS	37,439	37,439
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS		
061	ORDNANCE ITEMS <\$5M	159,029	159,029
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS		
064	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	79,819	79,819
066	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	14,906	14,906
068	OTHER ITEMS <\$5M	81,711	81,711
069	COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS	35,053	35,053
072	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	41,526	41,526
073	TACTICAL VEHICLES	43,353	43,353
074	WARRIOR SYSTEMS <\$5M	210,540	210,540
076	COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS	20,000	20,000
080	GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	6,645	6,645
081	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	25,581	25,581
087	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	191,061	191,061
	CBDP		
089	INSTALLATION FORCE PROTECTION	14,271	14,271
090	INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION	101,667	101,667
092	JOINT BIO DEFENSE PROGRAM (MEDICAL)	13,447	13,447
093	COLLECTIVE PROTECTION	20,896	20,896
094	CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE	144,540	144,540
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	4,534,083	4,641,083
	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND		
	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND		
001	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND	98,800	0
	Program reduction		[-98,800]
	TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND	98,800	0
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT	98,227,168	99,666,171

1 **SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY**
 2 **OPERATIONS.**

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
FIXED WING			
001A	SATURN ARCH (MIP)	48,000	48,000
003	MQ-1 UAV	31,988	31,988
ROTARY			
008	AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIB NEW BUILD	142,000	142,000
010	KIOWA WARRIOR WRA	163,800	163,800
013	CH-47 HELICOPTER	386,000	386,000
TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY		771,788	771,788
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM			
002	MSE MISSILE		25,887
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[25,887]
AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM			
003	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY	54,000	54,000
ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYS			
007	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	39,045	39,045
009A	ARMY TACTICAL MSL SYS (ATACMS)—SYS SUM	35,600	35,600
TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY		128,645	154,532
PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY			
MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH			
033	M16 RIFLE MODS		15,422
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[15,422]
TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY			15,422
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY			
SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION			
002	CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES	4,400	4,400
004	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES	1,500	1,500
005	CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES	5,000	10,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[5,000]
008	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES	60,000	60,000
MORTAR AMMUNITION			
010	60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES	5,000	5,000
ARTILLERY AMMUNITION			
014	ARTILLERY CARTRIDGES, 75MM & 105MM, ALL TYPES	10,000	30,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[20,000]
015	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES	10,000	10,000
016	PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE M982	11,000	11,000
MINES			
018	MINES & CLEARING CHARGES, ALL TYPES		9,482
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[9,482]
ROCKETS			
021	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES	57,000	57,000
OTHER AMMUNITION			
022	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	4,000	4,000
023	GRENADES, ALL TYPES	3,000	3,000
024	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	8,000	8,000
MISCELLANEOUS			
028	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES	2,000	2,000
TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		180,900	215,382
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
TACTICAL VEHICLES			
003	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV)		2,500
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[2,500]
005	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV)		2,050
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[2,050]
013	MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED (MRAP) MODS	321,040	562,596
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[241,556]
COMM—BASE COMMUNICATIONS			
060	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM	25,000	25,000
ELECT EQUIP—TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)			
067	DCGS-A (MIP)	7,200	7,200
071	CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)	5,980	5,980
ELECT EQUIP—ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)			
074	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR	57,800	83,255
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[25,455]
078	FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIE	15,300	15,300
079	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES	4,221	4,221

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)		
091	ARTILLERY ACCURACY EQUIP	1,834	1,834
093	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS)		8,400
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[8,400]
096	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (LLDR)	21,000	21,000
098	COUNTERFIRE RADARS	85,830	85,830
	ELECT EQUIP—TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS		
110	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS)		3,200
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[3,200]
112	SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE)		5,160
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[5,160]
	CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT		
126	FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE)		15,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[15,000]
127	BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS)		24,932
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[24,932]
	ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT		
137	EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT)		3,565
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[3,565]
	COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
146	FORCE PROVIDER	51,654	51,654
147	FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT	6,264	6,264
	PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT		
152	DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER		2,119
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[2,119]
	TRAINING EQUIPMENT		
176	COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT		7,000
	Restoral of funds based on offsets used for April 2013 reprogramming		[7,000]
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY	603,123	944,060
	JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND		
	NETWORK ATTACK		
001	ATTACK THE NETWORK	417,700	417,700
	JIEDDO DEVICE DEFEAT		
002	DEFEAT THE DEVICE	248,886	248,886
	FORCE TRAINING		
003	TRAIN THE FORCE	106,000	106,000
	STAFF AND INFRASTRUCTURE		
004	OPERATIONS	227,414	227,414
	TOTAL JOINT IMPR EXPLOSIVE DEV DEFEAT FUND	1,000,000	1,000,000
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	COMBAT AIRCRAFT		
011	H-1 UPGRADES (UH-1Y/AH-1Z)	29,520	29,520
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
026	MQ-8 UAV	13,100	13,100
	MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT		
031	AV-8 SERIES	57,652	57,652
033	F-18 SERIES	35,500	35,500
039	EP-3 SERIES	2,700	2,700
049	SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT	3,375	3,375
054	COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT	49,183	49,183
055	COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES	4,190	4,190
059	MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION	20,700	20,700
	AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		
065	SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS	24,776	24,776
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY	240,696	240,696
	WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	TACTICAL MISSILES		
009	HELLFIRE	27,000	27,000
009A	LASER MAVERICK	58,000	58,000
010	STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (SOPGM)	1,500	1,500
	TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY	86,500	86,500
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC		
	NAVY AMMUNITION		
001	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	11,424	11,424
002	AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	30,332	30,332
003	MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION	8,282	8,282
006	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES	31,884	31,884
011	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION	409	409
012	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO	11,976	11,976
013	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION	2,447	2,447
014	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	7,692	7,692
	MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION		
015	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION	13,461	13,461
016	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES	3,310	3,310

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
017	40 MM, ALL TYPES	6,244	6,244
018	60MM, ALL TYPES	3,368	3,368
019	81MM, ALL TYPES	9,162	9,162
020	120MM, ALL TYPES	10,266	10,266
021	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES	1,887	1,887
022	GRENADERS, ALL TYPES	1,611	1,611
023	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES	37,459	37,459
024	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES	970	970
025	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES	418	418
026	FUZE, ALL TYPES	14,219	14,219
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MC	206,821	206,821
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY		
	CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
135	TACTICAL VEHICLES	17,968	17,968
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY	17,968	17,968
	PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS		
	GUIDED MISSILES		
010	JAVELIN	29,334	29,334
011	FOLLOW ON TO SMAW	105	105
	OTHER SUPPORT		
013	MODIFICATION KITS	16,081	16,081
	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT		
015	REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT	16,081	16,081
	OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)		
017	MODIFICATION KITS	2,831	2,831
	COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NON-TEL)		
018	ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC)	8,170	8,170
	INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)		
023	INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	2,700	2,700
026	RQ-11 UAV	2,830	2,830
	OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)		
029	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES	4,866	4,866
030	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS	265	265
	ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT		
042	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT	114	114
043	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT	523	523
044	TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS	365	365
045	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED	2,004	2,004
047	EOD SYSTEMS	42,930	42,930
	GENERAL PROPERTY		
055	FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT	385	385
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS	129,584	129,584
	AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT		
032	LARGE AIRCRAFT INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES	94,050	94,050
	OTHER AIRCRAFT		
052	U-2 MODS	11,300	11,300
059	C-130	1,618	1,618
064	RC-135	2,700	2,700
	COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
079	AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP	6,000	6,000
	TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	115,668	115,668
	MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	TACTICAL		
005	PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE	24,200	24,200
	TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	24,200	24,200
	PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE		
	ROCKETS		
001	ROCKETS	326	326
	CARTRIDGES		
002	CARTRIDGES	17,634	17,634
	BOMBS		
004	GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS	37,514	37,514
005	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	84,459	84,459
	FLARES		
011	FLARES	14,973	14,973
012	FUZES	3,859	3,859
	SMALL ARMS		
014	SMALL ARMS	1,200	1,200
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE	159,965	159,965
	OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE		
	ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS		

SEC. 4102. PROCUREMENT FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
022	WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST	1,800	1,800
	SPACE PROGRAMS		
046	MILSATCOM SPACE	5,695	5,695
	BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT		
059	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS	60,600	60,600
061	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT	68,000	68,000
	SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS		
068	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG.	58,250	58,250
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
068A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	2,380,501	2,380,501
	TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE	2,574,846	2,574,846
	PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE		
	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA		
011	TELEPORT PROGRAM	4,760	4,760
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS		
041A	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	78,986	78,986
	AMMUNITION PROGRAMS		
060	ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT	2,841	2,841
	OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS		
064	INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS	13,300	13,300
082	SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS	8,034	8,034
087	OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	3,354	3,354
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE	111,275	111,275
	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND		
	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND		
001	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND	15,000	0
	Program reduction		[-15,000]
	TOTAL JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND	15,000	0
	NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT		
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
999	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT		400,000
	Program increase		[400,000]
	TOTAL NATIONAL GUARD & RESERVE EQUIPMENT		400,000
	TOTAL PROCUREMENT	6,366,979	7,168,707

1 **TITLE XLII—RESEARCH, DEVEL-**
 2 **OPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUA-**
 3 **TION**

4 **SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUA-**
 5 **TION.**

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY				
BASIC RESEARCH				
001	0601101A	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	21,803	21,803
002	0601102A	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	221,901	221,901
003	0601103A	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	79,359	79,359
004	0601104A	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS	113,662	113,662
		SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH	436,725	436,725
APPLIED RESEARCH				
005	0602105A	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY	26,585	26,585
006	0602120A	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY	43,170	43,170
007	0602122A	TRACTOR HIP	36,293	36,293
008	0602211A	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY	55,615	55,615
009	0602270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	17,585	17,585
010	0602303A	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY	51,528	51,528
011	0602307A	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	26,162	26,162
012	0602308A	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION	24,063	24,063
013	0602601A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY	64,589	64,589
014	0602618A	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY	68,300	68,300
015	0602622A	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY.	4,490	4,490
016	0602623A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	7,818	7,818
017	0602624A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	37,798	37,798
018	0602705A	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES	59,021	59,021
019	0602709A	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY	43,426	43,426
020	0602712A	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS	20,574	20,574
021	0602716A	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	21,339	21,339
022	0602720A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY	20,316	20,316
023	0602782A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	34,209	34,209
024	0602783A	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY	10,439	10,439
025	0602784A	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY	70,064	70,064
026	0602785A	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY	17,654	17,654
027	0602786A	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY	31,546	31,546
028	0602787A	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	93,340	93,340
		SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH	885,924	885,924
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
029	0603001A	WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	56,056	56,056
030	0603002A	MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	62,032	62,032
031	0603003A	AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	81,080	81,080
032	0603004A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	63,919	63,919
033	0603005A	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	97,043	97,043
034	0603006A	SPACE APPLICATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	5,866	5,866
035	0603007A	MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.	7,800	7,800
036	0603008A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	40,416	40,416
037	0603009A	TRACTOR IHKE	9,166	9,166
038	0603015A	NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS	13,627	13,627
039	0603020A	TRACTOR ROSE	10,667	10,667
041	0603125A	COMBATING TERRORISM—TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	15,054	15,054
042	0603130A	TRACTOR NAIL	3,194	3,194
043	0603131A	TRACTOR EGGS	2,367	2,367
044	0603270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	25,348	25,348
045	0603313A	MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	64,009	64,009
046	0603322A	TRACTOR CAGE	11,083	11,083
047	0603461A	HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	180,662	180,662
048	0603606A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	22,806	22,806
049	0603607A	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM	5,030	5,030
050	0603710A	NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	36,407	36,407
051	0603728A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	11,745	11,745

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
052	0603734A	MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	23,717	23,717
053	0603772A	ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE AND SENSOR TECHNOLOGY.	33,012	33,012
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	882,106	882,106
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES		
054	0603305A	ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	15,301	15,301
055	0603308A	ARMY SPACE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	13,592	13,592
056	0603619A	LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER—ADV DEV	10,625	10,625
058	0603639A	TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION	30,612	30,612
059	0603653A	ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS)	49,989	49,989
060	0603747A	SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY	6,703	6,703
061	0603766A	TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM—ADV DEV ...	6,894	6,894
062	0603774A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	9,066	9,066
063	0603779A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY—DEM/VAL	2,633	2,633
064	0603782A	WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL—DEM/VAL	272,384	272,384
065	0603790A	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	3,874	3,874
066	0603801A	AVIATION—ADV DEV	5,018	5,018
067	0603804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ADV DEV	11,556	11,556
069	0603807A	MEDICAL SYSTEMS—ADV DEV	15,603	15,603
070	0603827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	14,159	14,159
071	0603850A	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE	79	79
072	0604115A	TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES	55,605	55,605
074	0604319A	INDIRECT FIRE PROTECTION CAPABILITY INCREMENT 2—INTERCEPT (IFPC2).	79,232	79,232
075	0604785A	INTEGRATED BASE DEFENSE (BUDGET ACTIVITY 4)	4,476	4,476
076	0305205A	ENDURANCE UAVS	28,991	991
		LEMV program reduction		[-28,000]
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES.	636,392	608,392
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
077	0604201A	AIRCRAFT AVIONICS	76,588	76,588
078	0604220A	ARMED, DEPLOYABLE HELOS	73,309	73,309
079	0604270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	154,621	154,621
080	0604280A	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO	31,826	31,826
081	0604290A	MID-TIER NETWORKING VEHICULAR RADIO (MNVr)	23,341	23,341
082	0604321A	ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM	4,839	4,839
083	0604328A	TRACTOR CAGE	23,841	23,841
084	0604601A	INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS	79,855	90,855
		Transfer from WTCV line 15—XM25 development		[11,000]
085	0604604A	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES	2,140	2,140
086	0604611A	JAVELIN	5,002	5,002
087	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	21,321	21,321
088	0604633A	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL	514	514
093	0604710A	NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS—ENG DEV	43,405	43,405
094	0604713A	COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT	1,939	1,939
095	0604715A	NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES—ENG DEV	18,980	18,980
097	0604741A	AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE—ENG DEV.	18,294	18,294
098	0604742A	CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	17,013	17,013
099	0604746A	AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT	6,701	6,701
100	0604760A	DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS)—ENG DEV ...	14,575	14,575
101	0604780A	COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE	27,634	27,634
102	0604798A	BRIGADE ANALYSIS, INTEGRATION AND EVALUATION	193,748	193,748
103	0604802A	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS—ENG DEV	15,721	15,721
104	0604804A	LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV	41,703	41,703
105	0604805A	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS—ENG DEV	7,379	7,379
106	0604807A	MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT—ENG DEV.	39,468	39,468
107	0604808A	LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER—ENG DEV	92,285	92,285
108	0604814A	ARTILLERY MUNITIONS—EMD	8,209	8,209
109	0604818A	ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE.	22,958	22,958
110	0604820A	RADAR DEVELOPMENT	1,549	1,549
111	0604822A	GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBS)	17,342	17,342
112	0604823A	FIREFINDER	47,221	47,221
113	0604827A	SOLDIER SYSTEMS—WARRIOR DEM/VAL	48,477	48,477
114	0604854A	ARTILLERY SYSTEMS—EMD	80,613	80,613
117	0605013A	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	68,814	68,814
118	0605018A	INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM-ARMY (IPPS-A) ...	137,290	137,290
119	0605028A	ARMORED MULTI-PURPOSE VEHICLE (AMPV)	116,298	116,298
120	0605030A	JOINT TACTICAL NETWORK CENTER (JTNC)	68,148	68,148
121	0605380A	AMF JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM (JTRS)	33,219	33,219
122	0605450A	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	15,127	15,127
124	0605456A	PAC-3/MISE MISSILE	68,843	68,843
125	0605457A	ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD)	364,649	364,649

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
126	0605625A	MANNED GROUND VEHICLE	592,201	592,201
127	0605626A	AERIAL COMMON SENSOR	10,382	10,382
128	0605766A	NATIONAL CAPABILITIES INTEGRATION (MIP)	21,143	21,143
129	0605812A	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH.	84,230	84,230
130	0303032A	TROJAN—RH12	3,465	3,465
131	0304270A	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	10,806	10,806
		SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION.	2,857,026	2,868,026
		RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
132	0604256A	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	16,934	16,934
133	0604258A	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	13,488	13,488
134	0604759A	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	46,672	46,672
135	0605103A	RAND ARROYO CENTER	11,919	11,919
136	0605301A	ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL	193,658	193,658
137	0605326A	CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM	37,158	37,158
139	0605601A	ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	340,659	340,659
140	0605602A	ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS ...	66,061	66,061
141	0605604A	SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS	43,280	43,280
143	0605606A	AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION	6,025	6,025
144	0605702A	METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES	7,349	7,349
145	0605706A	MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS	19,809	19,809
146	0605709A	EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS	5,941	5,941
147	0605712A	SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING	55,504	55,504
148	0605716A	ARMY EVALUATION CENTER	65,274	65,274
149	0605718A	ARMY MODELING & SIM X-CMD COLLABORATION & INTEG	1,283	1,283
150	0605801A	PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES	82,035	82,035
151	0605803A	TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES	33,853	33,853
152	0605805A	MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY.	53,340	53,340
153	0605857A	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT	5,193	5,193
154	0605898A	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	54,175	54,175
		SUBTOTAL RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,159,610	1,159,610
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
156	0603778A	MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	110,576	110,576
157	0607141A	LOGISTICS AUTOMATION	3,717	3,717
159	0607865A	PATRIOT PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT	70,053	70,053
160	0102419A	AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE	98,450	68,450
		JLENS program reduction		[-30,000]
161	0203726A	ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM	30,940	30,940
162	0203735A	COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	177,532	177,532
163	0203740A	MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM	36,495	36,495
164	0203744A	AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS.	257,187	257,187
165	0203752A	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	315	315
166	0203758A	DIGITIZATION	6,186	6,186
167	0203801A	MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	1,578	1,578
168	0203802A	OTHER MISSILE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS	62,100	62,100
169	0203808A	TRACTOR CARD	18,778	18,778
170	0208053A	JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM	7,108	7,108
173	0303028A	SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	7,600	7,600
174	0303140A	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	9,357	9,357
175	0303141A	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	41,225	41,225
176	0303142A	SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE)	18,197	18,197
177	0303150A	WWMCCS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	14,215	14,215
179	0305204A	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	33,533	33,533
180	0305208A	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	27,622	27,622
181	0305219A	MQ-1C GRAY EAGLE UAS	10,901	10,901
182	0305232A	RQ-11 UAV	2,321	2,321
183	0305233A	RQ-7 UAV	12,031	12,031
185	0307665A	BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE	12,449	12,449
186	0708045A	END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES	56,136	56,136
186A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	4,717	4,717
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT ..	1,131,319	1,101,319
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY.	7,989,102	7,942,102
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY		
		BASIC RESEARCH		
001	0601103N	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	112,617	122,617
		Program increase		[10,000]
002	0601152N	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH	18,230	18,230
003	0601153N	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	484,459	484,459
		SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH	615,306	625,306

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
APPLIED RESEARCH				
004	0602114N	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	104,513	104,513
005	0602123N	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH	145,307	145,307
006	0602131M	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY	47,334	47,334
007	0602235N	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH	34,163	34,163
008	0602236N	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	49,689	49,689
009	0602271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH	97,701	97,701
010	0602435N	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH	45,685	63,685
		AGOR mid life refit		[18,000]
011	0602651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH	6,060	6,060
012	0602747N	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	103,050	103,050
013	0602750N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES APPLIED RESEARCH	169,710	169,710
014	0602782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH	31,326	31,326
		SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH	834,538	852,538
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
015	0603114N	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	48,201	48,201
016	0603123N	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	28,328	28,328
019	0603271N	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	56,179	56,179
020	0603640M	USMC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD)	132,400	132,400
021	0603651M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	11,854	11,854
022	0603673N	FUTURE NAVAL CAPABILITIES ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DE- VELOPMENT	247,931	247,931
023	0603729N	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	4,760	4,760
025	0603758N	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS ..	51,463	51,463
026	0603782N	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECH- NOLOGY	2,000	2,000
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	583,116	583,116
ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTO- TYPES				
027	0603207N	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS	42,246	42,246
028	0603216N	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY	5,591	5,591
029	0603237N	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL	3,262	3,262
030	0603251N	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS	74	74
031	0603254N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	7,964	7,964
032	0603261N	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE	5,257	5,257
033	0603382N	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY	1,570	1,570
034	0603502N	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES ...	168,040	168,040
035	0603506N	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE	88,649	88,649
036	0603512N	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	83,902	83,902
037	0603525N	PILOT FISH	108,713	108,713
038	0603527N	RETRACT LARCH	9,316	9,316
039	0603536N	RETRACT JUNIPER	77,108	77,108
040	0603542N	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	762	762
041	0603553N	SURFACE ASW	2,349	2,349
042	0603561N	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	852,977	874,977
		Unmanned Underwater Vehicle Development		[22,000]
043	0603562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS	8,764	8,764
044	0603563N	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN	20,501	20,501
045	0603564N	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES	27,052	27,052
046	0603570N	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	428,933	428,933
047	0603573N	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS	27,154	27,154
048	0603576N	CHALK EAGLE	519,140	519,140
049	0603581N	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)	406,389	406,389
050	0603582N	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	36,570	36,570
051	0603609N	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	8,404	8,404
052	0603611M	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES	136,967	136,967
053	0603635M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM	1,489	1,489
054	0603654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	38,422	38,422
055	0603658N	COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT	69,312	69,312
056	0603713N	OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	9,196	9,196
057	0603721N	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION	18,850	18,850
058	0603724N	NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM	45,618	45,618
059	0603725N	FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT	3,019	3,019
060	0603734N	CHALK CORAL	144,951	144,951
061	0603739N	NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY	5,797	5,797
062	0603746N	RETRACT MAPLE	308,131	308,131
063	0603748N	LINK PLUMERIA	195,189	195,189
064	0603751N	RETRACT ELM	56,358	56,358
065	0603764N	LINK EVERGREEN	53,378	53,378
066	0603787N	SPECIAL PROCESSES	48,842	48,842
067	0603790N	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	7,509	7,509
068	0603795N	LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY	5,075	5,075
069	0603851M	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TESTING	51,178	51,178
070	0603860N	JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS—DEM/ VAL	205,615	205,615
072	0604272N	TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES (TADIRCM)	37,227	37,227

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
073	0604279N	ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION	169	169
074	0604653N	JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE (JCREW). Schedule delay	20,874	10,874 [-10,000]
075	0604659N	PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM	2,257	2,257
076	0604707N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINEERING SUPPORT.	38,327	38,327
077	0604786N	OFFENSIVE ANTI-SURFACE WARFARE WEAPON DEVELOPMENT.	135,985	135,985
078	0605812M	JOINT LIGHT TACTICAL VEHICLE (JLTV) ENGINEERING AND MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT PH.	50,362	50,362
079	0303354N	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT—MIP	8,448	8,448
080	0304270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT—MIP	153	153
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES.	4,641,385	4,653,385
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
081	0604212N	OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT	40,558	40,558
082	0604214N	AV-8B AIRCRAFT—ENG DEV	35,825	35,825
083	0604215N	STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT	99,891	99,891
084	0604216N	MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT	17,565	17,565
085	0604218N	AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	4,026	4,026
086	0604221N	P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM	1,791	1,791
087	0604230N	WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM	11,725	11,725
088	0604231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM	68,463	68,463
089	0604234N	ADVANCED HAWKEYE	152,041	152,041
090	0604245N	H-1 UPGRADES	47,123	47,123
091	0604261N	ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS	30,208	30,208
092	0604262N	V-22A	43,084	43,084
093	0604264N	AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	11,401	11,401
094	0604269N	EA-18	11,138	11,138
095	0604270N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	34,964	34,964
096	0604273N	VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT	94,238	94,238
097	0604274N	NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ)	257,796	257,796
098	0604280N	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM—NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)	3,302	3,302
099	0604307N	SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING	240,298	240,298
100	0604311N	LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	1,214	1,214
101	0604329N	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)	46,007	46,007
102	0604366N	STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS	75,592	75,592
103	0604373N	AIRBORNE MCM	117,854	117,854
104	0604376M	MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE (MAGTF) ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) FOR AVIATION.	10,080	10,080
105	0604378N	NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL—COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENGINEERING.	21,413	21,413
106	0604404N	UNMANNED CARRIER LAUNCHED AIRBORNE SURVEILLANCE AND STRIKE (UCLASS) SYSTEM.	146,683	146,683
107	0604501N	ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS	275,871	275,871
108	0604503N	SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION	89,672	89,672
109	0604504N	AIR CONTROL	13,754	13,754
110	0604512N	SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS	69,615	69,615
112	0604558N	NEW DESIGN SSN	121,566	121,566
113	0604562N	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM	49,143	49,143
114	0604567N	SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/ LIVE FIRE T&E	155,254	155,254
115	0604574N	NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES	3,689	3,689
116	0604601N	MINE DEVELOPMENT	5,041	5,041
117	0604610N	LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT	26,444	26,444
118	0604654N	JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	8,897	8,897
119	0604703N	PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS	6,233	6,233
120	0604727N	JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS	442	442
121	0604755N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL)	130,360	130,360
122	0604756N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL)	50,209	50,209
123	0604757N	SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW)	164,799	164,799
124	0604761N	INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING	1,984	1,984
125	0604771N	MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT	9,458	9,458
126	0604777N	NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM	51,430	51,430
127	0604800M	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD	512,631	512,631
128	0604800N	JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF)—EMD	534,187	534,187
129	0605013M	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	5,564	5,564
130	0605013N	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	69,659	69,659
132	0605212N	CH-53K RDTE	503,180	503,180
133	0605450N	JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM)	5,500	5,500
134	0605500N	MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA)	317,358	317,358
135	0204202N	DDG-1000	187,910	187,910
136	0304231N	TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM—MIP	2,140	2,140
137	0304785N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS	9,406	9,406
138	0305124N	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS PROGRAM	22,800	22,800
		SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION.	5,028,476	5,028,476

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
MANAGEMENT SUPPORT				
139	0604256N	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	43,261	43,261
140	0604258N	TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	71,872	71,872
141	0604759N	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	38,033	38,033
142	0605126N	JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION ..	1,352	1,352
143	0605152N	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—NAVY	5,566	5,566
144	0605154N	CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES	48,345	48,345
146	0605804N	TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES	637	637
147	0605853N	MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	76,585	76,585
148	0605856N	STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT	3,221	3,221
149	0605861N	RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT	72,725	72,725
150	0605863N	RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT	141,778	141,778
151	0605864N	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	331,219	331,219
152	0605865N	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY	16,565	16,565
153	0605866N	NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT	3,265	3,265
154	0605867N	SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT	7,134	7,134
155	0605873M	MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT	24,082	24,082
156	0305885N	TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES	497	497
		SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	886,137	886,137
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT				
159	0604227N	HARPOON MODIFICATIONS	699	699
160	0604402N	UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPO- NENT AND PROTOTYPE DEVELOPMENT. X-47B Aerial Refueling Test & Evaluation	20,961	40,961
				[20,000]
162	0604766M	MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS	35	35
163	0605525N	CARRIER ONBOARD DELIVERY (COD) FOLLOW ON	2,460	2,460
164	0605555N	STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT	9,757	9,757
165	0101221N	STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT	98,057	121,957
		Reentry System Applications and Strategic Guidance Applications		[23,900]
166	0101224N	SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	31,768	31,768
167	0101226N	SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	1,464	1,464
168	0101402N	NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS	21,729	21,729
169	0203761N	RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT)	13,561	13,561
170	0204136N	F/A-18 SQUADRONS	131,118	131,118
171	0204152N	E-2 SQUADRONS	1,971	1,971
172	0204163N	FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL)	46,155	46,155
173	0204228N	SURFACE SUPPORT	2,374	2,374
174	0204229N	TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)	12,407	12,407
175	0204311N	INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	41,609	41,609
176	0204413N	AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS (DISPLACEMENT CRAFT)	7,240	7,240
177	0204460M	GROUND/AIR TASK ORIENTED RADAR (GATOR)	78,208	78,208
178	0204571N	CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	45,124	45,124
179	0204574N	CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT	2,703	2,703
180	0204575N	ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT	19,563	19,563
181	0205601N	HARM IMPROVEMENT	13,586	13,586
182	0205604N	TACTICAL DATA LINKS	197,538	197,538
183	0205620N	SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION	31,863	31,863
184	0205632N	MK-48 ADCAP	12,806	12,806
185	0205633N	AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS	88,607	88,607
187	0205675N	OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS	116,928	116,928
188	0206313M	MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	178,753	178,753
189	0206623M	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYS- TEMS. Marine personnel carrier—funding ahead of need	139,594	113,794
		Precision extended range munition program reduction		[-20,800]
				[-5,000]
190	0206624M	MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT	42,647	42,647
191	0206625M	USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP)	34,394	34,394
192	0207161N	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	39,159	39,159
193	0207163N	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	2,613	2,613
194	0208058N	JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV)	986	986
199	0303109N	SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE)	66,231	66,231
200	0303138N	CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (CANES)	24,476	24,476
201	0303140N	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	23,531	23,531
206	0305160N	NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC)	742	742
207	0305192N	MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAM (MIP) ACTIVITIES	4,804	4,804
208	0305204N	TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	8,381	8,381
211	0305208M	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	5,535	5,535
212	0305208N	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	19,718	19,718
213	0305220N	RQ-4 UAV	375,235	375,235
214	0305231N	MQ-8 UAV	48,713	48,713
215	0305232M	RQ-11 UAV	102	102
216	0305233N	RQ-7 UAV	710	710

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
217	0305234N	SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASL0)	5,013	5,013
219	0305239M	RQ-21A	11,122	11,122
220	0305241N	MULTI-INTELLIGENCE SENSOR DEVELOPMENT	28,851	28,851
221	0308601N	MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT	5,116	5,116
222	0702207N	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	28,042	28,042
223	0708011N	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	50,933	50,933
224	0708730N	MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH)	4,998	4,998
224A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,185,132	1,185,132
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT ..	3,385,822	3,403,922
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY.	15,974,780	16,032,880
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF		
		BASIC RESEARCH		
001	0601102F	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	373,151	373,151
002	0601103F	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES	138,333	138,333
003	0601108F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES	13,286	13,286
		SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH	524,770	524,770
		APPLIED RESEARCH		
004	0602102F	MATERIALS	116,846	116,846
005	0602201F	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES	119,672	119,672
006	0602202F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH	89,483	89,483
007	0602203F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION	197,546	197,546
008	0602204F	AEROSPACE SENSORS	127,539	127,539
009	0602601F	SPACE TECHNOLOGY	104,063	104,063
010	0602602F	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS	81,521	81,521
011	0602605F	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY	112,845	112,845
012	0602788F	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS	138,161	138,161
013	0602890F	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH	40,217	40,217
		SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH	1,127,893	1,127,893
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		
014	0603112F	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS	39,572	49,572
		Program increase		[10,000]
015	0603199F	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T)	12,800	12,800
016	0603203F	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS	30,579	30,579
017	0603211F	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO	77,347	77,347
018	0603216F	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY	149,321	149,321
019	0603270F	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY	49,128	49,128
020	0603401F	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY	68,071	68,071
021	0603444F	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS)	26,299	26,299
022	0603456F	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	20,967	20,967
023	0603601F	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	33,996	33,996
024	0603605F	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY	19,000	19,000
025	0603680F	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM	41,353	41,353
026	0603788F	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION.	49,093	49,093
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	617,526	627,526
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES		
028	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	3,983	3,983
029	0603287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	3,874	3,874
032	0603438F	SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY	27,024	27,024
033	0603742F	COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY	15,899	15,899
034	0603790F	NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	4,568	4,568
035	0603791F	INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D	379	379
036	0603830F	SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP)	28,764	28,764
038	0603851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—DEM/VAL	86,737	86,737
040	0603859F	POLLUTION PREVENTION—DEM/VAL	953	953
042	0604015F	LONG RANGE STRIKE	379,437	379,437
044	0604317F	TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER	2,606	2,606
045	0604327F	HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM (HDBTDS) PROGRAM.	103	103
047	0604337F	REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION	16,018	16,018
049	0604458F	AIR & SPACE OPS CENTER	58,861	58,861
050	0604618F	JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION	2,500	2,500
051	0604635F	GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT	21,175	21,175
053	0604858F	TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM	13,636	13,636
054	0105921F	SERVICE SUPPORT TO STRATCOM—SPACE ACTIVITIES	2,799	2,799
055	0207455F	THREE DIMENSIONAL LONG-RANGE RADAR (3DELRR)	70,160	70,160
056	0305164F	NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT) (SPACE).	137,233	137,233
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT & PROTOTYPES	876,709	876,709

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION				
058	0603260F	INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	977	977
061	0604233F	SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING	3,601	3,601
062	0604270F	ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT	1,971	1,971
064	0604281F	TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE	51,456	51,456
065	0604287F	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT	50	50
066	0604329F	SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB)—EMD	115,000	115,000
067	0604421F	COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS	23,930	23,930
068	0604425F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS	400,258	400,258
069	0604429F	AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK	4,575	4,575
070	0604441F	SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD	352,532	372,532
		Space Based Infrared Systems (SBIRS) Data Exploitation		[20,000]
071	0604602F	ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT	16,284	16,284
072	0604604F	SUBMUNITIONS	2,564	2,564
073	0604617F	AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT	17,036	17,036
074	0604706F	LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS	7,273	7,273
075	0604735F	COMBAT TRAINING RANGES	33,200	33,200
078	0604800F	F-35—EMD	816,335	816,335
079	0604851F	INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE—EMD	145,442	145,442
080	0604853F	EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE)—EMD.	27,963	27,963
081	0604932F	LONG RANGE STANDOFF WEAPON	5,000	5,000
082	0604933F	ICBM FUZE MODERNIZATION	129,411	129,411
083	0605213F	F-22 MODERNIZATION INCREMENT 3.2B	131,100	131,100
084	0605221F	KC-46	1,558,590	1,558,590
085	0605229F	CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION	393,558	393,558
086	0605278F	HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E	6,242	6,242
087	0605431F	ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE)	272,872	272,872
088	0605432F	POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE)	124,805	124,805
089	0605433F	WIDEBAND GLOBAL SATCOM (SPACE)	13,948	13,948
090	0605931F	B-2 DEFENSIVE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM	303,500	303,500
091	0101125F	NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION	67,874	67,874
094	0207701F	FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING	4,663	4,663
097	0401318F	CV-22	46,705	46,705
		SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION	5,078,715	5,098,715
MANAGEMENT SUPPORT				
099	0604256F	THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT	17,690	17,690
100	0604759F	MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT	34,841	34,841
101	0605101F	RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE	32,956	32,956
103	0605712F	INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION	13,610	13,610
104	0605807F	TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT	742,658	742,658
105	0605860F	ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE)	14,203	14,203
106	0605864F	SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP)	13,000	13,000
107	0605976F	FACILITIES RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION—TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.	44,160	44,160
108	0605978F	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT—TEST AND EVALUATION SUP- PORT.	27,643	27,643
109	0606323F	MULTI-SERVICE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING INITIATIVE	13,935	13,935
110	0606392F	SPACE AND MISSILE CENTER (SMC) CIVILIAN WORKFORCE	192,348	192,348
111	0702806F	ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	28,647	28,647
112	0804731F	GENERAL SKILL TRAINING	315	315
114	1001004F	INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES	3,785	3,785
		SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	1,179,791	1,179,791
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT				
115	0603423F	GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM III—OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT.	383,500	383,500
117	0604445F	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	5,000	5,000
118	0605018F	AF INTEGRATED PERSONNEL AND PAY SYSTEM (AF-IPPS)	90,097	90,097
119	0605024F	ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY	32,086	32,086
121	0101113F	B-52 SQUADRONS	24,007	24,007
122	0101122F	AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM)	450	450
123	0101126F	B-1B SQUADRONS	19,589	19,589
124	0101127F	B-2 SQUADRONS	100,194	100,194
125	0101313F	STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM—USSTRATCOM	37,448	37,448
128	0102326F	REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZA- TION PROGRAM.	1,700	1,700
130	0203761F	WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRANSITION FUND.	3,844	3,844
131	0205219F	MQ-9 UAV	128,328	128,328
133	0207131F	A-10 SQUADRONS	9,614	9,614
134	0207133F	F-16 SQUADRONS	177,298	177,298
135	0207134F	F-15E SQUADRONS	244,289	244,289
136	0207136F	MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION	13,138	13,138
137	0207138F	F-22A SQUADRONS	328,542	328,542

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
138	0207142F	F-35 SQUADRONS	33,000	33,000
139	0207161F	TACTICAL AIM MISSILES	15,460	15,460
140	0207163F	ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)	84,172	84,172
142	0207224F	COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY	2,582	2,582
143	0207227F	COMBAT RESCUE—PARARESCUE	542	542
144	0207247F	AF TENCAP	89,816	89,816
145	0207249F	PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT	1,075	1,075
146	0207253F	COMPASS CALL	10,782	10,782
147	0207268F	AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM	139,369	139,369
149	0207325F	JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM)	6,373	6,373
150	0207410F	AIR & SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC)	22,820	22,820
151	0207412F	CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC)	7,029	7,029
152	0207417F	AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS)	186,256	186,256
153	0207418F	TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS	743	743
156	0207431F	COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES	4,471	4,471
158	0207444F	TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY-MOD	10,250	10,250
159	0207448F	C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK	1,431	1,431
160	0207449F	COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION	7,329	7,329
161	0207452F	DCAPES	15,081	15,081
162	0207581F	JOINT SURVEILLANCE/TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM (JSTARS).	13,248	13,248
163	0207590F	SEEK EAGLE	24,342	24,342
164	0207601F	USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION	10,448	10,448
165	0207605F	WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS	5,512	5,512
166	0207697F	DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES	3,301	3,301
167	0208006F	MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS	62,605	62,605
169	0208059F	CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES	68,099	68,099
170	0208087F	AF OFFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	14,047	14,047
171	0208088F	AF DEFENSIVE CYBERSPACE OPERATIONS	5,853	5,853
179	0301400F	SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE	12,197	12,197
180	0302015F	E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC)	18,267	18,267
181	0303131F	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN).	36,288	36,288
182	0303140F	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	90,231	90,231
183	0303141F	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	725	725
185	0303601F	MILSATCOM TERMINALS	140,170	140,170
187	0304260F	AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE	117,110	117,110
190	0305099F	GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM)	4,430	4,430
191	0305103F	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	2,048	2,048
192	0305105F	DOD CYBER CRIME CENTER	288	288
193	0305110F	SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE)	35,698	35,698
194	0305111F	WEATHER SERVICE	24,667	24,667
195	0305114F	AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, AND LANDING SYSTEM (ATCALS).	35,674	35,674
196	0305116F	AERIAL TARGETS	21,186	21,186
199	0305128F	SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES	195	195
200	0305145F	ARMS CONTROL IMPLEMENTATION	1,430	1,430
201	0305146F	DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES	330	330
206	0305173F	SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER	3,696	3,696
207	0305174F	SPACE INNOVATION, INTEGRATION AND RAPID TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	2,469	2,469
208	0305179F	INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE (IBS)	8,289	8,289
209	0305182F	SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE)	13,345	13,345
211	0305202F	DRAGON U-2	18,700	18,700
212	0305205F	ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES	3,000	3,000
213	0305206F	AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	37,828	37,828
214	0305207F	MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS	13,491	13,491
215	0305208F	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	7,498	7,498
216	0305219F	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	3,326	3,326
217	0305220F	RQ-4 UAV	134,406	134,406
218	0305221F	NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGETING	7,413	7,413
219	0305236F	COMMON DATA LINK (CDL)	40,503	40,503
220	0305238F	NATO AGS	264,134	264,134
221	0305240F	SUPPORT TO DCGS ENTERPRISE	23,016	23,016
222	0305265F	GPS III SPACE SEGMENT	221,276	221,276
223	0305614F	JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM	58,523	58,523
224	0305881F	RAPID CYBER ACQUISITION	2,218	2,218
226	0305913F	NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE)	50,547	50,547
227	0305940F	SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS	18,807	18,807
229	0308699F	SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW)	1,079	1,079
230	0401115F	C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON	400	26,400
		C-130H Propulsion System Propeller Upgrades		[26,000]
231	0401119F	C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS (IF)	61,492	61,492
232	0401130F	C-17 AIRCRAFT (IF)	109,134	109,134
233	0401132F	C-130J PROGRAM	22,443	22,443
234	0401134F	LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCIM)	4,116	4,116
238	0401314F	OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT	44,553	44,553
239	0408011F	SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL	6,213	6,213

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
240	0702207F	DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF)	1,605	1,605
242	0708610F	LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT)	95,238	95,238
243	0708611F	SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	10,925	10,925
244	0804743F	OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING	1,347	1,347
245	0808716F	OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES	65	65
246	0901202F	JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY	1,083	1,083
247	0901218F	CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM	1,577	1,577
248	0901220F	PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION	5,990	5,990
249	0901226F	AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY	786	786
250	0901279F	FACILITIES OPERATION—ADMINISTRATIVE	654	654
251	0901538F	FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.	135,735	135,735
252A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	11,874,528	11,894,528
		Program Increase		[20,000]
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT ..	16,297,542	16,343,542
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF.	25,702,946	25,778,946
		RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW		
		BASIC RESEARCH		
001	0601000BR	DTRA BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVE	45,837	45,837
002	0601101E	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES	315,033	315,033
003	0601110D8Z	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES	11,171	11,171
004	0601117E	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE	49,500	49,500
005	0601120D8Z	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM	84,271	89,271
		Restore PK-12 funding		[5,000]
006	0601228D8Z	HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES/MINORITY INSTITUTIONS.	30,895	35,895
		Program increase		[5,000]
007	0601384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	51,426	51,426
		SUBTOTAL BASIC RESEARCH	588,133	598,133
		APPLIED RESEARCH		
008	0602000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY	20,065	13,565
		Decrease to insensitive munitions program		[-6,500]
009	0602115E	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY	114,790	114,790
011	0602234D8Z	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM	46,875	46,875
013	0602251D8Z	APPLIED RESEARCH FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF S&T PRIORITIES.	45,000	45,000
014	0602303E	INFORMATION & COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY	413,260	413,260
015	0602304E	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS	16,330	16,330
017	0602383E	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE	24,537	24,537
018	0602384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	227,065	217,065
		Program decrease		[-10,000]
020	0602668D8Z	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH	18,908	18,908
022	0602702E	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY	225,977	225,977
023	0602715E	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY	166,654	166,654
024	0602716E	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY	243,469	243,469
025	0602718BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES ...	175,282	175,282
026	0602751D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE (SEI) APPLIED RESEARCH.	11,107	11,107
027	1160401BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	29,246	29,246
		SUBTOTAL APPLIED RESEARCH	1,778,565	1,762,065
		ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT		
028	0603000D8Z	JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	26,646	26,646
029	0603121D8Z	SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	19,420	19,920
		Program increase for future information operations strategy		[500]
030	0603122D8Z	COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT	77,792	77,792
031	0603160BR	COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES—PROLIFERATION PREVENTION AND DEFEAT.	274,033	274,033
032	0603175C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY	309,203	239,203
		Decrease in funding of Common Kill Vehicle Technology Program		[-70,000]
034	0603225D8Z	JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	19,305	19,305
035	0603264S	AGILE TRANSPORTATION FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21)—THEATER CAPABILITY.	7,565	7,565
036	0603274C	SPECIAL PROGRAM—MDA TECHNOLOGY	40,426	40,426
037	0603286E	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS	149,804	149,804
038	0603287E	SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY	172,546	172,546
039	0603384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.	170,847	170,847
040	0603618D8Z	JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY	9,009	9,009
041	0603648D8Z	JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	174,428	167,428
		Decrease to Strategic Capabilities Office efforts		[-7,000]
042	0603662D8Z	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES	20,000	20,000
045	0603668D8Z	CYBER SECURITY ADVANCED RESEARCH	19,668	19,668
047	0603680D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.	34,041	34,041

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
048	0603699D8Z	EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	61,971	53,971
		Decrease to Strategic Capabilities Office efforts		[-8,000]
050	0603712S	GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS	20,000	20,000
051	0603713S	DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY.	30,256	30,256
052	0603716D8Z	STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM	72,324	72,324
053	0603720S	MICROELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT.	82,700	82,700
054	0603727D8Z	JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM	8,431	8,431
055	0603739E	ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES	117,080	117,080
057	0603760E	COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS	239,078	239,078
059	0603766E	NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY	259,006	259,006
060	0603767E	SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	286,364	286,364
061	0603769SE	DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	12,116	12,116
062	0603781D8Z	SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE	19,008	19,008
063	0603826D8Z	QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS	78,532	78,532
065	0603828J	JOINT EXPERIMENTATION	12,667	12,667
066	0603832D8Z	DOD MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE	41,370	41,370
069	0603941D8Z	TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	92,508	92,508
070	0604055D8Z	OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT	52,001	60,001
		Operational Energy Capability Improvement Fund		[8,000]
071	0303310D8Z	CWMD SYSTEMS	52,053	52,053
072	1160402BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.	46,809	46,809
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	3,109,007	3,032,507
		ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES		
075	0603161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E ADC&P.	63,641	63,641
076	0603527D8Z	RETRACT LARCH	19,152	19,152
077	0603600D8Z	WALKOFF	70,763	70,763
079	0603714D8Z	ADVANCED SENSORS APPLICATION PROGRAM	17,230	17,230
080	0603851D8Z	ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM.	71,453	71,453
081	0603881C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT	268,990	268,990
082	0603882C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT.	1,033,903	1,174,303
		Planning and Design (35% to 100% design)		[50,000]
		RDT&E Ground Systems Development		[70,000]
		RDT&E Site Activities, including EIS		[20,400]
082A	0603XXXC	COMMON KILL VEHICLE TECHNOLOGY AND CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.		70,000
		Common Kill Vehicle Technology Program		[70,000]
083	0603884BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—DEM/VAL ..	196,237	196,237
084	0603884C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS	315,183	315,183
086	0603890C	BMD ENABLING PROGRAMS	377,605	377,605
087	0603891C	SPECIAL PROGRAMS—MDA	286,613	286,613
088	0603892C	AEGIS BMD	937,056	937,056
089	0603893C	SPACE TRACKING & SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM	44,947	44,947
090	0603895C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS	6,515	6,515
091	0603896C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE COMMAND AND CONTROL, BATTLE MANAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATI.	418,355	418,355
092	0603898C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT	47,419	47,419
093	0603904C	MISSILE DEFENSE INTEGRATION & OPERATIONS CENTER (MDIOC).	52,131	52,131
094	0603906C	REGARDING TRENCH	13,864	13,864
095	0603907C	SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX)	44,478	44,478
096	0603913C	ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS	95,782	283,782
		Development of increased capabilities for Iron Dome		[15,000]
		Increase Israeli Cooperative Programs		[173,000]
097	0603914C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST	375,866	375,866
098	0603915C	BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TARGETS	495,257	495,257
099	0603920D8Z	HUMANITARIAN DEMINING	11,704	11,704
100	0603923D8Z	COALITION WARFARE	9,842	9,842
101	0604016D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM	3,312	13,312
		Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation		[10,000]
102	0604250D8Z	ADVANCED INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES	130,000	25,000
		Decrease to SCO efforts		[-105,000]
103	0604400D8Z	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE (DOD) UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT.	8,300	8,300
104	0604445J	WIDE AREA SURVEILLANCE	30,000	30,000
106	0604775D8Z	DEFENSE RAPID INNOVATION PROGRAM		250,000
		Rapid Innovation Program		[250,000]
108	0604787J	JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	7,402	7,402
110	0604828J	JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY TEAM ...	7,506	7,506
111	0604880C	LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3)	129,374	129,374

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
112	0604881C	AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT	308,522	308,522
115	0303191D8Z	JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM	3,169	3,169
116	0305103C	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	946	946
		SUBTOTAL ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT AND PROTOTYPES.	5,902,517	6,455,917
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION		
118	0604161D8Z	NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT RDT&E SDD.	8,155	8,155
119	0604165D8Z	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT	65,440	65,440
120	0604384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM—EMD	451,306	451,306
122	0604764K	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO)	29,138	29,138
123	0604771D8Z	JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS).	19,475	19,475
124	0605000BR	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES	12,901	12,901
125	0605013BL	INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT	13,812	13,812
126	0605021SE	HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE	386	386
127	0605022D8Z	DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM	3,763	3,763
128	0605027D8Z	OUSDC(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES	6,788	6,788
129	0605070S	DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION.	27,917	27,917
130	0605075D8Z	DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION	22,297	22,297
131	0605080S	DEFENSE AGENCY INITIATIVES (DAI)—FINANCIAL SYSTEM	51,689	51,689
132	0605210D8Z	DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITIES ..	6,184	6,184
133	0303141K	GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM	12,083	12,083
134	0305304D8Z	DOD ENTERPRISE ENERGY INFORMATION MANAGEMENT (EEM).	3,302	3,302
		SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION.	734,636	734,636
		MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
135	0604774D8Z	DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS)	6,393	6,393
136	0604875D8Z	JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT	2,479	2,479
137	0604940D8Z	CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT (CTEIP).	240,213	240,213
138	0604942D8Z	ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS	2,127	2,127
139	0604943D8Z	THERMAL VICAR	8,287	8,287
140	0605100D8Z	JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC)	31,000	31,000
141	0605104D8Z	TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS	24,379	24,379
143	0605117D8Z	FOREIGN MATERIEL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION	54,311	54,311
144	0605126J	JOINT INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION (JIAMDO).	47,462	47,462
146	0605130D8Z	FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING	12,134	12,134
147	0605142D8Z	SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	44,237	44,237
148	0605151D8Z	STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT—OSD	5,871	5,871
149	0605161D8Z	NUCLEAR MATTERS-PHYSICAL SECURITY	5,028	5,028
150	0605170D8Z	SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION	6,301	6,301
151	0605200D8Z	GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE)	6,504	6,504
152	0605384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM	92,046	92,046
158	0605790D8Z	SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH (SBIR)/ SMALL BUSINESS TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER (S.	1,868	1,868
159	0605798D8Z	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS	8,362	8,362
160	0605801KA	DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC)	56,024	56,024
161	0605803SE	R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING AND EVALUATION.	6,908	6,908
162	0605804D8Z	DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION	15,451	19,451
		Program increase		[4,000]
164	0605898E	MANAGEMENT HQ—R&D	71,659	71,659
165	0606100D8Z	BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS	4,083	4,083
167	0203345D8Z	DEFENSE OPERATIONS SECURITY INITIATIVE (DOSI)	5,306	5,306
168	0204571J	JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT	2,097	2,097
172	0303166J	SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES ..	8,394	8,394
175	0305193D8Z	CYBER INTELLIGENCE	7,624	7,624
178	0804767D8Z	COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION (CE2T2).	43,247	43,247
179	0901598C	MANAGEMENT HQ—MDA	37,712	37,712
180	0901598D8W	MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS WHS	607	607
181A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	54,914	54,914
		SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	913,028	917,028
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT		
182	0604130V	ENTERPRISE SECURITY SYSTEM (ESS)	7,552	7,552
183	0605127T	REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH (RIO) AND PARTNERSHIP FOR PEACE INFORMATION MANA.	3,270	3,270
184	0605147T	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SYSTEM (OHASIS).	287	287
185	0607210D8Z	INDUSTRIAL BASE ANALYSIS AND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT	14,000	14,000
186	0607310D8Z	OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT	1,955	1,955

SEC. 4201. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
187	0607327T	GLOBAL THEATER SECURITY COOPERATION MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS (G-TSCMIS).	13,250	13,250
188	0607384BP	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT).	13,026	13,026
190	0607828J	JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY	12,652	12,652
191	0208043J	PLANNING AND DECISION AID SYSTEM (PDAS)	3,061	3,061
192	0208045K	C4I INTEROPERABILITY	72,726	72,726
194	0301144K	JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING	6,524	6,524
201	0302016K	NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT	512	512
202	0302019K	DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING AND INTEGRATION.	12,867	12,867
203	0303126K	LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS—DCS	36,565	36,565
204	0303131K	MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK (MEECN).	13,144	13,144
205	0303135G	PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI)	1,060	1,060
206	0303136G	KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI)	33,279	33,279
207	0303140D8Z	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	10,673	10,673
208	0303140G	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM	181,567	179,291
		Excess to need		[-2,276]
210	0303150K	GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM	34,288	34,288
211	0303153K	DEFENSE SPECTRUM ORGANIZATION	7,741	7,741
212	0303170K	NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES)	3,325	3,325
213	0303260D8Z	DEFENSE MILITARY DECEPTION PROGRAM OFFICE (DMDPO) ..	1,246	1,246
214	0303610K	TELEPORT PROGRAM	5,147	5,147
216	0304210BB	SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES	17,352	17,352
220	0305103K	CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE	3,658	3,658
221	0305125D8Z	CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP)	9,752	9,752
225	0305186D8Z	POLICY R&D PROGRAMS	3,210	3,210
227	0305199D8Z	NET CENTRICITY	21,602	21,602
230	0305208BB	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	5,195	5,195
233	0305208K	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS	3,348	3,348
235	0305219BB	MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV	641	641
238	0305387D8Z	HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM	2,338	2,338
239	0305600D8Z	INTERNATIONAL INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY AND ARCHITECTURES.	4,372	4,372
247	0708011S	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	24,691	24,691
248	0708012S	LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	4,659	4,659
249	0902298J	MANAGEMENT HQ—OJCS	3,533	3,533
250	1105219BB	MQ-9 UAV	1,314	1,314
254	1160403BB	AVIATION SYSTEMS	156,561	156,561
256	1160405BB	SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.	7,705	7,705
257	1160408BB	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS	42,620	42,620
261	1160431BB	WARRIOR SYSTEMS	17,970	17,970
262	1160432BB	SPECIAL PROGRAMS	7,424	7,424
268	1160480BB	SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES	2,206	2,206
271	1160483BB	MARITIME SYSTEMS	18,325	18,325
274	1160489BB	SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES	3,304	3,304
275	1160490BB	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE	16,021	16,021
275A	999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	3,773,704	3,773,704
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	4,641,222	4,638,946
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW.	17,667,108	18,139,232
		OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE MANAGEMENT SUPPORT		
001	0605118OTE	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION	75,720	75,720
002	0605131OTE	LIVE FIRE TEST AND EVALUATION	48,423	48,423
003	0605140TE	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES	62,157	62,157
		SUBTOTAL MANAGEMENT SUPPORT	186,300	186,300
		TOTAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE	186,300	186,300
		TOTAL RDT&E	67,520,236	68,079,460

1 **SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUA-**
2 **TION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPER-**
3 **ATIONS.**

SEC. 4202. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Program Element	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
		SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION		
087	0604622A	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES	7,000	7,000
		SUBTOTAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION.	7,000	7,000
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY.	7,000	7,000
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
224A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	34,426	34,426
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT ..	34,426	34,426
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY.	34,426	34,426
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT		
252A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	9,000	9,000
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT ..	9,000	9,000
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AF.	9,000	9,000
		OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT		
275A	9999999999	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	66,208	66,208
		SUBTOTAL OPERATIONAL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT	66,208	66,208
		TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DW.	66,208	66,208
		TOTAL RDT&E	116,634	116,634

1 **TITLE XLIII—OPERATION AND**
 2 **MAINTENANCE**
 3 **SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.**

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	MANEUVER UNITS	888,114	1,096,714
	Missile Defense Deployment to Guam		[13,100]
	Restore Army OPTEMPO to 90%		[195,500]
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	72,624	72,624
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	617,402	617,402
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	602,262	602,262
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,032,484	1,032,484
060	AVIATION ASSETS	1,287,462	1,303,262
	Restore Army Flying Hour Program to 90%		[15,800]
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	3,559,656	3,559,656
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	454,477	454,477
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,481,156	1,481,156
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	7,278,154	7,278,154
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	2,754,712	3,011,712
	Realignment of Arlington National Cemetary operations		[-25,000]
	Sustainment to 90%		[282,000]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S	425,271	425,271
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	185,064	185,064
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS	463,270	456,594
	Realignment of SOUTHCOM Information Operations		[3,100]
	Unjustified EUCOM Growth		[-9,776]
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	21,102,108	21,576,832
MOBILIZATION			
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY	360,240	360,240
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONING STOCKS	192,105	192,105
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS	7,101	7,101
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	559,446	559,446
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
210	OFFICER ACQUISITION	115,992	115,992
220	RECRUIT TRAINING	52,323	52,323
230	ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING	43,589	43,589
240	SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	453,745	453,745
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	1,034,495	1,034,495
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	1,016,876	1,016,876
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	186,565	186,565
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	652,514	652,514
290	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	485,500	485,500
300	EXAMINING	170,912	170,912
310	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	251,523	251,523
320	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	184,422	184,422
330	JUNIOR ROTC	181,105	181,105
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	4,829,561	4,829,561
ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	690,089	690,089
360	CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES	774,120	779,120
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation		[5,000]
370	LOGISTIC SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	651,765	651,765
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	453,051	453,051
390	ADMINISTRATION	487,737	487,737
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,563,115	1,563,115
410	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	326,853	326,853
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	234,364	234,364
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	1,212,091	1,212,091
440	ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES	243,540	243,540

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	241,101	241,101
460	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	226,291	226,291
470	SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS	426,651	457,851
	Realignment of NATO Special Operations Headquarters from O&M Defense-wide		[31,200]
480	MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS	27,248	24,148
	Realignment of SOUTHCOM Information Operations		[-3,100]
525	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,023,946	1,023,946
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES	8,581,962	8,615,062
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
530	UNDISTRIBUTED		-740,300
	Average civilian end strength above projection		[-284,300]
	Unobligated balances		[-456,000]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-740,300
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY	35,073,077	34,840,601
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	MANEUVER UNITS	1,621	1,621
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	24,429	24,429
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	657,099	657,099
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	122,485	122,485
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	584,058	584,058
060	AVIATION ASSETS	79,380	79,380
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	471,616	471,616
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	74,243	74,243
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	70,894	70,894
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	569,801	569,801
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	294,145	323,245
	Sustainment to 90%		[29,100]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S	51,853	51,853
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	3,001,624	3,030,724
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	10,735	10,735
140	ADMINISTRATION	24,197	24,197
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	10,304	10,304
160	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	10,319	10,319
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	37,857	37,857
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	93,412	93,412
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES	3,095,036	3,124,136
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	MANEUVER UNITS	800,880	800,880
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	178,650	178,650
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	771,503	771,503
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	98,699	98,699
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	38,779	38,779
060	AVIATION ASSETS	922,503	922,503
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	761,056	761,056
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	62,971	62,971
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	233,105	233,105
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,019,059	1,019,059
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	712,139	786,339
	Sustainment to 90%		[74,200]
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S	1,013,715	1,013,715
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	6,613,059	6,687,259
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	10,812	10,812
140	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	1,551	1,551
150	ADMINISTRATION	78,284	78,284

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	46,995	46,995
170	MANPOWER MANAGEMENT	6,390	6,390
180	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	297,105	297,105
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	441,137	441,137
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG	7,054,196	7,128,396
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY OPERATING FORCES		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	4,952,522	4,952,522
020	FLEET AIR TRAINING	1,826,404	1,826,404
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES	38,639	38,639
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	90,030	90,030
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	362,700	362,700
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	915,881	915,881
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	35,838	35,838
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS	379,914	448,414
	CLS for AVN Logistics		[68,500]
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	3,884,836	3,884,836
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	734,852	734,852
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	5,191,511	5,191,511
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,351,274	1,351,274
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	701,316	691,722
	New START treaty implementation, excluding verification and inspection activities		[-9,594]
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE	97,710	97,710
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE	172,330	172,330
160	WARFARE TACTICS	454,682	454,682
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	328,406	328,406
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	946,429	946,429
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	142,249	148,249
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation		[6,000]
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,603	2,603
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	102,970	102,970
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	199,128	199,128
230	CRUISE MISSILE	92,671	92,671
240	FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE	1,193,188	1,193,188
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	105,985	105,985
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	532,627	532,627
270	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	304,160	304,160
280	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	1,011,528	1,011,528
290	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	1,996,821	2,182,021
	Sustainment to 90%		[185,200]
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,460,918	4,460,918
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	32,610,122	32,860,228
	MOBILIZATION		
310	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	331,576	331,576
320	AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	6,638	6,638
330	SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS	222,752	222,752
340	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS	73,310	73,310
350	INDUSTRIAL READINESS	2,675	2,675
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	23,794	23,794
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	660,745	660,745
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
370	OFFICER ACQUISITION	148,516	148,516
380	RECRUIT TRAINING	9,384	9,384
390	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS	139,876	139,876
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	630,069	630,069
410	FLIGHT TRAINING	9,294	9,294
420	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	169,082	169,082
430	TRAINING SUPPORT	164,368	164,368
440	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	241,733	242,833
	Naval Sea Cadets		[1,100]
450	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	139,815	139,815
460	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	94,632	94,632
470	JUNIOR ROTC	51,373	51,373

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)**

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	1,798,142	1,799,242
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
480	ADMINISTRATION	886,088	886,088
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	13,131	13,131
500	CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	115,742	115,742
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGE- MENT	382,150	382,150
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	268,403	268,403
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	317,293	317,293
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	207,128	207,128
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN	295,855	295,855
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	1,140,484	1,140,484
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT	52,873	52,873
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS	27,587	27,587
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	75,728	75,728
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	543,026	543,026
680	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES	4,965	4,965
705	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	545,775	545,775
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	4,876,228	4,876,228
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
710	UNDISTRIBUTED		-278,200
	Average civilian end strength above projection		[-38,500]
	Unobligated balances		[-239,700]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-278,200
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY	39,945,237	39,918,243
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS OPERATING FORCES		
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	837,012	902,012
	Crisis Response Force		[30,000]
	Marine Security Guard		[35,000]
020	FIELD LOGISTICS	894,555	898,555
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation		[4,000]
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	223,337	221,337
	Unjustified Growth HUMVEE Modifications		[-2,000]
040	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	97,878	97,878
050	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	774,619	781,719
	Sustainment to 90%		[7,100]
060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	2,166,661	2,166,661
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	4,994,062	5,068,162
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
070	RECRUIT TRAINING	17,693	17,693
080	OFFICER ACQUISITION	896	896
090	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	100,806	100,806
100	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	46,928	46,928
110	TRAINING SUPPORT	356,426	356,426
120	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	179,747	179,747
130	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	52,255	52,255
140	JUNIOR ROTC	23,138	23,138
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	777,889	777,889
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	43,816	43,816
160	ADMINISTRATION	305,107	305,107
180	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	87,500	87,500
185	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	46,276	46,276
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	482,699	482,699
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
190	UNDISTRIBUTED		-50,000
	Unobligated balances		[-50,000]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-50,000
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MA- RINE CORPS	6,254,650	6,278,750

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	586,620	586,620
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	7,008	7,008
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	100,657	100,657
050	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	305	305
060	AVIATION LOGISTICS	3,927	3,927
070	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	75,933	75,933
080	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	601	601
090	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	44,364	44,364
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	15,477	15,477
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	115,608	115,608
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	1,967	1,967
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	43,726	43,726
140	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION ..	69,011	74,011
	Sustainment to 90%		[5,000]
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	109,604	109,604
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	1,174,808	1,179,808
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
160	ADMINISTRATION	2,905	2,905
170	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGE- MENT	14,425	14,425
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	2,485	2,485
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	3,129	3,129
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	22,944	22,944
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES	1,197,752	1,202,752
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	OPERATING FORCES	96,244	96,244
020	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	17,581	19,081
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance		[1,500]
030	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION ..	32,438	32,738
	Sustainment to 90%		[300]
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	95,259	95,259
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	241,522	243,322
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
050	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	894	894
060	ADMINISTRATION	11,743	11,743
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	9,158	9,158
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	21,795	21,795
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE	263,317	265,117
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	3,295,814	3,295,814
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	1,875,095	1,875,095
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS) ...	1,559,109	1,559,109
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	5,956,304	5,961,304
	Corrosion Prevention, Control, and Mitigation		[5,000]
050	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD- ERNIZATION	1,834,424	2,206,724
	Restoration, Modernization, and Demolition project shortfalls		[152,800]
	Sustainment to 90%		[219,500]
060	BASE SUPPORT	2,779,811	2,779,811
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	913,841	913,841
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	916,837	916,837
100	TACTICAL INTEL AND OTHER SPECIAL ACTIVITIES	720,349	720,349
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES	305,275	305,275
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	433,658	433,658
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	1,146,016	1,147,116
	NORTHCOM VOICE program		[1,100]
140	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	231,830	231,830
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	21,968,363	22,346,763

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
MOBILIZATION			
150	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	2,015,902	2,015,902
160	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	147,216	147,216
170	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,556,232	1,556,232
180	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-ERNIZATION	167,402	167,402
190	BASE SUPPORT	707,040	707,040
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	4,593,792	4,593,792
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
200	OFFICER ACQUISITION	102,334	102,334
210	RECRUIT TRAINING	17,733	17,733
220	RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC)	94,600	94,600
230	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-ERNIZATION	217,011	217,011
240	BASE SUPPORT	800,327	800,327
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	399,364	399,364
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	792,275	792,275
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	248,958	248,958
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	106,741	106,741
290	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	319,331	319,331
300	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	122,736	122,736
310	EXAMINING	3,679	3,679
320	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	137,255	137,255
330	CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING	176,153	176,153
340	JUNIOR ROTC	67,018	67,018
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	3,605,515	3,605,515
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	1,103,684	1,103,684
360	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	919,923	919,923
370	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	56,601	52,601
	Heavy bomber eliminations related to New START treaty implementation		[-400]
	ICBM reductions related to New START implementation		[-3,600]
380	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-ERNIZATION	281,061	281,061
390	BASE SUPPORT	1,203,305	1,203,305
400	ADMINISTRATION	593,865	593,865
410	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	574,609	574,609
420	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	1,028,600	1,013,200
	De-MIRVing ICBMs related to New START treaty implementation		[-700]
	ICBM eliminations and Environmental Impact Study related to New START treaty implementation		[-14,700]
430	CIVIL AIR PATROL	24,720	24,720
460	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	89,008	89,008
465	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,227,796	1,222,996
	Classified Adjustment		[-4,800]
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	7,103,172	7,078,972
UNDISTRIBUTED			
470	UNDISTRIBUTED		-205,100
	Average civilian end strength above projection		[-18,700]
	Unobligated balances		[-186,400]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-205,100
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	37,270,842	37,419,942
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE OPERATING FORCES			
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,857,951	1,857,951
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	224,462	224,462
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	521,182	521,182
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MOD-ERNIZATION	89,704	98,804
	Sustainment to 90%		[9,100]
050	BASE SUPPORT	360,836	360,836

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	3,054,135	3,063,235
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
060	ADMINISTRATION	64,362	64,362
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	15,056	15,056
080	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERS MGMT (ARPC)	23,617	23,617
090	OTHER PERS SUPPORT (DISABILITY COMP)	6,618	6,618
100	AUDIOVISUAL	819	819
	SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	110,472	110,472
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE	3,164,607	3,173,707
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG OPERATING FORCES		
010	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS	3,371,871	3,371,871
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	720,305	720,305
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,514,870	1,514,870
040	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	296,953	323,853
	Sustainment to 90%		[26,900]
050	BASE SUPPORT	597,303	597,303
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	6,501,302	6,528,202
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES		
060	ADMINISTRATION	32,117	32,117
070	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING	32,585	32,585
	SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICE-WIDE ACTIVITIES	64,702	64,702
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG	6,566,004	6,592,904
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE OPERATING FORCES		
010	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	472,239	472,239
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	5,261,463	5,230,711
	AFSOC Flying Hour Program		[70,100]
	International SOF Information Sharing System		[-7,017]
	Ongoing baseline contingency operations		[-35,519]
	Pilot program for SOF family members		[5,000]
	Preserve the force and families—human performance program		[-16,605]
	Preserve the force and families—resiliency		[-8,786]
	Realignment of NATO Special Operations Headquarters to O&M, Army		[-31,200]
	Regional SOF Coordination Centers		[-14,725]
	SOCOM National Capitol Region		[-10,000]
	USASOC Flying Hour Program		[18,000]
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	5,733,702	5,702,950
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
040	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY	157,397	157,397
050	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY	84,899	84,899
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	242,296	242,296
	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES		
060	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS	144,443	165,443
	STARBASE		[21,000]
080	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	612,207	612,207
090	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	1,378,606	1,378,606
110	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY	763,091	763,091
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	1,326,243	1,326,243
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	29,933	29,933
150	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY	462,545	462,545
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	222,979	222,979
170	DEFENSE POW/MIA OFFICE	21,594	21,594

**SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)**

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
180	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	788,389	788,389
190	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE	546,603	546,603
210	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	35,151	35,151
220	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	438,033	438,033
240	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	2,713,756	2,713,756
250	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY	256,201	256,201
270	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT	371,615	217,715
	Program reduction		[-153,900]
280	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	2,010,176	1,992,676
	BRAC 2015 Initiative		[-8,000]
	Combatant Commanders Exercise Engagement Training Transformation		[90,500]
	Procurement Technical Assistance Program—Enhanced Business Support		[10,000]
	Realignment to Building Partnership Capacity authorities		[-35,000]
	Reduction to Building Partnership Capacity authorities		[-75,000]
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	616,572	616,572
295	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	14,283,558	14,287,648
	Classified adjustment		[4,090]
	SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	27,021,695	26,875,385
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
305	UNDISTRIBUTED		-320,000
	Section 514. Study of Reserve Component General and Flag Officers		[3,000]
	Section 551. Department of Defense Recognition of Spouses of Members of Armed Forces who Serve in Combat Zones		[5,000]
	Section 571 .DOD Supplementary Impact Aid		[25,000]
	Section 621. Expand the victims transitional compensation benefit		[10,000]
	Unobligated balances		[-363,000]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		-320,000
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE	32,997,693	32,500,631
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
050	OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER AND CIVIC AID	109,500	109,500
060	COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION	528,455	528,455
080	ACQ WORKFORCE DEV FD	256,031	256,031
090	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY	298,815	298,815
160	OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND	5,000	0
	Program reduction		[-5,000]
	SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	1,197,801	1,192,801
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
100	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY	316,103	316,103
	SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	316,103	316,103
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
110	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE	439,820	439,820
	SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	439,820	439,820
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
040	US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES, DEFENSE	13,606	12,626
	Unjustified Growth		[-980]
120	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE	10,757	10,757
	SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	24,363	23,383
	MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS		
130	ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION FORMERLY USED SITES	237,443	237,443

SEC. 4301. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
	SUBTOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	237,443	237,443
	TOTAL MISCELLANEOUS APPROPRIATIONS	2,215,530	2,209,550
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	175,097,941	174,654,729

1 SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS

2 CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)			
Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	MANEUVER UNITS	217,571	247,571
	Missile Defense Deployment—Other		[15,000]
	Missile Defense Deployment to Turkey		[15,000]
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	8,266	8,266
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	56,626	56,626
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	4,209,942	4,209,942
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	950,567	950,567
060	AVIATION ASSETS	474,288	474,288
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	1,349,152	1,349,152
080	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS	655,000	655,000
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE	301,563	796,563
	Restore High Priority Depot Maintenance		[495,000]
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	706,214	706,214
140	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES	11,519,498	11,519,498
150	COMMANDERS EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM	60,000	60,000
160	RESET	2,240,358	3,740,358
	Restore Critical Army Reset		[1,500,000]
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	22,749,045	24,774,045
ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES			
350	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	4,601,356	4,601,356
380	AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT	17,418	17,418
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	110,000	110,000
420	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	94,820	94,820
430	OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT	54,000	54,000
450	REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT	250,000	250,000
525	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,402,994	1,402,994
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWIDE ACTIVITIES	6,530,588	6,530,588
UNDISTRIBUTED			
530	UNDISTRIBUTED		91,100
	Increase to support higher fuel rates		[91,100]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		91,100
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY	29,279,633	31,395,733
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES			
OPERATING FORCES			
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	6,995	6,995
050	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,332	2,332
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	608	608
090	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE		75,800
	Restore High Priority Depot Maintenance		[75,800]
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	33,000	33,000
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	42,935	118,735
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RES ...	42,935	118,735
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG			
OPERATING FORCES			
010	MANEUVER UNITS	29,314	29,314
020	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES	1,494	1,494
030	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	15,343	15,343
040	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS	1,549	1,549
060	AVIATION ASSETS	64,504	64,504
070	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	31,512	31,512
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	42,179	42,179
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HQ'S	11,996	11,996
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	197,891	197,891
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
160	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	1,480	1,480

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	1,480	1,480
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARNG	199,371	199,371
	AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND		
	MINISTRY OF DEFENSE		
010	SUSTAINMENT	2,735,603	2,735,603
020	INFRASTRUCTURE	278,650	278,650
030	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION	2,180,382	2,180,382
040	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	626,550	626,550
	SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF DEFENSE	5,821,185	5,821,185
	MINISTRY OF INTERIOR		
060	SUSTAINMENT	1,214,995	1,214,995
080	EQUIPMENT AND TRANSPORTATION	54,696	54,696
090	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	626,119	626,119
	SUBTOTAL MINISTRY OF INTERIOR	1,895,810	1,895,810
	DETAINEE OPS		
110	SUSTAINMENT	7,225	7,225
140	TRAINING AND OPERATIONS	2,500	2,500
	SUBTOTAL DETAINEE OPS	9,725	9,725
	TOTAL AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND	7,726,720	7,726,720
	AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND		
	AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND		
010	POWER	279,000	279,000
	SUBTOTAL AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND	279,000	279,000
	TOTAL AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND	279,000	279,000
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	845,169	845,169
030	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA & ENGINEERING SERVICES	600	600
040	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT	17,489	17,489
050	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT	78,491	78,491
060	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	162,420	202,420
	Restore critical depot maintenance		[40,000]
070	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	2,700	2,700
080	AVIATION LOGISTICS	50,130	50,130
090	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	949,539	960,939
	Spares		[11,400]
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	20,226	20,226
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,679,660	1,843,660
	Program increase		[164,000]
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT		126,000
	Program increase		[126,000]
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	37,760	37,760
160	WARFARE TACTICS	25,351	25,351
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY	20,045	20,045
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,212,296	1,665,296
	Combat forces equipment		[148,000]
	Combat forces shortfall		[305,000]
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	10,203	10,203
250	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	127,972	127,972
260	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	221,427	221,427
290	SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION	13,386	13,386
300	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	110,940	110,940
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	5,585,804	6,380,204
	MOBILIZATION		
340	EXPEDITIONARY HEALTH SERVICES SYSTEMS	18,460	18,460
360	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	227,033	227,033
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	245,493	245,493
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
400	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	50,269	50,269
430	TRAINING SUPPORT	5,400	5,400

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	55,669	55,669
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
480	ADMINISTRATION	2,418	2,418
490	EXTERNAL RELATIONS	516	516
510	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	5,107	5,107
520	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	1,411	1,411
530	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	2,545	2,545
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	153,427	153,427
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	8,570	8,570
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	1,425	1,425
705	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	5,608	5,608
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	181,027	181,027
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
710	UNDISTRIBUTED		155,400
	Increase to support higher fuel rates		[155,400]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		155,400
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY	6,067,993	7,017,793
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	OPERATIONAL FORCES	992,190	992,190
020	FIELD LOGISTICS	559,574	559,574
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	570,000	626,000
	Restore High Priority Depot Maintenance		[56,000]
060	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	69,726	69,726
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	2,191,490	2,247,490
	TRAINING AND RECRUITING		
110	TRAINING SUPPORT	108,270	108,270
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	108,270	108,270
	ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES		
150	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	365,555	365,555
160	ADMINISTRATION	3,675	3,675
185	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	825	825
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	370,055	370,055
	UNDISTRIBUTED		
190	UNDISTRIBUTED		5,400
	Increase to support higher fuel rates		[5,400]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		5,400
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS	2,669,815	2,731,215
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	17,196	17,196
020	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	200	200
040	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	6,000	6,000
070	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	12,304	12,304
090	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	6,790	6,790
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	13,210	13,210
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	55,700	55,700
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RES	55,700	55,700
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RESERVE		
	OPERATING FORCES		
010	OPERATING FORCES	11,124	11,124
040	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	1,410	1,410
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	12,534	12,534
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MC RE-SERVE	12,534	12,534
	OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE		

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
OPERATING FORCES			
010	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	1,712,393	1,782,393
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance		[70,000]
020	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	836,104	836,104
030	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)	14,118	14,118
040	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	1,373,480	1,473,480
	Program increase		[100,000]
050	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	122,712	122,712
060	BASE SUPPORT	1,520,333	1,520,333
070	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	31,582	31,582
080	OTHER COMBAT OPS SPT PROGRAMS	147,524	147,524
110	LAUNCH FACILITIES	857	857
120	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	8,353	8,353
130	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	50,495	50,495
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	5,817,951	5,987,951
MOBILIZATION			
150	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	3,091,133	3,141,133
	Restore Critical Depot Maintenance		[50,000]
160	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	47,897	47,897
170	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	387,179	887,179
	Program increase		[500,000]
180	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	7,043	7,043
190	BASE SUPPORT	68,382	68,382
	SUBTOTAL MOBILIZATION	3,601,634	4,151,634
TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
200	OFFICER ACQUISITION	100	100
210	RECRUIT TRAINING	478	478
240	BASE SUPPORT	19,256	19,256
250	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	12,845	12,845
260	FLIGHT TRAINING	731	731
270	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	607	607
280	TRAINING SUPPORT	720	720
320	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION	152	152
	SUBTOTAL TRAINING AND RECRUITING	34,889	34,889
ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES			
350	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	86,273	86,273
360	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES	2,511	2,511
390	BASE SUPPORT	19,887	19,887
400	ADMINISTRATION	3,493	3,493
410	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	152,086	152,086
420	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	269,825	269,825
460	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT	117	117
465	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	16,558	16,558
	SUBTOTAL ADMIN & SRVWD ACTIVITIES	550,750	550,750
UNDISTRIBUTED			
470	UNDISTRIBUTED		284,000
	Increase to support higher fuel rates		[284,000]
	SUBTOTAL UNDISTRIBUTED		284,000
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE	10,005,224	11,009,224
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RESERVE			
OPERATING FORCES			
030	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	26,599	26,599
050	BASE SUPPORT	6,250	6,250
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	32,849	32,849
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AF RE- SERVE	32,849	32,849
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG			
OPERATING FORCES			
020	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	22,200	22,200
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	22,200	22,200
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ANG	22,200	22,200

SEC. 4302. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Line	Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE			
OPERATING FORCES			
020	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	2,222,868	2,222,868
	SUBTOTAL OPERATING FORCES	2,222,868	2,222,868
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
080	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	27,781	27,781
090	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	45,746	45,746
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	76,348	76,348
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	99,538	99,538
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	9,620	9,620
180	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	1,950,000	1,950,000
240	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY	100,100	100,100
280	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	38,227	73,227
	Realignment to Building Partnership Capacity authorities		[35,000]
290	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES	2,784	2,784
295	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	1,862,066	1,862,066
	SUBTOTAL ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE		
	ACTIVITIES	4,212,210	4,247,210
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-		
	WIDE	6,435,078	6,470,078
	TOTAL OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	62,829,052	67,071,152

1 **TITLE XLIV—MILITARY**
 2 **PERSONNEL**

3 **SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL.**

SEC. 4401. MILITARY PERSONNEL (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
Military Personnel Appropriations	130,399,881	130,219,281
Flight Paramedic Training Pay and Allowances—Army Guard		[4,500]
Flight Paramedic Training Pay and Allowances—Army Reserve		[900]
Military Personnel unobligated balances		[-186,000]
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contributions	6,676,750	6,676,750

1 **SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTIN-**
 2 **GENCY OPERATIONS.**

SEC. 4402. MILITARY PERSONNEL FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
Military Personnel Appropriations	9,689,307	9,689,307
Medicare-Eligible Retiree Health Fund Contribu- tions	164,033	164,033

1 **TITLE XLV—OTHER**
 2 **AUTHORIZATIONS**

3 **SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS.**

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY		
PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS	25,158	25,158
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY	25,158	25,158
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE		
FUEL COSTS	61,731	61,731
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE	61,731	61,731
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE		
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	46,428	46,428
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE- WIDE	46,428	46,428
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA		
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA	1,412,510	1,412,510
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DECA	1,412,510	1,412,510
NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND		
MPF MLP	134,917	134,917
POST DELIVERY AND OUTFITTING	43,404	43,404
LG MED SPD RO/RO MAINTENANCE	116,784	116,784
DOD MOBILIZATION ALTERATIONS	60,703	60,703
TAH MAINTENANCE	19,809	19,809
RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT	56,058	56,058
READY RESERVE FORCE	299,025	299,025
TOTAL NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND	730,700	730,700
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM		
IN-HOUSE CARE	8,880,738	8,880,738
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	15,842,732	15,842,732
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	2,505,640	2,505,640
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	1,450,619	1,450,619
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	368,248	368,248
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	733,097	733,097
BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS	1,872,660	1,872,660
R&D RESEARCH	9,162	9,162
R&D EXPLORATORY DEVELOPMENT	47,977	47,977
R&D ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT	291,156	291,156
R&D DEMONSTRATION/VALIDATION	132,430	132,430
R&D ENGINEERING DEVELOPMENT	161,674	161,674
R&D MANAGEMENT AND SUPPORT	72,568	72,568
R&D CAPABILITIES ENHANCEMENT	14,646	14,646
PROC INITIAL OUTFITTING	89,404	89,404
PROC REPLACEMENT & MODERNIZATION	377,577	377,577
PROC IEHR	204,200	204,200
UNDISTRIBUTED		-276,800
DHP Unobligated		[-440,800]
Section 711. Future Availability of TRICARE Prime for Certain Beneficiaries Enrolled in TRICARE Prime		[164,000]
TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	33,054,528	32,777,728
CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION		
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE	451,572	451,572
RDT&E	604,183	604,183

SEC. 4501. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
PROCUREMENT	1,368	1,368
TOTAL CHEM AGENTS & MUNITIONS DE- STRUCTION	1,057,123	1,057,123
DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF		
OPERATING FORCES	815,965	815,965
DRUG DEMAND REDUCTION PROGRAM	122,580	122,580
TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG AC- TIVITIES, DEF	938,545	938,545
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	311,131	311,131
PROCUREMENT	1,000	1,000
TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	312,131	312,131
TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	37,638,854	37,362,054

1 **SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CON-**
 2 **TINGENCY OPERATIONS.**

SEC. 4502. OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS FOR OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Item	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY		
PREPOSITIONED WAR RESERVE STOCKS	44,732	44,732
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, ARMY	44,732	44,732
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE		
FUEL COSTS	88,500	88,500
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, AIR FORCE	88,500	88,500
WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE		
DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY (DLA)	131,678	131,678
TOTAL WORKING CAPITAL FUND, DEFENSE-WIDE	131,678	131,678
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM OPERATION & MAINTENANCE		
IN-HOUSE CARE	375,958	375,958
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	382,560	382,560
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	132,749	132,749
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	2,238	2,238
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	460	460
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	10,236	10,236
TOTAL DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM	904,201	904,201
DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF		
OPERATING FORCES	376,305	376,305
TOTAL DRUG INTERDICTION & CTR-DRUG ACTIVITIES, DEF	376,305	376,305
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL		
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	10,766	10,766
TOTAL OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL	10,766	10,766
TOTAL OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS	1,556,182	1,556,182

1 **TITLE XLVI—MILITARY**
 2 **CONSTRUCTION**

3 **SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION.**

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION (In Thousands of Dollars)				
Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
	Alaska			
Army	Fort Wainwright	Aviation Battalion Complex	45,000	45,000
Army	Fort Wainwright	Aviation Storage Hangar	58,000	58,000
	Colorado			
Army	Fort Carson, Colorado	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	66,000	66,000
Army	Fort Carson, Colorado	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	73,000	73,000
Army	Fort Carson, Colorado	Central Energy Plant	34,000	34,000
Army	Fort Carson, Colorado	Fire Station	12,000	12,000
Army	Fort Carson, Colorado	Headquarters Building	33,000	33,000
Army	Fort Carson, Colorado	Runway	12,000	12,000
Army	Fort Carson, Colorado	Simulator Building	12,200	12,200
	Florida			
Army	Eglin AFB	Automated Sniper Field Fire Range	4,700	4,700
	Georgia			
Army	Fort Gordon	Adv Individual Training Barracks Cplx, Ph2	61,000	61,000
	Hawaii			
Army	Fort Shafter	Command and Control Facility—Admin	75,000	65,000
	Kansas			
Army	Fort Leavenworth	Simulations Center	17,000	17,000
	Kentucky			
Army	Fort Campbell, Kentucky	Battlefield Weather Support Facility	4,800	4,800
	Maryland			
Army	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Operations and Maintenance Facilities	21,000	21,000
Army	Fort Detrick	Entry Control Point	2,500	2,500
Army	Fort Detrick	Hazardous Material Storage Building	4,600	4,600
	Missouri			
Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Adv Individual Training Barracks Cplx, Ph1	86,000	86,000
Army	Fort Leonard Wood	Simulator Building	4,700	4,700
	New York			
Army	U.S. Military Academy	Cadet Barracks, Iner 2	42,000	42,000
	North Carolina			
Army	Fort Bragg	Command and Control Facility	5,900	5,900
	Texas			
Army	Fort Bliss	Control Tower	10,800	10,800
Army	Fort Bliss	Unmanned Aerial Vehicle Complex	36,000	36,000
	Virginia			
Army	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	Adv Individual Training Barracks Cplx, Ph3	50,000	50,000
	Washington			
Army	Joint Base Lewis-Mechord	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	79,000	79,000
Army	Joint Base Lewis-Mechord	Airfield Operations Complex	37,000	37,000
Army	Joint Base Lewis-Mechord	Aviation Battalion Complex	28,000	28,000
Army	Yakima	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun Range	9,100	9,100
	Worldwide Classified			
Army	Classified Location	Company Operations Complex	33,000	33,000
	Kwajalein			
Army	Kwajalein Atoll	Pier	63,000	63,000
	Worldwide Unspecified			
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Host Nation Support Fy14	33,000	23,000
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Minor Construction Fy14	25,000	25,000
Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design Fy14	41,575	41,575
	Total Military Construction, Army		1,119,875	1,099,875
	California			
Navy	Barstow	Engine Dynamometer Facility	14,998	14,998
Navy	Camp Pendleton, California	Ammunition Supply Point Upgrade	13,124	13,124
Navy	Coronado	H-60 Trainer Facility	8,910	8,910
Navy	Point Mugu	Aircraft Engine Test Pads	7,198	7,198
Navy	Point Mugu	Bams Consolidated Maintenance Hangar	17,469	17,469

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Navy	Port Hueneme	Unaccompanied Housing Conversion	33,600	33,600
Navy	San Diego	Steam Plant Decentralization	34,331	34,331
Navy	Twentynine Palms, California	Camp Wilson Infrastructure Upgrades	33,437	33,437
	Florida			
Navy	Jacksonville	P-8a Training & Parking Apron Expansion	20,752	20,752
Navy	Key West	Aircraft Crash/Rescue & Fire Headquarters	14,001	14,001
Navy	Mayport	Les Logistics Support Facility	16,093	16,093
	Georgia			
Navy	Albany	Cers Dispatch Facility	1,010	1,010
Navy	Albany	Weapons Storage and Inspection Facility	15,600	15,600
Navy	Savannah	Townsend Bombing Range Land Acq—Phase 1	61,717	61,717
	Guam			
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar—North Ramp	85,673	85,673
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Bams Forward Operational & Maintenance Hangar ...	61,702	61,702
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Dehumidified Supply Storage Facility	17,170	17,170
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Emergent Repair Facility Expansion	35,860	35,860
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Modular Storage Magazines	63,382	63,382
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	Sierra Wharf Improvements	1,170	1,170
Navy	Joint Region Marianas	X-Ray Wharf Improvements	53,420	53,420
	Hawaii			
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	3rd Radio Bn Maintenance/Operations Complex	25,336	25,336
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Aircraft Maintenance Expansion	16,968	16,968
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar Upgrades	31,820	31,820
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Armory Addition and Renovation	12,952	12,952
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Aviation Simulator Modernization/Addition	17,724	17,724
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Mv-22 Hangar	57,517	57,517
Navy	Kaneohe Bay	Mv-22 Parking Apron and Infrastructure	74,665	74,665
Navy	Pearl City	Water Transmission Line	30,100	30,100
Navy	Pearl Harbor	Drydock Waterfront Facility	22,721	22,721
Navy	Pearl Harbor	Submarine Production Support Facility	35,277	35,277
	Illinois			
Navy	Great Lakes	Unaccompanied Housing	35,851	35,851
	Maine			
Navy	Bangor	Netams Vlf Commercial Power Connection	13,800	13,800
Navy	Kittery	Structural Shops Consolidation	11,522	11,522
	Maryland			
Navy	Fort Meade	Marforeybercom HQ-Ops Building	83,988	83,988
	Nevada			
Navy	Fallon	Wastewater Treatment Plant	11,334	11,334
	North Carolina			
Navy	Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	Landfill—Phase 4	20,795	20,795
Navy	Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	Operations Training Complex	22,515	22,515
Navy	Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	Steam Decentralization—BEQ Nodes	18,679	18,679
Navy	Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	Steam Decentralization—Camp Johnson	2,620	2,620
Navy	Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	Steam Decentralization—Hadnot Point	13,390	13,390
Navy	New River	Ch-53k Maintenance Training Facility	13,218	13,218
Navy	New River	Corrosion Control Hangar	12,547	12,547
Navy	New River	Regional Communication Station	20,098	20,098
	Oklahoma			
Navy	Tinker AFB	Tacamo E-6B Hangar	14,144	14,144
	Rhode Island			
Navy	Newport	Hewitt Hall Research Center	12,422	12,422
	South Carolina			
Navy	Charleston	Nuclear Power Operational Training Facility	73,932	73,932
	Virginia			
Navy	Dam Neck	Aerial Target Operation Consolidation	10,587	10,587
Navy	Norfolk	Pier 11 Power Upgrades for Cvm-78	3,380	3,380
Navy	Quantico	Academic Instruction Facility Tecom Schools	25,731	25,731
Navy	Quantico	Ate Transmitter/Receiver Relocation	3,630	3,630
Navy	Quantico	Fuller Road Improvements	9,013	9,013
Navy	Yorktown	Small Arms Ranges	18,700	18,700
	Washington			
Navy	Bremerton	Integrated Water Treatment Sys Dry Docks 3&4	18,189	18,189
Navy	Kitsap	Explosives Handling Wharf #2 (Inc)	24,880	24,880
Navy	Whidbey Island	Ea-18g Facility Improvements	32,482	32,482
Navy	Whidbey Island	P-8a Hangar and Training Facilities	85,167	85,167
	Djibouti			
Navy	Camp Lemonier, Djibouti	Armory	6,420	6,420
Navy	Camp Lemonier, Djibouti	Unaccompanied Housing	22,580	22,580
	Japan			

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Navy	Camp Butler	Airfield Security Upgrades	5,820	5,820
Navy	Yokosuka	Communication System Upgrade	7,568	7,568
Navy	Worldwide Unspecified			
	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Meon Design Funds	89,830	89,830
Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	19,740	19,740
Total Military Construction, Navy			1,700,269	1,700,269
	Arizona			
AF	Luke AFB	F-35 Field Training Detachment	5,500	5,500
AF	Luke AFB	F-35 Sq Ops/Aircraft Maintenance Unit #3	21,400	21,400
	California			
AF	Beale AFB	Distributed Common Ground Station Ops Bldg	62,000	62,000
	Florida			
AF	Tyndall AFB	F-22 Munitions Storage Complex	9,100	9,100
	Guam			
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Par—Fuel Sys Hardened Bldgs	20,000	20,000
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Par—Strike Tactical Missile Mxs Facility	10,530	10,530
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Par—Tanker Gp Mx Hangar/AMU/Sqd Ops	132,600	132,600
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Prte Red Horse Airfield Operations Facility	8,500	8,500
AF	Joint Region Marianas	Prte SF Fire Rescure & Emergency Mgt	4,600	4,600
	Hawaii			
AF	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	C-17 Modernize Hgr 35, Docks 1&2	4,800	4,800
	Kentucky			
AF	Fort Campbell, Kentucky	19th Air Support Operations Sqdrn Expansion	8,000	8,000
	Maryland			
AF	Fort Meade	Cybercom Joint Operations Center, Increment 1	85,000	85,000
AF	Joint Base Andrews	Helicopter Operations Facility	30,000	30,000
	Missouri			
AF	Whiteman AFB	Wsa Mop Igloos and Assembly Facility	5,900	5,900
	Nebraska			
AF	Offutt AFB	Usstratcom Replacement Facility, Iner 3	136,000	136,000
	Nevada			
AF	Nellis AFB	Add Rpa Weapons School Facility	20,000	20,000
AF	Nellis AFB	Dormitory (240 Rm)	35,000	35,000
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Alt Mission Equip (Ame) Storage	5,000	5,000
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Fuel Cell Hangar	9,400	9,400
AF	Nellis AFB	F-35 Parts Store	9,100	9,100
	New Mexico			
AF	Cannon AFB	Airmen and Family Readiness Center	5,500	5,500
AF	Cannon AFB	Dormitory (144 Rm)	22,000	22,000
AF	Cannon AFB	Satellite Dining Facility	6,600	6,600
AF	Holloman AFB	F-16 Aircraft Covered Washrack and Pad	2,250	2,250
AF	Kirtland AFB	Nuclear Systems Wing & Sustainment Center (Ph)	30,500	30,500
	North Dakota			
AF	Minot AFB	B-52 Adal Aircraft Maintenance Unit	15,530	15,530
AF	Minot AFB	B-52 Munitions Storage Igloos	8,300	8,300
	Oklahoma			
AF	Tinker AFB	KC-46a Land Acquisition	8,600	8,600
	Texas			
AF	Fort Bliss	F-16 Bak 12/14 Aircraft Arresting System	3,350	3,350
	Utah			
AF	Hill AFB	F-35 Aircraft Mx Unit Hangar 45e Ops #1	13,500	13,500
AF	Hill AFB	Fire Crash Rescure Station	18,500	18,500
	Virginia			
AF	Joint Base Langley-Eustis	4-Bay Conventional Munitions Inspection Bldg	4,800	4,800
	Greenland			
AF	Thule Ab	Thule Consolidation, Phase 2	43,904	43,904
	Mariana Islands			
AF	Saipan	Par—Airport Pol/Bulk Storage Ast	18,500	18,500
AF	Saipan	Par—Hazardous Cargo Pad	8,000	8,000
AF	Saipan	Par—Maintenance Facility	2,800	2,800
	United Kingdom			
AF	Croughton Raf	Main Gate Complex	12,000	12,000
AF	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	Guardian Angel Operations Facility	22,047	22,047
	Worldwide Unspecified			
AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	KC-46a Ftu Facility Projects	63,000	63,000
AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	KC-46a Mob #1 Facility Projects	192,700	192,700
AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning & Design	11,314	11,314

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	20,448	20,448
Total Military Construction, Air Force			1,156,573	1,156,573
	Alaska			
Def-Wide	Clear AFS	Bmds Upgrade Early Warning Radar	17,204	17,204
Def-Wide	Fort Greely	Mechanical-Electrical Bldg Missile Field #1	82,000	82,000
	California			
Def-Wide	Brawley	SOF Desert Warfare Training Center	23,095	23,095
Def-Wide	Defense Distribution Depot-Tracy	General Purpose Warehouse	37,554	37,554
Def-Wide	Miramar	Replace Fuel Pipeline	6,000	6,000
	Colorado			
Def-Wide	Fort Carson, Colorado	SOF Group Support Battalion	22,282	22,282
	Florida			
Def-Wide	Hurlburt Field	SOF Add/Alter Operations Facility	7,900	7,900
Def-Wide	Jacksonville	Replace Fuel Pipeline	7,500	7,500
Def-Wide	Key West	SOF Boat Docks	3,600	0
Def-Wide	Panama City	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility	2,600	2,600
Def-Wide	Tyndall AFB	Replace Fuel Pipeline	9,500	9,500
	Georgia			
Def-Wide	Fort Benning	Faith Middle School Addition	6,031	6,031
Def-Wide	Fort Benning	White Elementary School Replacement	37,304	37,304
Def-Wide	Fort Stewart, Georgia	Diamond Elementary School Replacement	44,504	44,504
Def-Wide	Hunter Army Airfield	Replace Fuel Island	13,500	13,500
Def-Wide	Moody AFB	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility	3,800	3,800
	Hawaii			
Def-Wide	Ford Island	DISA Pacific Facility Upgrades	2,615	2,615
Def-Wide	Joint Base Pearl Harbor-Hickam	Alter Warehouse Space	2,800	2,800
	Kentucky			
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell, Kentucky	Fort Campbell High School Replacement	59,278	59,278
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell, Kentucky	Marshall Elementary School Replacement	38,591	38,591
Def-Wide	Fort Campbell, Kentucky	SOF Group Special Troops Battalion	26,342	26,342
Def-Wide	Fort Knox	Ambulatory Health Center	265,000	265,000
Def-Wide	Fort Knox	Consolidate/Replace Van Voorhis-Mudge Es	38,023	38,023
	Maryland			
Def-Wide	Aberdeen Proving Ground	Public Health Command Lab Replacement	210,000	110,000
Def-Wide	Bethesda Naval Hospital	Mech & Electrical Improvements	46,800	46,800
Def-Wide	Bethesda Naval Hospital	Parking Garage	20,000	20,000
Def-Wide	Fort Detrick	USAMRIID Replacement Stage 1, Incr 8	13,000	0
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	High Performance Computing Capacity Inc 3	431,000	431,000
Def-Wide	Fort Meade	NSAW Recapitalize Building #1/Site M Inc 2	58,000	58,000
Def-Wide	Joint Base Andrews	Ambulatory Care Center Inc 2	76,200	63,800
	Massachusetts			
Def-Wide	Hanscom AFB	Hanscom Primary School Replacement	36,213	36,213
	New Jersey			
Def-Wide	Joint Base McGuire-Dix-Lakehurst	Replace Fuel Distribution Components	10,000	10,000
	New Mexico			
Def-Wide	Holloman AFB	Medical Clinic Replacement	60,000	60,000
Def-Wide	Holloman AFB	Replace Hydrant Fuel System	21,400	21,400
	North Carolina			
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	SOF Performance Resiliency Center	14,400	0
Def-Wide	Camp Lejeune, North Carolina	SOF Sustainment Training Complex	28,977	28,977
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	Consolidate/Replace Pope Holbrook Elementary	37,032	37,032
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Civil Affairs Battalion Annex	37,689	37,689
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Combat Medic Skills Sustain. Course Bldg	7,600	7,600
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Engineer Training Facility	10,419	10,419
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Language and Cultural Center	64,606	64,606
Def-Wide	Fort Bragg	SOF Upgrade Training Facility	14,719	14,719
	North Dakota			
Def-Wide	Minot AFB	Replace Fuel Pipeline	6,400	6,400
	Oklahoma			
Def-Wide	Altus AFB	Replace Refueler Parking	2,100	2,100
Def-Wide	Tinker AFB	Replace Fuel Distribution Facilities	36,000	36,000
	Pennsylvania			
Def-Wide	Def Distribution Depot New Cumberland	Upgrade Hazardous Material Warehouse	3,100	3,100

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Def-Wide	Def Distribution Depot New Cumberland South Carolina	Upgrade Public Safety Facility	5,900	5,900
Def-Wide	Beaufort Tennessee	Bolden Elementary/Middle School Replacement	41,324	41,324
Def-Wide	Arnold Air Force Base Texas	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility	2,200	2,200
Def-Wide	Fort Bliss	Hospital Replacement Iner 5	252,100	152,100
Def-Wide	Joint Base San Antonio Virginia	Samme Hyperbaric Facility Addition	12,600	12,600
Def-Wide	Dam Neck	SOF Human Performance Center	11,147	0
Def-Wide	Def Distribution Depot Richmond	Operations Center Phase 1	87,000	87,000
Def-Wide	Joint Expeditionary Base Little Creek— Story	SOF Logsu Two Operations Facility	30,404	30,404
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Boundary Channel Access Control Point	6,700	6,700
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Pentagon South Pedestrian Safety Project	1,850	1,850
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Pfpa Support Operations Center	14,800	14,800
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Raven Rock Administrative Facility Upgrade	32,000	32,000
Def-Wide	Pentagon	Raven Rock Exterior Cooling Tower	4,100	4,100
Def-Wide	Quantico	Quantico Middle/High School Replacement	40,586	40,586
Def-Wide	Washington			
Def-Wide	Whidbey Island	Replace Fuel Pier Breakwater	10,000	10,000
Def-Wide	Worldwide Classified Classified Location	an/Tpy-2 Radar Site	15,000	15,000
Def-Wide	Bahrain Island			
Def-Wide	Sw Asia	Medical/Dental Clinic Replacement	45,400	45,400
Def-Wide	Belgium			
Def-Wide	Brussels	NATO Headquarters Facility	38,513	38,513
Def-Wide	Brussels	NATO Headquarters Fit-Out	29,100	29,100
Def-Wide	Germany			
Def-Wide	Kaiserlautern Ab	Kaiserslautern Elementary School Replacement	49,907	49,907
Def-Wide	Ramstein Ab	Ramstein High School Replacement	98,762	98,762
Def-Wide	Rhine Ordnance Bar- racks	Medical Center Replacement, Iner 3	151,545	151,545
Def-Wide	Weisbaden	Hainerberg Elementary School Replacement	58,899	58,899
Def-Wide	Weisbaden	Wiesbaden Middle School Replacement	50,756	50,756
Def-Wide	Japan			
Def-Wide	Atsugi	Replace Ground Vehicle Fueling Facility	4,100	4,100
Def-Wide	Iwakuni	Construct Hydrant Fuel System	34,000	34,000
Def-Wide	Kadena Ab	Kadena Middle School Addition/Renovation	38,792	38,792
Def-Wide	Torri Commo Station	SOF Facility Augmentation	71,451	64,071
Def-Wide	Yokosuka	Upgrade Fuel Pumps	10,600	10,600
Def-Wide	Korea			
Def-Wide	Camp Walker	Daegu Middle/High School Replacement	52,164	52,164
Def-Wide	Romania			
Def-Wide	Deveselu, Romania	Aegis Ashore Missile Def Sys Cmplx, Inerem. 2	85,000	80,000
Def-Wide	United Kingdom			
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	Replace Fuel Storage	17,732	17,732
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Airfield Pavements and Hangar/AMU	0	48,448
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Airfield Pavements	24,077	0
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Hangar/AMU	24,371	0
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Mrsp and Parts Storage	6,797	6,797
Def-Wide	Raf Mildenhall	SOF Squadron Operations Facility	11,652	11,652
Def-Wide	Royal Air Force Lakenheath	Lakenheath High School Replacement	69,638	69,638
Def-Wide	Worldwide Unspecified			
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Contingency Construction	10,000	0
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Energy Conservation Investment Program	150,000	150,000
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Exercise Related Minor Construction	9,730	9,730
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning & Design	10,891	10,891
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	75,905	75,905
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	36,866	36,866
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	6,931	6,931
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	50,192	50,192
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	57,053	57,053
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	2,000	2,000

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	7,430	7,430
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	5,170	5,170
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	5,409	5,409
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	1,500	1,500
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	9,578	9,578
Def-Wide	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	3,000	3,000
Total Military Construction, Defense-Wide			3,985,300	3,708,373
Kentucky				
Chem Demil	Blue Grass Army Depot	Ammunition Demilitarization Facility, Ph Xiv	122,536	122,536
Total Chemical Demilitarization Construction, Defense			122,536	122,536
Worldwide Unspecified				
NATO	NATO Security Investment Program	NATO Security Investment Program	239,700	199,700
Total NATO Security Investment Program			239,700	199,700
Alabama				
Army NG	Decatur	National Guard Readiness Center Add/Alt	4,000	4,000
Arkansas				
Army NG	Fort Chaffee	Scout/Reece Gunnery Complex	21,000	21,000
Florida				
Army NG	Pinellas Park	Ready Building	5,700	5,700
Illinois				
Army NG	Kankakee	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	28,000	28,000
Army NG	Kankakee	Readiness Center	14,000	14,000
Massachusetts				
Army NG	Camp Edwards	Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training Add	19,000	19,000
Michigan				
Army NG	Camp Grayling	Enlisted Barracks, Transient Training	17,000	17,000
Minnesota				
Army NG	Stillwater	Readiness Center	17,000	17,000
Mississippi				
Army NG	Camp Shelby	Water Supply/Treatment Building, Potable	3,000	3,000
Army NG	Pascagoula	Readiness Center	4,500	4,500
Missouri				
Army NG	Macon	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	9,100	9,100
Army NG	Whiteman AFB	Aircraft Maintenance Hangar	5,000	5,000
New York				
Army NG	New York	Readiness Center Add/Alt	31,000	31,000
Ohio				
Army NG	Ravenna Army Ammunition Plant	Sanitary Sewer	5,200	5,200
Pennsylvania				
Army NG	Fort Indiantown Gap	Aircraft Maintenance Instructional Building	40,000	40,000
Puerto Rico				
Army NG	Camp Santiago	Maneuver Area Training & Equipment Site Addit	5,600	5,600
South Carolina				
Army NG	Greenville	Readiness Center	13,000	13,000
Army NG	Greenville	Vehicle Maintenance Shop	13,000	13,000
Texas				
Army NG	Fort Worth	Armed Forces Reserve Center Add	14,270	14,270
Wyoming				
Army NG	Afton	National Guard Readiness Center	10,200	10,200
Worldwide Unspecified				
Army NG	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	29,005	24,005
Army NG	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	12,240	12,240
Total Military Construction, Army National Guard			320,815	315,815
California				
Army Res	Camp Parks	Army Reserve Center	17,500	17,500
Army Res	Fort Hunter Liggett	Tass Training Center (Ttc)	16,500	16,500
Maryland				
Army Res	Bowie	Army Reserve Center	25,500	25,500
New Jersey				
Army Res	Joint Base Meguire-Dix-Lakehurst	Automated Multipurpose Machine Gun (Mpmg)	9,500	9,500

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Army Res	Joint Base Meguire-Dix-Lakehurst	Central Issue Facility	7,900	7,900
Army Res	Joint Base Meguire-Dix-Lakehurst	Consolidated Dining Facility	13,400	13,400
Army Res	Joint Base Meguire-Dix-Lakehurst	Modified Record Fire Range	5,400	5,400
Army Res	New York Bullville	Army Reserve Center	14,500	14,500
Army Res	North Carolina Fort Bragg	Army Reserve Center	24,500	24,500
Army Res	Wisconsin Fort Mecey	Access Control Point/Mail/Freight Center	17,500	17,500
Army Res	Fort Mecey	Neo Academy Dining Facility	5,900	5,900
Army Res	Worldwide Unspecified			
Army Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	14,212	14,212
Army Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	1,748	1,748
Total Military Construction, Army Reserve			174,060	174,060
N/MC Res	California March AFB	NOSC Moreno Valley Reserve Training Center	11,086	11,086
N/MC Res	Missouri Kansas City	Reserve Training Center—Belton, Missouri	15,020	15,020
N/MC Res	Tennessee Memphis	Reserve Boat Maintenance and Storage Facility	4,330	4,330
N/MC Res	Worldwide Unspecified			
N/MC Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Menr Planning & Design	1,500	1,500
N/MC Res	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Usmer Planning and Design	1,040	1,040
Total Military Construction, Navy and Marine Corps Reserve			32,976	32,976
Air NG	Alabama Birmingham IAP	Add to and Alter Distributed Ground Station F	8,500	8,500
Air NG	Indiana Hulman Regional Airport	Add/Alter Bldg 37 for Dist Common Ground Sta	7,300	7,300
Air NG	Maryland Fort Meade	175th Network Warfare Squadron Facility	4,000	0
Air NG	Martin State Airport	Cyber/ISR Facility	8,000	0
Air NG	Montana Great Falls IAP	Intra-Theater Airlift Conversion	22,000	22,000
Air NG	New York Fort Drum, New York	Mq-9 Flight Training Unit Hangar	4,700	4,700
Air NG	Ohio Springfield Beckley-Map	Alter Intelligence Operations Facility	7,200	7,200
Air NG	Pennsylvania Fort Indiantown Gap	Communications Operations and Training Facili	7,700	7,700
Air NG	Rhode Island Quonset State Airport	C-130J Flight Simulator Training Facility	6,000	6,000
Air NG	Tennessee Meghee-Tyson Airport	Tee Expansion- Dormitory & Classroom Facility	18,000	18,000
Air NG	Worldwide Unspecified			
Air NG	Various Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	13,400	13,400
Air NG	Various Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	13,000	13,000
Total Military Construction, Air National Guard			119,800	107,800
AF Res	California March AFB	Joint Regional Deployment Processing Center,	19,900	19,900
AF Res	Florida Homestead AFS	Entry Control Complex	9,800	9,800
AF Res	Oklahoma Tinker AFB	Air Control Group Squadron Operations	12,200	12,200
AF Res	Worldwide Unspecified			
AF Res	Various Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	2,229	2,229
AF Res	Various Worldwide Locations	Unspecified Minor Construction	1,530	1,530
Total Military Construction, Air Force Reserve			45,659	45,659

Wisconsin

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
FH Con Army	Fort Meey Germany	Family Housing New Construction (56 Units)	23,000	23,000
FH Con Army	South Camp Vilseck Worldwide Unspecified	Family Housing New Construction (29 Units)	16,600	16,600
FH Con Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Family Housing P & D	4,408	4,408
Total Family Housing Construction, Army			44,008	44,008
FH Ops Army	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings	33,125	33,125
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leased Housing	180,924	180,924
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Maintenance of Real Property Facilities	107,639	107,639
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Management Account	54,433	54,433
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Military Housing Privatization Initiative	25,661	25,661
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Miscellaneous	646	646
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Services	13,536	13,536
FH Ops Army	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Utilities	96,907	96,907
Total Family Housing Operation & Maintenance, Army			512,871	512,871
FH Con AF	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Improvements	72,093	72,093
FH Con AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Planning and Design	4,267	4,267
Total Family Housing Construction, Air Force			76,360	76,360
FH Ops AF	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings Account	39,470	39,470
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Housing Privatization	41,436	41,436
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leasing	54,514	54,514
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Maintenance (Rpma Rpme)	110,786	110,786
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Management Account	53,044	53,044
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Miscellaneous Account	1,954	1,954
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Services Account	16,862	16,862
FH Ops AF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Utilities Account	70,532	70,532
Total Family Housing Operation & Maintenance, Air Force			388,598	388,598
FH Con Navy	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Design	4,438	4,438
FH Con Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Improvements	68,969	68,969
Total Family Housing Construction, Navy and Marine Corps			73,407	73,407
FH Ops Navy	Worldwide Unspecified Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings Account	21,073	21,073
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leasing	74,962	74,962
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Maintenance of Real Property	90,122	90,122
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Management Account	60,782	60,782
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Miscellaneous Account	362	362
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Privatization Support Costs	27,634	27,634

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Services Account	20,596	20,596
FH Ops Navy	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Utilities Account	94,313	94,313
Total Family Housing Operation & Maintenance, Navy and Marine Corps			389,844	389,844
Worldwide Unspecified				
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings Account	67	67
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings Account	20	20
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Furnishings Account	3,196	3,196
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leasing	10,994	10,994
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Leasing	40,433	40,433
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Maintenance of Real Property	311	311
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Maintenance of Real Property	74	74
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Management Account	418	418
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Services Account	32	32
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Utilities Account	288	288
FH Ops DW	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Utilities Account	12	12
Total Family Housing Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide			55,845	55,845
Worldwide Unspecified				
FHIF	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Family Housing Improvement Fund	1,780	1,780
Total DOD Family Housing Improvement Fund			1,780	1,780
Worldwide Unspecified				
BRAC	Base Realignment & Closure, Army	Base Realignment and Closure	180,401	180,401
BRAC	Base Realignment & Closure, Navy	Base Realignment & Closure	108,300	108,300
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Dod BRAC Activities—Air Force	126,376	126,376
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Don-100: Planning, Design and Management	7,277	7,277
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Don-101: Various Locations	20,988	20,988
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Don-138: NAS Brunswick, ME	993	993
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Don-157: Mesa Kansas City, MO	40	40
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Don-172: NWS Seal Beach, Concord, CA	5,766	5,766
BRAC	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Don-84: JRB Willow Grove & Cambria Reg Ap	1,216	1,216
Total Base Realignment and Closure Account			451,357	451,357
Worldwide Unspecified				
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—ANG Unspecified Minor Construction.	0	-45,623
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Army Bid Savings	0	-14,000
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Army Planning and Design Fy12.	0	-50,000
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Defense Wide Bid Savings	0	-358,400
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Defense Wide Unspecified Minor Construction.	0	-16,470
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Navy Bid Savings	0	-49,920
PYS	Unspecified Worldwide Locations	Prior Year Savings—Section 1013 of the Demonstration Cities and Metropolitan Development Act of 1966, AS Amended.	0	-50,000

SEC. 4601. MILITARY CONSTRUCTION
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Account	State/Country and Installation	Project Title	Budget Request	House Agreement
Total Prior Year Savings			0	-584,413
Total Military Construction			11,011,633	10,073,293

1 **TITLE XLVII—DEPARTMENT OF**
 2 **ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY**
 3 **PROGRAMS**

4 **SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY**
 5 **PROGRAMS.**

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS (In Thousands of Dollars)		
Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
Discretionary Summary By Appropriation		
Energy And Water Development, And Related Agencies		
Appropriation Summary:		
Energy Programs		
Electricity delivery and energy reliability	16,000	0
Nuclear Energy	94,000	94,000
Atomic Energy Defense Activities		
National nuclear security administration:		
Weapons activities	7,868,409	8,088,409
Defense nuclear nonproliferation	2,140,142	2,140,142
Naval reactors	1,246,134	1,246,134
Office of the administrator	397,784	389,784
Total, National nuclear security administration	11,652,469	11,864,469
Environmental and other defense activities:		
Defense environmental cleanup	5,316,909	4,958,909
Other defense activities	749,080	749,080
Total, Environmental & other defense activities	6,065,989	5,707,989
Total, Atomic Energy Defense Activities	17,718,458	17,572,458
Total, Discretionary Funding	17,828,458	17,666,458
Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability		
Electricity Delivery & Energy Reliability		
Infrastructure security & energy restoration (HS)	16,000	0
Nuclear Energy		
Idaho sitewide safeguards and security	94,000	94,000
Weapons Activities		
Life extension programs and major alterations		
B61 Life extension program	537,044	581,044
W76 Life extension program	235,382	245,082
W78/88-1 Life extension program	72,691	78,291
W88 ALT 370	169,487	169,487
Total, Stockpile assessment and design	1,014,604	1,073,904
Stockpile systems		
B61 Stockpile systems	83,536	83,536
W76 Stockpile systems	47,187	47,187
W78 Stockpile systems	54,381	54,381
W80 Stockpile systems	50,330	50,330
B83 Stockpile systems	54,948	60,948
W87 Stockpile systems	101,506	101,506
W88 Stockpile systems	62,600	62,600
Total, Stockpile systems	454,488	460,488
Weapons dismantlement and disposition		
Operations and maintenance	49,264	49,264
Stockpile services		
Production support	321,416	351,016
Research and development support	26,349	29,549
R&D certification and safety	191,259	209,559
Management, technology, and production	214,187	214,187

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
Plutonium sustainment	156,949	166,449
Total, Stockpile services	910,160	970,760
Total, Directed stockpile work	2,428,516	2,554,416
Campaigns:		
Science campaign		
Advanced certification	54,730	54,730
Primary assessment technologies	109,231	109,231
Dynamic materials properties	116,965	116,965
Advanced radiography	30,509	30,509
Secondary assessment technologies	86,467	86,467
Total, Science campaign	397,902	397,902
Engineering campaign		
Enhanced surety	51,771	54,271
Weapon systems engineering assessment technology	23,727	23,727
Nuclear survivability	19,504	19,504
Enhanced surveillance	54,909	58,909
Total, Engineering campaign	149,911	156,411
Inertial confinement fusion ignition and high yield campaign		
Ignition	80,245	80,245
Support of other stockpile programs	15,001	15,001
Diagnostics, cryogenics and experimental support	59,897	59,897
Pulsed power inertial confinement fusion	5,024	5,024
Joint program in high energy density laboratory plasmas	8,198	8,198
Facility operations and target production	232,678	232,678
Total, Inertial confinement fusion and high yield campaign	401,043	401,043
Advanced simulation and computing campaign	564,329	564,329
Readiness Campaign		
Component manufacturing development	106,085	106,085
Tritium readiness	91,695	91,695
Total, Readiness campaign	197,780	197,780
Total, Campaigns	1,710,965	1,717,465
Nuclear programs		
Nuclear operations capability	265,937	265,937
Capabilities based investments	39,558	39,558
Construction:		
12-D-301 TRU waste facilities, LANL	26,722	26,722
11-D-801 TA-55 Reinvestment project Phase 2, LANL	30,679	30,679
07-D-220 Radioactive liquid waste treatment facility upgrade project, LANL	55,719	55,719
06-D-141 PED/Construction, Uranium Capabilities Replacement Project Y-12	325,835	325,835
Total, Construction	438,955	438,955
Total, Nuclear programs	744,450	744,450
Secure transportation asset		
Operations and equipment	122,072	122,072
Program direction	97,118	97,118
Total, Secure transportation asset	219,190	219,190
Site stewardship		
Nuclear materials integration	17,679	17,679
Corporate project management	13,017	13,017
Minority serving institution partnerships program	14,531	14,531
Enterprise infrastructure		
Site Operations	1,112,455	1,112,455
Site Support	109,561	109,561
Sustainment	433,764	498,864
Facilities disposition	5,000	5,000
Subtotal, Enterprise infrastructure	1,660,780	1,725,880
Total, Site stewardship	1,706,007	1,771,107

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
Defense nuclear security		
Operations and maintenance	664,981	664,981
Construction:		
14-D-710 DAF Argus, NNSS	14,000	14,000
Total, Defense nuclear security	678,981	678,981
NNSA CIO activities	148,441	170,941
Legacy contractor pensions	279,597	279,597
Subtotal, Weapons activities	7,916,147	8,136,147
Adjustments		
Use of prior year balances	-47,738	-47,738
Total, Adjustments	-47,738	-47,738
Total, Weapons Activities	7,868,409	8,088,409
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation		
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs		
Global threat reduction initiative	424,487	424,487
Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D		
Operations and maintenance	388,838	388,838
Nonproliferation and international security	141,675	141,675
International material protection and cooperation	369,625	369,625
Fissile materials disposition		
U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition		
Operations and maintenance		
U.S. plutonium disposition	157,557	157,557
U.S. uranium disposition	25,000	25,000
Total, Operations and maintenance	182,557	182,557
Construction:		
99-D-143 Mixed oxide fuel fabrication facility, Savannah River, SC	320,000	320,000
Total, Construction	320,000	320,000
Total, U.S. surplus fissile materials disposition	502,557	502,557
Total, Fissile materials disposition	502,557	502,557
Legacy contractor pensions	93,703	93,703
Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation Programs	1,920,885	1,920,885
Nuclear counterterrorism incident response program	181,293	181,293
Counterterrorism and counterproliferation programs	74,666	74,666
Subtotal, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	2,176,844	2,176,844
Adjustments		
Use of prior year balances	-36,702	-36,702
Total, Adjustments	-36,702	-36,702
Total, Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation	2,140,142	2,140,142
Naval Reactors		
Naval reactors operations and infrastructure	455,740	453,740
Naval reactors development	419,400	419,400
Ohio replacement reactor systems development	126,400	126,400
SSG Prototype refueling	144,400	144,400
Program direction	44,404	44,404
Construction:		
14-D-902 KL Materials characterization laboratory expansion, KAPL ..	1,000	1,000
14-D-901 Spent fuel handling recapitalization project, NRF	45,400	45,400
13-D-905 Remote-handled low-level waste facility, INL	21,073	21,073
13-D-904 KS Radiological work and storage building, KSO	600	2,600
Naval Reactor Facility, ID	1,700	1,700
Total, Construction	69,773	71,773
Subtotal, Naval Reactors	1,260,117	1,260,117
Adjustments:		
Use of prior year balances (Naval reactors)	-13,983	-13,983

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
Total, Naval Reactors	1,246,134	1,246,134
Office Of The Administrator		
Office of the administrator	397,784	389,784
Total, Office Of The Administrator	397,784	389,784
Defense Environmental Cleanup		
Closure sites:		
Closure sites administration	4,702	4,702
Hanford site:		
River corridor and other cleanup operations	393,634	393,634
Central plateau remediation	513,450	513,450
Richland community and regulatory support	14,701	14,701
Total, Hanford site	921,785	921,785
Idaho National Laboratory:		
Idaho cleanup and waste disposition	362,100	362,100
Idaho community and regulatory support	2,910	2,910
Total, Idaho National Laboratory	365,010	365,010
NNSA sites		
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory	1,476	1,476
Nuclear facility D & D Separations Process Research Unit	23,700	23,700
Nevada	61,897	61,897
Sandia National Laboratories	2,814	2,814
Los Alamos National Laboratory	219,789	219,789
Total, NNSA sites and Nevada off-sites	309,676	309,676
Oak Ridge Reservation:		
OR Nuclear facility D & D	73,716	73,716
OR cleanup and disposition	115,855	115,855
OR reservation community and regulatory support	4,365	4,365
Total, Oak Ridge Reservation	193,936	193,936
Office of River Protection:		
Waste treatment and immobilization plant		
01-D-416 A-E/ORP-0060 / Major construction	690,000	690,000
Tank farm activities		
Rad liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	520,216	520,216
Total, Office of River protection	1,210,216	1,210,216
Savannah River sites:		
Savannah River risk management operations	432,491	432,491
SR community and regulatory support	11,210	11,210
Radioactive liquid tank waste:		
Radioactive liquid tank waste stabilization and disposition	552,560	647,560
Construction:		
05-D-405 Salt waste processing facility, Savannah River	92,000	92,000
Total, Construction	92,000	92,000
Total, Radioactive liquid tank waste	644,560	739,560
Total, Savannah River site	1,088,261	1,183,261
Waste Isolation Pilot Plant		
Waste isolation pilot plant	203,390	203,390
Total, Waste Isolation Pilot Plant	203,390	203,390
Program direction	280,784	280,784
Program support	17,979	17,979
Safeguards and Security:		
Oak Ridge Reservation	18,800	18,800
Paducah	9,435	9,435
Portsmouth	8,578	8,578
Richland/Hanford Site	69,078	69,078
Savannah River Site	121,196	121,196
Waste Isolation Pilot Project	4,977	4,977
West Valley	2,015	2,015

SEC. 4701. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS
(In Thousands of Dollars)

Program	FY 2014 Request	House Authorized
Technology development	24,091	34,091
Subtotal, Defense environmental cleanup	4,853,909	4,958,909
Uranium enrichment D&D fund contribution	463,000	0
Total, Defense Environmental Cleanup	5,316,909	4,958,909
Other Defense Activities		
Health, safety and security		
Health, safety and security	143,616	143,616
Program direction	108,301	108,301
Total, Health, safety and security	251,917	251,917
Specialized security activities	196,322	196,322
Office of Legacy Management		
Legacy management	163,271	163,271
Program direction	13,712	13,712
Total, Office of Legacy Management	176,983	176,983
Defense-related activities		
Defense related administrative support		
Chief financial officer	38,979	38,979
Chief information officer	79,857	79,857
Total, Defense related administrative support	118,836	118,836
Office of hearings and appeals	5,022	5,022
Subtotal, Other defense activities	749,080	749,080
Total, Other Defense Activities	749,080	749,080

DIRECTIVE REPORT LANGUAGE

Table Of Contents

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Items of Special Interest

Common Kill Vehicle for missile defense

Open architecture systems

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Report on Operational Contract Support in Afghanistan

Use of Lowest Price, Technically Acceptable Source Selection Processes

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Air Sea Battle Office

Comptroller General Review of Department of Defense Cyber Resiliency

Comptroller General Review of Conduct of Roles and Missions Analysis

Critical Program Information

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Counternarcotics Strategy in Central America

National Guard Bureau Counter-drug Mission

Operation Martillo

Transition of Tethered Aerostat Radar System Program

OTHER MATTERS

Assessment of Military Construction Project

Combatant Command Headquarters Personnel and Resources Requirements

Comptroller General Review of Functional Combatant Commands

Comptroller General Review of Role of the Army and the Marine Corps in Access-denied Areas

Comptroller General Review of U.S. Central Command Headquarters

Detailed Report on Defense Efficiencies

Personnel Growth at the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Joint Staff, and the Service Secretariats

Secure Internet Protocol Router Network for the Congressional Defense Committees

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Congressional Notifications Relating To Status of Forces Agreements
Missile Defense Discussions between the United States and the Russian Federation
Report on Operation Observant Compass
Report on Terms and Agreements for Retrograde of U.S. Equipment and Supplies Through the Northern Distribution Network and Pakistan
Report on U.S. Strategy in Afghanistan Post-2014
Report on U.S. Support to Advising Mission in Afghanistan
Resource Requirements For and Posture of Fleet Anti-Terrorism Security Teams and Commanders' In-Extremis Forces
Resource Requirements to Support U.S. Policy Objectives in Syria
U.S. Extended Deterrence in Asia
U.S. Military Engagement with Burma
U.S. Security Sector Assistance

TITLE XVI—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Defense Security Service Access to Information in Conducting the National Industrial Security Program
Foreign Commercial Satellite Services Review
Monitoring and Enforcement of Mitigation Agreements Related to Foreign Investment in the United States
Report on Diversification of Supply Activities Related to Rare Earth Elements
Report on Export of Night Vision Devices
Report on the Integrity of the Supply Chain for Nuclear Command and Control and Critical Defense Capabilities
Report on the Implementation of Rare Earth Elements Strategy in the Joint Strike Fighter Program
Specialty Metals Clause Waiver Processes and Notification

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation

Follow-on to Global Threat Reduction Initiative

Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Analysis

Nonproliferation and arms control verification technology research and development

DIVISION A—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE II—RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST, AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Items of Special Interest

Common Kill Vehicle for missile defense

The budget request contained \$309.2 million in PE 63175C for Ballistic Missile Defense Technology. Of this amount, \$70.0 million was requested for the Common Kill Vehicle Technology (CKVT) program.

The committee is aware that approximately \$20.0 million of the funds appropriated for the Standard Missile 3 block IIB program in fiscal year 2013 are to be redirected to the CKVT program by the Missile Defense Agency (MDA).

The committee understands that MDA's intention for the CKVT program is to: enable the consolidation of the development of kill vehicles; develop a modular, open kill vehicle architecture; transition a more capable kill vehicle to the Ground-based Interceptor and the Standard Missile 3; and evolve to a multiple kill vehicle payload. The committee supports these developmental goals.

The committee is also aware that, pursuant to section 225 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239), the Missile Defense Agency is developing a plan for a next generation exo-atmospheric kill vehicle. Section 225 also requires the Director, Missile Defense Agency to submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the plan.

The committee finds that the budget justification material regarding the CKVT program was insufficient, lacked necessary details, and should be further revised to include a date for initial operating capability, as well as a plan to transition to a development program based on full and open competition in time to support current and future interceptor procurement. The committee directs the Missile Defense Agency to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees on such information by July 31, 2013, as well as for it to be included in the report required by section 225 of Public Law 112-239.

In addition, the committee directs the Director, Missile Defense Agency to determine an alternate program element (PE) in the fiscal year 2015 budget submission to fund the Common Kill Vehicle Technology and Capability Development program. It should balance the Ground-based Midcourse Defense system equities in a potential Common Kill Vehicle Technology and Capability Development program, as well as those possessed by the Aegis ballistic missile defense program. In addition, the committee recommends a new PE for fiscal year 2014.

The committee recommends no funds, a decrease of \$70.0 million, in PE 63175C for the Common Kill Vehicle Technology program. Further, the committee recommends \$70.0 million, an increase of \$70.0 million, in a new PE for the Common Kill Vehicle Technology and Capability Development program.

Open architecture systems

The committee notes that under the direction of the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics (USD/ATL) in 2009, the Unmanned Aircraft Systems (UAS) Task Force chartered the UAS Common Segment (UCS) Working Group, which has developed a common, open and scalable reference architecture for control of unmanned aircraft systems. Despite this effort and past encouragement from Congress, the services have continued, in some cases, to procure proprietary and closed architecture unmanned systems and ground control segments resulting in higher costs, fragmented and disjointed operations, and reduced operational effectiveness. Therefore, the committee encourages the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Joint Chiefs of Staff, and coordinating with the services to require all future UAS groups two through five ground control stations be compliant with the most recent Office of the Secretary of Defense UCS reference architecture.

The committee also notes that both the Government Accountability Office (GAO) and USD/ATL have identified an open systems approach as a potential enabler for increasing competition and reducing costs on major weapons system acquisition programs. GAO recently reported that an open systems approach is characterized as having a modular design with open standards for key interfaces. While the Department would reap the most benefits from adopting an open systems approach at the start of development, the committee further notes that both the GAO and USD/ATL believe that in certain circumstances, where appropriate, it may be more cost effective and efficient to convert proprietary systems to open systems even after they have been fielded. The committee believes that the Department of Defense has the potential to benefit from the use of an open systems approach for weapons systems acquisition programs. Accordingly, the committee directs that the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics provide a report to the congressional defense committees by March 3, 2014, that:

- (1) Assesses the costs and benefits of using an open systems approach for manned and unmanned aircraft acquisition programs;
- (2) Identifies the specific plan the Department of Defense will use for implementing an open systems approach for new manned and unmanned aircraft acquisition programs;
- (3) Assesses the costs and benefits of converting existing propriety manned and unmanned systems to open systems; and
- (4) Recommends any implementing legislation for congressional consideration.

The committee also directs the Comptroller General to study the use of best practices for using open systems in product development. The study should identify better ways of incorporating an open systems approach from the start of new acquisition programs, analyze challenges that the Department of Defense may have in implementing these practices in its weapons acquisition programs, and provide

potential solutions to these challenges, including potential policy changes. The Comptroller General should provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services on the findings by February 3, 2014.

TITLE VIII—ACQUISITION POLICY, ACQUISITION MANAGEMENT, AND RELATED MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Report on Operational Contract Support in Afghanistan

The Department of Defense has begun its drawdown of troops and equipment from the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan. For the past 11 years, the Department of Defense has spent billions of dollars on operational contract support in Afghanistan and continues to employ over 100,000 U.S. personnel, third country nationals, and Afghans as contractor employees in Afghanistan. Additionally, there is potentially a large amount of contractor-managed Government-owned equipment in Afghanistan, which must also be disposed. The Comptroller General of the United States' previous work on the drawdown of U.S. troops in the Republic of Iraq highlighted the difficulties the Department of Defense had in planning and executing the drawdown of contractors from Iraq. The drawdown of contractors in Afghanistan is likely to be more complex than that which the Department of Defense faced in Iraq due to continuing combat operations and the need to plan operational contract support for the post-2014 advise and assist mission.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by January 10, 2014, that reviews the processes and procedures that the Department of Defense is using to plan and execute the drawdown of contractors and their equipment in Afghanistan. The committee is particularly concerned with how the Department of Defense is ensuring that its contractor drawdown is done in the most cost-effective manner. The report should include, but is not limited to, the following:

(1) How the Department is applying operational contract support lessons learned as it begins its drawdown of contractors and their equipment in Afghanistan;

(2) How the Department and U.S. Forces-Afghanistan have established processes and procedures to draw down its contractor workforce and associated equipment and the extent to which these processes are synchronized with established milestones and other guidance;

(3) How the Department is using cost and other information to help ensure it is making cost-effective operational contract support drawdown decisions, including decisions on the disposition of contractor-managed, Government-owned equipment;

(4) How the Department has taken actions to ensure that there are sufficient personnel in place to oversee contractors as it reduces the number of military forces in Afghanistan; and

(5) How the Department and U.S. Forces-Afghanistan have planned for the use of contractors after December 31, 2014, and the extent to which the Department has considered post-2014 contractor requirements and costs in such planning.

Use of Lowest Price, Technically Acceptable Source Selection Processes

The committee applauds recent efforts by the Department of Defense to cut costs and save taxpayer dollars. These efforts, such as "Better Buying Power" initiatives, seek to achieve greater efficiencies through affordability, cost control and the elimination of unproductive processes or bureaucracy. Such efforts also seek to promote competition. However, the committee is concerned that this well-intentioned effort by the Department to lower costs frequently results in the inappropriate awarding of contracts based on a lowest price, technically acceptable (LPTA) standard instead of a best-value tradeoff approach.

According to the Federal Acquisition Regulation, the goal of the acquisition system is to "deliver on a timely basis the best value product or service to the customer, while maintaining the public's trust and fulfilling public policy objectives." In certain circumstances, pursuing the lowest cost in the short term can result in significant operational and financial costs in the long term. In October 2010, the Government Accountability Office (GAO) issued a report on the Department's use of best value processes. In that report (GAO-11-8), GAO found that the Department used a best-value tradeoff approach for about 70 percent of its new, competitively awarded contracts in fiscal year 2009. An LPTA approach was used for about 25 percent of these contracts.

The committee believes that awarding contracts based on an LPTA basis should not become the default position of the Department. Instead, careful consideration must be given to each contract to ensure that there is an appropriate weighing of cost versus other considerations. When a requirement is well defined and the risk of poor contract performance is minimal, it may be appropriate to use price as the primary basis for awarding a contract. However, when the requirement is complex, performance risk is high, or failure to perform has significant consequences (such as in the case of intelligence or private security services, or development and procurement of personal protective equipment and critical safety items, or development of emerging or critical technology), then a best-value tradeoff approach may be more appropriate.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review of the Department's recent use of source selection processes, including LPTA. Such a review should, at a minimum, examine the following:

(1) Guidance and directives, including those of the military services, related to the use of appropriate source selection approaches, including LPTA;

(2) Sufficiency of training of the acquisition workforce (to include training offered at the Defense Acquisition University) on the appropriate use of source selection approaches;

(3) Implementation of recent efficiency initiatives, to include "Better Buying Power" initiatives to influence the use of LPTA source selection procedures;

(4) Risks associated with implementing the reverse auction method as part of any LPTA approach for the procurement of critical safety items, personal protective equipment and other complex technologies; and

(5) Waiver guidance and frequency of use on contracts awarded using LPTA procedures.

In conducting the review, the committee encourages the Comptroller General to obtain the views of defense contractors to gain insight into how the use of LPTA source selection procedures affects business decisions and to identify the unintended consequences, if any, resulting from the use of this approach. The committee further directs the Comptroller General to provide the findings of the review, along with recommendations to improve the Department's contracting practices, to the congressional defense committees by June 30, 2014.

TITLE IX—DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ORGANIZATION AND MANAGEMENT

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Air Sea Battle Office

The committee is aware that the military services established the Air Sea Battle (ASB) office in 2012 as a result of the U.S. Joint Forces Command (USJFCOM) disestablishment. USJFCOM had an office focused on the Air Sea Battle concept integration, specifically as it related to requirements, capability gaps and shortfalls, projects and programs directly related to effectively employing in an anti-access/area-denial (A2/AD) contingency operation.

The committee is concerned whether the placement of the current ASB office outside of the Joint Staff is the most logical and effective location for integrating ASB concepts across the services. The committee believes the Secretary of Defense should evaluate the ASB office to see if it is accomplishing its goals to enable and prepare the U.S. military to effectively operate in an A2/AD environment, and whether the office provides a unique function and perspective or it duplicates other efforts carried out elsewhere in the Department of Defense. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to determine the effectiveness of the ASB office and whether the office is carrying out a unique function or duplicates other efforts. Should the Secretary conclude that the ASB office is effective and non-duplicative of other efforts, the Secretary should determine whether the ASB office should continue as is, be modified, or placed within the Joint Staff. The committee directs the Secretary to brief the House

Committee on Armed Services by January 31, 2014, on the results of the analysis and the future of the ASB office.

Comptroller General Review of Department of Defense Cyber Resiliency

The committee notes that the national and economic security of the United States depends on the ability of the Department of Defense (DOD) to conduct its mission-essential functions across a wide range of potential emergencies, including localized natural disasters and accidents, as well as technological and/or attack-related emergencies. Historically, nuclear threats to DOD locations led to the development of leadership succession plans and identifying alternate work facilities. However, events such as Hurricane Katrina, have demonstrated that the need for effective continuity of operations planning on a broader scope is necessary. Further, the committee is concerned that as the cyber threat continues to evolve and escalate, the information systems and networks upon which the Department's operations and continuity plans are dependent may become unavailable, infiltrated, and/or destroyed.

The committee is aware that the Department's Continuity Program requires the Department to develop continuity of operations plans that would allow it to conduct its mission-essential functions under any circumstances across the spectrum of threats on the assumption that no warning of attack or event will be received. Such plans should identify, among other things, information systems and networks that are needed to execute their plans, and those information systems should have contingency plans that recognize their support to the ability to maintain continuity of operations. The Department's Continuity Program also requires the Department to exercise its plans to validate planning assumptions and to take action to address deficiencies that are identified. Given the number of cyber incidents occurring within the Department and the sophisticated nature of the threats, the committee is concerned about the extent to which the Department of Defense has developed and exercised its continuity of operations plans for a degraded cyber environment.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review of the Department of Defense continuity of operations planning for a degraded cyber environment, and to submit a report on the findings to the review to the congressional defense committees by January 7, 2014. The review should include the following:

- (1) The extent to which the Department has oversight of DOD component efforts to plan for and conduct continuity of operations in a degraded cyber environment;
- (2) The extent to which the Department has identified information systems and networks that are necessary to support DOD's continuity of operations;
- (3) The extent to which the Department has coordinated its continuity of operations planning efforts with the Department's information system and network contingency planning efforts;

(4) The extent to which the Department has exercised its continuity of operations plans in a cyber degraded environment through identification and action to address any deficiencies; and

(5) Any additional matters that the Comptroller General determines to be relevant.

Comptroller General Review of Conduct of Roles and Missions Analysis

Section 941 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) created section 118b of title 10, United States Code, which requires a comprehensive assessment of the roles and missions of the Armed Forces and the core competencies and capabilities of the Department of Defense to perform and support such roles and missions. The committee notes this section contains specific requirements for the conduct of the Quadrennial Roles and Mission Review (QRMR) and is disappointed that the Department has failed to fully comply with the law. By statute, the Secretary of Defense, after giving appropriate consideration to recommendations of the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff, is to identify:

- (1) The core mission areas of the Armed Forces;
- (2) The core competencies and capabilities that are associated with the performance or support of such core mission areas;
- (3) The elements of the Department that are responsible for providing the core competencies and capabilities;
- (4) Any gaps in the ability of the elements of the Department to provide for core competencies and capabilities;
- (5) Any unnecessary duplication of effort; and
- (6) A plan to address identified gaps and reduce unnecessary duplication of effort.

Rather than conduct the QRMR in 2011, as mandated, the Secretary provided the committee with a summary of the results of a presidentially-directed strategic review over 5 months after the deadline for the 2012 QRMR report to Congress. While the committee is pleased that the Department took steps to identify strategic interests in an attempt to guide defense priorities and spending for the coming decade, the strategic guidance is not a substitute for the QRMR. Furthermore, while the strategic guidance does broadly identify primary missions of the U.S. Armed Forces, such as counterterrorism, deterring and defeating aggression, and projecting power despite anti-access/area denial challenges, it does not address items (2) through (6), as noted above and required by section 118b of title 10, United States Code.

Moreover, section 222 of title 10, United States Code, requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a future years mission budget at the same time as, and in addition to, the submission of the budget pursuant to section 1105 of title 31 for any fiscal year. The future years mission budget is required to organize the military programs of the Department of Defense on the basis of both major force

programs and the core mission areas identified under the most recent QRMR. The committee notes that the Department has also failed to consistently meet this requirement. In a partial attempt to comply with it, the Department provided the committee with a document this year which merely highlighted certain areas of the budget request for fiscal year 2013 which could be connected to the primary missions identified in the strategic guidance.

The committee believes the failure to identify core mission areas, core competencies, capability gaps, unnecessary duplications, and plans for addressing any such gaps or duplications, undermines national security and wastes valuable defense funds in a time of constrained budgets. The committee is disappointed that the Department did not leverage the requirement for the 2012 QRMR as a tool for reducing waste, while also improving its joint warfighting capability. The committee is also aware that in March 2013, the Secretary of Defense announced that the Department would undertake a "Strategic Choices and Management Review". While the committee applauds active leadership on these matters, the committee notes that title 10 of United States Code, provides for a detailed and continuous framework for such reviews. The committee is concerned that although section 118b of title 10, United States Code, was enacted in January 2008, it appears that little has been done to improve QRMR processes to enhance subsequent reviews or to inform the Quadrennial Defense Review, resulting in the self-initiation of several other duplicative, short-term reviews by the Department.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to review the processes used by Secretary of Defense in the conduct of the 2012 QRMR and the preparation of the fiscal year 2013 future years mission budget. The Comptroller should provide a report to the congressional defense committees by July 1, 2014, on the findings of the review, along with recommendations for improving the Department's conduct of future QRMRs and preparation of the future years mission budget.

Critical Program Information

The committee is aware that the Department of Defense has issued guidance related to the protection of critical program information, including requirements for the development and implementation of program protection plans for significant developmental activities where the risk of compromise of that information would allow an adversary to clone, counter, or defeat crucial capabilities. However, the committee is concerned about reports indicating that many recent designs of weapon systems have been compromised by foreign nation-state adversaries. For example, as noted elsewhere in this report, the 2012 Defense Security Service report, "Targeting Technology: A Trend Analysis of Reporting from Defense Industry", indicated that there are increases in aggressive cyber collection activities which target cleared contractor networks in attempts to obtain sensitive U.S. information and technologies. The committee is also aware that in a January 2013 report, "Resilient Military Systems and the Advanced Cyber Threat," the

Defense Science Board (DSB) observed that the Department's poor cyber hygiene and lack of personal and command accountability for cyber actions pose serious threats to the secure use of defense networks and systems. Furthermore, the DSB called for development of more effective policies, operational rules and consequences for breeches of policy, including the development and tracking of performance measures, such as tracking of computer security violations.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by August 1, 2013, that details the known network cyber intrusions resulting in compromise of critical program information related to Department of Defense weapon systems, information systems development, or other research and development initiatives from January 1, 2000, until August 1, 2013. The briefing should include:

- (1) Information on the critical program information that was compromised;
- (2) The source of the network that was compromised;
- (3) What systems or developmental activities were compromised; and
- (4) The suspected origin of the cyber intrusion.

TITLE X—GENERAL PROVISIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES

Counternarcotics Strategy in Central America

The committee acknowledges the rise of crime and instability in Central America. In particular, countries such as the Republic of Honduras and the Republic of Guatemala are experiencing the brunt of a "balloon effect" of illicit trafficking and networking caused by both the stabilizing of the Republic of Colombia and the ongoing security issues in the United Mexican States. Central America now has the highest murder rates in the world, and is faced with constant violence and government instability.

The committee recognizes the significant budget constraints throughout the U.S. Armed Forces. However, the committee also recognizes the national security implications of turmoil within the Western Hemisphere. Therefore, the committee supports the efforts in the region of the Department of Defense and the Department of State, and more specifically U.S. Southern Command. An ongoing strategy and vision for partnership with Central American nations is vital to securing regional safety and security. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs by October 1, 2013, on the Department of Defense's strategy for combating the corruption, illicit trafficking, and violence in the Central American region that could affect U.S. national security. This briefing should

outline partnership opportunities with neighboring nations, current intelligence, and future metrics of success in the region.

National Guard Bureau Counter-drug Mission

The committee acknowledges the importance of the National Guard counter-drug mission as a part of ensuring the security of the U.S. homeland. The National Guard counter-drug mission is vital to successfully protecting the Nation's borders; however, the committee is aware of the budget constraints the National Guard Bureau faces in fully funding and operating its counter-drug mission.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by October 1, 2013, regarding the operational capabilities and future counter-drug mission set of the National Guard. The briefing should include information on the available resources and missions of the state partnership programs, border security initiatives, and counter-drug schools, including any anticipated gaps in resources.

Operation Martillo

The committee recognizes that the U.S. Southern Command mission Operation Martillo has been underway since January 2012. Initially a 6-month operation, Martillo has been extended indefinitely to combat illicit traffickers by focusing on the littoral waterways from South America to Central America as a way to transport narcotics. In the year since the operation began, confiscation of narcotics and prosecutions of criminals have risen.

The committee also recognizes the support and partnership of the 18 partner-nations in making Operation Martillo successful. As the operation moves forward, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by October 1, 2013, on Operation Martillo. The briefing should outline:

- (1) The assets being used specifically for the mission by both U.S. Armed Forces and each partner nation;
- (2) Each partner nation's contributions to the mission in the form of assets, capabilities, funding, and manpower;
- (3) The mission's future goals in terms of manpower, funding, and focus and any challenges in meeting such goals; and
- (4) The metrics in place to determine the effectiveness of the mission over the course of the next fiscal year.

The committee supports U.S. Southern Command's efforts and remains committed to the safety and security of the Nation and the Western Hemisphere.

Transition of Tethered Aerostat Radar System Program

The committee is aware of the transition of the Tethered Aerostat Radar System (TARS) program from the U.S. Air Force to the Department of Homeland

Security. This transition is underway only after the U.S. Air Force sent a statement of program cancellation to the contractor in January 2013. While the transition to the Department of Homeland Security has been delayed, the committee notes that the TARS program, which is in place along the southern border of the continental United States and the Caribbean, is an important tool in fighting illicit trafficking across the U.S. border.

The committee encourages both the U.S. Air Force and the Department of Homeland Security to continue to facilitate a smooth transition of the TARS program, with the goal of preventing any gaps in service or capability in the eight locations. Furthermore, the committee acknowledges the gaps in maintenance of several of the aerostats. As the Department of Homeland Security takes over the program by October 1, 2013, the committee encourages both the Department of Defense and the Department of Homeland Security to mitigate these maintenance issues and ensure all eight aerostats are fully functional. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by October 1, 2013, on the status of the transition of the TARS program, including steps being taken by both departments to support continuation of coverage.

OTHER MATTERS

Assessment of Military Construction Project

The Department of the Air Force is the executive agent responsible for the design and construction of a \$285.0 million development at the Royal Air Force Croughton, United Kingdom. This development proposes to provide worldwide communications to the warfighter across a wide spectrum of operations. The initial phase of this development is a \$12.0 million main gate complex that is designed to improve traffic congestion and support the segregation of large vehicles during their inspection process.

The committee is concerned that the Department has not completed an assessment of the wide range of options available to accommodate the mission proposed at the Royal Air Force Croughton, United Kingdom. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by September 30, 2013, with the following information:

(1) Analysis of alternatives, including the strategic imperative of intelligence functions being performed in the United Kingdom versus co-locating with the combatant command headquarters or in the United States;

(2) Analysis of the cost-benefits and efficiencies of co-locating the intelligence functions of U.S. Africa Command (USAFRICOM) and European Command (USEUCOM);

(3) Description of direct, indirect, and burden-sharing contributions by the host nation in the construction of the new facilities at Royal Air Force Croughton, United Kingdom;

(4) Description of the method in which the current requirement is presently being met; why the current facilities are not sufficient to meet the current requirement; and how the new proposed facilities will fully meet the current requirement without requiring an expansion of either the mission or the newly constructed facility;

(5) Description of the political, economic, military and legal requirements that require the functions to be completed in the current host-nation instead of the United States or the Federal Republic of Germany;

(6) Description of the current organizational structure of intelligence components of USAFRICOM and USEUCOM, including division of labor between United Kingdom-based staffs and the Germany-based headquarters and an evaluation of the current mission and staffing in order to better understand the needed requirements and resources to accomplish the mission; and

(7) Description of how USEUCOM and USAFRICOM are implementing and enforcing the appropriate U.S. Government policies and regulations, including identification of exceptions, to ensure Royal Air Force Molesworth-based Department of Defense civilians are not exceeding the 5-year overseas requirement and are in compliance with the Living Quarters Allowance regulations.

Combatant Command Headquarters Personnel and Resources Requirements

The committee is concerned with the results of the Government Accountability Office's (GAO) recent report on five of the six geographic combatant commands. The results of the report show that the authorized military and civilian positions and mission support costs for the combatant commands and their subordinate commands have grown considerably over the last decade. The GAO report found that the authorized military and civilian positions increased by almost 50 percent between fiscal years 2001-12, to approximately 10,100 authorized positions. Additionally, the report found the mission and headquarters support costs more than doubled from fiscal years 2007-12, to over \$1.1 billion. While the committee recognizes these figures include the establishment of two new geographic combatant commands (U.S. Northern Command and U.S. Africa Command), today's fiscal environment requires stronger oversight of the combatant commands' resources.

The committee is concerned about the four primary weaknesses that challenge the Department of Defense's ability to effectively manage and oversee these combatant commands. First, the committee is concerned that the Department does not thoroughly and periodically evaluate the commands' overall mission and personnel requirements to ensure the commands are effectively managing their personnel resources to meet their assigned missions. The committee believes the Department should conduct periodic evaluations of the combatant commands and supporting commands, including whether their existing size and structure is effectively positioned to meet current missions. Second, the committee is also concerned that the Department cannot track all the personnel assigned to the

combatant commands and their subordinate commands. The committee believes the Department should integrate existing systems to identify, manage, and track all assigned personnel. Third, the committee is concerned about the lack of visibility and oversight of the Joint Staff and the combatant commands over the service component commands. This lack of visibility into personnel and resources makes it difficult for the Department to determine whether functions and tasks at the combatant commands are being duplicated or overlap with the component commands. The committee believes the Department should develop and implement a formal process to gather information on the service component commands to gain better transparency and oversight of these organizations. Fourth, the committee believes that the services and combatant commands should provide greater detailed information on authorized positions and mission funding totals in their annual operation and budget documents for submission to Congress.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by November 1, 2013, on any changes to processes and procedures to address each weakness identified above, including any suggested changes to law that they believe may be required.

Comptroller General Review of Functional Combatant Commands

The committee again notes that as the challenges to national security have expanded, the Department of Defense faces missions of increasing scope, variety, and complexity around the world. To support these missions, the Department of Defense has established three functional combatant commands, each with thousands of personnel, which provide unique capabilities in support of the Department's other components. These functional combatant commands are responsible for specific types of operational support, specifically: U.S. Transportation Command is responsible for air, land, and sea transport; U.S. Strategic Command is responsible for strategic nuclear, space, and other operations, to include cyberspace; and U.S. Special Operations Command is responsible for organizing, training, and equipping special operations forces. In May 2013, the Government Accountability Office reported that the authorized military and civilian positions and mission support costs devoted to five of the Department's geographic combatant commands had grown considerably and that there were weaknesses in its processes to size and oversee the combatant commands, to include the functional combatant commands. At a time of growing economic and fiscal constraints, the committee believes it is important that the Department of Defense ensure the functional combatant commands have the appropriate levels of personnel and resources to effectively meet mission requirements.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review of the personnel and resources of the functional combatant commands, supporting military service component commands, and other

assigned task forces, and to submit a report on the findings to the House Committee on Armed Services by January 31, 2014. The review should address the following:

(1) What are the trends in the resources (authorized positions and mission support costs) devoted to the functional combatant commands and their service component commands between fiscal years 2001-12?

(2) To what extent has the Department of Defense examined the size and structure of the functional combatant commands for efficiencies?

(3) What, if any, challenges does the Department of Defense face in appropriately sizing the functional combatant and their components given their unique capabilities and global responsibilities?

Comptroller General Review of Role of the Army and the Marine Corps in Access-denied Areas

As U.S. forces draw down from operations in the Republic of Iraq and the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan, the Department of Defense is focusing on anti-access/area-denial (A2/AD) challenges posed by potential adversaries elsewhere around the globe. The committee believes the Air Sea Battle concept and other recent publications highlight air, maritime, space, and cyberspace operations, and appear to rely heavily on Navy and Air Force assets and capabilities. However, the committee is concerned that less attention has been paid to the role of the Army and the Marine Corps in an A2/AD environment. The committee believes the Army and the Marine Corps, like each of the services, must be trained, manned, and equipped to respond to a full spectrum of challenges, consistent with the roles and missions of each service. However, given the uncertainty surrounding the role of the Army and the Marine Corps in an A2/AD environment, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct an independent review that evaluates:

(1) Missions envisioned for the Army and the Marine Corps in an A2/AD environment;

(2) Alternatives being considered by the Department for meeting such missions;

(3) The operational, cost, and other assumptions underlying the Department's analyses; and

(4) Steps being taken to align Army and Marine Corps force structure with emerging A2/AD missions, the cost implications of the planned actions, and the extent to which such actions potentially duplicate the capabilities of other services or limit the ability of the Army and the Marine Corps to fulfill other missions.

The committee directs the Comptroller General to submit the results of the review to the congressional defense committees by April 15, 2014.

Comptroller General Review of U.S. Central Command Headquarters

The committee notes that since fiscal year 2001, the resources provided to U.S. Central Command and its supporting service components have grown dramatically to manage wars in both the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan and the

Republic of Iraq, including directing U.S. operational forces, supporting counterinsurgency operations, and assisting host nation security forces in providing for their own defense. According to Department of Defense reports provided to Congress, military and civilian manpower at U.S. Central Command has more than doubled since fiscal year 2001, not including the contractors who support the headquarters.

With the drawdown of operational forces in Iraq and the impending drawdown in Afghanistan, the committee believes it is important to reexamine the levels of headquarters manpower and mission support costs needed by U.S. Central Command. Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct an assessment of the following:

(1) The trends in manpower and mission support costs devoted to the headquarters of U.S. Central Command and its supporting service component commands since fiscal year 2001;

(2) The steps the Department of Defense has taken to date to reexamine the size and structure of the headquarters of U.S. Central Command and its service component commands in light of the drawdown of forces in its area of responsibility and changing U.S. military strategy; and

(3) The future plans for U.S. Central Command and its service component commands, including any plans to maintain headquarters in forward locations such as the State of Kuwait and the State of Qatar.

The Comptroller General may provide additional information deemed appropriate to provide the committee insight into the Department of Defense's plans for the headquarters functions of the U.S. Central Command. The Comptroller General should provide the preliminary results of the study to the congressional defense committees by April 15, 2014, with the final report to follow as soon as practicable thereafter.

Detailed Report on Defense Efficiencies

The committee notes that over the last 3 fiscal years, the previous Secretaries of the Department of Defense have developed budget submissions that contained directed efficiencies for the Department. However, the policies and procedures on the implementation of these efficiencies have not been detailed to Congress. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by October 1, 2013, on the following:

(1) A detailed accounting of how departmental fiscal policies, dating from fiscal year 2012 to the present, support compliance with the discretionary spending limit applied to the security category in fiscal year 2013 by section 251(c)(2)(A) of the Balanced Budget and Emergency Deficit Control Act of 1985 (Public Law 99-177); and

(2) A detailed accounting of how departmental fiscal policies will support compliance with the discretionary spending limit applied to the security category for each of fiscal years 2014-21 by section 251(c)(2) of Public Law 99-177.

Personnel Growth at the Office of the Secretary of Defense, Joint Staff, and the Service Secretariats

The committee notes that the Secretary of Defense is supported by vast headquarters organizations that have grown over time, consisting of thousands of personnel in multiple layers of management. At a time of growing economic and fiscal constraints, the committee believes it is important that the Department of Defense ensures that its headquarters personnel are efficiently aligned with the missions. The committee believes that the Department must continue to reduce overhead and improve its business operations, particularly within its headquarters organizations. Moreover, the committee believes that this multi-layered structure breeds duplication and impedes timely decision-making at the Department as well as responsiveness to Congress.

The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) assists the Secretary in performing his duties and responsibilities for oversight, policy development, planning, resource management, and fiscal and program evaluation at the Department. OSD staff currently includes more than 2,600 military and civilian personnel, with an unknown number of supporting contractors, and these numbers appear to have grown nearly 40 percent since 2001. In addition, the Secretary is supported by the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, whose staff creates and distributes guidance for combatant forces' unified strategic direction, among other functions. The Joint Staff now includes more than 4,200 personnel, and has nearly tripled since 2001. This growth is due, in part, to absorbing some functions from the now-defunct U.S. Joint Forces Command, but the "joint community" appears to be growing overall. In May 2013, the Government Accountability Office found that, even excluding U.S. Central Command, the geographic combatant commands have grown by nearly 50 percent since 2001. Outside the joint community, each of the Secretaries of the military departments has hundreds of military and civilian staff as well as numerous and proliferating offices supporting them and assisting the oversight of each of the military services. However, reliable information about the size of these service organizations is not readily available, limiting congressional oversight.

Given the need to minimize overhead at the Department of Defense, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to conduct a review of the resources devoted to the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, and the military department's secretariats and military staffs. The Comptroller General should provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by April 15, 2014, on the results of the review. The review should cover the following:

(1) What are the trends in the resources (authorized positions and mission support costs) devoted to the Office of the Secretary of Defense, the Joint Staff, and the military department's secretariats and military staffs for fiscal years 2001-13?

(2) To what extent does the Department have processes in place to manage and oversee the resources of these headquarters organizations, including examining resources being devoted to contractor support staff?

(3) To what extent has the Department reviewed these organizations to determine whether overlap or duplication exists in the types of support being provided to the Secretary of Defense within or across these various headquarters organizations and whether there are opportunities for efficiencies?

Secure Internet Protocol Router Network for the Congressional Defense Committees

The Department of Defense maintains a classified Secure Internet Protocol Router Network (SIPRNET) to provide secure networking among Department of Defense components, as well as with selected interagency partners. The committee is aware that access to the network is available to much of the executive branch, but to virtually none of the legislative branch of the U.S. Government. The committee believes that having access to SIPRNET would improve its ability to conduct oversight of the Department of Defense, as well as help save funds by eliminating printing, travel, shipping, and courier costs of required communications. The committee notes that it is supplied with the means for secure telephony and believes this provides a suitable precedent to expand into other methods of secure collaboration between the Department and Congress.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees by February 1, 2014, on extending SIPRNET access to the aforementioned committees by December 1, 2014. The briefing should include an assessment of the operational policies for implementing SIPRNET, as well as the costs, logistics, security considerations, and other matters the Secretary deems pertinent.

TITLE XII—MATTERS RELATING TO FOREIGN NATIONS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Congressional Notifications Relating To Status of Forces Agreements

The committee believes that it must have a comprehensive understanding of forthcoming and on-going Status of Forces Agreement negotiations that the United States is preparing to enter into, or has entered into, with other countries. Elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision that would require the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees not later than 15 days after the date on which a Status of Forces Agreement between the United States and a foreign nation is signed, renewed, amended or otherwise revised, or terminated. However, this requirement does not ensure that the committee has a comprehensive understanding of forthcoming and on-going Status of Forces Agreement negotiations.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, not later than September 30, 2013, to provide an annual briefing on the status of any Status of Forces Agreements that: (1) expire, which the United States intends to renew in the

next calendar year; (2) the United States intends to enter into in the next calendar year; or (3) contain amendments that the Secretary of Defense deems as substantial that are likely to be negotiated in the next calendar year.

The briefing should also include the status of on-going Status of Force Agreement negotiations.

Missile Defense Discussions between the United States and the Russian Federation

The committee notes that the Department of Defense and the Department of State have provided several briefings on U.S. Government discussions with the Russian Federation regarding missile defense. The committee commends both agencies for keeping it informed of the many developments in the U.S.-Russia dialogue on missile defense.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to submit to the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives by June 30, 2013, copies of all information, including presentations and documents, on U.S. missile defenses provided to the Russian Federation by the United States since January 1, 2007. This information should not be limited to "publicly releasable" information, as has been suggested by the Department of Defense.

The committee further directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to brief the aforementioned committees, every 6 months beginning June 30, 2013, and extending for 5 years, on discussions about missile defense between the United States and Russia; these briefings should include copies of any documents or presentations provided to Russia by the United States.

Report on Operation Observant Compass

The committee continues to support Operation Observant Compass, the U.S. Africa Command mission to provide advisory support to the Ugandan People's Defense Force's efforts to counter the Lord Resistance Army (LRA) and kill or apprehend Joseph Kony. However, the committee is concerned that this mission is open-ended in nature and that precise metrics have not been established to: (1) measure progress; and (2) determine if or when the mission has evolved toward a point of diminishing returns for the resources applied. This issue is particularly pressing since the requirements for intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance (ISR) assets remain high in other regions of the Continent of Africa, particularly in North and East Africa, due to Al Qaeda-affiliated and oriented terrorist groups. Yet, the requirements for ISR in these other locations are competing with the "Counter-LRA" campaign.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by September 30, 2013, that outlines, at a minimum, the following:

- (1) The specific goals of the "Counter-LRA" campaign;

- (2) The precise metrics used to measure progress in the campaign; and
- (3) The required steps that will be taken to transition the "Counter-LRA" campaign if it is determined that it is no longer necessary for the United States to support the current mission.

Report on Terms and Agreements for Retrograde of U.S. Equipment and Supplies Through the Northern Distribution Network and Pakistan

As the United States executes its retrograde of equipment and supplies out of the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan in preparation for the end of the North Atlantic Treaty Organization mission on December 31, 2014, and the United States' post-2014 residual military presence to conduct counterterrorism and security assistance operations, the committee lacks a detailed understanding of the terms, conditions, or caveats on the movement of U.S. equipment and supplies through the Northern Distribution Network (NDN) and the Islamic Republic of Pakistan.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in consultation with the Secretary of State, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by September 2, 2013, that includes the following:

- (1) A description of the terms and agreements, including any conditions or caveats, between the United States and the Government of Pakistan relating to the use of the Ground Lines of Communication (GLOC) through Pakistan in support of U.S. forces in the Afghanistan or for the retrograde of U.S. equipment out of Afghanistan; and

- (2) A description of the terms and agreements, including any conditions or caveats, between the United States and those countries associated with the NDN, for support of U.S. forces in Afghanistan or for the retrograde of U.S. equipment and supplies from Afghanistan through the NDN.

Report on U.S. Strategy in Afghanistan Post-2014

The long-term U.S. strategy and approach to the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan remains critical to U.S. national security goals and interests. Afghanistan possesses a distinctive geographic and ethno-linguistic landscape that has unique importance and resonance to both the jihadist, whose narrative and ideology are bolstered by Afghanistan's history, but also the regional actors, whose national interests have driven them toward maintaining their influence within Afghanistan. The U.S. strategy and long-term commitment to Afghanistan is critical to setting the dynamics and the assumptions of the regional actors and the terrorist threats in the region.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to provide a report to the Senate Committees on Armed Services, the House Committee on Armed Services, the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations, and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs, by January 15, 2014, on the U.S. strategy in Afghanistan following the end of the North Atlantic

Treaty Organization mission on December 31, 2014. Specifically, the report should include, but is not limited to, a discussion of:

- (1) The core U.S. interests in Afghanistan and the region;
- (2) The U.S. goals post-2014 and how the United States will achieve these goals;
- (3) The assumptions underpinning the strategy in Afghanistan and the length of the broader U.S. commitment to Afghanistan;
- (4) The military mission sets that are required to support the strategy;
- (5) The specific efforts, which are currently being conducted by the International Security and Assistance Force, that will be handed over to other U.S. Federal agencies or the Government of Afghanistan; and
- (6) The long-term plan for sustainment of the infrastructure and equipment for the Afghan National Security Forces.

Report on U.S. Support to Advising Mission in Afghanistan

In June 2011, the President announced that the U.S. mission in the Islamic Republic of Afghanistan would be moving from combat to support by December 31, 2014. A central element of the transfer of lead security responsibility to Afghanistan is the development of the Afghan National Security Forces (ANSF). To that end, the Department of Defense has used a variety of approaches to advise and assist the ANSF, such as the use of U.S. Army and U.S. Marine Corps Security Force Assistance Advisor Teams and, more recently, the U.S. Army's Security Force Assistance Brigades. The future of these efforts depends on the post-2014 U.S. presence in Afghanistan.

In February 2013, the President announced that U.S. troop presence in Afghanistan would be reduced by half to 34,000 U.S. troops by February 2014, with additional reductions to follow. At the same time, the President announced that beyond 2014, the U.S. commitment to a unified and sovereign Afghanistan will endure, but the nature of the commitment will focus on training and equipping Afghan forces as well as continued counterterrorism efforts. The committee understands that the specific nature of troop reductions and the mission of U.S. forces in Afghanistan will continue to be refined, but is concerned about the impact that these reductions may have on the Department's ability to support the remaining forces engaged in the advising mission. Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to provide a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by January 10, 2014, that reviews the Department of Defense's efforts to support the advising mission through December 2014, including:

- (1) To what extent the Department has identified the composition and missions of U.S. forces as it makes its troop reductions over the next year;
- (2) To what extent the Department has identified the support and security requirements for the remaining forces that will be engaged in the advising mission as force reductions occur;

(3) What challenges, if any, the Department faces in providing support and security for the advising mission, and what steps has it taken to mitigate any challenges; and

(4) To what extent the Department has defined the intended missions and related requirements for conducting the post-2014 training mission.

Resource Requirements For and Posture of Fleet Anti-Terrorism Security Teams and Commanders' In-Extremis Forces

Stemming from the terrorist attack in Benghazi, Libya, on September 11, 2012, and the subsequent congressional oversight into the event, the committee is concerned that U.S. crisis response elements such as the Fleet Anti-Terrorism Security Teams (FAST) and the Commanders' In-Extremis Force (CIF) may not be sufficiently postured, fully capable, or adequately resourced to respond to future crises in the U.S. Central Command (USCENTCOM) and U.S. Africa Command (USAFRICOM) areas of responsibility.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the Committees on Armed Services of the Senate and the House of Representatives by September 2, 2013, that outlines the following:

(1) The operational requirements for FAST and CIF in the USCENTCOM and USAFRICOM areas of responsibility;

(2) The assumptions underpinning such requirements;

(3) The intelligence threat picture and the risk assessment(s) that drive the planning for such requirements;

(4) The gap(s), if any, between such requirements and current resourcing; and

(5) The required posture of the enabling capabilities to support FAST and CIF within the USCENTCOM and USAFRICOM areas of responsibility.

Resource Requirements to Support U.S. Policy Objectives in Syria

The conflict in the Syrian Arab Republic continues to grow more lethal over time. The regime of President Bashar al-Assad is utilizing conventional and unconventional weapons, including chemical weapons, to defeat the opposition fighting against the regime. Events in Syria threaten the vital national security interests of the United States; however, the committee remains concerned that it does not have a comprehensive understanding of the resources required for certain courses of action that could shape the outcome of the conflict in Syria. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services by September 1, 2013, that includes an assessment of the resources required for the following courses of actions:

(1) Conducting limited air strikes against runways and other infrastructure that would prevent the regime of President Bashar al-Assad from deploying fixed wing aircraft or resupply via the air;

(2) Establishing a no-fly zone over western Syria, enforced from the sea, that would prevent fixed or rotary wing aircraft from deploying or resupply via the air in that area;

(3) Creating safe zones sufficient to allow the Syrian opposition to change the military balance on the ground;

(4) Arming the Free Syrian Army with heavy military equipment to change the military balance on the ground; and

(5) Providing additional aid to Jordan and other regional allies to assist in securing all or some of the Syrian chemical weapons stockpile should it be required.

Additionally, the assessment should identify where U.S. capabilities likely would be required for each of these courses of action and the effects that such courses of action would have in supporting a range of U.S. policy objectives in Syria and the region.

U.S. Extended Deterrence in Asia

The committee notes the importance of on-going dialogues and assurances of a reliable and credible extended deterrent for U.S. allies in Asia, in the context of the nuclear weapons program and developing missile threat from the Democratic People's Republic of Korea and other security threats. The committee is aware of ongoing dialogues regarding extended deterrence between the United States and Japan and the United States and the Republic of Korea. The committee is also aware of goals to create a unified trilateral extended deterrence dialogue between the United States, Japan, and South Korea, and the committee supports this goal and encourages the Nation's allies to take steps to make its creation a reality.

The committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, the Commander, U.S. Strategic Command, the Commander, U.S. Pacific Command, and the Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff, to brief the congressional defense committees, the Committee on Foreign Relations of the Senate, and the Committee on Foreign Affairs of the House of Representatives by October 1, 2013, on bilateral and multilateral dialogues with U.S. allies in Asia, including Japan and South Korea, to maintain credible and reliable extended deterrence assurances. The briefing should include transmission to the aforementioned committees of the terms of reference agreed to between the United States and South Korea in its discussions concerning the counter-provocation plan and tailored extended deterrence through the Extended Deterrence Policy Committee, as well as a detailed discussion of the United States and South Korea agreements and disagreements through that entity. The briefing should also provide an update on U.S. efforts to create the trilateral extended deterrence dialogue between the United States, Japan, and South Korea.

U.S. Military Engagement with Burma

The committee encourages advancement of democracy and respect for human rights in Southeast Asia, including the development of democratic

institutions within the Union of Burma. The committee believes that the United States can play a constructive role in supporting democratic progress in Burma, even as the United States continues to verify and monitor the extent of recent reforms. As the President noted following the first visit by a president of Burma to the United States in 50 years, "...this is a long journey and there is still much work to be done."

As cornerstones of further reform, the committee supports efforts to enhance military professionalism, accountability, civilian control, and transparency in Burma, to improve governmental responsiveness to the people of Burma, and to reduce the risk of human rights violations. The committee intends to closely oversee any proposals regarding military-to-military engagements between the United States and Burma. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to thoroughly evaluate the potential for developing military-to-military relations between the United States and Burma and to brief the House Committee on Armed Services by September 30, 2013, on the findings and recommendations.

U.S. Security Sector Assistance

The committee commends the new Presidential Policy Directive (PPD) on Security Sector Assistance as a positive step to establish an overarching strategy and implementation plan for U.S. Government security sector assistance (SSA). SSA is necessary to help partner nations build a sustainable capacity to address common defense and security threats. Furthermore, the committee believes that this interagency collaboration is an important step in recognizing that many national security functions reside across a broad spectrum of U.S. Government activity. The committee recommends that the interagency, in executing the effort outlined in the PPD, prioritize security sector assistance and also review current authorities to identify potential ineffective, duplicative, and/or overlapping authorities. Additionally, the committee recognizes the essential role stability and good governance play in regional security development and therefore expects that an annual review of the impact of this assistance on human rights standards, rule of law, and good governance for each recipient country will be included as part of the implementation plan for this PPD. While the committee recognizes the implementation plan is still in development, the committee urges the executive branch to keep the appropriate congressional committees informed on the progress of the implementation plan. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, to provide a briefing to the House Committee on Armed Services and the House Committee on Foreign Affairs by October 1, 2013, on the status of the implementation plan.

TITLE XVI—INDUSTRIAL BASE MATTERS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

Defense Security Service Access to Information in Conducting the National Industrial Security Program

The committee is aware that the Defense Security Service (DSS) supports national security by securing the Nation's technology base and overseeing the protection of sensitive and classified information and technology in the hands of industry. According to its mission statement, DSS is "responsible for clearing industrial facilities, personnel, and associated information systems; collecting, analyzing, and providing threat information to industry and government partners; managing foreign ownership control and influence in cleared industry; providing advice and oversight to industry; delivering security education and training; and, providing information technology services that support the industrial security mission of the Department of Defense and its partner agencies."

According to the 2012 DSS report, "Targeting Technology: A Trend Analysis of Reporting from Defense Industry", aggressive cyber collection activities increasingly target cleared contractor networks in attempts to obtain sensitive U.S. information and technologies. Although the Department of Defense Instruction (DODI) 5220.22-M, National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual, dated February 28, 2006, requires information systems that are used to process or distribute classified information to be properly managed to protect against unauthorized disclosure of classified information and requires cleared contractors to remain vigilant and to report suspicious contacts, there is insufficient governance, monitoring, and reporting of cyber attacks on the unclassified networks of the cleared contractors.

The committee believes that intrusions on the unclassified networks of cleared contractors may be the very first indicator that a foreign entity is attempting to compromise or exploit cleared personnel, or to obtain illegal or unauthorized access to sensitive information and technology resident in the cleared industrial base. Furthermore, the committee is concerned that such attacks could garner sensitive, but unclassified, information and data that when aggregated could provide the foreign entity with much of the information that is being sought.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, to review DODI 5220.22M and all other relevant guidance to ensure that the ability of DSS to support protection of sensitive and classified information and technology is not being hampered by a lack of access to information regarding intrusions on the unclassified networks of cleared contractors. Following the review, the Secretary is further directed to brief the congressional defense committees and the congressional intelligence committees by February 1, 2014, on the findings of the review, along with any recommendations to strengthen the ability of DSS to secure the Nation's technology base.

Furthermore, as noted elsewhere in this report, the committee remains concerned about the potential presence of specific information technology equipment manufactured by firms with known links to the government and military of the People's Republic of China, namely, Huawei and ZTE Corporation, in networks that

are critical to the Department of Defense. The committee is concerned that some of this equipment could potentially be resident in the networks of cleared defense contractors. Therefore, the committee directs the Director of Defense Security Service to develop a plan by February 1, 2014, to enhance awareness of the threat posed by such technology, to aid cleared contractors in identifying and reporting the presence of such technology in their classified and unclassified networks, and to reduce the likelihood of such technology being incorporated into these networks in the future.

Foreign Commercial Satellite Services Review

The committee is aware that the Department of Defense relies on many commercial companies to provide satellite services of national security importance, including commercial satellite communications. For instance, on January 24, 2013, the Defense Business Board reported that commercial satellite communications account for 40 percent of the Department's satellite communications.

Over the past year, the committee has conducted oversight of the Department's leases of commercial satellite services, particularly relating to satellites owned, operated, or launched by states subject to sanctions and laws, such as section 1261(c)(2) of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013 (Public Law 112-239) and the Iran, North Korea, Syria Nonproliferation Act (Public Law 106-178). Elsewhere in this Act, the committee includes a provision regarding its concerns with what it has learned about several of these leases.

While the committee has received some information from the Department regarding several leases of satellite services of certain foreign providers, the responses to many straight-forward questions have changed over time. The committee is disappointed by the Department's lack of clarity on this issue, and the committee is concerned that the Department has not established effective management controls over commercial satellite leases, and in particular, ones regarding certain foreign providers.

Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Director of National Intelligence, to provide a briefing to the congressional defense committees by October 1, 2013, on any Department of Defense commercial services over satellites owned, operated, or launched by states subject to sanctions and laws, such as section 1261(c)(2) of Public Law 112-239, and the Iran, North Korea, Syria Nonproliferation Act. The briefing should include:

- (1) The projected period of performance (including any period covered by options to extend the contract), the financial terms, and a description of the services to be provided under each contract;
- (2) Identification of the satellites that will be used to provide the necessary services, including a description of where they were launched from and currently operate from;
- (3) Identification, to the extent practical, of foreign government ownership of the foreign providers;

(4) Identification of risks, and a description of any applicable policies and procedures to mitigate the risks, of using certain foreign commercial satellite services; and

(5) A description of why other commercial or U.S. Government providers, including the Operationally Responsive Space office, were not available or tasked to fill the requirement.

Monitoring and Enforcement of Mitigation Agreements Related to Foreign Investment in the United States

The committee is aware that the Foreign Investment and National Security Act of 2007 (Public Law 100-49) requires the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS) to designate a lead agency to negotiate, modify, monitor and enforce agreements to mitigate any threat to national security that could result from foreign investment in the U.S. economy. The committee notes that during fiscal year 2012, the Department of Defense was named lead or co-lead of approximately 50 percent of the 121 CFIUS filings. Furthermore, the committee notes that the Defense Security Service (DSS) reviews and responds to every CFIUS filing to identify implications of the proposed investment on the National Industrial Security Program, and also executes and provides oversight of Foreign Ownership Control or Influence (FOCI) mitigation requirements. However, DSS is not currently charged with monitoring implementation of, and compliance with, CFIUS mitigation agreements for those cases in which the Department of Defense was a lead or co-lead. Instead, the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Manufacturing and Industrial Base Policy is performing that function.

The committee is concerned that the monitoring of CFIUS mitigation agreements is being performed by a policy organization that currently lacks the resources, technical expertise, facilities, and relationships with other oversight and investigative agencies to provide reasonable oversight of implementation of, and compliance with, these mitigation agreements. While it is appropriate for the Deputy Assistant Secretary to be charged with responsibility for recommending actions related to enforcement of such mitigation agreements, the Department may benefit from leveraging the capabilities of DSS for monitoring implementation and compliance. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to review the role of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Manufacturing and Industrial Base Policy in monitoring CFIUS mitigation agreements in which the Department of Defense was the lead or co-lead, and to determine if DSS is suited to perform these functions. The committee further directs the Secretary to report the findings of the review to the congressional defense committees by December 31, 2013.

Report on Diversification of Supply Activities Related to Rare Earth Elements

The committee is aware that in response to the report required by section 843 of the Ike Skelton National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2011 (Public Law 111-383) and based on forecasting demand for fiscal year 2013 only, the

Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics concluded that domestic production of rare earth elements could satisfy the level of consumption required to meet defense procurement needs by fiscal year 2013, with the exception of yttrium. However, the committee observes that the Future Years Defense Program indicates that consumption of rare earth elements is expected to increase after 2013. Specifically, the report on the feasibility and desirability of recycling, recovery, and reprocessing of rare earth elements required by the conference report (H. Rept. 112-329) to accompany the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2012, states that each SSN-774 Virginia-class submarine would require approximately 9,200 pounds of rare earth materials, each DDG-51 Aegis destroyer would require approximately 5,200 pounds of these materials, and each F-35 Lightning II aircraft would require approximately 920 pounds of these materials.

The committee is aware that the Department of Defense intends to pursue a three-pronged strategy to secure supplies of rare earth elements, which consists of diversification of supply, pursuit of substitutes, and a focus on reclamation of waste, as part of a larger U.S. Government recycling effort. The committee believes that diversification of supply activities related to rare earth elements is necessary in order to meet the growing demand for these materials, but the committee is concerned that some of these processes may prove to be technically difficult or so expensive that they are deemed cost-prohibitive.

Therefore, the committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology, and Logistics to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by February 1, 2014, on the Department's risk mitigation strategy for rare earth elements, which should include, at a minimum, the following elements:

- (1) A list and description of the programs initiated or planned to reclaim rare earth elements by the Department, along with a description of the materials reclaimed or expected to be reclaimed from such programs;
- (2) An assessment of the cost of materials produced by these reclamation efforts compared to the cost of newly-mined materials;
- (3) An assessment of availability of reliable suppliers in the National Defense Industrial Base for the reclamation and reprocessing of rare earth elements;
- (4) A list of alternative sources of supply, such as mine tailings, recycled components, and consumer waste, that the Department has investigated or plans to investigate;
- (5) A physical description of alternative sources of supply with corresponding geologic characteristics, such as grade, resource size, and the amenability of that feedstock to metallurgical processing;
- (6) A description of the materials that the Department plans to obtain via the Defense Priorities and Allocations System; and
- (7) Other diversification of supply activities deemed relevant by the Under Secretary.

Report on Export of Night Vision Devices

The committee is concerned that restrictions on the export of night vision devices do not reflect the current state of the global marketplace and, as a result, domestic producers of the devices are prohibited from marketing products overseas that are commonly available from foreign competitors. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to examine the export regulations and specifications related to the sale or transfer of night vision devices and to inform the House Committee on Armed Services by March 1, 2014, of any recommendations for statutory or regulatory changes to ensure a robust domestic manufacturing capability of these devices.

Report on the Integrity of the Supply Chain for Nuclear Command and Control and Critical Defense Capabilities

The committee is aware that critical infrastructure is not just physical, but also encompasses information and information systems, as well as supports infrastructure. According to the Defense Critical Infrastructure Program documents, there is “no single solution to ensure the protection of information and the associated information infrastructure,” and to address these mission assurance needs, the Department of Defense (DOD) has developed a "defense-in-depth" strategy which includes a variety of tools to assess the robustness and security-readiness of DOD networks.

The committee is aware of the many threats facing the mission assurance of critical aspects of the Department, and in the committee report (H. Rept. 112-479) accompanying the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2013, the committee included a requirement for the Secretary of Energy to report on the supply chain security and integrity of the nuclear weapons complex. In responding to that reporting requirement, the Secretary of Energy found the presence of specific information technology equipment manufactured by the firm Huawei, which has known links to the Government and military of the People's Republic of China, at the Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL). The Secretary of Energy informed the committee that, once technology linked to Huawei was found within the LANL network, steps were promptly taken to remove it from that network. The committee commends this action, but is concerned that such technology was incorporated into the LANL networks in the first place.

The committee is also aware of the bipartisan investigative report of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, "[t]he U.S. National Security Issues Posed by Chinese Telecommunications Companies Huawei and ZTE." The committee notes that the bipartisan recommendations of the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence included:

"(1) The United States should view with suspicion the continued penetration of the U.S. telecommunications market by Chinese telecommunications companies;

(2) Private Sector entities in the United States are strongly encouraged to consider the long-term security risks associated with doing business with either ZTE or Huawei for equipment or services; and,

(3) Committees of jurisdiction in the U.S. Congress should consider potential legislation to better address the risk posed by telecommunications companies with nation-state ties or otherwise not clearly trusted to build critical infrastructure."

In addition, the Defense Security Service reported in its 2012 report, "Targeting U.S. Technologies" that, "[t]he stakes are high in the battle against foreign collection efforts and espionage that target U.S. technology, intellectual property, trade secrets, and proprietary information." The report went on to state that East Asia and the Pacific accounted for 43 percent of the reported foreign attempts to obtain illegal or unauthorized access to sensitive (including proprietary information) and or classified information and technology residing in the cleared industrial base.

These findings only heighten the committee's concerns about the security risks associated with the presence of information technology manufactured by firms with known affiliation to the military and Government of China. Therefore, the committee directs the Secretary of Defense to conduct a review of the telecommunications and information technology supply chain of select components of the Department of Defense, including the nuclear command and control infrastructure. Such a review should include an inspection of the critical assets, infrastructure, and key resources identified by the Defense Critical Infrastructure Program for presence of Huawei and ZTE telecommunications and information technology equipment. The Secretary should submit a report on the findings of the review, along with any recommendations for improving the mission assurance of the Department's critical information and the associated information infrastructure, to the House Committee on Armed Services by July 1, 2014, with an interim report due by February 15, 2014.

Report on the Implementation of Rare Earth Elements Strategy in the Joint Strike Fighter Program

The committee is aware that the Department of Defense intends to pursue a three-pronged strategy to secure supplies of rare earth elements, which consists of diversification of supply, pursuit of substitutes, and a focus on reclamation of waste as part of a larger U.S. Government recycling effort. However, it remains unclear how this strategy will be implemented in the Department's major defense acquisition programs (MDAPs). Several high-profile MDAPs, including the F-35 Lightning II program, may use significant amounts of rare earth elements in full-rate production. The committee is concerned that the introduction of substitute materials and components may increase acquisition and sustainment costs through the qualification of manufacturers for substitutes, implementation of engineering

changes to accommodate substitutes, and the long-term costs associated with supplier networks.

Therefore, the committee directs the Assistant Secretary of the Navy for Research, Development and Acquisition, in coordination with the Program Executive Officer for the F-35, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by February 15, 2014, on the potential for substitution of components and materials into F-35 aircraft to reduce consumption of rare earth materials. The report, which may include a classified annex, should include the following:

(1) A list and description of subsystems that contain rare earth elements and the approximate quantities of each rare earth element by subsystem;

(2) An assessment of the potential to incorporate substitute components or materials in each subsystem based on technical acceptability, to include consideration of performance requirements, and engineering changes that may be necessary for integration of the substitute; and

(3) An assessment of the potential to incorporate substitute components or materials in each subsystem based on cost acceptability to include consideration of material costs, qualification and testing costs, and engineering change costs.

Specialty Metals Clause Waiver Processes and Notification

The committee is concerned that the Department of Defense (DOD) issued national security waivers to the specialty metals clause under section 804 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2008 (Public Law 110-181) for certain samarium-cobalt magnets and magnet assemblies in the F-35 Lightning II aircraft. The committee is aware that at least two qualified suppliers in the domestic defense industrial base currently hold contracts directly with the Department for similar magnets and magnet assemblies. Moreover, a third qualifying supplier indirectly provides these materials to the Department through other prime contractors. These qualifying suppliers continue to manufacture samarium-cobalt magnets and magnet assemblies for fixed wing, missile, and radar programs. The committee is increasingly concerned that this use of national security waivers contravenes the congressional intent of Public Law 110-181, which, among other purposes, is to facilitate competition and guarantee a secure supply chain of certain materials.

Therefore, the committee directs the Comptroller General of the United States to investigate the issuance of these national security waivers for samarium-cobalt magnets and magnet assemblies, and to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2014, that includes, at minimum, a description of the following:

(1) The extent to which distributor-fabricators who supplied non-compliant samarium-cobalt magnets and magnet assemblies to DOD prime or subcontractors knowingly and/or willfully supplied samarium-cobalt magnets and magnet assemblies manufactured by foreign suppliers to subcontractors for inclusion in the F-35 program;

(2) The extent to which distributor-fabricators who supplied non-compliant samarium-cobalt magnets and magnet assemblies to DOD prime or subcontractors were aware of, or engaged with, qualified sources for samarium-cobalt magnets and magnet assemblies on other commercial or defense contracts;

(3) The extent to which acquisition officials within the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and the F-35 Joint Program Office were aware of, or engaged with, qualified sources for samarium-cobalt magnets and magnet assemblies on other subcontracts;

(4) The criteria, method, or process utilized by OSD acquisition officials to define and determine “knowing and willful” as it pertains to noncompliance with the specialty metals clause;

(5) Recommendations to improve the criteria, method, or process utilized by OSD acquisition officials to define and determine “knowing and willful” as it pertains to noncompliance with the specialty metals clause;

(6) Recommendations for DOD acquisition policy changes, such as consideration of previous noncompliance on future contracts, fines by non-compliant lower-tier suppliers, or suspension and debarment, that may adequately deter or dissuade lower-tier suppliers from knowingly and/or willingly violating acquisition regulations and other rules promulgated in accordance with section 2533b of title 10, United States Code; and

(7) Recommendations to improve the Department’s supply chain management procedures and actions necessary to prevent such lapses in the future.

DIVISION C—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY AUTHORIZATIONS AND OTHER AUTHORIZATIONS

TITLE XXXI—DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY NATIONAL SECURITY PROGRAMS

ITEMS OF SPECIAL INTEREST

NATIONAL NUCLEAR SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation

Follow-on to Global Threat Reduction Initiative

The committee recognizes the National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) Global Threat Reduction Initiative’s (GTRI) progress to secure and remove nuclear weapons-usable material in both foreign countries and the United States. The committee notes the central role this program has played in the President’s plan to secure all vulnerable nuclear weapons-usable material worldwide and commends the commitments made by foreign leaders at the 2010 and 2012 Nuclear

Security Summits to reduce the risk of nuclear terrorism. The committee notes that calendar year 2013 marks the end of this 4-year plan and the beginning of the phasing out of the program. However, the committee understands that significant quantities of highly-enriched uranium (HEU) remain in foreign countries and potentially at risk of theft or diversion.

The committee directs the Administrator for Nuclear Security to provide a plan to the House Committee on Armed Services by January 15, 2014, to complete efforts to remove and secure vulnerable HEU worldwide. This plan should include:

- (1) Analysis detailing the quantity and status of HEU that has not been secured or removed;
- (2) An assessment of the threat or risks of this HEU being stolen or diverted;
- (3) An assessment of the level of vulnerability of such material;
- (4) Potential follow-on efforts to the GTRI's efforts to secure or remove this material; a cost-benefit analysis for such potential follow-on efforts;
- (5) An estimation of the costs of such efforts that would be borne by the United States, allied countries, and partner countries;
- (6) An assessment of the priority of such efforts as compared to other NNSA missions, including a description of the opportunity costs on other NNSA missions inherent with pursuing such efforts; and
- (7) An assessment of material that cannot be accessed, removed, or secured and the threats to such material.

Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication Facility Analysis

While the Committee is concerned with the continuing escalating costs associated the Mixed Oxide Fuel Fabrication (MOX) Facility, the budget request may not actually reduce costs to the taxpayer and will likely delay the disposition of 34 metric tons of weapons grade plutonium.

Therefore, the committee directs the Administrator for Nuclear Security to study ways to achieve cost savings within the MOX program. This study should analyze potential additional international partners and the potential for achieving greater economic efficiencies by designating additional supplies of surplus plutonium for disposition through the MOX facility. The completed study should be submitted to the congressional defense committees by April 1, 2014.

Nonproliferation and arms control verification technology research and development

The committee notes the importance of continuing sound investments in technology research and development (R&D) to support detection and verification efforts for nonproliferation and arms control regimes. The committee is concerned that the level of investment and a clear strategic plan to sustain and develop national capabilities to support current and long-term nonproliferation goals may not be sufficient to effectively address future threats and opportunities.

Therefore, the committee directs the Administrator of Nuclear Security, in consultation with the relevant national laboratories and the Director of National Intelligence, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees by March 1, 2014, on the national research agenda to develop technologies, responsive to near- and long-term requirements, including technologies applicable to safeguards, to detect state and non-state efforts to develop or acquire nuclear devices or weapons-usable nuclear materials. The report should also include verification technologies, including non-traditional technologies, that need to be developed, across the near- and long-term, to support future arms control efforts including nuclear reductions to lower force levels, reductions of strategic or non-strategic nuclear forces, or of deployed or non-deployed warhead limitations.